POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL

Monday, June 14, 1976

A Postponed Regular Meeting of the City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, convened in Council Chambers of the City-County Building at 7:35 p.m., Monday, June 14, 1976, President SerVaas in the chair. Councilman Boyd opened the meeting with a prayer, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

President SerVaas instructed the Clerk to take the roll. Twenty-six members being present, he announced a quorum.

PRESENT: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

ABSENT: Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden and Mrs. Hart.

CALL FOR POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING

The President called for the reading of Special Notices and the Clerk read the following:

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF INDIANAPOLIS-MARION COUNTY:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that there will be a POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING of the City-County Council held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers on June 14, 1976, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETING being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before a regular meeting of the Council.

Respectfully,

Beurt SerVaas, President City-County Council

CORRECTION OF JOURNAL

President SerVaas called for additions or corrections to the Journal of June 1, 1976, as distributed. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved, as distributed.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

President SerVaas called for reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

June 8, 1976

TO THE HONOR ABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the Indianapolis Commercial and the Indianapolis News on June 3, 1976, and June 10, 1976, a "Notice to Taxpayers" on Proposal Nos. 225, 226, 227, 229, 230, 231, 233, 235, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242 1976, for a Public Hearing to be held on Monday, June 14, 1976, at 7:00 p.m., in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,

Beverly S. Rippy City Clerk

June 8, 1976

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following City-County Ordinances:

GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 16, 1976 authorizing expenditure of Ninety Thousand dollars (\$90,000) by the Department of Metropolitan Development in anticipation of a federal grant under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 71, 1976 further amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

Respectfully,

William H. Hudnut, III Mayor

PRESENTATIONS OF PETITIONS

Councilman Walters presented Proposal No. 255,1976, to the Council, with the request that it be adopted as a Special Resolution. Councilman Walters read the Proposal wishing Mr. Eddie Love good luck in his participation in the Mr. America Contest. Mr. Love and his wife were introduced to the Council.

Proposal No. 255, 1976, was adopted by unanimous voice vote and retitled Special Resolution No. 12, 1976, which reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 12, 1976

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION wishing Eddie Love of Indianapolis "Good Luck" in the Mr. America Contest.

WHEREAS, Eddie Love is a resident of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana; and

WHEREAS, the "Mr. America" Contest will be held in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on the 20th day of June, 1976; and

WHEREAS, Eddie Love will participate in the "Mr. America" Contest and will in effect be representing the City of Indianapolis, now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED by the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and of Marion County, Indiana, that we wish Eddie Love "Good Luck" in the "Mr. America" Contest.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Councilthis 14th day of June, 1976.

Councilman Clark requested that Proposal No. 175, 1976, As Amended, be advanced forward on the agenda. By consent, the Proposal was advanced. Councilman Clark spoke regarding the appointments contained in the Proposal and requested Council adoption.

Proposal No. 175, 1976, Committee Commendation, As Amended, was adopted by unanimous voice vote and retitled Council Resolution No. 8, 1976, which reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 8, 1976

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing members to the Advisory Council to the Office of Youth Development.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council does hereby appoint the following persons to the Advisory Council to the Office of Youth Development:

Adults: Father William F. Morley (1 year term)

Mrs. Henry J. Baltz, Jr. (2 year term)
Youths: Miss Paige McGuire (2 year term)
Mr. Davie Finnell (1 year term)

Mr. Larry W. Muncie (2 year term)

SECTION 2. This Resolution shall be in full fowce and effect from and after its adoption.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Councilman Clark then introduced to the Council some of the new appointees who were present in Council Chambers.

INTROUDCTION OF GUESTS

Councilman Walters introduced his wife and four sons who were in the audience.

Councilman Clark introduced Larry Muncie, Lenora Bruce and Rene DeForno of Warren Township. He then requested others to stand who were interested in Proposal No. 224, 1976. Approximately 12 people stood.

Councilman Vollmer introduced members of the Fairfax Block Club who were also present to hear discussion of Proposal No. 224, 1976.

Councilman Durnil introduced Robert Cope, Precinct Committeeman.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

Proposal No. 243, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 244, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana;' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, 29-136, 29-137 and 29-267, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 245, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, 29-136 and 29-267, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 246-254, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Durnil. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for Rezoning Ordinances certified from the Metropolitan Plan Commission on June 3, 1976;" and the President referred them the the Committee of the Whole to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption.

Proposal No. 256, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Dowden. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Two Hundred Twelve Thousand Five Hundred Ten Dollars (\$212,510.00) in the County Welfare and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund," and the President referred it to the County and Townships Committee.

Proposal No. 257, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Twenty-Six Thousnad Two Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars and Fifty-Eight Cents (\$26,289.58) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Cooprative Extension Service and County Auditor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund," and the President referred it to the County and Townships Committee.

Proposal No. 258, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Tintera. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a Special Ordinance of the City of Indianapolis authorizing the final terms of the financing of economic development facilities, the issuance and sale of Revenue Bonds and the loaning of the proceeds thereof to Paper Manufacturers Company and other matters relating thereto," and the President referred it to the Economic Development Committee.

Proposal No. 259, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Durnil. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a General Resolution approving the 1977 Capital Expenditure Budget/Program for Marion County, the Consolidated City of Indianapolis, certain other municipal corporations located in Marion County, and certain agencies of the judiciary of Marion County, whose budgets are subject to review by the City-County Council, restricting capital expenditure for 1977 to those items and improvements listed in said Capital Expenditure Budget for added thereto by amendment, and establishing procedures for amendment of said Capital Expenditure Budget," and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

Proposal No. 260, 1976. Introduced by Councilman West. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance creating the law Enforcement Training Revolving Fund and appropriating the amount of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$3,700.00) received as reimbursement from the Indiana Law Enforcement Training Board, for purposes of law enforcement training by the Indianapolis-Marion County Law Enforcement Training Academy, a branch of the Indianapolis Police Department," and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

Proposal No. 261, 1976. Introduced by Councilman West. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Thirteen Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars and FifteenCents (\$13,656.15) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion

County Sheriff and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund," and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

Proposal No. 262, 1976. Introduced by Councilman West. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Forty-Four Thousand Four Hundred Forty-Four Dollars and Forty-Four Cents (\$44,444.44) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund." and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

Proposal No. 263,1976. Introduced by Councilman West. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and transferring and appropriating an additional One Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Two Dollars (\$1,722.00) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Criminal Court Probation Department and reducing certain other appropriations for that department," and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

Proposal No. 264, 1976. Introduced by Councilman West. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Fifty-Two Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy-Eight Dollars and Seventy-Five Cents (\$52,978.75) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund," and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

Proposal No. 265, 1976. Introduced by Councilman McPherson. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, and more particularly Chapter 4, Air Pollution Control," and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

Proposal No. 266, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Tinder. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending Chapter 23, Article II, Sec, 23-27 of the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," and the president referred it to the Rules and Policy Committee.

Propoasl No. 267, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the

Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana', and more particularly Chapter 28, Streets and Sidewalks and Other Public Ways, and Article II thereof, Standards for Acceptance of Improvements of Public Ways, by adding a new Section establishing procedures for establishment of a land acquisition agreement for construction of auxiliary lanes between the Department of Transportation and Developer;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 268, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending Section 17-638 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," increasing the fares charged by taxicabs;" and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

MODIFICATIONS OF SPECIAL ORDERS

Councilman Cantwell moved, seconded by Councilman Clark, to advance Proposal Nos. 224, 242 and 199, 1976, forward on the agenda. By consent, the Proposals were advanced.

Councilman Boyd moved, seconded by Councilwoman Journey, that the City-County Council hold a public hearing on the petition and request for censure of comments made by Lt. Robert H. Stark, such hearing to be scheduled for July 12, 1976.

Discussion of the motion followed, after which the motion failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

10 AYES: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Pearce and Mr. Walters.

15 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera and Mr. West.

(Mr. Vollmer did not vote.)

Councilman Gilmer moved, seconded to Councilman Kimbell, to advance Proposal No. 236, 1976, forward on the agenda to be heard under Special Orders - Public Hearing. By consent, the Proposal was advanced.

Proposal No. 224, 1976. President SerVaas announced this was not a public hearing, but that the Proposal was open for debate by Council.

Councilman Clark spoke regarding the Proposal, after which Councilman Cantwell moved, seconded by Councilman Vollmer, the Previous Question. The motion carried by voice vote.

The Previous Question being called, Proposal No. 224, 1976, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. 2 NOES: Mr. Howard and Mr. Schneider. (Mr. Gilmer did not vote.)

Following discussion, Councilman West moved, seconded by Councilman Walters, to reconsider Proposal No. 224, 1976. The motion to reconsider was carried by voice vote.

Councilman Clark then moved, seconded by Councilman Tintera, to strike Proposal No. 224, 1976, as introduced and substitute therefor Proposal No. 224, 1976, Committee Recommendation. The motion to strike was carried by unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Tintera moved, seconded by Councilman Cantwell, the Previous Question on Proposal No. 224, 1976, Committee Recommendation. The Question being called, Proposal No. 224, 1976, Committee Recommendation was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. Walters.
3 NOES: Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey and Mr. West.
(Mr. Bayt did not vote.)

Proposal No. 224, 1976, Committee Recommendation, was retitled General Ordinance No. 74, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 74, 1976

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the provisions for licensing and operation of amusement locations; amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Chapter

17, Article VI and VII, Section 17-184 through 17-191 and Section 17-219 through 17-223.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Chapter 17, Articles VI and VII, be and are hereby amended, by deleting the cross-hatched portions and inserting the underlined portions, so as to read as follows, to wit:

ARTICLE VI. AMUSEMENT LOCATIONS

Sec. 17-184. Definitions.

Whenever used in this article, the following words or phrases shall be defined as herein stated:

Amusement location means any public room or area where containing five (5) or more amusement machines or pool or billard tables are located and of which three-fourths or more gross income collected in said room or area is derived from the use of said amusement machines or pool or billard tables and of which said room or area is not under the jurisdiction of the Indiana State Alcoholic Beverage Commission or said room or area is maintained by or for a benevolent, religious, educational, civic, patriotic, fraternal, or philanthropic organization or purpose.

Amusement machine means any machine or device, designed or modified to be operated by any coin, coins or token, or for which charge is made for the operation thereof, including pool or billiard tables, the purpose or function of which is to provide music or amusement in public places. Such a machine or device designed and used exclusively for the vending of merchandise of a tangible nature shall not be deemed an amusement machine.

Fook-or-billard table-means a table used for any-form-of the games commonly referred to as pool-or-billiards and-includes any-table of any-size, the top of which is surrounded by an elastic-ledge-or-cushion and which is designed or used to play any game which consists of impelling-balls-by-means-of-sticks-or-cues-and-which is not operated by any-coin, soins-or-tokens.

Sec. 17-185. Unlawful Acts.

Whenever used in this article, the following acts shall be deemed to be unlawful as herein stated:

- (a) It shall be unlawful to own or operate an amusement location, or any location as stated in this Article, without an amusement location license issued by the city controller.
- (b) It shall be unlawful to allow to be operated in any public place any amusement machine without an Amusement Machine License issued by the city controller.
- (c) No person who has not reached the age of sixteen (16) years shall be permitted to be present in a-pool-or-billard-room an amusement location during the daytime hours in which public schools are in session, or after the hours established by state statute or city-ordinance or juvenile ourfew unless accompanied by a parent or legal guardian.
- (d) No person who has not reached the age of eighteen (18) years shall be permitted to be present in an amusement location after the hours established by state statute or city ordinance for juvenile curfew unless accompanied by a parent or legal guardian.

Sec. 17-186. Application for licenses.

The application for a license to own or operate an amusement location shall contain the following information and be signed individually under penalties of perjury for false information on the application.

- (a) Name of applicant and, if a partnership or corporation, the state in which organized,
- (b) Residence address of applicant
- (c) Business address of applicant
- (d) The age and citizenship of the applicant, if an individual; of all partners, if the applicant is a partnership or joint venture; or of the manager and officers, if the applicant is a corporation
- (e) The street address of the premises to be licensed
- (f) The name and residence address of the owner of the premises proposed for licensing
- (g) The location, and time and duration of any other amusement location operated by the applicant presently or at any previous time, and whether such license was revoked
- (h) The number of pool or billiard tables and amusement machines that are to be located on the premises to be licensed
- (i) The name of the manager or operator if said person is not the applicant
- (j) The name and address of the master vendor or vendors.

Sec. 17-187. License fee.

The annual license fee for each amusement location shall be determined as follows:

- (a) Seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) plus
- (b) Ten dollars (\$10.00) for the first pool--or-billiard table--or amusement machine located on the licensed premises; plus:
- (c) Five dollars (\$5.00) for the second and each additional pool-or-billard table-or amusement machine located on the licensed premises.

Sec 17-188 Operations.

- (a) All amusement locations shall be kept in a clean, healthful and sanitary condition at all times and the city controller shall have the power to determine if such room or rooms are kept in sanitary condition and for such purpose, when desired, have the assistance of the administrator or the division of buildings and health and hospital corporation of Marion County. If said controller shall determine after investigation by the division of buildings or the health and hospital corporation of Marion County, that an unsanitary condition exists within to pool or billard room, an amusement location or on property immediately adjacent to the amusement location, which property is under the control of the amusement location owners or their lessee or lessor, he shall have the power to suspend the pool of the amusement location owners or their lessee or lessor, he shall have the power to suspend the pool of the suspendiately condition is rectified.
- (b) No licensee under this article shall permit persons to congregate in a disturbing manner or to commit unlawful acts within said amusement location or on parking areas or other property immediately adjacent to or normally used for purposes of parking for said amusement location, which property is under the control of the amusement location owner or owners or their lessee or lessor.

Sec. 17-189. Investigation, rejection, notification.

The controller, before issuing a license, shall investigate the character of the applicant or applicants, and the officers or general manager of the business. Each licensee shall have an owner, manager or resident agent who shall be a resident of Marion County, Indiana. The license may be denied if the controller shall find that any of the persons named in the application have previously been convicted of a felony, connected with any amusement location where the license has been revoked, or where any of the provisions of the law, applicable to him, have been violated, or if the amusement location or billiard

or pool room sought to be licensed does not comply in every way with the ordinances and laws applicable thereto. All employees of the licensee shall be eighteen (18) years of age or older. If an application is denied, the applicant for such permit shall be notified in writing or the reasons for rejection and shall have the right to appeal accorded by this chapter.

Sec. 17-190. Police Inspections.

It shall be the duty of every police officer and all persons designated by the Chief of Police, Sheriff, or City Controller, to report any violation of law which occurs at any amusement location.

ARTICLE VII. AMUSEMENT MACHINES

Sec. 17-219. Definitions.

Whenever used in this article, the following words or phrases shall be defined as herein stated:

Amusement machine means any machine or device designed or modified to be which is operated by any coin, coins, or token or for which charge is made for the operation thereof, including pool or billiard tables, the purpose of which is to provide music or amusement in public places. primarilly for music or amusement purposes that is not. Such a machine or device used exclusively for the vending of merchandise of a tangible nature shall not be deemed an amusement machine.

Master vendor means a person, corporation or entity who sells, leases or rents any amusement machine, whether on his own behalf or for another, within the city.

Sec. 17-220. Licenses required.

- (a) Amusement machine license. It shall be unlawful for any owner to display, exhibit or expose or permit to be displayed, exposed or exhibited any amusement machine without having procured from the city controller a license for each amusement machine.
- (b) Master vendor's license. It shall be unlawful for any person, corporation or entity to act as a master vendor without a master vendor's license issued by the city controller. A master vendor's license shall not be transferrable.

Sec. 17-221. Application for license.

The application for an amusement machine license or master vendor's license shall be made in such form and contain such information as the city controller may prescribe.

Sec. 17-222. License term; fees; insignia; condition of machines.

The annual license fee shall be for the period of July first to June thirtieth, and shall be determined as follows:

Amusement machine license, per machine per annum Master vendor's license, per annum

\$5.00 \$500.00

Each person, upon procuring a license from the controller, shall be given one metal or plastic insignia for each amusement machine so licensed, which shall be securely attached thereto, and each amusement machine shall be kept in good operating condition at all times.

Sec. 17-223. Inspection: report of violations.

It shall be the duty of every police officer, and all persons designated by the chief of

police, <u>county sheriff</u>, and <u>city</u> controller, to make frequent inspections of all such amusement machines, and if any gaming, improper or unlawful practices are observed in the use thereof, to report the same to the chief of police or county sheriff, for proper action and also the city controller, who thereupon may recommend proceedings to revoke such licenses, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.

Sec. 17-224. Restrictions on age of persons who may play certain amusement pinball machines.

- (a) For the purpose of this section, the following terms shall take the meanings: ascribed
- -(f)-Exhibitor shall mean any person owning or conducting a place of business in the city and operating or exhibiting at such place of business one (1) or more amusement pinball machines at such place of business which are designed to register a score.

(2) Pinball machines shall mean and include pinball machines, marble machines and and like and similar amusement devices operated by the insertion of a coin, dick, or other token, and which registers or may register a secretar the insertion of such coin disk or other token, the shall met include a cin operated vending machines, must machines or motion picture machines.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any exhibitor to permit a minor under nineteen (19) years of the age of sixteen (16) years of age to play a pinhall an amusement machine on the premises of the exhibitor's place of business unless the minor child is accompanied by his or her parent or legal quardian.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

SPECIAL ORDERS-PUBLIC HEARING

Proposal No. 242, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:22 p.m. and reconvened at 8:33 p.m. Following public hearing and discussion of the Proposal, Councilman Tinder moved, seconded by Councilman Tintera, to amend Proposal No. 242, 1976, as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that Proposal No. 242, 1976, be amended as follows:

In Section 6, make the following changes:

- (a) In line 12 after the word "accomplished" insert a period and delete the remainder of line 12.
- (b) Delete lines 13 through 35 in their entirety.

The motion to amend was carried by voice vote.

Following further discussion during which Councilman Tinder spoke regarding Proposal No. 242, 1976, As Amended, Proposal No. 242, 1976, As Amended, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

20 AYES: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

5 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. McPherson and Mr. Schneider.

(Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

Several Council members explained their vote.

Proposal No. 242, 1976, As Amended, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 54, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 54,1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of County Administrative Office of the Mayor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund, appropriating Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) in State Revenue Sharing Trust Fund for use of County General Fund, and establishing conditions for poor relief advances.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Sections 6 and 12 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of authorizing advances for Center Township poor relief, financed from State Revenue Sharing Trust Fund upon the conditions stated in this ordinance.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF MAYOR COUNTY GENERAL FUND

24. Current Charges Poor Relief Advance, Center Township TOTAL INCREASES

\$250,000.00 \$250,000.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered County General Fund **TOTAL REDUCTIONS**

\$250,000.00 \$250,000.00

SECTION 5. The sum of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) from the revenues anticipated as a distribution from the State of Indiana pursuant to Section 5 of the Public Law 343, 1975, (State Revenue Sharing Trust Fund) be and is hereby appropriated by amending Section 12 of the "City-County Annual Budget for 1976" by adding an additional subsection as follows:

"(c) Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) to the County General Fund for the purpose of advances to the Center Township Poor Relief Fund." SECTION 6. As a condition of the advance to Center Township Poor Relief authorized by this ordinance, the Center Township Trustee and Advisory Board are required to reallocate the budget for Center Township Poor Relief pursuant to I.C. 12-2-4 and I.C. 6-1.1-18 so as to transfer Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) from Administrative Expenses to other Direct Relief, to so appropriate the Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) to be advanced for use for other Direct Relief, and to further reduce appropriations for Administrative expenses so as to balance total appropriations with anticipated revenues. The Mayor and Auditor shall determine, prior to authorizing a warrant for payment of the advance appropriated in Section 3 of this ordinance, that the foregoing changes in the Center Township Poor Relief have been accomplished.

SECTION 7. It is the intention of this Council that none of the provisions of this ordinance are severable; so that, if any of the provisions be held invalid, the entire ordinance shall be void and of no effect.

SECTION 8. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 199, 1976. This Proposal was scheduled to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption. By consent, it was advanced forward on the agenda.

Following discussion during which Councilman Clark spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 199, 1976, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Dumil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. Walters. NO NOES.

(Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Kimbell and Mr. West did not vote.)

Proposal No. 199, 1976, was retitled General Ordinance No. 73, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORIDNANCE NO. 73, 1976

A GENERAL ORDINANCE authorizing group health insurance payments to Health Maintenance Organization in lieu of health insurance payments, at the voluntary option of the employee, by amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County to add a new Article III in Chapter 23.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, be, and is hereby, amended by adding a new Article III in Chapter 23, to read as follows, to wit:

ARTICLE III - HEALTH BENEFITS

Section 23-41. Group Health Insurance Authorized.

a) The Director of the Department of Administration is hereby authorized to negotiate group health insurance coverage for the employees of Marion County and the Consolidated City of Indianapolis.

(b) If such contract is negotiated, the City or County, as the case may be, is authorized to the extent of the appropriations therefor to pay such portion of the premium for "employee only" coverage as may be authorized by the Mayor.

(c) The controller and auditor are authorized to withold, with the employee's consent, from the employee's compensation the employee's share of such health insurance premium and the cost of dependent coverage if offered.

Section 23-42. Health Maintenance Organization Participation Authorized.

(a) The Director of the Department of Administration is authorized to negotiate a contract or contracts with an established Health Maintenance Organization (as defined in the "Health Maintenance Organization Act of 1973" 87 Stat. 914.) for guaranteed medical services for employees of Marion County and the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and their dependents.

(b) If such a contract is negotiated and any employee elects to participate in such H.M.O. plan and not to participate in the group health insurance plan, the city or county, as the case may be, may pay on behalf of each electing employee that portion of the H.M.O. cost equal to the amount of the "employee only" premium for health insurance authorized pursuant to Sec. 23-41.

(c) The controller and auditor are also authorized to withhold from an electing employee's compensation to employee's share of the cost of H.M.O. participation and the cost of dependent participation, if available.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 225, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:30 p.m. and reconvened at 8:31 p.m. After public hearing and following discussion during which Councilman Clark spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 225, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. NO NOES.

(Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark and Mr. Vollmer did not vote.)

Proposal No. 225, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 41, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 41, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Ninety-Six Thousand Two Hundred Fifty-Five Dollars (\$96,255.00) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Legal Division, Department of Administration, and reducing certain other appropriations for the Division of Code Enforcement, Department of Metropolitan Development, and the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of supplemental expenditures by the Legal Division.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ninety-Six Thousand Two Hundred Fifty-Five Dollars (\$96,255.00) be, and is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the appropriations and the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4. SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Department of Administration	
Legal Division	Consolidated County Fund
10. Personal Services	\$75,755.00
21. Contractual Services	\$1,000.00
22. Supplies	1,000.00
24 Current Charges	3,500.00
25. Current Obligations	11,000.00
50. Properties	4,000.00
TOTAL INCREASES	\$96,255.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

Department of Metropolitan Development Division of Code Enforcement	Consolidated County Fund	
25. Current Obligations	\$56,500.00	
Unappropriated and Unencumbered		

TOTAL REDUCTIONS \$96,255.00

39,755.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Consolidated County Fund

Proposal Nos. 226 and 227, 1976. By consent, Proposal Nos, 226 and 227, 1976, were considered together. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:45 p.m. and reconvened at 8:55 p.m. Following public hearing, discussion was held during which many Council members spoke regarding the proposals. Mrs. Faye I. Mowery, Director of the Department of Administration, was requested to come forward to answer questions of Council members concerning the proposals.

Considerable discussion followed, after which Proposal Nos. 226 and 227, 1976, failed to pass on the following roll call vote; viz:

12 AYES: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tintera and Mr. West.

14 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera and Mr. Walters.

Proposal No. 229, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 9:10 p.m. and reconvened at 9:12 p.m. Councilman Durnil moved, seconded by Councilman Kimbell, to amend Proposal No. 229, 1976, as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that Proposal No. 229, 1976, be amended as follows:

Renumber Section 6 as Section 7 and insert a new Section 6 as follows:

Section 6. Although the programs herein approved are for an annual period, this resolution shall not authorize the City to execute any contract which requires the City to Fund any operating agency in excess of current appropriations, or for a period beyond December 31, 1976

The motion to amend was carried by voice vote.

Considerable discussion followed, during which Mrs. Elizabeth Samkowski, Director of the Department of Public Welfare, answered questions concerning the Proposal. Following this discussion, Councilman Kimbell moved, seconded by Councilman Tintera, the Previous Question on Proposal No. 229, 1976, As Amended.

The Question being called, Proposal No. 229, 1976, As Amended, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

19 AYES: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

6 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gorham, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller and Mr. Schneider.

(Mr. Campbell did not vote.)

Proposal No. 229, 1976, As Amended, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 43, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 43, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Two Hundred Eighty-Four Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Dollars and Four Cents (\$284,660.04) in the County Welfare Fund for purposes of the County Department of Public Welfare, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the

County Welfare Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 8 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of certain projects financed by a federal grant pursuant to the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Hundred Eighty-Four Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Dollars and Four Cents (\$284,660.04) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

COUNTY DEPT. OF PUBLIC WELFARE

COUNTY WELFARE FUND

10. Services Personal (Title II)	\$173,958.00
10. Services Personal (Title VI)	72,990.00
10. Services Personal (Guardian Home)	15,687.50
24. Current Charges	6,660.36
25. Current Obligations	15,364.18.

TOTAL INCREASES

\$284,660.04

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

COUNTY WELFARE FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered County Welfare Fund

\$284,660.04

TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$284,660.04

SECTION 5. This City- County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the auditor, or both, are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 6. Although the programs herein approved are for an annual period, this resolution shall not authorize the City to execute any contract which requires the City to fund any operating agency in excess of current appropriations, or for a period beyond December 31, 1976.

SECTION 7. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after adoption following public hearing and approval by the State Board of Tax Commissioners.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 230, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 9:16 p.m. and reconvened at 9:17 p.m. After public hearing and following discussion during which Councilman Schneider spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 230, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

2 NOES: Mrs. Brinkman and Mr. Miller

(Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark and Mr. Rippel did not vote.)

Proposal No. 230, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 44, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 44, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Thousand One Hundred Six Dollars and Fourteen Cents (\$1,106.14) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of Superior Court, Room 3, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of a training program for the Superior Court, Room 3, financed by L.E.A.A. Grant No. G76C-GO4-15-019.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Thousand One Hundred Six Dollars and Fourteen Cents (\$1,106.14) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Superior Court, Room 3

Crime Control Fund

33. Travel
35. Operating Expense
TOTAL INCREASES

\$528.47 577.67 \$1.106.14

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

Crime Control Fund

Unappropriated & Unencumbered Crime Control Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$1,106.14 \$1,106.14

SECTION 5. This City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or elimintaed, the supervisor or the County Auditor or both are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 6. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 231, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 9:20 p.m. and reconvened at 9:21 p.m. After public hearing, discussion followed, during which Councilwoman Brinkman suggested the Council be provided with the information from all departments utilizing CETA personnel; such information to include the employee's title, salary, any training programs completed, how many have been moved into permanent positions, etc; by agency. President SerVaas requested Councilman Clark obtain this information for the Council.

Following further discussion, Councilman Schneider spoke regarding the Proposal. Proposal No. 231, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

17 AYES: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

8 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gorham, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rippel and Mr. Schneider.

(Mr. Cantwell did not vote.)

Proposal No. 231. 1976, was retitled Fiscal Oridnance No. 45, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 45, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 42, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Hundred Eighty-Eight Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-One Dollars and Twenty-Two Cents (\$188,431.22) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Cooperative Extension Service, Center Township Assessor, County Clerk, County Auditor, and Superior Court Room 5 and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of certain projects financed by federal grants pursuant to the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Eight Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-One Dollars and Twenty-Two Cents (\$188,431.22) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE
10. Services Personal (Title II)
10. Services Personal (Title VI)

\$74,686.00 39,241.20

CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSO	R
10. Services Personal (Title II)	

5,530.00

COUNTY CLERK
10. Services Personal (Title VI)
SUPERIOR COURT ROOM 5
10. Services Personal (Title II)
10. Services Personal (Title II) COUNTY AUDITOR 10. Services Personal (Title II)
24. Current Charges
25. Current Obligations
25. Current Obligations

TOTAL INCREASES

40,898.00 3.252.00

9,000.00 5,726.50 10,097.52

\$188,431.22

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered County General Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$188,431.22 \$188,431.22

SECTION 5. This City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the County Auditor, or both, are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 6. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after adoption, following public hearing and approval by the State Board of Tax Commissioners. The foregoing was passed by the City-County Counci this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 233, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 9:25 p.m. and reconvened at 9:26 p.m. After public hearing and following discussion during which Councilman Schneider spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 233, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. NO NOES.

(Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Kimbell and Mr. Tintera did not vote)

Proposal No. 233, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 47, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 47, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE authorizing Marion County to borrow on a temporary loan for the use of the County General Fund during the period July 1, 1976 to December 31, 1976, in anticipation of current taxes levied in the year 1975, and collectible in the year 1976, authorizing the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan;

pledging and appropriating the taxes to be received in said fund to the payment of said tax anticipation time warrants including the interest thereon.

WHEREAS, the Auditor of Marion County has filed with the Mayor of the Consolidated City an estimate and statement showing the amount of money, in addition to the funds already available, which will be necessary to defray the current expenses and to pay the obligations of the County General Fund pending the receipt of current revenues actually levied and now in process of collection, and the Mayor did make and enter of record a finding, and said Auditor and Mayor have requested the City-County Council to authorize temporary borrowing to procure the funds necessary for use by the County General Fund and to pay the incidental expenses necessary to be incurred in connection with the issuance and sale of Tax Anticipation Warrants; and

WHEERAS, the City-County Council now finds that the request should be granted, and that the City-County Council should authorize the making of a loan and the issuance of Tax Anticipation Warrants of the County to evidence the same; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. That the Auditor of Marion County and Mayor of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis are authorized to borrow on a temporary loan against current revenues actually levied and in process of collection for the County General Fund, for and on behalf of said County, for the purpose of procuring the funds immediately and temporarily necessary for use for expenditures from the County General Fund to be paid from said County General Fund prior to the actual receipt of taxes levied and now in process of collection for the County General Fund, and the amount required for the payment of incidental expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of Tax Anticipation Warrants of the County in the manner provided for by the Statute.

SECTION 2. That the maximum amount of said loan and the Tax Anticipation Warrants issued to evidence the same shall not exceed Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00). Said Tax Anticipation Warrants shall be dated as of date of delivery thereof to the purchaser and shall bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding the maximum rate provided by law, and shall mature and be payable on the 31st day of December, 1976, and the amount of Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00) of the taxes now in process of collection for the County General Fund in the year 1976, together with such amount of said taxes as is necessary to pay the interest on said warrants, is hereby appropriated and pledged for the purpose of paying said tax anticipation warrants together with the interest thereon when due, deductions to be made from semi-annual settlement of said taxes in amounts herein before indicated to the total amount of said warrants coming due on the date of such settlements, with accrued interest thereon.

SECTION 3. Said tax anticipation time warrants shall be issued in substantially the following form (all blanks, including the appropriate amount, dates, statutory citations, and other data, to be properly completed prior to the execution and delivery thereof):

STATE OF INDIANA, COUNTY OF MARION

NO.

\$

MARION COUNTY GENERAL FUND TAX ANTICIPATION WARRANT

For value received the Board of Commissioners of the County of Marion, in the State of Indiana, promises to pay to the bearer from the Marion County General Fund the sum of \$ Dollars on the of ,with interest thereon at the rate of percent (%) per annum from the date hereof to the time of payment of the principal hereof, which interest is payable on the principal payment date hereof.

Both principal and interest of this warrant are payable in lawful money of the United

States of America, at the Office of the Treasurer of Marion County, of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana. This warrant is one of an issue aggregating Dollars and is issued pursuant to and in accordance with an Act of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, entitled "An Act Concerning County Business," in force April 27, 1899, and the Acts of 1933, Chapter 171 of the Acts of 1969, and Public Law No. 134, 1972.

All acts, conditions and things to be done precedent to and in the execution, issuance and delivery of this warrant have been done and performed in regular and due form as provided by law, and this warrant is within every limit of indebtedness prescribed by the constitution and the laws of the State of Indiana. Sufficient receipts from taxation for the County General Fund of Marion County from levies actually made and now in process of collection for the current year as may be necessary are hereby irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this warrant according to its terms.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of Commissioners of the County of Marion, has caused this warrant to be issued and signed in its name by its duly elected, qualified and acting Commissioners, countersigned by the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis, attested by its duly elected, qualified and acting County Auditor, and the seal of said Board of Commissioners to be hereunto affixed, as of the day of

SEAL	
	Commissioners of Marion County
	Countersigned:
ATTEST:	MAYOR
Auditor of Marion County	

SECTION 4. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after adoption. The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 189, 1976. This Proposal was scheduled to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption. By consent, it was advanced forward on the agenda.

The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 9:35 p.m. and reconvened at 9:36 p.m. Following discussion, Councilman Schneider moved, seconded by Councilman Tintera, to strike Proposal No. 189, 1976, as introduced and substitute Proposal No. 189, 1976. Committee Recommendation.

The motion was carried by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 189, 1976, Committee Recommendation, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

1 NO: Mr. Gorham.

Councilman Schneider moved, seconded by Councilman Tinder, to reconsider Proposal No. 189, Committee Recommendation.

The motion to reconsider was carried by unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Schneider then moved, seconded by Councilman Tintera, to amend Proposal No. 189, 1976, Committee Recommendation, as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that Proposal No. 189, 1976, Committee Recommendation, be amended as follows:

In the title paragraph and in Section 2, lines 1 and 2, delete, the following words and figures:

"One Hundred Sixty-Three Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Dollars and Twenty-Eight Cents (\$163,950.28)"

and insert in lieu thereof the following words and figures:

"One Hundred Thirty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-Two Dollars and Fifty-Eight Cents (\$137,522.58)"

The motion to amend was passed by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 189, 1976, Committee Recommendation, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

1 NO: Mr. Gorham

(Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil and Mr. Tinder did not vote.)

Proposal No. 189, Committee Recommendation As Amended was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 39, 1976 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 39, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Hundred Thirty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-Two Dollars and Fifty-Eight Cents (\$137,522.58) in the Reassessment Fund for purposes of the various Township Assessors and the County Auditor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Reassessment Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which as arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6A of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of payment of additional expenses for township assessors connected with the periodic reassessment.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Thirty-Seven Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-Two Dollars and Fifty-Eight Cents (\$137,522,58) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Center Township Assessor	Reassessment Fund
10. Personal Services	\$27,950.00
21. Contractual Services	5,850.00
22. Supplies	10,680.00
	\$44,480.00
Decatur Township Assessor	
10. Personal Services	7,000.00
21. Contractual Services	260.00
22. Supplies	1,290.00
	8,550.00
Franklin Township Assessor	
10. Personal Services	3,000.00
21. Contractual Services	260.00
22 Supplies	881.00
	4,141.00
Lawrence Township Assessor	
10. Personal Services	7,750.00
21. Contractual Services	2,925.00
22. Supplies	2,680.00
50. Properties	398.00
	13,753.00
Perry Township Assessor	
10. Personal Services	9,500.00
21. Contractual Services	390.00
22. Supplies	3,765.00
	13,655.00
	•

Pike Township Assessor	Reassessment Fund
10. Personal Services	3,000.00
22. Supplies	1,600.00
50. Properties	2,561.00
	7,161.00
Warren Township Assessor	
10. Personal Services	8,000.00
21. Contractual Services	780.00
22. Supplies	2,800.00
	11,580.00
Washington Township Assessor	
10. Personal Services	3,075.00
21. Contractual Services	190.00
22. Supplies	4,100.00
50. Properties	2,815.00
	10,180.00
Wayne Township Assessor	
10. Personal Services	12,000.00
21. Contractual Services	500.00
22. Supplies	5,550.00
24. Current Charges	330.00
50. Properties	888.00
	19,268.00
County Auditor	
25. Current Obligations	4,754.58
	4 754 58

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions.

Reassessment Fund

Unappropriated and Unencumbered

Reassessment Fund \$137,522.58

TOTAL REDUCTIONS \$137,522.58

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2. The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of J, 1976.

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that Proposal No. 96. 1976, Committee Recommendation, be amended as follows:

On page 9, Sec 3-324, subsection (d), line 73, after the word "Class" strike the letter "B" and insert the letter "A".

The motion to amend was passed by unanimous voice vote.

After further discussion, Councilman Clark moved, seconded by Councilman

Anderson, to further amend Proposal No. 96, 1976, Committee Recommendation, As Amended, as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that Proposal No. 96, 1976, Committee Recommendation, be amended as follows:

Delete all references to Class "B" Wrecker Licenses and licensees.

Richard F. Clark Councilman

The motion to amend was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

18 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. Walters.

8 NOES: Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera and Mr. West.

Councilman Tinder explained his vote.

Councilman Cantwell moved, seconded by Councilman McPherson, to table Proposal No. 96, 1976, Committee Recommendation, As Amended, until the next Council meeting.

The motion to table carried by voice vote.

Discussion followed, during which Councilman Walters moved, seconded by Councilman Campbell, to rescind the motion to table.

The motion to rescind was carried by voice vote.

Further discussion followed, during which Councilman Kimbell moved, seconded by Councilman Bayt, to refer Proposal No. 96, Committee Recommendation, As Amended, back to Committee.

The motion to refer Proposal No. 96, Committee Recommendation, As Amended, back to Committee was carried by voice vote.

Proposal Nos. 236, 237 and 238, 1976. Proposal No. 236, 1976, was scheduled to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption. By consent, Proposal No. 236, 1976, was advanced forward on the agenda to be discussed with Proposal Nos. 237 and 238, 1976.

The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 10:11 p.m. and reconvened at 10:12 p.m. After public hearing and following discussion during which Councilman Gilmer spoke regarding Proposal Nos. 236, 237 and 238, 1976, President SerVaas advised that a separate vote would be taken on each proposal.

Proposal No. 236, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

20 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

1 NO: Mr. Schneider.

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Journey and Mr. McPherson did not vote.)

Proposal No. 236, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 48, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 48, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976. (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Hundred Fifty-Four Thousand Two Hundred Eight Dollars (\$154,208.00) in the Park District Fund for purposes of Department of Parks and Recreation and reducing certain other appropriations for that Department.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of transferring and reducing expenditures pursuant to the Community Development Program.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Fifty-Four Thousand, Two Hundred Eight Dollars (\$154,208.00) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the appropriations as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION

PARK DISTRICT FUND

10. Services Personal	\$35,361.00
21. Contractual Services	12,968.00
22. Supplies	6,773.00
23. Materials	35,000.00

24. Current Charges 25. Current Obligations 50. Properties 67. Equipment 5,570.00 2,070.00 16,466.00 40,000.00

TOTAL INCREASES

\$154,208.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION 61. Contractual Services TOTAL REDUCTIONS PARK DISTRICT FUND \$807,260.00 \$807,260.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 237, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote viz:

19 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr Walters and Mr. West.

1 NO: Mr. Schneider

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard and Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

Proposal No. 237, 1976 was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 49, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 49, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Twenty-One Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Three Dollars (\$21,353.00) in the Park General Fund for purposes of Department of Parks and Recreation and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park District Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of beautification of the War Memorial complex.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-One Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Three Dollars (\$21,353.00) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION

PARK DISTRICT FUND

23. Materials
50. Properties
TOTAL INCREASES

\$16,353.00 5,000.00 \$21,353.00 SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

PARK DISTRICT FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Park District Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$21,353.00 \$21,353.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 238, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

15 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

6 NOES: Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gorham, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller and Mr. Schneider.

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Howard and Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

Proposal No. 238, 1976 was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 50, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 50, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Hundred Thirty-Two Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Five Dollars (\$132,355.00) in the Park District Fund for purposes of Department of Parks and Recreation and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park District Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of salary increases and additional uniforms for CETA personnel required by union contract to be funded from federal revenues.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Thirty-Two Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Five Dollars (\$132,355.00) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION

PARK DISTRICT FUND

 10. Personal Services
 \$109,633.00

 24. Current Charges
 22,722.00

 TOTAL INCREASES
 \$132,355.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

PARK DISTRICT FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Park District Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$132,355.00 \$132,355.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2-.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 238, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

15 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr West.

6 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Clark, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rippel and and Mr. Schneider.

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Howard and Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

Proposal No. 239, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 51, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 51, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Twenty-Four Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars and Eighty-Nine Cents (\$24,888.89) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of the Juvenile Court and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Bueget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of a probation service program for the Juvenile Center, financed by L.E.A.A. Grant No. G76C-101-15-026.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-Four Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars and Eighty-Nine Cents (\$24,888.89) be, andthe same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Juvenile Court
31. Personnel
TOTAL INCREASES

Crime Control Fund \$24,888.89 \$24.888.89

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Crime Control Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$24,889.89 \$24,888.89

SECTION 5. This City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or elimated, the supervisor or the County Auditor or both are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 6. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 240, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 10:22 p.m. and reconvened at 10:23 p.m. After public hearing and following discussion during which Councilman West spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 240, 1976 was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

15 AYES: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

7 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Clark, Mr. Gorham, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rippel and Mr. Schneider.

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell and Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

Proposal No. 240, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 52, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 52, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Ninety-Five Thousand Seven Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty-Nine Cents (\$95,702.59) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Sheriff, Juvenile Center, Criminal Probation, Prosecutor, and Auditor, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1975, be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of certain projects financed by federal grants pursuant to the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ninety-Five Thousand Seven Hundred Two Dollars and Fifty-Nine Cents (\$95,702 59) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

	COUNTY GENERAL FUND
COUNTY SHERIFF	
10. Services Personal	\$11,314.00
JUVENILE CENTER	
10. Services Personal	47,998.00
CRIMINAL PROBATION	
10. Services Personal	19,304.84
PROSECUTOR	
10. Services Personal	9,000.00
COUNTY AUDITOR	
24. Current Charges	2,960.16
25. Current Obligations	5,125.59
TOTAL INCREASES	\$95,702.59

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered County General Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$95,702.59 \$95,702.59

SECTION 5. This City-County Council has no intention of suplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state of federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the county auditor, or both, are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue

SECTION 6. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after adoption, following public hearing and approval by the State Board of Tax Commissioners. The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 241, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 10:26 p.m. and reconvened at 10:27 p.m. After public hearing and following discussion during which Councilman Durnil spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 241, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

18 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. 4 NOES: Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Schneider and Mr. Vollmer. (Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell and Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

Proposal No. 241, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 53, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 53, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Thirty-Eight Thousand Dollars (\$38,000.00) in the Sanitation General Improvement Fund for purposes of Department of Public Works, Sanitary Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitation General Improvement Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of payment to U.S. Geological Survey for drilling and installing instruments for groundwater impact at various landfill sites.

SECTION 2. The sum of Thirty-Eight Thousand Dollars (\$38,000.00) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Department of Public Works Sanitation Division Sanitation General Improvement Fund

21. Contractual Services
TOTAL INCREASES

\$38,000.00 \$38,000.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

Sanitation General Improvement Fund

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Sanitation General Improvement Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$38,000.00 \$38,000.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2. The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

Proposal No. 207, 1976. Following discussion during which Councilman Miller spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 207, 1976, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

20 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

NO NOES.

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Rippel and Mr. Schneider did not vote.)

Proposal No. 207, 1976, was retitled General Ordinance No. 72, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 72, 1976

A GENERAL ORDINANCE further amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
No. 6 Pa.1	Castleway Dr. & E. 82nd St.	E. 82nd St.	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
No. 6 Pg.1	Castle Way Dr. & E. 82nd St.	(none)	Signal

SECTION 3. This amendment shall be subject to the penalties as provided in Chapter 1, Section 1-8 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," SECTION 4. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 232, 1976. Following discussion during which Councilman Schneider spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 232, 1976, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. NO NOES.

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Journey and Mr. Patterson did not vote.)

Proposal No. 232, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 46, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Eight Hundred and Five Dollars (\$805.00) in the County General Fund for purposes of the County Assessor and reducing certain other appropriations for that office.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of transferring appropriations to meet increased price of new automobile. SECTION 2. The sum of Eight Hundred and Five Dollars (\$805.00) be, and the same

is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the appropriations as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

COUNTY ASSESSOR 50.Properties TOTAL INCREASES COUNTY GENERAL FUND \$805.00 \$805.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

COUNTY ASSESSOR

22. Supplies

TOTAL REDUCTIONS

COUNTY GENERAL FUND \$805.00 \$805.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 228, 1976. Following discussion during which Councilman Clark spoke regarding the Proposal, Proposal No. 228, 1976, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

20 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. Walters.

NO NOES.

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Tinder and Mr. West did not vote.)

Proposal No. 228, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 42, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 42, 1976

A PROPOSAL FOR A FISCAL ORDINANCE approving temporary tax anticipation borrowing, authorizing the City of Indianapolis, to make temporary loans for the use of the Park District Fund, Sanitation Special Taxing District Fund, Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund, and Consolidated County Fund during the period July 1, 1976 to December 31, 1976, in anticipation of current taxes levied in the year 1975 and collectible in the year 1976, authorizing the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loans; pledging and appropriating the taxes to be received in said Funds to the payment of said tax anticipation time warrants including the interest thereon: ratifying, approving, and confirming the proceedings had an action taken by the Police Special Service District Council and the Fire Special Service District Council in authorizing the making the temporary loans and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loans for the Consolidated City Police Force Account, the Police Pension Fund, the Consolidated Fire Force Account, and the Firemen's Pension Fund; and fixing a time when this ordinance shall take effect.

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the City-County Council now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Park District Fund to meet the current expenses of the Department of Parks and Recreation payable from said Fund prior to the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, the December, 1976 distribution of taxes to be collected for said Park District Fund will amount to more than One million nine hundred thousand dollars (\$1,900,000.00) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Park District Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the City-County Council now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Consolidated County Fund to meet the current expenses of the Consolidated County Fund, payable from said Fund prior to the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, the December, 1976 distribution of taxes to be collected for said Consolidated County Fund will amount to more than One million two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,200,000.00) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Consolidated County Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis has authorized the making of temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Sanitation Special Taxing District Fund in the amount of One million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 districution of taxes levied for such fund; and the making of a temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such for the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund in the amount of One million three hundred thousand dollars (\$1,300,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for such Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Special Service District Council of the Police Special Service District has authorized the making of temporary loans and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence of such loan for the Consolidated City Police Force Account in the amount of Five million seven hundred thousand (\$,5,700,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said account and the making of a temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Police Pension Fund in the amount of Nine hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$950,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Special Service District Council of the Fire Special Service District has authorized the making of temporary loans and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loans for the Consolidated City Fire Force Account in the amount of Three million eight hundred thousand dollars (\$3,800.00) payable from the December 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said account and the making of a

temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Firemen's Pension Fund in the amount of Nine hundred thousand dollars (\$900,000.00), payable from the December 1976 distribution of taxes for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, a necessity exists for the making of temporary loans for said Funds and Accounts in anticipation of current revenues for said Funds and accounts actually levied and in course of collection for the year of 1976; Now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Park district Fund of said City in the amount of One million nine hundred thousand dollars (\$1,900.000.00) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Fund for the year 1976, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates per annum not to exceed the maximum rate provided by law, the exact rate or rates per annum not to exceed the maximum rate provided by law, the exact rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 4. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to an included in the face value of the warrants. Said Warrants shall mature and be payable on December 30, 1976. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Park District Fund, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Park District Fund from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes for said Park District fund from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes for said Park District Fund, 1976 Budget Pseudo Code No. 000927--Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and said Park District Fund, 1976 Budget Fund No. 092, Character 25--Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the dateof maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said Warrants.

SECTION 2. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Consolidated County Fund of said City in the amouunt of One million two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,200,000.00) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Fund for the year 1976, which loan shall be evidence by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates per annum not to exceed the maximum rate provided by law, the exact rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 4. Said warrants shall be dates as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants. Said Warrants shall mature and be payable on December 30, 1976. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Consolidated County Fund, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Consolidated County Fund from the December, 1976 distrubution of taxes for said Consolidated County Fund is One million two hundred thousand dollars (\$1,200,000.00) to the Consolidated County Fund, 1976 Budget Pseudo Code --Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and the Consolidated County Fund 1976 Budget Fund No. 027, Character 25-- Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

SECTION 3. Said tax anticipation time warrants shall be executed in the name of the City of Indianapolis by the Mayor of said City, countersigned by the controller of said City, the corporate seal of said City to be affixed thereto and attested by the Clerk of

the Council. Said warrants shall be payable at the office of the Marion County Treasurer, ex officio Treasurer of the City of Indianapolis.

SECTION 4. Said tax anticipation time warrants shall be issued in substantially the following form (all blanks, including the appropriate amounts, date, statutory citations, and other data, to be properly completed prior to the execution and delivery thereof):

No

Principal and Interest \$

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA TAX ANTICIPATION TIME WARRANT

On the day of ,19 ,the City of Indianapolis, in Marion County, Indiana, promises to pay to the bearer, at the office of the Marion County Treasurer, ex officio Treasurer of the City of Indianapolis, the sum of including interest on the principal amount

of this warrant from the date hereof to maturity, payable out of and from taxes levied in the year of 19, and payable in this year 19, which said taxes are now in course of collection for the of the City of Indianapolis, with which to pay general, current, operating expenses of the

This Tax Anticipation Time Warrant is one of a series of warrants aggregating a sum of , exclusive of interest added thereto to maturity, evidencing a temporary loan in anticipation of the taxes levied and in course of collection for the , , of said City.

Said temporary loan was authorized by ordinance duly adopted by the
at meetings thereof duly and legally convened and
held on the day of ,19 ,for the purpose of providing funds for
the , of said City of Indianapolis, in compliance with the Indiana
Code of 1971, Title 18, and particularly Article 1, Chapter 4 thereof.

The consideration of said warrant is a loan made to the City of Indianapolis in anticipation of taxes levied for the of said City for the year 19, payable in the year 19, and said taxes so levied are hereby specifically appropriated and pledged to the payment of said Tax Anticipation Time Warrant.

It is hereby certified and recited that all acts, conditions and things required to be done precedent to the authorization, preparation, complete execution and delivery of said warrants have been done and performed as provided by law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City of Indianapolis has caused this warrant to be signed in its corporate name by its Mayor, and countersigned by the Controller of the City of Indianapolis; the corporate seal of said City to be hereunto affixed, and attested by the Clerk of the City of Indianapolis.

Dated this

day of , , 19 .

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

BY:

COUNTERSIGNED:

BY:

ATTEST:

BY:

SECTION 5. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to have said tax anticipation time warrants prepared, and the Mayor, Controller, and Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to execute said tax anticipation time warrants in the manner and substantially the form hereinbefore provided. The Controller shall sell warrants at public sale. Prior to the sale of said warrants, the Controller shall cause to be published a notice of sale once each week for two consecutive weeks in two newspapers of general circulation, printed in the English language and published in the City of Indianapolis, as provided by law. All bids for said warrants shall be sealed and shall be presented to the Controller at his office, and all bids shall name the rate or rates of interest for said warrants, or portion thereof bid for. Said warrants, or portion thereof bid for, shall be awarded to the bidder or bidders submit the same interest rate for all or a portion of the warrants, such warrants shall be awarded to the bidder submitting the greatest premium. Any premium bid shall be used solely for the repayment of the principal of and interest on the warrants, No bid for less than par shall be considered, and the Controller shall have the right to reject any and all bids. The proper officers of the City are authorized to deliver the time warrants to the purchaser or purchasers of the agreed purchase price. The warrants may all be delivered at one time or in parcels from time to time, pursuant to any agreements or understandings with respect to said delivery by and between the Controller and the purchaser of purchasers of the warrants.

SECTION 6. The proceedings had and action taken by the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis in authorizing the making of a temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for Sanitation Special Taxing District Fund in the amount of One million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000.00) and for the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund for One million three hundred thousand dollars (\$1,300,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said funds, are hereby ratified, approved, and confirmed and to the extent as may be required by law, shall be deemed to be proceedings had and action taken by this City-County Council, and are incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 7. The proceedings had and action taken by the Special Service District Council of the Police Special Service District in authorizing the making of temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Consolidated City Police Force Account in the amount of Five million seven hundred thousand dollars (\$5,700,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said Account and the making of a temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Police Pension Fund in the amount of Nine hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$950,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said Fund, are hereby ratified, approved, and confirmed, and to the extent as may be required by law, shall be deemed to be proceedings had and action taken by this City-County Council, and are incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 8. The proceedings had and action taken by the Special Service District Council of the Fire Special Service District in authorizing the making of temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Consolidated City Fire Force Account, in the amount of Three million eight hundred thousand dollars (\$3,800,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said Account and the making of a temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Firemen's Pension Fund in the amount of nine hundred thousand dollars (\$900,000.00) payable from the December, 1976 distribution of taxes levied for said Fund are hereby ratified, approved, and confirmed and to the extent as may be required by law, shall be deemed to the proceedings had and action taken by this City-County Council, and are incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 9. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with all laws pertaining thereto.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal No. 213, 1976. The Council recessed to a committee of the Whole at 10:36 p.m. After public hearing and following discussion, Proposal No. 213, 1976, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. NO NOES:

(Mr. Bayt, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell and Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

Proposal No. 213, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 40, 1976 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Six Hundred Twenty-Nine Thousand Dollars (\$629,000.00) in the Sanitary District Fund for purposes of Sanitation Division, Department of Public Works, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitary District Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating federal matching funds for Mars Hill Sewer Project.
SECTION 2. The sum of Six Hundred Twenty-Nine Thousand Dollars (\$629,000.00) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.
SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Department of Public Works Sanitation Division

Sanitary District Fund

21. Contractual Services
TOTAL INCREASES

\$629,000.00 \$629,000.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

Sanitary District Fund

Unappropriated & Unencumbered Sanitary District Fund TOTAL REDUCTIONS

\$629,000.00 \$629,000.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 14th day of June, 1976.

Proposal Nos. 246-254, 1976. No action was taken on Proposal Nos. 246, 247, and 249 through 254, 1976. Proposal Nos. 246, 247, and 249 through 254, 1976, were retitled Rezoning Ordinance Nos. 53,54, and 55 through 60, 1976, respectively and read as follows:

Rezoning Ordinance No. 53, 1976 76-Z-22 Decatur Township
Councilmanic District No. 19
4902 Mann Road, Indianapolis
Hi-Lo Development Company by James R. Nickels, Attorney One Indiana Square
No. 2050 requests rezoning of 7.54 acres, being in A-2 district, to C-3
classification to permit commercial development

Rezoning Ordinance No. 54, 1976 76-Z-27 Washington Township Councilmanic District No. 1
1308 East 91st Street, Indianapolis
Walter G. Justus, 1398 North Shadeland Avenue by J. Scott Barratt, Attorney,
600 Union Federal Building requests rezoning of 2.01 acres, being in D-7 district, to C-1 classification to permit commercial use.

76-Z-28 Perry Township
Councilmanic District No. 25
1618 East Stop 12 Road, Indianapolis
R. J. Realty, Inc. by Michael J. Kias, Attorney, 3045 South Meridian Street
requests rezoning of 6.01 acres, being in SU district, to D-12 classification to
permit construction of two-family dwellings by platting.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 55, 1976 76-Z-28 Franklin Township
Councilmanic District No. 13
5328 Shelbyville Road, Indianapolis
Tippecanoe Development Co., Inc. by John Smith, President, 2500 Glick Street,
Lafayette, Indiana 47905 by Thomas J. Murphy, Attorney, 1100 Circle Tower Bldg.
requests rezoning of 27.70 acres, being in D-7 district, to D-4 classification to
permit residential use by platting.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 56, 1976 76-Z-49 Center Township
Councilmanic District No. 15
4502 East 10th Street, Indianapolis
Paul W. Steward & Omer J. Stocker by Gene R. Leeuw, Attorney, One Indiana Square
No. 2130 request rezoning of 0.10 acre, being in D-5 district, to C-3 classification
to permit retail sales of meat and sundries.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 57, 1976 76-Z-52 Center Township
Councilmanic District No. 23
4001 Southeastern Avenue, Indianapolis
Paul & Lucille Messer by Bill & Ramona Boruff, 1107 Leisure Lane, Greenwood, Indiana request rezoning of 2.11 acres, being in D-3 district, to C-7 classification to permit track repair.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 58, 1976 76-Z-53 Lawrence Township
Councilmanic District No. 3
6201 Oaklandon Road. Indianapolis
Omer R. & Joann M. Voekel, 12437 East 62nd Street by Merle B. Rose, Attorney,
610 Union Title Building request rezoning of 1.03 acres, being in A-2 district,
to C-5 classification to permit auto repair and remodeling shop.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 59, 1976 76-Z-65 Lawrence Township Councilmanic District No. 3 9453 East 96th Street, Indianapolis The Shorewood Corporation and Dr. William H. W. & Sylviane A. P. Lunn by T. E. Cunningham, Attorney, 6302 North Rucker Road, Suite No. 1 request rezoning of 8.04 acres, being in A-2 district, to D-P classification permit a Planning Unit Development.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 60, 1976 76-Z-80 Wayne Township
Councilmanic District No. 19
6709 Balmoral Road, Indianapolis
The Metropolitan Development Commission, 2021 City-County Building, Indianapolis
Indiana proposes rezoning 3.18 acres, being in A-2 district, to D-3 classification
to provide for a residential use.

Councilman Miller moved, seconded by Councilman Tintera, that Proposal No. 248, 1976, be scheduled for public hearing on July 12, 1976.

The motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

President SerVaas advised Council members of the passing of Mr. Earl Frankee, and of the passing of Councilman Stephen West's aunt. Councilman Boyd suggested the Council convey the condolences of the City-County Council to Mr. Frankee's family. Councilman West requested that no memorial be sent to his aunt, but expressed appreciation to the Council for their expression of sympathy.

In view of these most recent occurrences, Councilman Howard suggested some type of benevolent fund be set up by the Council. President SerVaas suggested each Council member donate \$2.00 for this purpose, and stated the donation should be given to the City Clerk.

President SerVaas announced that some alterations would have to be made in the Public Assembly Room to allow for televising of Council proceedings. He advised the cost of the remodeling will be shared by the Council and by the Building Authority.

President SerVaas announced a Council Buffet to be held Saturday, July 10, 1976, and requested that all Council members attend, if possible. He stated that Mayor Hudnut and other members of the City administration would be invited to attend.

President SerVaas advised that an appointment to the Tax Adjustment Board needed to be made, and stated that Councilman Stephen West had consented to serve as the Council representative to that board. Councilman Vollmer moved, seconded by Councilman Tintera, that the Council representative to the Tax Adjustment Board be Councilman Stephen West. The motion was carried by unanimous voice vote.

ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion made by Councilman Tintera, seconded by Councilman Kimbell, the meeting was adjourned at 10:50 p.m.

We hereby certify the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County held at its regular meeting on the 14th day of June, 1976.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

ATTEST:

PRESIDENT

(SEAL)

CLERK OF THE GITY-COUNTY COUNCIL

t Sewaar