

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL

CALLED SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE }
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }
Wednesday, September 6, 1871, 7½ o'clock, P. M. }

The Common Council met pursuant to the following call:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept 5, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

We the undersigned members of the Common Council, believing that there is such an accumulation of business that it will be impossible, at our regular sessions, to clear the files, do therefore request your Honor to call a Special Meeting, to be held on Wednesday evening, September 6, 1871.

Respectfully,

C. E. WHITSIT,
C. HECKMAN,
ROBERT KENNINGTON,
ISAAC THALMAN,
J. H. WOODBURN,
W. W. WEAVER,
HENRY GIMBER.

JOHN H. BATTY,
AUSTIN H. BROWN,
JOHN L. MARSEE,
H. S. BIGHAM,
WM. D. WILES,
THOMAS COTTRELL,
W. H. CRAFT,

To the City Marshal:

In accordance with the above call, you will notify the Councilmen and City Officers concerned, that a meeting will be held on Wednesday evening, September 6.

DANIEL MACAULEY,
Mayor.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair, and the following members:

Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Cottrell, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Absent—Councilmen Gimber, Locke and Weaver—3.

The City Clerk made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: The City Clerk respectfully reports the first and final estimate to John T. Macauley, for grading and graveling, with what is known as "Lefter's Paving Stone," the north sidewalk of New York street from Missouri to Blackford streets.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN R. CLINTON,
City Clerk.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following resolution:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed John T. Macauley, for grading and paving with what is known as Lefler Patent Paving Stone, the north sidewalk of New York street, from Missouri street to Blackford street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Cottrell, Craft, Heckmen, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalmann, Thoms, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—15.

Negative—None.

The City Clerk presented the following communication :

At a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bloomington, Illinois, held Friday evening, August 25, 1871, the following resolutions were passed by a unanimous vote:

Resolved, 1. That the thanks of this Council are due, and are hereby tendered to the Indianapolis City Council, for their courteous and hospitable treatment, so generously shown us in our recent visit to the city of Indianapolis.

2. That we, as a Council, feel under many obligations to General Macauley, the honored Mayor, and his wide-awake associates, worthy representatives of the beautiful capital city of Indiana; that to them, each and all, we say, "the latchstrings are always out," and we only await an opportunity to return the favors with which we were so handsomely complimented.

H. G. REEVES,
E. B. STEERE,
M. MARTIN,

Committee.

WM. B. LAWRENCE,
City Clerk.

Which was received and ordered spread on the minutes.

Mr. Marsee, from the Committee on Fire Department, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 4, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN—Your Committee on Fire Department, and Chief Fire Engineer, to whom was referred a petition from John A. Heidlinger, in regard to the erection of a certain grape arbor and wooden sheds on Mississippi street, have examined the same, and find that he has

erected nothing on said ground that would be in violation of the ordinance in regard to the erection of wooden buildings inside of the fire limits; and that the grape arbor, etc., erected there, be permitted to stand as erected.

Respectfully submitted,

JNO. L. MARSEE,
WILLIAM W. WEAVER,
ROBERT KENNINGTON,
Committee on Fire Dep'm't.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Marsee, from the Committee on Fire Department, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: Your Committee on Fire Department, with the Chief Fire Engineer, to whom was referred the remonstrance of Edward Gaston, John L. Brown and others, against the storage of Ward's Portable Gas or Gasolene Oil, in the building known as No. 31 Kentucky avenue, would respectfully report that they have examined the same, and find that there is stored in a back room on the ground floor of said building an average of twenty-five barrels. Your committee are of the opinion that under no circumstances should such an amount of said oil be stored in any one place; and we recommend that an ordinance be passed, governing such cases.

JNO. L. MARSEE,
WILLIAM W. WEAVER,
Ch. F. Eng. DANIEL GLAZIER,
Committee on Fire Department.

Mr. Kennington, from the same Committee, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., September 4, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The undersigned, a minority of the Committee on Fire Department, respectfully reports that he has examined the premises of A. F. Noble, on Kentucky avenue, finds nothing offensive to the smell, and that the business he carries on is not dangerous to the

neighborhood. He therefore dissents from the majority report, and recommends that no ordinance be passed on the subject, without further investigation.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT KENNINGTON,

Committee on Fire Department.

Mr. Brown presented the following :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., August 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN — The undersigned, Alanson F. Noble, respectfully shows that he is a citizen of the State of Indiana, and resident of the city of Indianapolis, where he is extensively engaged in the manufacture and sale of portable gas fixtures, chandeliers, and street lamps, and has invested therein many thousands of dollars capital. That in the use of said fixtures, he keeps for sale petroleum fluid from which the gas is generated by heat, but the fluid itself is no more dangerous than kerosene and other illuminating oils in common use, and on sale by the druggists and grocers of the city. He keeps in store on Kentucky avenue, not more than twenty-five barrels at a time in a room on the ground floor with a wide door opening on the street, through which the barrels could be easily and safely removed, if necessary, in a few minutes, and no one is ever allowed to enter said room with artificial light or flame of any kind. The barrels are not opened upon said premises, but are removed to other points, for the purpose of retailing to persons using the same, in bulk, as may be necessary for any retail trade. The premises are kept clean and are carefully guarded from fire, and are entirely free from any noxious and offensive smell whatever.

He learns that divers and sundry persons have petitioned your honorable body to cause his fluid to be removed from the premises where stored, and he believes that the matters and things set forth in such petition, are untrue, and that the said petitioners have no just cause of complaint. He says that if he should be compelled to remove his goods outside the city limits, it would cause him great inconvenience and damage in his business, which is now a profitable one, and that no one would be benefitted by such an order. He pays, therefore, that the petition referred to may be rejected and the prayer thereof be refused.

ALANSON F. NOBLE.

We, the undersigned, citizens of Indianapolis, having read the foregoing petition, believe the matters therein to be true, and pray that Mr. Alanson F. Noble, may be allowed to continue his business and storage as before, believing that it is not unsafe or dangerous, or offensive to the public. We believe that if he were ordered to remove the same, it would be making an unfair and unjust discrimination against him, and in favor of other dealers in illuminating oils.

Respectfully submitted,

W. C. HOLMES,
R. L. McOUAT,
F. M. BROWN,
J. J. BINGHAM,
And 13 others.

Which, on motion, were simply received.

Mr. Marsee, from the Committee on Fire Department, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: Your Committee on Fire Department, with the Chief Fire Engineer, to whom was referred the bids for furnishing this city with two thousand feet of 2½-inch rubber hose, would respectfully report that they have examined and tested the samples furnished by the bidders, with the following result, to-wit:

Hide, Leather, and Belting Company Hose—Weight, 86 pounds, burst at a pressure of 312½ pounds; price, \$1.08 per foot.

Mooney & Co.'s Standard Hose—Weight, 73½ pounds; burst at a pressure of 338 pounds; price, \$1 per foot.

Mooney & Co.'s Test Hose—Weight, 79½ pounds; burst at a pressure of 425 pounds; price, \$1.30 per foot.

Silsby Manufacturing Company Hose—Weight, 85 pounds; burst at a pressure of 362 pounds; price, \$1.15 per foot.

John Knight's Hose—Weight, 88½ pounds; burst at a pressure of 400 pounds; price, \$1.23½ per foot.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN L. MARSEE,
ROBERT KENNINGTON,
WM. W. WEAVER,
Ch. F. Eng. DANIEL GDAZIER,
Committee on Fire Department.

Which, on motion by Mr. Thoms, was laid over until next regular meeting of Council.

Mr. Thalman, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 4, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN: Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the remonstrance of sundry persons against the quality of gravel used on Malott avenue, would respectfully report that they have examined the same, and are of the opinion that for pit gravel it is a first-class job.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN L. MARSEE,
ISAAC THALMAN,
C. HECKMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Thalman, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN: Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred a motion directing the City Attorney to report an ordinance repealing so much of an ordinance passed June 5, 1871, as compels the Indianapolis Gas Light and Coke Company to take out a permit before opening any street for the purpose of laying down gas mains, would report in favor of the repeal of so much of said ordinance as compels said company to take out a permit; as an ordinance passed by the Common Council in relation to said company, March 19, 1866, on page 174, section 6, makes it the duty of said company, before making any excavation, to notify the Street Commissioner of that fact; as said ordinance fully covers the ground in regard to said company, we would ask that the City Attorney report an ordinance

repealing so much of said ordinance passed June 5, 1871, as compels said company to take out a permit when having to make any trench in the street for the purpose of laying down gas pipes.

ISAAC THALMAN,
JOHN L. MARSEE,
CHRIS. HECKMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which, on motion, was laid over for one week.

Mr. Thalman, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 4, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred sundry papers, would respectfully report—

FIRST.

A motion was referred to your committee, directing the Street Commissioner to bowlder the alley crossings on the south and north side of North street between Meridian and Tennessee streets. We would recommend that the same be done, provided that the cost is deducted from the fund belonging to said ward, and upon no other condition.

SECOND.

An ordinance to grade and gravel Merrill street and sidewalks from Tennessee street to the Central Canal; and also a remonstrance against the proposed improvement. As said street, if improved, would be of no use to the property holders, we would recommend that said ordinance be stricken from the files; but as soon as bridges are built on the Canal and Pogue Run, we think the ordinance should be passed.

THIRD.

A remonstrance was referred to your committee, signed by Wm. Cogill, Michael Hogerty and others, remonstrating against the passage of an ordinance for grading and paving with brick the sidewalks on Virginia avenue from East street to the Corporation line east. Believing said work should be done, we herewith return the remonstrance, and recommend that the ordinance be passed.

FOURTH.

An ordinance, and also a petition, was referred to your committee for the improvement of Meridian street from First to Seventh street. We herewith return said petition and ordinance, and recommend that said improvement be postponed until a sewer is built to carry off the water down Illinois street.

FIFTH.

A petition was also referred to your committee, signed by William Worland, William Spotts and others, praying that some action be taken to abate the nuisance caused by the foul, fetid, filthy, and stinking stuff flowing down the gutter on the west side of Delaware street, a short distance from Maryland street; thence on the west side of Virginia avenue to Pogue Run. We would recommend that this petition, which we here return, be referred back to the Councilmen from said Ward, for their consideration in regard to the nuisance complained of.

SIXTH.

A motion was also referred to your committee, directing that inquiry be made, and report whether there is not a wooden culvert needed over the gutter on the west side of Virginia avenue, at the crossing of Maryland street. We believe a wooden culvert should be made at the point named, but would prefer cement pipe if it can be put in, and would report that the City Civil Engineer report to the Council whether cement pipe can be put in or not, and not be destroyed by the passage of wagons over the same.

SEVENTH.

A remonstrance was also referred to your committee, signed by Lemuel VanLaningham, Deloss Root, A. R. Miller, and others, against the improvement of the west sidewalk on Mississippi street, as contemplated by an ordinance now pending. We would recommend that the work be done, as contemplated by said ordinance; as said work certainly should be done.

EIGHTH.

An ordinance was also referred to your committee for the improvement of the first alley west of East street, running from Michigan street to the first alley south of Michigan street. We have

examined the said alley, and are of opinion that the same should be improved, and herewith return the ordinance.

NINTH.

A petition was referred back to your committee, signed by George H. Brinkmeyer and others, asking Council to fill up or arch over the ditch cut from St. Clair street, on Railroad street, to Market street. We have examined the said ditch, and would recommend that the Street Commissioner fill up said ditch from the first alley south of where the Peru Railroad track crosses, to Ohio street, where the same needs filling.

TENTH.

A report from the City Commissioners, in regard to the opening of North Mississippi street from Seventh to Twelfth street, was also referred to us. We believe the street should be opened, and would recommend that the report of the Commissioners be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

ISAAC THALMAN,
JOHN L. MARSEE,
C. HECKMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Marsee, from the Committee on Sewers, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN—Your Committee on Sewers, to whom was referred sundry proposals for building a sewer down Market street, have examined the same and find them to be as follows, to-wit :

J. J. Palmer, 42 inch brick sewer, \$5.15 per foot.

J. J. Palmer, 48 inch brick sewer, \$5.40 per foot.

Dewey & Twiname, 42 inch brick sewer, \$4.20 per foot.

Dewey & Twiname, 48 inch brick sewer, \$4.57 per foot.

Samuel Lefevre, 42 inch brick sewer, \$4.45 per foot.

Samuel Lefevre, 48 inch brick sewer, \$4.60 per foot.

John A. Whitsit agrees to put in two rows of cement pipe, 20 inches by 30 inches in diameter, at \$4.60 per lineal foot.

As this sewer is only a temporary sewer and the pipe can be taken out and used again, we believe the cement pipe to be the cheapest, and would recommend that the contract be awarded to John A. Whitsit.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN L. MARSEE,

C. E. WHITSIT,

Committee on Sewers.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Marsee, from the Committee on Sewers, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN—Your Committee on Sewers, with the City Civil Engineer, to whom was referred the subject of draining North Pennsylvania street and North Meridian street, would respectfully report that they have examined the same, and are of the opinion that as good a way as any for the present, would be to dig two sinks, one on Pennsylvania on the east side, where the ground is the lowest, and one on the east side of Meridian street, opposite Dr. Pierce's. Said sinks should be from eight to ten feet square, and deep enough to reach the gravel, and should be cleaned out from time to time, so as to allow the water to sink freely.

We would recommend the construction of the Illinois street sewer from Washington street to Second street, as the best means of giving permanent relief in the way of drainage, for that section of the city.

JOHN L. MARSEE.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Thalman, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 9, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred a petition signed by James T. Anderson, J. B. Schofield and

others, asking for the passage of an ordinance to grade and gravel North Delaware street and sidewalks, from St. Mary's street to Seventh street, would report that they believe the said improvement should be made, and would recommend that the City Attorney make out and present the necessary papers with the petition of this Council to the County Commissioners, to order that part not platted to be improved in like manner.

Respectfully submitted,

ISAAC THALMAN,
JOHN L. MARSEE,
C. HECKMAN,

Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Which was referred to the City Attorney.

Mr. Wiles, from the Committee on Gas Light, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: On the 3d of April last, the following ordinances were read for the third time, and stricken from the files:

S. O. 201—1870. To light with gas Henry street between Mississippi and Missouri streets.

S. O. 210—1870, To light with gas Washington street from the Canal to White River.

Public gas lamps having been erected on said streets during the winter previous, and the same being still in use, it is only just that these ordinances should be enacted, so that the contractors who erected the same can obtain their estimates therefor. We therefore offer the following ordinances, and ask that they be allowed to pass.

Respectfully submitted,

W. D. WILES,
H. S. BIGHAM,
ISAAC THALMAN.

Which was received.

Mr. Wiles, from the Committee on Railroads, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: On the referred motion, requiring the Street Commissioner to remove the frogs belonging to the I., P., and C. Railway,

at their crossing on South East street, your committee would respectfully report that the officers of above-named road agree to remove said frogs within thirty days or thereabouts. We recommend that the required time be allowed them for that purpose.

A motion was also referred, asking that the officers of same road be compelled to remove their track off of Railroad street from Market to North street.

On consultation with the officers of said road, we learn from them that their right of way over said street was purchased more than twenty years ago, and in fact before said Railroad street was laid out. Such being the case, your committee are of opinion that said ejection would require a greater expense than would be profitable to the city or property holders along the line of said Railroad street, We therefore recommend that the motion be laid upon the table.

Your committee would also call the attention of the Council to the conditions of the contract for the bonds of this city given to aid in the construction of the C. and I. J. Railway. Said railway having now, as we understand, changed hands, and the conditions of the contract requiring the location in this city of the machine shops for said road not having been complied with, your committee would recommend that the City Attorney be required to report to this Council the necessary steps to be taken to withhold payment of said bonds or interest thereon, legally, until said conditions of contract have been complied with.

Respectfully submitted,

W. D. WILES,
FR. THOMS,
THOMAS COTTRELL.

Which was concurred in with the exception of the paragraph in regard to Junction Railroad Company, which was referred to the City Attorney and his honor, the Mayor.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

Moved: That the Street Commissioner proceed at once to lower the Indianapolis & Cincinnati Railroad Co.'s track, between Indiana avenue and Ohio street.

Which was laid on the table.

Mr. Wiles, from the Committee on Parks, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN—Your Committee to whom was referred the matter in relation to the fencing of the "City Park," report that the size of the lot, the location being entirely surrounded by stables, the approach being through alleys only, all conspire to make it entirely worthless, in our opinion, to the public for any purpose; its value, therefore, belongs entirely to those whose property surrounds it, and to them, we think, the expense of the improvement, if any is made, should belong.

Respectfully submitted,

W. D. WIEES,
J. H. WOODBURN,
AUSTIN H. BROWN,
Committee on Parks.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Newman, from the Select Committee, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 7, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Your Committee, being a Special Committee, to whom was referred the report of the Bobbs Dispensary, and their application for an additional appropriation to carry on the Dispensary, report favorably on the appropriation, and recommend you to appropriate the additional sum of four hundred dollars to said Dispensary, to be paid quarterly.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. NEWMAN,
ISAAC THALMAN,
H. S. BIGHAM.

Which was received.

By unanimous consent the City Clerk made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

First and final estimate allowed John A. Whitsett for grading and graveling Malotte avenue and sidewalks from Massachusetts avenue to Barrow street.

JOHN R. CLINTON, City Clerk.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following resolution :

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimates allowed John A. Whitsett for grading and graveling Malotte avenue and sidewalks from Massachusetts avenue to Barrow street, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Woodburn—13.

Negative—None.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

On motion, the following Ordinances were read the second time, and ordered engrossed :

- Special Ordinance No. 94, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 95, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 97, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 98, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 99, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 100, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 101, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 102, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 103, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 104, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 105, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 106, 1871.
- Special Ordinance No. 107, 1871.

ORDINANCES ON THIRD READING.

Special Ordinance No. 32, 1871, entitled:

An Ordinance to repeal an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance to provide for grading Merrill street, between Illinois and Missouri streets, and for placing on such graded street cinders from the Indianapolis Rolling Mill."

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—14.

Negative—None.

Special Ordinance No. 87, 1871, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the sidewalks of Virginia avenue, from East street to the corporation line south.

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 92, 1871, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the east sidewalk of Alabama street, where not already paved, from Washington street to Pogue's Run.

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilman Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 212, 1870, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Arsenal avenue and sidewalks, from Washington street to the Michigan road.

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 66, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the west sidewalk of Missouri street, from Indiana avenue to St, Clair street.

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 69, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for repairing New York street and sidewalks, from East to Noble street,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 70, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Summit street and sidewalks, between Washington street and the Michigan road,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1

Special Ordinance No. 71, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the south sidewalk of New York street, between Tennessee and Mississippi streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 76, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Clifford avenue and sidewalks, from Massachusetts avenue to the corporation line east,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 77, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for bowldering the gutter on the north side of Georgia street, between Meridian and Pennsylvania streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative — Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 79, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the east sidewalk of Meridian street, between South street and Pogue's run; and repealing an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance to pave with brick the sidewalks of Meridian street, between Pogue's run and South street," passed May 25th, 1871,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative — Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kinnington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 80, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the sidewalks of East street, between South and Morris streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative — Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 83, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick, and curbing with stone, the west sidewalk of Mississippi street, from Washington to Maryland streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 84, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick, and curbing with stone, the east sidewalk of Tennessee street, from Mobile alley to Louisiana street, and for bowldering the gutter with bowlder stones,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 85, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading, paving with brick, and curbing with stone, the south sidewalk of South street, between Illinois and Tennessee streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 88, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for bowldering the gutters of North street between Pennsylvania and Delaware streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 90, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the north sidewalk of Maryland street, between Meridien street and Virginia avenue,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilman Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 91, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and bowldering the alley running north and south through square 76, from Maryland street to Chesapeake alley,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 74, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley west of Virginia avenue, and running north and south from Stevens street to the first alley north of Stevens street,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative — Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 75, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the south sidewalk of North street, between Delaware and Alabama streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative — Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 73, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Hosbrook street and sidewalks, between Grove street and the corporation line,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative — Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 78, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the alley run-

ning east and west, between New York and Vermont, and from Noble to Spring streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative — Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 89, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading, paving with brick, and curbing with stone, the west sidewalk of Pennsylvania street, between First street and the north side of Second street,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 104, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for paving with brick the west sidewalk of Mississippi street, between Vermont and Michigan streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kinnington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 101, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the

north sidewalk of Louisiana street, between Illinois and Tennessee streets, except so much thereof as has already been paved,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 102, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Smith street and sidewalks, from Indiana avenue to the first alley south of Maria street,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1

Special Ordinance No. 100, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel the alley running north and south through outlot 123, between Merrill street and the first alley south thereof,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 99, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel the alley running east and west through outlot 26,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 98, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel the alley running north and south between lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, and lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18, in outlot 26,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 97, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel the alley running north and south through outlot 26, and on west side of lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of said outlot,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 94, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel Merrill street, between Meridian and Illinois streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 95, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and gravel Merrill street, between Illinois and Tennessee streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 106, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to bowlder Gibson alley, from Mississippi street to Osage alley,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 105, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to bowlder the east end of the first alley south of Washington street, between Alabama and Delaware streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

Special Ordinance No. 104, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to bowlder first alley east of Delaware street, between Pearl and Maryland streets,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—14.

Negative—None.

Special Ordinance No. 107, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to grade and pave with brick the west sidewalk of Alabama street, between Market street and Massachusetts avenue,

Was read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Craft, Heckman, Kennington, Marsee, Newman, Reagan, Thalman, Thoms, Whitsit and Wiles—13.

Negative—Councilman Woodburn—1.

The following entitled Ordinances were read the third time, and, on motion, stricken from the files :

Special Ordinance No. 31, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the south sidewalk of Market street, between Alabama and East streets.

Special Ordinance No. 81, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling [the alley running from Massachusetts avenue to Michigan street, through a part of square No. 1.

Mr. Newman moved to adjourn.

Which was not adopted.

On motion by Mr. Batty, the rules were suspended for the purpose of calling the roll of members for the presentation of new business.

Mr. Batty offered the following motions :

Motion: That the City Civil Engineer be instructed to survey and report to this Council the cheapest and most practical way of draining the water from Brookside and Clifford avenues.

Motion: That the Street Commissioner notify the President of the Water-works Company to replace the bowlders and clean up the street where they have put down the pipes on North Pennsylvania street, north of St. Clair, and if the same is not done within ten days from the date of said notice the Street Commissioner have the same done at the expense of the Water-works Company.

Which were adopted.

Mr. Bigham offered the following motions :

Motion: That Samuel Drew, Wm. Smith, F. Baggs, J. F. Hill, and Gates & Prey and Wm. Smith, have permission to bowlder the alley running north and south between Market and Ohio at their own expense and under the direction of the City Civil Engineer, and the City Engineer is hereby directed to set the grade stakes; the work to be done inside sixty days from this date.

Motion: That the owners of the property on North Alabama street

between Market and Ohio streets be compelled to place guards around the opening on either side of the building leading to the cellar of said building, and that the City Marshal is hereby directed to notify said property owners of the passage of this resolution; and if they refuse to fix said openings within thirty days, that the City Marshal is directed to fix the same and collect by law, if necessary, with ten per cent. damages.

Motion: That the Chief of Police instruct the Police force to arrest any engineer violating the ordinance regulating the speed of engines and trains running through the city.

Which were adopted.

Mr. Bigham presented the following petition:

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

We the undersigned expressmen, carriage and hack drivers of the aforesaid city, respectfully represent to your honorable body that we are (under section 3 of an ordinance regulating the hiring of public hacks, carriages and express wagons, granting persons the privilege of taking out license for a less period than one year) greatly inconvenienced, and thrown out of a great amount of work which justly belongs to us, by reason of persons coming in from the country and from near the outskirts of the city on such occasions as the State Fair and Indianapolis Fair, and taking out license for three months (the shortest time allowed), and proceed to run their hacks, carriages, and wagons during the entire time that the said Fairs last, thereby robbing us of the very harvest of our business.

We, therefore, in order that we may avoid the annoyance of the aforesaid persons, and, in a measure, prevent them from interference with our business in this manner, respectfully petition your honorable body to repeal the proviso or amended portion of the aforesaid section, which passed July 18, 1864, granting persons the privilege of taking out license for a less period than one year, as aforesaid, and make the time for granting license one year, and no less.

WM. M'KENZIE,
FRANK M'KERNAN,
CONRAD BURK,
SIMON LICARD,
and 49 others.

Which was received.

Mr. Brown offered the following motion :

Motion: That the City Clark be required to report to the Council the amount, if any, collected on notes given by James G. Douglass, Charles Richmann and S. A. Butterfield, the sureties on the official bond of Cyrus S. Butterfield, late City Clerk, for the amount agreed to be paid by them, on a compromise made with the Council, for said Cyrus S. Butterfield's defalcation; and if any part of the said notes are unpaid, to state what amount, with the names of those who have not paid, and the dates of maturity of such notes.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Craft presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN: We would respectfully call your attention to the urgent necessity that exists for the opening of Michigan street between Winston and Dorman streets, and to request that you take immediate action to have the same so opened.

JAMES M. KING,
J. C. ADAMS,
JOHN L. HANNA,
N. N. DAVIDSON,
S. L. DAVIDSON.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Craft presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN; The undersigned, owners of the real estate fronting on the north side of New York street, between Tennessee and Delaware streets, respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance providing for the grading and paving with brick the said north sidewalk on New York street, from Tennessee to Delaware

street, nine feet wide on the inside. And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

S. C. HANNA,
Chairman of Board of Trustees of Baptist Church.
WM. Y. WILEY,
per John C. Wright.
A. C. MARTIN,
Clerk of Board of Trustees First Baptist Church.

Which was received.

Dr. Woodburn introduced Special Ordinance No. 110, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the sidewalks of New York street, between Delaware and Tennessee streets,

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Craft introduced General Ordinance No. 50, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance authorizing the C., C. and I. Railroad Company to construct a railroad track across New York street, Biddle street and the first alley south of Biddle street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Craft offered the following motion :

Motion : That E. King be permitted to lay down a railroad track, in extension of his switch, across the extreme end of New York street; he to comply with all the requirements of the ordinances in relation to laying down railroad tracks and switches on streets.

Which was referred to the Committee on Railroads.

Mr. Cottrell introduced General Ordinance No. 51, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance prohibiting the loading and unloading of freight cars on the first day of the week, commonly called Sunday, in the city of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Cottrell offered the following motion ;

Moved: That Samuel Lefevre and Samuel Hanway be now instructed to complete their contract on Virginia avenue between Pogue Run and South street.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Heckman offered the following motion :

Moved: That James M. Buchanan be allowed to build a stairway in the alley, on the east side of East street, between Washington street and the P. and I. Railroad.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Heckman presented the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN: The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on East street, between Washington street and Pogue Run, respectfully remonstrate against the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and bowldering of East street to Pogue Run, from Washington. We desire you to postpone the said improvement until next spring, when we will be in favor of having the same done. And your remonstrants will ever pray, etc.

JOHN P. FRENZEL,
FREDERICK OSTEMEYER,
A. CHEVATIES.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Heckman offered the following motion :

Motion: That Mr. L. Dipple be and is permitted to grade and pave

with brick the sidewalk in front of his property on the corner of Virginia avenue and the old South Corporation line; that the Civil Engineer be and is hereby directed to set the proper grade stakes; that the above said work be done within sixty days, at his own expense.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Heckman offered the following motion :

Motion: That Mr. Banhard Zahm and John Brake be and are hereby permitted to grade the alley on the side of their property, between Cady and the old Corporation line, and between Georgia and Bates streets; that the Civil Engineer be and is hereby directed to set the proper grade stakes; the above said work to be done within sixty days, at their own expense.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Heckman presented the following bill :

INDIANAPOLIS, Sept. 6, 1871.

City Council of Indianapolis, Dr. to Dr. H. Rees.

Aug. 27, 1871.—2 visits to Station House.....	\$3.00
May 28, " 1 visit to "	1.50
June 27, " 2 visits to "	3.00
July 3, " 1 visit to "	1.50
" 4, " 2 visits to "	3.50
" 5, " 1 visit to "	1.50

Which was referred to the Board of Police.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

Motion: That the Chief of Police be requested to notify his men to file a complaint against all persons (after giving them due notice to comply with the ordinance relating to the matter) who make a nuisance by allowing waste water to flow into gutters from pumps on our public streets.

Also to direct them to enforce the ordinance against parties dropping stone from wagons on our streets, and suffering them to remain there.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

Moved: That Lewis Metesser be granted permission to pave with brick, in front of his property, on Michigan street between Mississippi and Missouri street—south side. Said work to be done within sixty days, and under the direction of the Civil Engineer.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

Motion: That E. Chandler be allowed to grade and cover with cinder the alley on the west side of his residence, on West Vermont street, between West and California streets, and that the City Civil Engineer be directed to set the grade stakes for the same.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

Motion: That Peter Rooker be allowed to dig a well and put in a pump in front of his property, corner of St. Clair street and Indiana avenue, he complying with the ordinance governing the same.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

WHEREAS, According to report from the Market Master, the purchaser of the West Market House has taken away some thirty benches not included in the sale ; it is, therefore,

Moved, That the City Attorney be instructed to notify said party to return or pay for said benches, otherwise that suit will be brought against him for the value of the same.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Thalman presented the following communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 7, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN: An ordinance was introduced by McSheppard last

winter instructing or ordering the Vincennes Railroad Company to raise their track with the grade of the street, (Kentucky avenue,) from South West street to commencement of their bridge over White River.

As we are informed, said ordinance was passed, and the Street Commissioner instructed to notify said Railroad Company with the provisions of said ordinance, which has not been complied with.

You will notice, during rains, that said railroad carries off as much if not more water than the sewer. Further, it is a great annoyance to us, being much lower than the grade of the street, and as our switch is built with the grade of the street, causes us to run our cars up hill, with a very steep ascent, much to the trouble not only of us but all cars placed thereon. You will confer a great favor on us by bringing this matter before the Council, or proper authorities, at once.

Very respectfully,

INDIANAPOLIS GLASS WORKS CO.,

By Prather.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Wiles offered the following motion :

Motion : That the report of the City Gas Inspector, made to the Council August 14th, 1871, be referred back to said officer, with instructions to report the same by squares.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Wiles offered the following motion :

Motion : That the Street Commissioner be instructed to open the gutter on south side of First street, so as to run the water from the east side of Pennsylvania to Illinois street.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Wiles offered the following motion :

Motion : That the public gas lamps on North East street, between Massachusetts avenue and St. Clair street, and including the lamp on the northeast corner of East and St. Clair streets, be re-set so as to conform to the ordinance regulating the erection of public gas

lamps; the Indianapolis Gas Light and Coke Company to do the work under the direction of the City Gas Inspector.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Wiles offered the following motion :

Motion: That the Civil Engineer be directed to take the levels of Brookside avenue and Archer street, and report to this Council the feasibility of draining said streets and intersections down Archer street into Pogue Run.

Which was referred to the Civil Engineer.

Mr. Newman offered the following motion :

Motion: That the Street Commissioner be instructed to put in flag-stone crossings over New York and Vermont streets, on the east side of Pennsylvania street; also a similar crossing over Pennsylvania street, at the north side of Vermont street.

Which was adopted.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN—We, the undersigned property holders, would respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance to grade and gravel the alley running north and south from Michigan to North street, through square No. 8, in the City of Indianapolis.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

J. H. ROSS,
CHAS. JOHN,
J. H. HILL,
J. A. FURGUSON,
JOHN I. MORRISON.

Which was received.

Dr. Woodburn introduced General Ordinance No. 111, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Roanoke alley, between Michigan and North streets.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, September 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

We, the undersigned property holders on Second street, Indianapolis, respectfully ask the privilege of grading, graveling, and improving said street between Tennessee and Illinois; the same to be done under the direction of the City Civil Engineer.

P. A. LYNN,
E. C. HILL,
W. F. JENKINS.
J. H. ROSS,
E. A. HALL.

Which was received.

Dr. Woodburn introduced Special Ordinance No. 112, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Second street and sidewalks, between Illinois and Tennessee streets.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Woodburn introduced Special Appropriation Ordinance No. 49, 1871, entitled :

An Ordinance appropriating money to pay the damages arising from widening Tinker street from Illinois street to the Michigan road.

Which, under a suspension of the rules, was read the first, second and third times, and passed by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Batty, Bigham, Brown, Heckman, Marsee, Newman, Thalman, Thoms, Wiles and Woodburn—10.

Negative—Councilmen Craft and Kennington—2.

Dr. Woodburn introduced Special Ordinance No. 113, 1871, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Tinker street and sidewalks, from the east side of Meridian street to the Michigan road or Central canal.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Woodburn introduced General Ordinance No. 52, 1871, entitled:

An Ordinance to provide for building a sewer on Illinois street, from Washington street to Second street.

Which was read the first time and referred to the Committee on Sewers.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition:

INDIANAPOLIS, Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN: The undersigned respectfully asks permission from your honorable body to erect a lamp post in front of his place of business, No. 30 West Washington street. The gas to be furnished for the same, and to be lighted and extinguished at the petitioner's own expense.

Very respectfully,

R. R. PARKER.

Which was granted.

Dr. Woodburn offered the following motion :

Motion: That the Trustees of the First Baptist Church have permission to bowlder the gutter seven feet in width, and curb the outside edge of the sidewalk with stone, on the north side of New York street, from Pennsylvania street to the first alley east of Pennsylvania street, the same to be done within sixty days, and the Civil Engineer is hereby directed to set the grade stakes for the same.

Which was adopted.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 9, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN: We, the property holders and merchants doing business on square No. 10, on Indiana avenue, between West street and the canal, humbly ask your honorable body to have the two lamp posts situated on said avenue lighted. Within the last few years new business has sprung up on the avenue, and goods left exposed on the sidewalk are in danger of being taken, owing to the darkness of said avenue. The said lamp posts have not been lit for the last three years.

J. M. COOPER,
B. A. WILSON,
W. A. MAY,
And 25 others.

Which was received.

Dr. Woodburn offered the following motion :

Motion: That the Committee on Gas Lights be directed to examine the first square west of the Canal, and, if in their judgment it shall be deemed important for the safety of life and property, said committee shall have the power to order one of the two dismantled lamps, now standing on said square, to be again fitted up and used.

Which was adopted.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept. 6, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN: We the undersigned respectfully petition your honorable body to extend Cumberland alley, from its present eastern terminus, through out-lots 83 and 84, to Liberty street, to a width of 12 or 15 feet.

CHARLES RICHMANN,
J. J. RAMSAY.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., Sept 5, 1871.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN—Your petitioners would respectfully represent to your honorable body, that they are engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling corn starch in the city of Indianapolis, and that heretofore on the — day of June, 1871, your honorable body by a vote required the Marshal of the city of Indianapolis to notify us that we should cease emptying the refuse from our Starch Factory into what is commonly known as Pogues Run, and that afterwards by a vote of your honorable body we were allowed the privilege of using Pogues Run till about the 8th day of July, 1871, since which time we have not used our factory for manufacturing purposes. They further represent that they are desirous of commencing work for the fall and winter, and for that purpose they respectfully petition your honorable body to allow us to empty the refuse from our factory into Pogues Run from the 20th day of September, 1871, until the heated term of 1872 shall make it advisable to cease active operations, or until the completion of a sewer running East and West along Washington street, or some other street North of Washington street, and in support of their petition they would respectfully but earnestly urge the following considerations, viz :

The capital employed in the Union Starch Factory, amounts to the sum of fifty thousand dollars, and employs while running from fifty to sixty hands. Should your honorable body see fit to deny us the privilege of oderating, all the workmen engaged in our factory would be thrown out of employment, and be compelled to seek em-

ployment either at some other occupation or in some other place. In order to fill the places that these workmen now hold, it is necessary for them to have experience in the business, and as we can use none but experienced hands, we are compelled to pay, and our employees receive larger pay than they could obtain in other lines, so that if our factory is closed the workmen employed there would have only the two alternatives of working here for less pay or seeking the chances of getting employment in other city, as this is the only place in or near Indianapolis where work of this kind is done.

Our building was erected to be used solely as a Starch Factory, and if we are compelled to cease operations in that line, it would entail a serious if not ruinous loss to the proprietors and their capital of fifty thousand dollars, as the building being so constructed would be comparatively valueless for any other purposes.

Your petitioners would further represent that when they were discussing the propriety of entering upon this business and of erecting their factory, in the summer of 1867, the then Common Council of this city, your predecessors in office, being not unmindful of the advantages that factories and the manufacture of articles of export bring with them, and being desirous to secure these for their city, as a consideration to induce these your petitioners to erect their factory here, offered to, and did, give us the privilege of emptying our refuse matter into Pogues run. Your petitioners acting upon this and other considerations erected their factory and used it for more than a year, until the 28th day of October, 1868, when their building was destroyed by fire.

Your petitioners represent that after their loss by fire, having found that the spot that they had occupied was a very desirable locality, both on account of its resources to the Rail Roads, and, also especially on account of the water obtained there, which is better suited to their purposes than any other they had or have been able to find in the vicinity of the city, took steps to erect another building on a larger scale than before; and they say that although it was well known to the Council of this city that their building was destroyed, and that they were intending to rebuild, yet they took no steps whatever to prevent or in any way interfere with their plans, nor did they in any way express to these petitioners, nor to any one else as far as they could learn, any disapprobation to their intended rebuilding. And they further show that although they had been in operation more than a year, and although it was well known to the city at large, and more especially to those living in their immediate vicinity that they had been so operating, and that their building had

been destroyed by fire and that they were intending to rebuild, yet there was no expression, as far as they could or can learn, of disapprobation, but on the contrary there was a strong expression of sympathy with them and a desire that they should not cease operations; so decided was this feeling that a petition was circulated and signed by over two hundred of the prominent citizens residing mostly in the vicinity of their factory, requesting them to rebuild their factory, and they say that relying upon this feeling in their favor, and believing as they had a right to believe from the action of the Common Council that they still favored their factory, they proceeded to and did rebuild their factory on a larger and more extensive basis than before, wherefore in view of all these facts they respectfully request that they may have permission to use Pogues Run from the 20th of September, by which time cooler weather will have set in, until such time as your honorable body may direct, and your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

UNION STARCH FACTORY,
W. F. Piel, Superintendent and Manager.

Which was referred to a Select Committee composed of Councilmen Marsee, Newman, Thoms, Brown and Cottrell.

His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, offered the following motion:

Motion: That David Streif, contractor for removal of dead animals, be at once required to procure and use ground outside of the city for the disposal of dead animals, and to cease using the old grounds within the city limits.

The City Marshal to notify Mr. Streif, and enforce the above motion.

Which was adopted.

On motion, the Council adjourned.

DANIEL MACAULEY.

Mayor.

ATTEST:

JOHN R. CLINTON,

City Clerk.