

**POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING
CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
Monday, April 26, 1976**

A Postponed Regular Meeting of the City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, convened in Council Chambers of the City-County Building at 7:15 p.m., Monday, April 26, 1976, President SerVaas in the chair. Councilman Dowden opened the meeting with a prayer, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

President SerVaas instructed the Clerk to take the roll. Twenty-nine members being present, he announced a quorum.

Present: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

CALL FOR POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING

The President called for the reading of Special Notes and the Clerk read the following:

**TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
OF INDIANAPOLIS-MARION COUNTY:**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that there will be a POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING of the City-County Council held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on April 26, 1976, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETING being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before a regular meeting of the Council.

Respectfully,

**Beurt R. SerVaas, President
City-County Council**

CORRECTION OF JOURNAL

President SerVaas called for additions or corrections to the Journal for April 12, 1976, as distributed. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved, as distributed.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

President SerVaas called for reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE
CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS
AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the Indianapolis Commercial and the Indianapolis News on April 16, 1976, and April 23, 1976, a "Notice to Taxpayers" on Proposal Nos. 102, 143, 164, 168, 170, and 172, 1976, for a Public Hearing to be held on Monday, April 26, 1976, at 7:00 p.m. in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,

Beverly S. Rippy
City Clerk

April 14, 1976

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE
CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND
MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following City-County Ordinances:

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 6, 1976 honoring the Perry Meridian High School Basketball Team.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 7, 1976 honoring the Indianapolis Racers Professional Hockey Team.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 8, 1976 honoring the Indianapolis Municipal Gardens - PAL Club 11 and 12 year olds Basketball Team.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 9, 1976 honoring the Indianapolis Municipal Gardens 13 and 14 year olds Basketball Team.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 24, 1976 amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 and appropriating an additional \$177,800 in the Sanitation General Improvement Fund for purposes of Sanitation Division, Department of Public Works and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitation General Improvement Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 25, 1976, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 and appropriating an additional \$953,310 in the Manpower Federal Programs Fund

for purposes of the Manpower Division, Department of Administration and reducing certain other appropriations for that Division.

Respectfully,

William H. Hudnut, III
Mayor

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

Councilman Howard stated he had a petition from concerned businessmen regarding the parking ban on East Washington Street for presentation to the Council.

Councilman Boyd presented a petition to the Council requesting censure of a statement made by Lt. Robert H. Stark of the Indianapolis Police Department. Councilman Boyd moved, seconded by Councilman Hawkins, that the City-County Council censure the statement made by Lt. Stark. Councilman Boyd then read a statement entitled "Comments on the Motion to Censure", which reads as follows:

COMMENTS ON THE MOTION TO CENSURE

Both opportunity and time have existed for Lt. Stark to graciously and gracefully retract his statement or apologize. So too, has the opportunity and time existed for this administration to take and establish its own positive and public position. We have let the time for grace pass and now it would seem that we must take the deliberate action of censure. Two weeks ago it might have been sufficient (as was then suggested) for this Council merely to adopt a statement expressing our disagreement and disapproval of Stark's well-publicized position and, more importantly, to get our own positive position on record. For reasons which are still unclear we thought this to be too bold a step or, at least, premature.

In our procrastination and in the meantime, many established and reliable organizations and persons in our community have made their positions known and have thus assumed the positions of leadership which should have initially been the role of this Council. None of these organizations and persons can, however, carry the weight of this Council and so there is still something missing.

In our procrastination and in the meantime, we have let questions of race slip into an issue which is fundamentally not racial. This is negligence of the first order and represents false comfort in the nature of things in Indianapolis.

In our procrastination and in the meantime, we place in precarious balance the positive police-community relations which are so important to a stable and manageable community. We owe it to our Police Department to let them know that they have our support and that we will not judge them nor let community attitudes develop against them based upon the unreasoned publicly expressed thoughts of one whose identity as their leader and spokesman has already been well established and very inadequately challenged.

One of our high public officials in recent comment about this whole matter has suggested that he will not be a part of muzzling the freedom of speech of Lt. Stark. It was Oliver Wendell Holmes, one of our nation's and history's most distinguished jurists who, in re-establishing the fact that freedom is relative and not absolute said, "...the most stringent protection of free speech will not protect a man in falsely shouting 'Fire!'

in a theatre and causing panic." True personal freedom in the social setting must be based on a greater consideration for the broader group. We have heard the individual shout of fire but refuse to see the developing panic. It is very important to note here that the very same amendment which guarantees that truly very precious freedom of speech also guarantees the right of people to peaceably assemble and to petition government for a redress of grievances. If the Stark statements are allowed to stand based upon our concern for his freedom of speech by what means then do we measure and counterbalance the equally important rights of each individual and the collective community to seek a redress of grievances through the petitioning of this government body?

A heretofore unmentioned or unemphasized concern which would seem to be of prime significance is the forward intimidation of juries -- the intimidation of juries yet unselected -- the threat to jurors who have yet to serve. How many persons sitting in judgement of other persons will find themselves, either consciously or subconsciously, being less objective as they consider whether a particular decision is going to be popular and acceptable to particular agents or agencies of government. How many will feel even less inclined to pursue truth if they feel the possible consequences will be unanswered censure by agents of the government? One might even question whether the forward intimidation of juries was the greater concern.

One final observation about government process and management. One of the keystones of American Constitutional Democracy is the principle of the separation of powers. The powers of the branches of government are neither absolute nor absolutely separate. The relationships have been deliberately tempered by the equally as important system of checks and balances. Each branch of government is restrained in its use of power by certain specific powers which are designated for another. That the executive branch have some check upon the judiciary is a part of design. That this check is totally unacceptable and is an exercise of power which needs to be checked by this body.

A lengthy discussion followed, during which many members of the Council expressed opinions regarding the motion before them, and the statement read by Councilman Boyd. Following this discussion, Councilman Cantwell moved, seconded by Councilman West, that the item be referred to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

The motion to refer to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee the petition and motion by Councilman Boyd requesting censure of Lt. Robert H. Stark was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

15 AYES: *Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Durnil, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.*

14 NOES: *Mr. Anderson, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder and Mr. Tintera.*

Councilman West read Proposal No. 190, 1976, and requested Council approval of the proposal as a Special Resolution commending Dr. Cleo W. Blackburn on his service to the community.

Proposal No. 190, 1976, was passed by unanimous voice vote, was retitled Special Resolution No. 10, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 10, 1976

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION commending Dr. Cleo Walter Blackburn.

WHEREAS, Cleo W. Blackburn has worked with dedication and integrity toward bettering the community in which we all live, through his efforts with various social organizations and serving in numerous capacities which include Executive Director of Flanner House of Indianapolis for twenty-nine years; and

WHEREAS, Cleo W. Blackburn has demonstrated a sincere interest in the field of education through his endeavors as both a teacher and administrator, serving as president of Jarvis Christian College in Texas for eleven years; and

WHEREAS, Cleo W. Blackburn, grandson of a slave, has given over forty years of his life to the betterment of his fellow man, his community and his country, now, therefore:

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

SECTION 1. The City-County Council on behalf of its members and for the citizens of Indianapolis and Marion County does hereby commend Dr. Cleo W. Blackburn for his service to his community.

SECTION 2. The Mayor of the City of Indianapolis is invited to join in the expression of this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 3. The Clerk of the Council is hereby instructed to suitably inscribe a copy of this Resolution for delivery to Dr. Cleo W. Blackburn.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 26th day of April, 1976.

**Beurt SerVaas,
President**

Attest:

**Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council**

Presented by me to the Mayor this 28th day of April, 1976.

**Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council**

Approved and signed by me this 3rd day of May, 1976.

**William H. Hudnut, III
Mayor**

Councilman Cantwell presented the name of Mr. Kenneth T. Roberts to serve as attorney for the Minority Council members.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Councilman Howard introduced Mr. Cornelius Muncie, Chairman of Urban Union; Mr. Nyofu Elmore, People for Jobs Now; State Representatives William Crawford

and Britt Ursery; Ms. Flora Spurlock, President of the CAAP Board; and Ms. Rosetta Crain, Vice President of the Southside Community Council.

Councilman Miller introduced former Council member William K. Byrum.

President SerVaas introduced Mr. Frank Meek of Channel 20. Mr. Meek stated it was the intention of Channel 20 to tape the proceedings of the Council and broadcast them on the same evening they are conducted.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

Proposal No. 178, 1976, Introduced by Councilman Miller, The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-331, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 179, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-331, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 180, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-331, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 181, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled;"A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 182, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the

Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 183, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 184, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled, "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 185, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-92, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 188, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance further amending the 'Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana,' and more particularly Chapter 29, Section 29-267, establishing regulations, providing penalties, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

Proposal No. 189, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Hundred Sixty-Three Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Dollars and Twenty-Eight Cents (\$163,950.28) in the Reassessment Fund for purposes of the various Township Assessors and the County Auditor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Reassessment Fund;" and the

President referred it to the County and Townships Committee.

Proposal No. 191, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Patterson. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Resolution approving certain amendments to the 1976 calendar year budget of the Capital Improvement Board of Marion County;" and the President referred it to the Municipal Corporations Committee.

Proposal No. 192, 1976. Introduced by Councilman West. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Five Thousand Five Dollars and Twenty Cents (\$5,005.20) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of Criminal Court Probation and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund;" and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

Proposal No. 193, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Tinder. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the 'Rules of the City-County Council' to authorize the staff position of assistant attorney. (Amends Code to add Section 2-50); and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

Proposal No. 194-198, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Durnil. The Clerk read the Proposals entitled; "A Proposal for Rezoning Ordinances certified from the Metropolitan Plan Commission on April 15, 1976;" and the President referred them to the Committee of the Whole to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption.

Proposal No. 199, 1976. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the Proposal entitled; "A Proposal for a General Ordinance authorizing group health insurance payments to Health Maintenance Organization in lieu of health insurance payments, at the voluntary option of the employee, by amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County to add a new Article III in Chapter 23;" and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

President SerVaas called for any proposals to be heard under Special Orders - Public Hearing. Members of the public were invited to speak on proposals eligible for public hearing.

Proposal No. 102, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:13 p.m. and reconvened at 8:15 p.m. During public hearing, Mr. Charles Colton and Mr. Nyofu Elmore spoke stating opposition to this proposal. Following public hearing, Councilman Tintera moved, seconded by Councilman Gilmer, to amend Proposal No. 102, 1976, as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that Proposal No. 102, 1976, be amended as follows:

- (a) in the title, in the next to last sentence, place a period after the word "Fund" and strike the remainder of the title,**
- (b) strike Section 5 entirely, and**
- (c) renumber Section 6 as Section 5.**

The motion to amend was carried by unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Cantwell then moved, seconded by Councilman Howard, to postpone Proposal No. 102, 1976. The motion to postpone failed by voice vote.

Discussion followed, during which President SerVaas surrendered the gavel to Councilman Kimbell. President SerVaas spoke regarding the proposal, explaining some of the background of the manner in which funds were raised for construction of the Convention Center. Lengthy discussion followed, during which Councilman Vollmer stated he had originally been opposed to this Proposal, but after discussing it with people in his district, he would vote in favor of Proposal No. 102, 1976.

Councilman Tintera moved, seconded by Councilman Kimbell, the Previous Question on the main motion.

The Question being called on the adoption of the Proposal, Proposal No. 102, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

18 AYES: Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.

10 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, and Mr. Pearce. (Mr. Walters did not vote.)

Proposal No. 102, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 26, 1976 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 26, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) in the Park District Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation, reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park District Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of authorizing a grant of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) to the Indianapolis Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION	PARK DISTRICT FUND
25. Current Obligations	\$100,000.00
TOTAL INCREASES	\$100,000.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	PARK DISTRICT FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered Park District Fund	\$100,000.00
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	\$100,000.00

SECTION 6. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 26th day of April, 1976.

Beurt SerVaas
President

Attest:

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Presented by me to the Mayor this 28th day of April, 1976

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Approved and signed by me this 3rd day of May, 1976.

William H. Hudnut, III
Mayor

Proposal No. 143, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:29 p.m. and reconvened at 8:30 p.m. After public hearing, Councilman McPherson spoke regarding the Proposal.

Following discussion, Councilman McPherson moved, seconded by Councilman Walters, to adopt Proposal No. 143, 1976. Proposal No. 143, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

NO NOES: (Mr. Kimbell and Mr. SerVaas did not vote.)

Proposal No. 143, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 27, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 27, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Fifty-Eight Thousand Three Hundred and Ninety-Five Dollars (\$58,395.00) in the Sanitation General Improvement Fund for purposes of Sanitation Division, Department of Public Works and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitation General Improvement Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 5 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating resources for Amendment No. 2 to provide technical assistance for design, supervision and coordination of the advanced wastewater treatment plant for 1976.

SECTION 2. The sum of Fifty-Eight Thousand Three Hundred and Ninety-Five Dollars (\$58,395.00) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Department of Public Works Sanitation Division	Sanitation General Improvement Fund
21. Contractual Services	\$58,395.00
TOTAL SERVICES	\$58,395.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

**Sanitation General
Improvement Fund**

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Sanitation General Improvement Fund	\$58,395.00
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	\$58,395.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 26th day of April, 1976.

**Beurt SerVaas
President**

Attest:

**Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council**

Presented by me to the Mayor this 28th day of April, 1976.

**Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council**

Approved and signed by me this 3rd day of May, 1976.

**William H. Hudnut, III
Mayor**

Proposal No. 164, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:32 p.m. and reconvened at 8:33 p.m. During public hearing, Mr. Charles Colton addressed the Council stating opposition to the proposal. Following public hearing, Councilman West spoke regarding Proposal No. 164, 1976.

Following discussion, Councilman West moved, seconded by Councilman Kimbell to adopt Proposal No. 164, 1976. Proposal No. 164, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

16 AYES: *Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.*

12 NOES: *Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rippel and Mr. Schneider. (Mr. Hawkins did not vote.)*

Proposal No. 164, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 28, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 28, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional One Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Three Dollars and Nineteen Cents (\$1,433.19) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of the Prosecutor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of authorizing a Major Fraud/White Collar Crime program for the Prosecutor financed by an L.E.A.A. grant.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Three Dollars and Nineteen Cents (\$1,433.19) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Prosecutor	Crime Control Fund
33. Travel	\$1,253.19
35. Operating Expense	\$180.00
TOTAL INCREASES	\$1,433.19

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	Crime Control Fund
Unappropriated and Unencumbered Crime Control Fund	\$1,433.19
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	\$1,433.19

SECTION 5. This City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the County Auditor or both are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 6. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 26th day of April, 1976.

Beurt SerVaas
President

Attest:

Beverly S. Rippey
Clerk of the City-County Council

Proposal No. 109, 1976. Councilman Howard moved, seconded by Councilman Bayt, to advance Proposal No. 109, 1976, forward on the agenda. The motion to advance was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: *Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.*

3 NOES: *Mr. Clark, Mr. Gorham and Mr. Miller. (Mr. Dowden did not vote.)*

Councilman Bayt then stated he had approximately 31 letters from merchants on East Washington Street in favor of Proposal No. 109, 1976. Councilman Bayt then read a copy of a letter which appeared in the Eastside Herald, written by Mayor William H. Hudnut, III, prior to his election, concerning the parking ban on East Washington Street.

Lengthy discussion followed concerning Proposal No. 109, 1976, during which Mr. Fred L. Madorin, Director of the Department of Transportation; Mr. William E. Bell, General Manager of Metro; and Mr. William K. Byrum spoke regarding the proposal. Mr. Joseph C. Wallace and Mr. Roy Rainey, both representing merchants and citizens on East Washington Street also came before the Council to speak and answer questions of Council members.

Following discussion, Councilman Miller moved, seconded by Councilman Bayt, to accept the amended version of Proposal No. 109, 1976, Committee Recommendation, as approved by the Transportation Committee.

The motion was carried by unanimous voice vote.

Councilman Kimbell moved, seconded by Councilman Gorham, the Previous Question on the main motion. The motion was carried by voice vote.

The Question being called on the adoption of Proposal No. 109, 1976, Committee Recommendation, Proposal No. 109, 1976, Committee Recommendation was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: *Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gorham, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.*

8 NOES: *Mr. Clark, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. SerVaas and Mr. Tintera.*

Proposal No. 109, 1976, Committee Recommendation, was retitled General Ordinance No. 40, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1976

A GENERAL ORDINANCE further amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", and more particularly Chapter 29, Sec. 29-267 & 270, establishing regulations, providing penalties and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, striking Mass Transportation Authority General Ordinance No. 18, 1968, to wit:

Washington Street, on both sides, from
Southeastern Avenue to Edmondson Street.

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Washington Street, on both sides, from
Sheridan Avenue to Edmondson Street

SECTION 3. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-270, Parking prohibited during specified hours on certain days, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

On Any Day Except Saturdays, Sundays or Holidays
from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Washington Street, on both sides, from Southeastern Avenue
to Sheridan Avenue

SECTION 4. This amendment shall be subject to the penalties as provided in Chapter 1, Section 108 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana".

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from January 1, 1977 after adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this day of ,1976.

Beurt SerVaas
President

Attest:

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Presented by me to the Mayor this day of 1976.

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Approved and signed by me this day of 1976.

William H. Hudnut, III
Mayor

(Clerk's Note: Proposal No. 109, 1976, Committee Recommendation. was reconsidered at the continued session on April 30, 1976.)

Proposal No. 168, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 9:20 p.m. and reconvened at 9:21 p.m. During public hearing, Mr. Charles Colton spoke in favor of the proposal. Mr. Herschell Dean of the Department of Parks and Recreation was requested to answer questions of the Council members concerning the proposal.

Following discussion during which Councilman Gilmer spoke regarding Proposal No. 168, 1976, Proposal No. 168, 1976, was passed, on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.

3 NOES: Mr. Dowden, Mr. Rippel and Mr. Schneider. (Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Gorham and Mr. Walters did not vote.)

Proposal No. 168, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 29, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 29, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating and additional Forty-Eight Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$48,500.00) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Department of Parks and Recreation and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Park General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for the expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of Youth Conservation Corps Program at Eagle Creek Park financed by a U. S. Department of Interior grant.

SECTION 2. The sum of Forty-Eight Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$48,500.00) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Department of Parks & Recreation	Park General Fund
10. Personal Services	\$41,755.00
22. Supplies	\$3,225.00
23. Materials	\$1,370.00
24. Current Charges	\$1,800.00
50. Properties	\$350.00
TOTAL INCREASES	\$48,500.00

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions.

	Park General Fund
Unappropriated and Unencumbered Parks and Recreation Fund	\$48,500.00
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	\$48,500.00

SECTION 5. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 26th day of April, 1976.

Beurt SerVaas
President

Attest:

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Presented by me to the Mayor this 28th day of April, 1976.

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Approved and signed by me this 3rd day of May, 1976.

William H. Hudnut, III
Mayor

Proposal No. 170, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 9:35 p.m. and reconvened at 9:36 p.m. During public hearing, Mr. Charles Colton spoke in favor of the proposal. Mr. Marvin Stewart of the Marion County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council, was requested to answer questions of Council members concerning the proposal.

Following discussion during which Councilman West spoke regarding the proposal, Councilman Miller moved, seconded by Councilman McPherson, the Previous Question on the main motion. The motion passed by voice vote.

The Question being called on the adoption of Proposal No. 170, 1976, Proposal No. 170, 1976, was defeated on the following roll call vote; viz:

10 AYES: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Gilmer, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder and Mr. Tintera.

15 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gorham, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Schneider, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. (Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard and Mrs. Journey did not vote.)

(Clerk's Note: Proposal No. 170, 1976, was reconsidered at the continued session on April 30, 1976.)

Proposal No. 120, 1976. By consent, Proposal No. 120, 1976, was advanced forward on the agenda. Councilman McPherson spoke regarding Proposal No. 120, 1976, and advised that there were persons present in Council Chambers wishing to address the Council concerning this proposal. Mr. Jack Lasley, Consumer Credit Counseling Service; Mr. Arthur Northrup, Attorney; and Mr. Ray Dearing, Better Business Bureau; addressed the Council members and answered questions of Council members. Following discussion of the information presented by the above persons, Mr. Norman Neiberger, a private debt counselor, addressed the Council in opposition to the ban on private debt-adjusting businesses.

Following further discussion, Councilman McPherson made a closing statement in support of Proposal No. 120, 1976.

Proposal No. 120, 1976, was defeated on the following roll call vote; viz:

11 AYES: *Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Gorham, Mrs. Hart, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas and Mr. Tintera.*
17 NOES: *Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mr. Campbell, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West. (Mr. Cantwell did not vote.)*

Proposal No. 166, 1976. Following discussion during which Councilman Durnil spoke, Council members asked questions of Mr. Robert N. Kennedy, Director of the Department of Metropolitan Development; Mr. Al Green; and Mr. Robert Levy concerning the proposal, Councilman Patterson moved, seconded by Councilwoman Brinkman to amend Proposal No. 166, 1976, as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that Proposal No. 166, 1976, be amended as follows:

Renumber Section 3 as Section 4 and insert a new Section as follows:
"SECTION 3. This City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the City Controller or both are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue."

Jack F. Patterson
Councilman

The motion to amend was carried by unanimous voice vote.

Following further discussion, Councilman Kimbell moved, seconded by Councilman Bayt, the Previous Question on the main motion. The motion was carried by unanimous voice vote.

The Question being called, Proposal No. 166, 1976, As Amended, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

17 AYES: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Durnil, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

9 NOES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. McPherson, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rippel and Mr. Schneider. (Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Gorham and Mr. Hawkins did not vote.)

Proposal No. 166, 1976, As Amended, was retitled General Resolution No. 5, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 5, 1976

A GENERAL RESOLUTION authorizing expenditure of Three Million Four Hundred Fifty-Eight Thousand Two Hundred and Twenty Dollars (\$3,458,220.00) by the Department of Metropolitan Development in anticipation of a federal grant under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council, in anticipation of a Federal Grant under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, included in the City-County Annual Budget for 1976 an appropriation for various Community Development Program activities, with the understanding that when specific programs had been developed for the expenditure of such funds, the same would be presented to this Council. Said program having now been presented, the Council does hereby approve expenditure of anticipated Title I Funds as stated in Section 2 of this Resolution.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council approves and authorizes the Department of Metropolitan Development to expend appropriations within approved budget levels in accordance with the following expenditure detail by function and programs:

HOUSING	APPLICATION
1. Rehabilitation	
A. Programmatic	\$274,220
B. Target Areas	
1. BT/MK	\$160,000
2. UNWA	175,000
3. Near Westside	140,000
	475,000
	\$749,220

II. Counseling.	
A. Programmatic	\$245,000
B. Target Areas	
1. BT/MK	\$40,000
2. UNWA	25,000
3. Near Westside	25,000
	90,000
	\$335,000
III. Relocation	
A. Programmatic	\$325,000
B. Target Areas	
1. UNWA	\$30,000
2. Near Westside	25,000
	\$55,000
	\$380,000
IV. Spot Demolition	
A. Programmatic	\$820,000
	\$820,000
V. Redevelopment - PK11-2	\$330,000
	\$330,000
VI. Housing Assistance to Developers	\$125,000
	\$125,000
VII. Historic Preservation	\$100,000
1976 Application	\$100,000
VIII. Redevelopment - Concord	\$619,000
1976 Application	\$619,000
TOTAL	\$3,458,220

SECTION 3. This City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the City Controller or both are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 4. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and approval by the Mayor.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 26th day of April, 1976.

Beurt SerVaas
President

Attest:

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Presented by me to the Mayor this 28th day of April, 1976.

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Approved and signed by me this 3rd day of May, 1976.

William H. Hudnut, III
Mayor

Proposal No. 172, 1976. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 11:11 p.m. and reconvened at 11:12 p.m. Following discussion during which Councilman West spoke regarding the proposal, Proposal No. 172, 1976, was passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

26 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bayt, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Gorham, Mrs. Hart, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Rippel, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters and Mr. West.

No NOES. (Mr. Dowden, Mr. McPherson and Mr. Schneider did not vote.)

Proposal No. 172, 1976, was retitled Fiscal Ordinance No. 30, 1976, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 30, 1976

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1976 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1975) and appropriating an additional Thirty-One Thousand Five Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars and Thirty Cents (\$31,558.30) in the Crime Control Fund for purposes of the Prosecutor and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Crime Control Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 6 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1976, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of authorizing a federal L.E.A.A. grant for a Witness-Victim Assistance Program of the Prosecutor.

SECTION 2. The sum of Thirty-One Thousand Five Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars and Thirty Cents (\$31,558.30) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

Prosecutor	Crime Control Fund
31. Personnel	\$31,558.30

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	Crime Control Fund
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Crime Control Fund	\$31,558.30
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	\$31,558.30

SECTION 5. This City-County Council has no intention of supplementing or

financing the agency and/or projects approved herein by use of revenues from any local tax regardless of source. At anytime that knowledge is received that the state or federal financing of this agency or project is, or will be, reduced or eliminated, the supervisor or the County Auditor or both are directed to notify the City-County Council in writing of such proposed loss of revenue.

SECTION 6. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with I.C. 18-4-5-2.

The foregoing was passed by the City-County Council this 26th day of April, 1976.

Beurt SerVaas
President

Attest:

Beverly S. Rippy
Clerk of the City-County Council

Proposals No. 194-198, 1976. No action was taken on Proposals No. 195-198, 1976. Proposals No. 195-198, 1976, were retitled Rezoning Ordinances No. 41-44, 1976, respectively, and read as follows:

Rezoning Ordinance No. 41, 1976 76-Z-24 Lawrence Township
Councilmanic District No. 3
6353 East 82nd Street, Indianapolis
James E. & Betty J. Huffer by John a Grayson, Attorney, 111 Monument Circle
request rezoning of 13.000 acres, being in C-3 district, to C-4 classification
to permit a department store and supermarket.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 42, 1976 76-Z-25 Pike Township
Councilmanic District No. 1
5466 West 86th Street, Indianapolis
Richard R. & James A. Hogshire III, 22 East 22nd Street by William A. Freihofner,
Attorney, 805 Union Title Bldg. request rezoning of 3.87 acres, being in I-4-S
district, to C-4 classification to permit commercial development.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 43, 1976 76-Z-26 Center Township
Councilmanic District No. 16
1407-1431 North Delaware Street, Indianapolis
United Christian M issionary Society, Wallace M. Blume, et al by David Brothers
by Bruce M. Pennamped, Attorney, 708 Union Federal Building request rezoning
of 0.82 acre, being in D-8 district, to C-1 classification to permit medical
office and clinic.

Rezoning Ordinance No. 44, 1976 76-Z-26 Center Township
Councilmanic District No. 22
1017 East Washington Street, Indianapolis
Fred Dorman by James W. Beatty, Attorney, 500 Union Federal Building requests
rezoning of 0.44 acre, being in I-3-U district, to C-7 classification to permit
auto and truck sales, rental and servicing

Proposal No. 194, 1976, was scheduled for public hearing to be held at the City-County Council meeting of May 17, 1976.

President SerVaas announced that keys to the office of the City Clerk were available to all Council members and could be picked up in Room 241 of the City-County Building.

President SerVaas recessed the Council meeting at 11:22 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. April 30, 1976.

We hereby certify the above and forgoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis and Marion County at its Special Meeting on the 26th day of April, 1976.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

ATTEST:


PRESIDENT

(SEAL)


CLERK OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL