

**MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
AND
SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS
OF
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA**

**REGULAR MEETINGS
MONDAY, MAY 8, 2000**

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:09 p.m. on Monday, May 8, 2000, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

Councillor Soards introduced the senior pastor of his home church, St. Luke's United Methodist Church, Dr. Kent Millard, who led the opening prayer. Councillor Soards invited all present to join him in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL

The President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

28 PRESENT: Bainbridge, Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Conley, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Gibson, Gray, Hinkle, Horseman, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Moriarty Adams, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, SerVaas, Short, Smith, Soards, Talley, Tilford
1 ABSENT: Coughenour

A quorum of twenty-eight members being present, the President called the meeting to order.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS AND VISITORS

Councillor Bradford thanked the Marion County Cooperative Extension for providing a dinner and presentation for the Councillors this evening. He recognized Mary Ann Dickason, executive director, and board members Fred Siktberg, George Okantey, Natalie German, Larry Harris, Ann-Marie Hanlon, and Paula McConnell. Councillor Gray recognized a good friend and fellow church member, Jim Fletcher. Councillor Borst wished President SerVaas a happy belated birthday for celebrating his 81st birthday yesterday. Councillor Boyd recognized Indianapolis Public School (IPS) board members in attendance. Councillor Hinkle specifically introduced Dr. Pat Pritchard, president of the IPS board.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

Ladies And Gentlemen :

You are hereby notified the REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, May 8, 2000, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,
s/Beurt SerVaas
President, City-County Council

April 25, 2000

TO PRESIDENT SERVAAS AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the *Court & Commercial Record* on Wednesday, April 26, 2000, and in the *Indianapolis Star* on Thursday, April 27, 2000, a copy of a Notice of Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 270, 271, 272, and 275, 2000, said hearing to be held on Monday, May 8, 2000, at 7:00 p.m. in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,
s/Suellen Hart
Clerk of the City-County Council

May 5, 2000

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have approved with my signature and delivered this day to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Suellen Hart, the following ordinances and resolutions:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 28, 2000 - approves an increase of \$80,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Lawrence Township Assessor (County General Fund) to pay the annual rent for office space in the Lawrence Government Building, financed by fund balances

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 29, 2000 - approves an increase of \$10,600 in the 2000 Budget of the Cooperative Extension Service (County General Fund) to fund the expense of leasing computer equipment for office operations, financed by fund balances

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 32, 2000 - approves an increase of \$118,000 in the 2000 Budgets of the County Auditor and Marion County Justice Agency (Pretrial Release Fund) to fund Failure to Appear staff, to upgrade computer software, to purchase computer hardware, and to replace worn furniture, financed by fund balances

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 33, 2000 - approves an increase of \$17,063 in the 2000 Budget of the of the Marion County Superior Court (State and Federal Grants Fund) to continue the Parent-Child Visitation Program, funded by a grant from Indiana Criminal Justice Institute

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 34, 2000 - approves an increase of \$127,223 in the 2000 Budget of the Department of Parks and Recreation (Park General Fund) to complete trail improvement projects at Juan Solomon Park and the Fall Creek Greenway pedestrian bridge, financed with unspent funds remaining in a 1997 grant from the Lilly Endowment

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 2000 - authorizes a traffic signal at Arlington Avenue and Troy Avenue (Districts 13, 23)

- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 2000 - authorizes a traffic signal at Township Line Road and Westlane Road (District 2)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 37, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at 82nd Street and Illinois Street (District 2)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 38, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at 46th Street and Melbourne Road (District 2)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 39, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at 48th Street and Winthrop Avenue (District 6)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at 36th Street and Layman Avenue (Districts 4, 10)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 41, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at 56th Street and Crestview Avenue (District 7)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 42, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at 56th Street and Carrollton Avenue (District 7)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 43, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at Northgate Street and Primrose Avenue (District 7)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 44, 2000 - authorizes a multi-way stop at Davis Drive and Murray Street (District 19)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 45, 2000 - authorizes intersection controls for Deerfield Village (District 25)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 2000 - authorizes intersection controls for the Fox Ridge Subdivision (District 25)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 47, 2000 - authorizes parking restrictions on Nordyke Avenue from Morris Street to dead end (District 25)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 48, 2000 - authorizes parking restrictions on Carvel Avenue between Rowin Road and 56th Street (District 7)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 49, 2000 - authorizes parking restrictions on Cornell Avenue from 66th Street to 67th Street (District 2)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 50, 2000 - authorizes changes in the parking restrictions on Tenth Street and Rural Street (Districts 10, 15)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 51, 2000 - authorizes changes in parking restrictions on Calvary Street and Fletcher Avenue (Districts 16, 21)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 52, 2000 - authorizes a weight limit restriction on Hanna Avenue between Five Points Road and Southeastern Avenue (District 23)
- GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 53, 2000 - authorizes a weight limit restriction on Par Drive from Guion Road to New Augusta Road (District 2)
- SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 3, 2000 - approves and confirms an agreement for a franchise for a health care transportation system granted by the City to Clarian Health Partners, Inc.
- SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 27, 2000 - recognizes the Indianapolis-Marion County Forensic Services Agency and Dr. Dennis Nicholas
- SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 28, 2000 - recognizes the 50th Anniversary year of the Perry Township Fire Department
- SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 29, 2000 - commends The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. adding up to 300 new Indianapolis jobs
- SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 30, 2000 - approves amendments to the Marion County Sheriff's Department Personnel Retirement Plan

Respectfully,
Bart Peterson, Mayor

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Without objection, the agenda was adopted.

APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The President called for additions or corrections to the Journal of April 24, 2000. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS, AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL NO. 334, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Soards and Schneider, recognizes St. Luke's United Methodist Church and its Senior Pastor Dr. Kent Millard. Councillor Soards read the proposal and presented Dr. Millard with a copy of the document and a Council pin. Dr. Millard thanked the Council for the recognition and commended the City for their efforts to partner with churches and other community organizations to make Indianapolis a better place to live. Councillor Soards moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal No. 334, 2000 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 334, 2000 was retitled **SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 31, 2000**, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 31, 2000

A **SPECIAL RESOLUTION** recognizing St. Luke's United Methodist Church and its Senior Pastor Dr. Kent Millard.

WHEREAS, St. Luke's United Methodist Church at 100 West 86th Street is the largest United Methodist congregation in the upper Midwest; and

WHEREAS, around 3,000 people attend St. Luke's 10 worship services each week, including a special ministry for the deaf and hearing impaired along with their families, and 700 people participate in a contemporary service each Sunday at the Beef and Boards dinner theater; and

WHEREAS, other St. Luke's activities include sending monthly Habitat for Humanity work teams all over the world, a large weekday pre-school, a new \$12.5 million church expansion, and one of the largest Singles Ministries in the nation; and

WHEREAS, the Church is led by Senior Pastor, Dr. Kent Millard, who was educated at Dakota Wesleyan University in Mitchell, South Dakota, and at Boston University School of Theology, later earned his Doctor of Ministry degree at McCormick Theological Seminary in Chicago, and led congregations for 25 years in South Dakota before coming to Indianapolis; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes the active faith community of St. Luke's United Methodist Church, and its Senior Pastor Dr. Kent Millard.

SECTION 2. The Christian faith and works inside the walls of St. Luke's, and in the community and beyond is an important asset and blessing for Indianapolis, and the Council implores the members and friends of St. Luke's that what can be seen today at 86th and Meridian serves only as a prelude—only the genesis—of the unrestrained potential of this dynamic congregation.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 335, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Bainbridge and Tilford, recognizes Arsenal Technical High School Academic All Star winner Benjamin M. Zwickl. Councillor Bainbridge read the proposal and presented Mr. Zwickl with a copy of the document and a Council pin. Mr. Zwickl thanked the Council and acknowledged his parents, teachers, counselors, principal, and the IPS board for providing him with quality educational opportunities. Councillor Gibson stated that as a graduate of Arsenal Technical High School, he is proud to see a student with such a dedication to education and sportsmanship. Councillor Bainbridge moved, seconded by Councillor Tilford, for adoption. Proposal No. 335, 2000 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 335, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 32, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 32, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing Arsenal Technical High School Academic All-Star winner Benjamin M. Zwickl.

WHEREAS, each high school accredited by the Indiana Department of Education may nominate one senior for consideration as an Indiana Academic All-Star; and

WHEREAS, the judging is based upon a combination of SAT or ACT scores, the student's high school grade point average, the types of academic courses taken and achievements, plus extracurricular activities and community service; and

WHEREAS, schools are categorized by enrollment size, and a panel of educators and civic leaders goes to work to select the 40 top Academic All-Star winners from throughout Indiana; and

WHEREAS, IPS's Arsenal Technical High School senior Benjamin M. Zwickl—who scored 1490 out of a possible 1600 on the SAT, and who earned only one grade less than an "A" during his high school years at Arsenal Tech—has been judged one of the 40 top statewide winners in the Academic All-Star contest competition; and

WHEREAS, Benjamin is much more than just an academic star, he is section leader in the school's marching band, pep band and concert band, is active in his Church, has already taken science and math courses at IUPUI, tutors students at IUPUI, and is a member of the National Honor Society; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes and congratulates Benjamin M. Zwickl of Arsenal Technical High School for achieving the top 40 status in the Academic All-Star contest.

SECTION 2. The Council also acknowledges Benjamin's supportive parents David and Beth Zwickl, and all of his classmates and teachers within Indianapolis Public Schools who encouraged and nurtured him through the years, and the Council wishes Benjamin well in the future as he continues his studies at Purdue University.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 336, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Soards, recognizes the public service of Ken Pennington. Councillor Soards read the proposal and presented Mr. Pennington, who is also his stepfather, with a copy of the document and a Council pin. Mr.

Pennington thanked the Council and said that this recognition was a surprise to him. Mary Pennington, Mr. Pennington's wife and mother of Councillor Soards, stated that she is very proud of both of the men in her life. Councillor Soards moved, seconded by Councillor Bainbridge, for adoption. Proposal No. 336, 2000 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 336, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 33, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 33, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing the public service of Ken Pennington.

WHEREAS, Ken Pennington, a graduate of Warren Central High School and of Butler University, has been in public education for 40 years, beginning teaching one year after Alaska and Hawaii became states; and

WHEREAS, after classroom teaching, athletic coaching and guidance counseling in Central Indiana schools for several years, in 1989 he was appointed Director of Transportation for the Metropolitan School District of Pike Township; and

WHEREAS, at Pike Township, Mr. Pennington instilled a strong sense of professionalism, stayed abreast of the tremendous scheduling and logistical complexities inherent with that job including the addition of year around school calendars, and during his years at Pike the fleet of buses had to double due to the considerable growth of the school system's enrollment; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pennington's initiative and vision set the wheels in motion for Pike Township to break ground this fall for a new and much more efficient school transportation facility; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes Ken Pennington's dedicated work for public school children during the past 40 years.

SECTION 2. The Council wishes him well during his retirement as he, and his wife Mary, spend time at their home in Indianapolis, along with some time for what they call "play" at their farm in Kentucky.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 337, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Conley, recognizes the Fletcher Family "Celebration of Life Fund Raiser" for the Walther Family Institute. Councillor Conley read the proposal and presented James Fletcher, co-founder of the institute, with a copy of the document and a Council pin. Mr. Fletcher thanked the Council for the recognition. Councillor Borst commended Mr. Fletcher on the success of the institute and stated that Mr. Fletcher is a very active community volunteer and serves on numerous boards. Councillor Conley moved, seconded by Councillor Talley, for adoption. Proposal No. 337, 2000 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 337, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 34, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 34, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing the Fletcher Family "Celebration of Life Fund Raiser" for the Walther Cancer Institute.

WHEREAS, many of James E. Fletcher, Jr.'s family has seen the terrible scourge of cancer on a very personal level; and

WHEREAS, so on April 14, 2000, to celebrate his own and his daughter's birthdays, Mr. Fletcher organized the Fletcher Family's "A Celebration of Life Fund Raiser" evening party at the Omega Conference Center on Sutherland Avenue; and

WHEREAS, the enjoyable evening attracted 200 friends, and raised \$3,225 for the Indianapolis-based Walther Cancer Institute, of which all donations go to cancer research at such laboratory and clinical research centers as Purdue, Notre Dame, Indiana University and Michigan as well as other Midwestern universities and medical centers; and

WHEREAS, the response to Mr. Fletcher's invitation was so enthusiastic and forthcoming that, Lord willing, he hopes to make this cancer research fund raiser an annual tradition; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes the Fletcher family for organizing the "Celebration of Life Fund Raiser" to help the Walther Cancer Institute fulfill its mission: "To eliminate cancer as a cause of suffering and death."

SECTION 2. Indianapolis is blessed to have citizens like James E. Fletcher, Jr. and his family who see a need, and have the gumption to actually DO something to help a worthy cause.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 338, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Horseman, Knox, and Bainbridge, recognizes the Seventh Anniversary of Butler University's WTBU-TV *Nuestra Musica* broadcasts which highlight the Latino culture. Councillor Horseman read the proposal and presented copies of the document and a Council pin to Marco Dominguez, Production Director of *Nuestra Musica*, and other representatives. Mr. Dominguez thanked the Council for the recognition and invited them to watch the program. Councillor Soards stated, that as a graduate of Butler University, he would like to wish the program continued success and encouraged Councillors to view the program. Councillor Horseman moved, seconded by Councillor Bainbridge, for adoption. Proposal No. 338, 2000 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 338, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 35, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 35, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing the Seventh Anniversary of Butler University's WTBU-TV *Nuestra Musica* broadcasts which highlight the Latino culture.

WHEREAS, in the early 1990's, Butler University and its television station WTBU recognized the demand for programming for the local Latino community as well as the non-Latinos who appreciate learning more about Latino culture and music; and

WHEREAS, Latino music reflects the artistry of many cultures of both the Old and New Worlds; and

WHEREAS, Butler University's broadcasts of *Nuestra Musica* bring Latino music to Indianapolis and serves as an important bridge between cultures and people; and

WHEREAS, under the leadership of Marco Dominguez, *Nuestra Musica* serves the Latino community by offering information on services and activities within the city; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes and congratulates Butler University's WTBU-TV's Seventh Anniversary of bringing the Latino music and cultural broadcasts *Nuestra Musica* to the city.

SECTION 2. With a growing number and variety of ethnic backgrounds and interests by the people of Indianapolis, The Council encourages an even greater number of quality foreign and even locally produced programs for the citizens to be able to learn and experience more about the culturally rich world in which we live.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 357, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Tilford, Black, and Talley, recognizes the public service of Charles Snyder, Chief Operations Officer, Department of Public Works. Councillor Tilford read the proposal and presented Mr. Snyder with a copy of the document and a Council pin. Councillors Talley and Black thanked Mr. Snyder for his years of service and dedication to the City. Steve Quick, president of local Union 725, stated that many union workers are in attendance this evening to support Mr. Snyder and congratulate him on this honor. He added that Mr. Snyder will be greatly missed, and under Mr. Snyder's leadership, there have been no grievances filed in the past three years. Mr. Snyder thanked the Council for the recognition and thanked the employees for their support and hard work. Councillor Black stated that he enjoys seeing labor unions and management working together in this manner, as much more can be accomplished by working together. Councillor Tilford moved, seconded by Councillor Talley, for adoption. Proposal No. 357, 2000 was adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 357, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 36, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 36, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing the public service of Charles Snyder, Chief Operations Officer, Department of Public Works.

WHEREAS, Charles Snyder began as a consultant in 1993 per Mayor Goldsmith's request to serve on a task force to evaluate city services, which resulted in the consolidation of the Departments of Transportation and Public Works; and

WHEREAS, less than two years later Mr. Snyder was hired as Chief Operations Officer for the Department of Public Works, wherein he immediately worked to create an atmosphere of trust and respect among the employees and formed a working partnership between union and management which has been nationally recognized; and

WHEREAS, as a part of the union-management partnership, worker grievances dropped to become exceptionally rare occurrences; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Snyder created a "Second Chance" substance abuse program which helped many employees and their families, increased productivity by breaking down barriers between departments and divisions, actively promoted workplace diversity, and encouraged and supported training for the employees; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes the outstanding public service of Charles Snyder.

SECTION 2. The positive role and influence upon the Department of Public Works which Mr. Snyder set is a testimony to his leadership, and the Council wishes him the very best in his future endeavors.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 306, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution which is an inducement resolution for Pheasant Run Limited Partnership in an amount not to exceed \$13,000,000 or \$16,420,000 to be used for the construction of a 184-unit or a 240-unit multi-family apartment complex located at 1000 South Franklin Road in southeastern Marion County (District 13)"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 309, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution which is an inducement resolution for Capital Investment Group, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$8,000,000 to be used for the acquisition and expansion of 208 one-bedroom, two-bedroom and three-bedroom units in two-story buildings located at 2220 E. Stop 13 Road (District 24)"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 311, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Massie. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$84,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Cable Communications Agency (Consolidated County Fund) to replace outdated video cameras and other related equipment for Channel 16, financed by a cable franchise Public, Educational or Governmental Access Facilities (P.E.G.) grant"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 312, 2000. Introduced by Councillors Smith and Bainbridge. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$600,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Department of Capital Asset Management, Asset Management Division (Transportation General and State Grants Funds) to enhance the 16th Street/Crawfordsville Road Corridor, financed by a state grant (\$100,000) and a contribution from the Town of Speedway (\$500,000)"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 313, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Smith. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$3,599,519 in the 2000 Budget of the Department of Capital Asset Management (State Grants and Transportation General Funds) to continue the reconstruction of streets, landscaping, and storm sewer improvements near the Eli Lilly headquarters complex, funded by contributions from Eli Lilly Corporation and an Indiana Department of Commerce grant"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 314, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Smith. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$100,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Department of Capital Asset Management (Transportation General Fund) to study five major intersections in Marion County for potential operational and safety improvements, financed by a grant from State Farm Insurance Companies"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 315, 2000. Introduced by Councillors Borst and Smith. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves a re-appropriation of \$352,350 in the 2000 Budget of the Department of Capital Asset Management (State Grants Fund) to match federal funds for the widening of Harding Street from Raymond Street to Hanna Avenue, financed with funds remaining on a 1998 Build Indiana grant"; and the President referred it to the Capital Asset Management Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 316, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Cockrum. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$125,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Department of Parks and Recreation (Park General Fund) to acquire a Conservation and Recreation Easement for the purpose of establishing Town Run Trail Park in northeast Marion County, financed by fund balances"; and the President referred it to the Parks and Recreation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 317, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution which approves a conservation and recreation easement, in perpetuity, between the Department of Parks and Recreation and Oliver B. Daughterty, owner"; and the President referred it to the Parks and Recreation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 318, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which amends the Code concerning the annual leave for active deputies in the Sheriff's Department"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 319, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$106,499 in the 2000 Budgets of the of the County Auditor and the Prosecuting Attorney (State and Federal Grants Fund) to provide funding for the supervisor and support costs of the Community Prosecution Unit, funded by the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs (Strategies in Community Prosecution)"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 320, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$7,269 in the 2000 Budget of the Prosecuting Attorney (State and Federal Grant Fund) to amend the Victim Advocate Grant by increasing the federal award for personnel (Crime Victims Assistance Grant)"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 321, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$4,066 in the 2000 Budget of the Prosecuting Attorney (State and Federal Grants Fund) from surplus fringes in the County Auditor's budget to utilize federal funds (Crime Victims Assistance Grant)"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 322, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$59,450 in the 2000 Budget of the Prosecuting Attorney (State and Federal Grants Fund) to provide for the start-up costs of the Community Court, financed by transfers of U.S. Department of Justice funds from the County Auditor, Clerk of the Circuit Court, Marion County Public Defender Agency, and the

Marion Court Superior Court"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 323, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves a transfer of \$5,900 in the 2000 Budget of the Prosecuting Attorney (County Grants Fund) for operating expenses for "A Child's Haven," a waiting room for children in the City-County Building"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 324, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$492,116 in the 2000 Budgets of the County Auditor, Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County Public Defender Agency, County Sheriff, and Marion County Superior Court (State and Federal Grants Fund) to continue the expedited court project for an additional year, funded by a U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Program Grant"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 325, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$130,396 in the 2000 Budget of the Marion County Superior Court (County General Fund) to fund the move of the Domestic Violence Court from G24 to the 4th floor in the City-County Building, financed by fund balances"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 326, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$161,140 in the 2000 Budget of the County Auditor and the Marion County Public Defender Agency (State and Federal Grants Fund) to continue the Sentencing Alternative Program, funded by a grant from the Indiana Criminal Justice Agency"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 327, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$110,402 in the Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division (State and Federal Grants Fund) to appropriate a state grant for the Indiana Juvenile Justice Task Force for the Juvenile Support Services Project"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 328, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$87,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division (State and Federal Grants Fund) to appropriate a state grant for the Indiana Juvenile Justice Task Force for the Juvenile Detention Mental Health & Substance Abuse Assessment"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 329, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$48,719 in the 2000 Budget of the Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division (State and Federal Grants Fund) to continue the state grant for Reach for Youth, Teen Court Project"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 330, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$14,685 in the 2000

Budget of the of Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division (State and Federal Grants Fund) to continue the state grant for Reach for Youth, Adolescent Sexual Adjustment Project"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 331, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an increase of \$42,720 in the 2000 Budget of the Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division (State and Federal Grants Fund) to continue funding for Child Advocates, funded by an Indiana Criminal Justice Institute grant"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 332, 2000. Introduced by Councillors Boyd and Talley. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which requests that the Citizens Complaint Process Working Group be asked to reconvene to review the citizens complaint process"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 333, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which amends the Code concerning compensation of certain special deputies injured on duty"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 339, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Short. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution which determines that the lease of office space at 1525 South Shelby Street is needed for the Marion County Superior Court and other county officials and agencies"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

Councillor Boyd moved, seconded by Councillor Talley, to refer Proposal No. 332, 2000 to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

Councillor Coonrod asked General Counsel Robert Elrod if a Council member has the authority to make such a motion. He stated that he understood that referral to Committees were based on Council rules and were referred by the President. Mr. Elrod stated that the Council can vote to refer the proposal to another Committee if they are so inclined.

President SerVaas called for the sergeant-at-arms to round up Council members who were missing from the chamber in order to reflect a more accurate vote.

Councillor Nytes asked if the next time she is out of the chamber and a vote is called for, if the board will be held open until she can register her vote. President SerVaas stated that he thinks it is fair that Councillor Nytes be allowed to vote if she is in attendance.

Councillor Black stated that he feels this is a very undemocratic process to round up extra votes to insure that the majority prevails.

The motion failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

14 YEAS: Black, Boyd, Brents, Conley, Douglas, Gibson, Gray, Horseman, Knox, Moriarty Adams, Nytes, Sanders, Short, Talley

14 NAYS: Bainbridge, Borst, Bradford, Cockrum, Coonrod, Dowden, Hinkle, Langsford, Massie, Schneider, SerVaas, Smith, Soards, Tifford

1 ABSENT: Coughenour

Councillor Boyd stated that he is the sponsor of this proposal, and the reason he asked for a referral to the Rules and Public Policy Committee is because this proposal deals with a policy issue, and there are several persons or positions mentioned in the proposal, all of which serve on the Rules and Public Policy Committee. He added that the most immediate reason for the motion is because of a prior proposal he introduced regarding the citizen's complaint process that was referred to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee. He stated that without any advertisement for a public hearing, the proposal was brought up before the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee and was tabled. He said that he has not seen that proposal since it was introduced. At the meeting before that proposal was tabled, public testimony was not allowed on the matter at the full Council meeting. At that Council meeting, the President indicated that Committee hearings were the place for public input, and yet this other proposal he introduced was acted on in Committee without any type of advertising or letting anyone know it was being heard, and therefore it did not receive a fair hearing. Councillor Boyd stated that the democratic process should allow for the people to be heard, and it did not allow such with his proposal, which is inexcusable.

President SerVaas asked Councillor Dowden if he knows when the proposal may be heard. Councillor Dowden stated that he will schedule it within the 45-day time frame allowed by Council rules. He added that the proposal to which Councillor Boyd made reference that had not received a fair hearing will again appear before the Committee for further public input. He said that he discussed the matter briefly with Councillor Boyd, who agreed at that time that a sub-committee should be formed to review the citizen's complaint ordinance, as that process had broken down in many areas. He said that the sub-committee hearings and subsequent Committee hearings will allow ample opportunity for further public input on this proposal.

Councillor Talley asked who the members of that sub-committee are. Councillor Dowden stated that the members appointed to that sub-committee are listed in the minutes of the meeting, and Councillor Talley was present at that meeting and knows who those appointments are.

President SerVaas stated that he asked Councillor Dowden if he will schedule this proposal promptly, and Councillor Dowden agreed to do so. Ample public hearing will be allowed at these Committee hearings. He added that he received a letter from Reverend C. V. Jetter asking that the citizen's complaint working group be reconvened. President SerVaas stated that he has written to Robert Turner, the new public safety director, requesting that Mr. Turner review the process to see what went wrong. He said that Mr. Turner agreed to review this process and will meet with President SerVaas soon to present the findings. President SerVaas stated that he will allow time for a public hearing in the full Council if sufficient public input has not been allowed during the Committee hearings and review process.

Councillor Horseman asked if Councillor Dowden can let the members know when Proposal No. 332, 2000 will be heard, so that they can let their constituents who are interested know when the hearing will take place. Councillor Dowden stated that members will be notified in ample time, as they always are, through the meeting notices. Councillor Horseman asked if the proposal will be on the agenda specifically. Councillor Dowden stated that it will.

Councillor Boyd requested that the proposal be heard on May 24, 2000, which gives Councillor Dowden ample time to schedule the proposal without affecting the agenda of his next meeting. Councillor Dowden stated that because of the appointment of the sub-committee, he would like the sub-committee to have the opportunity to meet first to discuss and review the process before further action is taken on these proposals which affect the citizen's complaint process. He said

that during the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee meeting during which this sub-committee was appointed, the Democrat members, although invited to participate and seeming to have interest by one minority member at first, did not wish to be involved in the sub-committee membership. He said that he has not yet talked with sub-committee members to determine whether or not they have met and have any report for the full Committee.

Councillor Boyd stated that this is good information to know, but is not what he asked. He said that he is requesting that the proposal be heard on May 24, 2000. He said that although he and Councillors Talley and Douglas were the sponsors of the previous proposal, none of them were notified that the proposal was going to be acted upon. He stated that he would be extremely naïve to think that this was simply oversight and happenstance.

Councillor Short stated that the President just referred Proposal No. 332, 2000 to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee. The proposal was not referred to a sub-committee, and he asked if it was legal for the sub-committee to hear the proposal under the Council rules.

President SerVaas stated that he would like to close discussion on the matter. He said that proposals dealing with the citizen's complaint process have routinely been referred to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee and he will speak to the chairman and other parties involved to insure that the proposal is heard in a timely manner.

Councillor Short asked for a commitment from the President that Proposal No. 332, 2000 will be heard on May 24, 2000. He stated that it is within the President's prerogative to instruct the chairman when to hold the hearing, as he has done so on other matters in the past.

Councillor Talley said that Councillor Dowden misrepresented action in the Committee when he said that a minority member of the Committee was in favor of the sub-committee. Councillor Dowden stated that he never said a minority member was in favor of the sub-committee, but that one had initially expressed interest before the other members of the minority party decided to oppose the action.

Councillor Massie stated that he did not understand Councillor Dowden to say that he was referring Proposal No. 332, 2000 to the sub-committee, but rather that he simply wanted the sub-committee to meet first to provide feedback on the overall issue before acting on the proposal. He said that there are other Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee hearings scheduled before the allotted 45-day time frame expires, and it is the chairman's prerogative to schedule matters weighing various factors involved. He said that he does not want to see any proposal buried, but does not consequently want to see anything pushed through without proper research and review. He said that this is a terribly important issue and he does not want to see the process hurried along to cause dissension among Council members. He added that he would like to again invite someone from the minority caucus to serve on the sub-committee to insure that the process is fair.

Councillor Boyd stated that the whole purpose of Proposal No. 332, 2000 is to address the process and allow members of the community to become a part of that process. He asked for rationale why he should wait for the chairman's schedule for a proposal that is properly before the Council. Councillor Massie stated that it is the Chairman's prerogative to schedule within the 45-day window, and Councillor Dowden has agreed to schedule within that time frame.

President SerVaas yielded the floor to Councillor Schneider.

Councillor Boyd moved, seconded by Councillor Talley, that Proposal No. 332, 2000 be scheduled for a hearing before the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 24, 2000.

President SerVaas stated that Councillor Boyd is out of order as he has recognized Councillor Schneider. Councillor Boyd stated that he is getting to the point that he does not care if he is out of order.

Councillor Schneider stated that these discussions are regarding two different proposals. The first proposal is to change wording in the present ordinance, and this is the proposal that was assigned to a sub-committee in which minority participation was requested and the minority party decided to protest such an action. Councillor Talley stated that there was no protest. Councillor Schneider stated that there was certainly a protest, and Councillor Talley clearly stated that the minority would not participate.

President SerVaas stated that he has assigned this proposal to a Committee. He urged minority members to participate in any sub-committees to maintain a fair process. He said that Councillor Dowden should investigate all matters that come before his Committee, and if there is a matter that needs further attention, it is within his authority to appoint a sub-committee for further review. He added that he has asked Mr. Turner to look into the problems that the process has had so that remedial measures can be taken. He stated that he will discuss the matter with Councillor Dowden and urge him to add the proposal to the agenda as early as possible within the 45-day period. President SerVaas stated that it is important to recognize the problems that caused this process to break down.

Councillor Boyd stated that this is exactly what Proposal No. 332, 2000 is addressing itself to. Instead of a three-person appointed Republican sub-committee, he is suggesting that the committee be the same as it was during the initial process in a bi-partisan way. He said that he has no buy-in to the sub-committee which Councillor Dowden is proposing. He asked why this proposal, which has been legitimately introduced in this Council, cannot be given a date for hearing at this time. He stated if the Council cannot give this proposal a date for hearing, then this is the end of the discussion.

President SerVaas stated that he is urging Councillor Dowden to hear the proposal in a timely manner. He said that he is expecting Mr. Turner to look into it and would like to hear his response and relay that to Councillor Dowden before action on these proposals.

Councillor Boyd stated that neither Councillor Dowden or President SerVaas do his thinking for him, and the intent of this proposal is to get parties together to review this process. He stated that he is making a very reasonable request by asking when Councillor Dowden will schedule the proposal for public hearing.

Councillor Talley asked what Councillor Dowden and President SerVaas have against public input into this process. Councillor Boyd agreed that this should be discussed.

Councillor Massie stated that he has had his hand up for several minutes, and Council members are being very rude in not yielding the floor to those the President has recognized.

President SerVaas stated that he has sat next to Councillor Boyd for close to 30 years, and this is the first time that Councillor Boyd has not allowed others to speak and broken into other Councillors' speech in such a manner. He stated that even during Councillor Boyd's long

narrations, he has never broken into his speech and said anything untoward. He said that Councillor Boyd has always had great freedom to speak, and is asking tonight that the proposal be referred to another Committee, possibly because he feels the other Committee will have a better hearing. Councillor Boyd stated that he wanted the referral in order to have a fair hearing.

President SerVaas urged Councillor Dowden to schedule the meeting as promptly as possible. He added that he is not in a position to dictate to a chairman when a particular matter should be heard.

Councillor Massie stated that it is troubling to him that inflammatory statements demanding a set time for a hearing are being made during a televised meeting. There are often other factors involved when determining when a proposal can be heard in Committee. It is not always cut and dried. Other proposals and time factors for sufficient public hearing need to be weighed before agendas can be set. Councillor Massie stated that whenever an issue arises in which the minority caucus, especially Councillor Boyd, wishes to exert significant influence in the negative, a breakdown in the protocol of this Council occurs. He stated that when these issues are before the Council, instead of positively working together to address these items, there seems to be complete lack of decorum. He said that the statement of Councillor Boyd saying that he did not care if he was out of order is indicative of the problem in accomplishing the goals of this body.

Councillor Boyd stated that the protocol of this business meeting was destroyed some time ago. Councillor Massie stated that he is not done with his comments and Councillor Boyd has not been given the floor. Councillor Boyd stated that a few moments ago, the President of this Council deliberately held the vote open so that the vote would come out the way the majority party wished at that time. Councillor Massie stated that the fact that the minority members obviously did not take into consideration was that any action requires 15 votes to pass. At the time the minority members asked for the voting board to be closed, the vote was 13-12. Whether it was 13-12 or 14-14, the outcome would have been the same and there were not 15 votes on either side to insure passage or failure. The votes were not present in the room to act either positively or negatively on the motion, and the President was calling for additional votes. Councillor Boyd stated that he is sure that the political process played a part in holding the voting board open. He added that there is no reason why any member of this Council should be concerned about respecting the process of this Council if the President does not.

President SerVaas ruled Councillor Boyd out of order and recognized Councillor Short. Councillor Short stated that when there are issues that people care about, decorum does often fall by the wayside in the name of passion. He said that the President stated that he has never instructed a chairman when to hold a Committee hearing on a particular matter, but that is not true. He stated that he recalls the President asking former Councillor Schneider to pick a date for a hearing during the full Council meeting, and this is simply what Councillor Boyd is asking for. He added that there are only two more meetings scheduled for the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee during the 45-day time period, and asked why it is so hard for Councillor Dowden to choose one of those dates for the hearing.

President SerVaas urged Councillor Dowden to schedule the proposal as promptly as possible, and stated that he will call a halt to discussion on this matter and proceed with the agenda.

Councillor Gray stated that once again President SerVaas has lost control of this meeting and is becoming a very weak leader. Councillor Boyd agreed with Councillor Gray.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NOS. 340-356, 2000. Introduced by Councillor Hinkle. Proposal Nos. 340-356, 2000 are proposals for Rezoning Ordinances certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on May 4, 2000. The President called for any motions for public hearings on any of those zoning maps changes. There being no motions for public hearings, the proposed ordinances, pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608, took effect as if adopted by the City-County Council, were retitled for identification as REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 82-98, 2000, the original copies of which ordinances are on file with the Metropolitan Development Commission, which were certified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 82, 2000.

2000-ZON-013

7202 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 23

GRACE EVANGELICAL CHURCH INC., by Philip A. Nicely, requests a rezoning of 22.546 acres, being in the D-A District, to the SU-1 classification to provide for religious uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 83, 2000.

2000-ZON-015 (2000-DP-004)

6122 EAST TROY AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

WARREN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 13

HOUSZ LLC, by Thomas Michael Quinn, requests a rezoning of 39 acres, being in the D-A District, to the D-P classification to provide for single-family residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 84, 2000.

2000-ZON-020 (Amended)

9220-9260 ROCKVILLE ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 19

TIMMY J. SHROUT, by Philip A. Nicely, requests a rezoning of 9.954 acres, being in the D-A District, to the C-4 classification to provide for commercial development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 85, 2000.

2000-ZON-025

2645 TANSEL ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS

WAYNE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 18

REPUBLIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, by Christopher D. Long, requests a rezoning of 18.72 acres, being in the D-A District, to the D-3 classification to provide for single-family residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 86, 2000.

2000-ZON-026

1050 EAST 38TH STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS

WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 6

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION requests a rezoning of 0.25 acre, being in the D-5 District, to the SU-9 classification to provide for public park land.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 87, 2000.

2000-ZON-027

502 SOUTH SHELBY STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS

CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 16

CALVARY TABERNACLE CHURCH PROPERTIES INC. requests a rezoning of 0.10 acre, being in the D-8 District, to the SU-1 classification to provide for parking for a religious use.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 88, 2000.

2000-ZON-028

6280 NORTH COLLEGE AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 7

MARATHON ASHLAND PETROLEUM, by Philip A. Nicely, requests a rezoning of 0.187 acre, being in the D-4 District, to the C-4 classification to provide for a convenience store/gasoline station and a carwash.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 89, 2000.

2000-ZON-029

2953 AND 2959 NORTH CAPITOL AVENUE (approximate addresses), INDIANAPOLIS.

CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 22

THE DEPARTMENT OF METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT requests a rezoning of 0.30 acre, being in the C-4 District, to the SU-9 classification to provide for a fire station.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 90, 2000.

2000-ZON-030

401 SOUTH PENNSYLVANIA STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

CENTER TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 16

BARRY WIDDUCK requests a rezoning of one acre, being in the I-3-U (RC) District, to the CBD-2 (RC) classification to provide for a commercial parking lot.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 91, 2000.

2000-ZON-032 (2000-DP-007)

6040 EAST THOMPSON ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 23

PROJECTS PLUS, INC., by Thomas Michael Quinn, requests a rezoning of 32.26 acres, being in the D-A District, to the D-P classification to provide for two-family residential, multi-family residential for seniors, offices and neighborhood service and retail development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 92, 2000.

2000-ZON-033

3850 WEST 86TH STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

PIKE TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 1

JOSEPH and ANNE GUY, by Michael D. Keele, request a rezoning of 0.63 acres, being in the D-2 District, to the C-1 classification to provide for office uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 93, 2000.

2000-ZON-035

8145 U.S. 31 SOUTH (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

PERRY TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 20

ILI REALTY ASSOCIATES, by James L. Tuohy, requests a rezoning of one acre, being in the C-3 District, to the C-4 classification to provide for automobile services uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 94, 2000.

2000-ZON-037

5301 GRAY ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

PERRY TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 24

METROPOLITAN SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PERRY TOWNSHIP by, Louis H. Borgmann, requests a rezoning of 20 acres, being in the D-A District, to the SU-2 classification to provide for educational uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 95, 2000.

2000-ZON-038

68 SOUTH SHORTRIDGE ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

WARREN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 13

CIRLCE CITY CAR COMPANY, by Thomas H. Eagle, requests a rezoning of 0.856 acre, being in the D-2 District, to the C-5 classification to provide for commercial automobile sales and display.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 96, 2000.

2000-ZON-039 (2000-DP-008)

3525 EAST HANNA AVENUE (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS

PERRY TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 24

UNITED CHURCH HOMES, INCORPORATED requests a rezoning of 32.5 acres, being in the D-6 District, to the D-P classification to provide for 12 duplex units, a 60-unit apartment building, assisted living facilities, expansion of the health care center, child/adult daycare facilities, and a maintenance garage for an existing elderly housing and health care center.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 97, 2000.

2000-ZON-043

5402 BROOKVILLE ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

WARREN TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 13

SOUTH IRVINGTON CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE requests a rezoning of 0.77 acre, being in the D-5 District, to the SU-1 classification to provide for religious uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 98, 2000.

99-CP-29Z

8835 NORTH RIVER ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.

WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 3

OUTDOOR SYSTEMS, INC., by WILSON S. STOBBER, requests a rezoning of 3.018 acres, being in the D-A District, to the C-1 classification to provide for office use.

Councillor Boyd stated that his motion for the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee to hear Proposal No. 332, 2000 on May 24, 2000, which was seconded by Councillor Talley, is still on the floor and has not been declared out of order to his knowledge. He asked for a vote on this motion to resolve the issue and move on with the agenda.

President SerVaas stated that he did not recognize Councillor Boyd's motion, but if it will put the matter to rest, he will call for a vote on the matter.

Councillor Hinkle asked if a vote in favor of this motion would set the precedent that this Council will start dictating when a Chairman must hear every proposal. President SerVaas stated that a successful vote could set such a precedent.

The motion to set Proposal No. 332, 2000 for a public hearing before the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 24, 2000 failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

14 YEAS: Black, Boyd, Brents, Conley, Douglas, Gibson, Gray, Horseman, Knox, Moriarty Adams, Nytes, Sanders, Short, Talley

14 NAYS: Bainbridge, Borst, Bradford, Cockrum, Coonrod, Dowden, Hinkle, Langsford, Massie, Schneider, SerVaas, Smith, Soards, Tilford

1 ABSENT: Coughenour

President SerVaas urged Councillor Dowden to schedule the proposal as timely as possible. Councillor Dowden agreed to do so.

Councillor Boyd stated that the concern is not that the proposal be heard as soon as possible, but that it be done in a predictable manner, so that the public can know that the proposal is being heard.

Councillor Massie stated that the President has called for a close to this discussion and has not recognized Councillor Boyd. Therefore, additional comments by Councillor Boyd are out of order. President SerVaas stated that Councillor Boyd is indeed out of order, but has stated that he does not care if he is out of order. Councillor Massie asked Mr. Elrod to address the issue of when a Council member is allowed to speak. Councillor Boyd stated that such a discussion is not needed. Mr. Elrod stated that whoever is presiding the meeting, in this case President SerVaas, must recognize and yield the floor to a member allowing them to speak.

PROPOSAL NO. 304, 2000. Councillor Hinkle reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal No. 304, 2000 on May 1, 2000. The proposal is an inducement resolution for Carriage House South Limited, L.P. in an amount not to exceed \$12,000,000 to be

used for the acquisition and renovation of the existing 358 unit Carriage House South Apartments located at 7626 Portage Avenue (Carriage House South Apartments Project) (District 20). By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

Councillor Moriarty Adams stated that she will abstain from voting on Proposal Nos. 304, 305, 307, 308, and 310, 2000 to avoid the appearance of a conflict of interest.

Councillor Hinkle moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, for adoption. Proposal No. 304, 2000 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Bainbridge, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Conley, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Gibson, Hinkle, Horseman, Langsford, Massie, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, Short, Smith, Soards, Talley, Tiford

0 NAYS:

5 NOT VOTING: Black, Gray, Knox, Moriarty Adams, SerVaas

1 ABSENT: Coughenour

Proposal No. 304, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 37, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 37, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the Company; and

WHEREAS, Carriage House South Limited, L.P., an Indiana limited partnership or Community Reinvestment Foundation, Inc., an Indiana nonprofit public benefit corporation (the "Applicant"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission (the "Commission") and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities consist of the acquisition and renovation of the existing 358-unit Carriage House South Apartments located on an approximately 36.08 acre parcel of land at 7626 Portage Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and the creation and retention of opportunities for gainful employment and the creation of business opportunities to be achieved by the acquisition and renovation of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition and renovation of the Project will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating within the jurisdiction of the Issuer; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, is desirable, serves a public purpose, and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer; and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation of business opportunities, and the creation and retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$12,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered if permitted under current Commission policy for the acquisition and renovation of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loan of the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the acquisition and renovation of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition and renovation of the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires on October 31, 2000, unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds has been adopted by this Council prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer, by official action, extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) if applicable, the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year, it being understood that the Issuer, by taking this action, is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in the aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may, and in all probability will, be adopted; (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions; and (3) no portion of such activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed Project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the date which is sixty (60) days prior to the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of monies expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and acquisition and renovation of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the Project to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the Project. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to such date will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project in accordance with the Final Regulations (T 8476) on Arbitrage Restrictions on Tax-Exempt Bonds in particular Section 1.150-2.

SECTION 5. This Council recognizes that the Applicant intends to utilize Low Income Housing Tax Credits, if available, pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or any successor section thereof in connection with the financing of the Project with tax-exempt bonds.

SECTION 6. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 305, 2000. Councillor Hinkle reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal No. 305, 2000 on May 1, 2000. The proposal is an inducement resolution for Light of the World Living Center, L.P.-2000 in an amount not to exceed \$3,000,000 to be used for the construction of a 114 unit elderly apartment facility to be located at 4201 Moller Road (Light of the World Living Center Project) (District 9). By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

Councillor Talley asked if the district Councillor is notified of such inducement resolutions. Councillor Hinkle stated that all district Councillors are notified of projects. James Crawford, bond counsel for the development, stated that the Councillor was sent a packet of information including the application on April 17, 2000 and was asked to contact him if they had questions or

concerns. He stated that he did not receive a response from the district Councillor, but the neighborhood groups are in support of the project.

Councillor Gray stated that he received that information, but did not realize the project was coming before the Council for a vote today. He said that this project was passed under the previous administration under a different name. There were problems with the development, and he does not think the Pike Township Residents Association (PTRA) is aware this is happening. He stated that in the future, more input needs to go into these projects.

Councillor Hinkle asked outside of distributing the packet and meeting notice, what other input is needed. Councillor Gray stated that he would like to see the packet earlier, and this project was not discussed at the neighborhood meetings. Mr. Crawford stated that this project required a rezoning petition and presentation on this project was made to the PTRA and they voted unanimously to support the project.

Councillor Gray stated that he does not have an objection to passing the proposal, but has had an extensive conversation with Pike Township neighborhood administrator, Pat Tutsie, and there were some things that were never discussed in those meetings that should have been. He stated that the decisions had already been made before this packet was sent to him. In the future, the Councillor should be aware of the project before decisions are made.

Mr. Crawford stated that the rezoning decision was made prior to this packet and this inducement, but that is not part of this proposal. Councillor Gray stated that this project is not the original project it started out to be. He said that there have been five different zonings on this property. Mr. Crawford stated that this is the exact project that went before the Metropolitan Development Commission for rezoning and was unanimously supported by the PTRA. Councillor Gray stated that this is not the same project. Mr. Crawford stated that it is the exact same project.

Councillor Hinkle stated that Councillor Gray should have attended the Committee hearing if he had concerns about this project. He said that a packet was sent two weeks before the proposal came before the Committee, and all Councillors get copies of the meeting notice, on which this proposal was listed.

Councillor Talley asked if there are additional opportunities for public hearing on this proposal. Councillor Hinkle stated that this is just the inducement, and the proposal will have to come before the Committee again for final approval.

Councillor Hinkle moved, seconded by Councillor Langsford, for adoption. Proposal No. 305, 2000 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 YEAS: Bainbridge, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Cockrum, Conley, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Hinkle, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, SerVaas, Short, Smith, Soards, Talley, Tilford

0 NAYS:

6 NOT VOTING: Black, Brents, Gibson, Gray, Horseman, Moriarty Adams

1 ABSENT: Coughenour

Proposal No. 305, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 38, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 38, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the Company; and

WHEREAS, Light of the World Living Center, L.P.-2000, an Indiana limited partnership (the "Applicant"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission (the "Commission") and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities consist of the acquisition and construction of a 114-unit multifamily housing residential rental facility to be known as Light of the World Living Center to be occupied by elderly persons located on an approximately 4.807 acre parcel of land at 4201 Moller Road, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and the creation and retention of opportunities for gainful employment and the creation of business opportunities to be achieved by the acquisition and construction of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition and construction of the Project will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, is desirable, serves a public purpose, and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer; and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation of business opportunities, and the creation and retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$3,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered if permitted under current Commission policy for the acquisition and construction of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loan of the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the acquisition and construction of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition and construction of the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires on October 31, 2000, unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds has been adopted by this Council prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer, by official action, extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) if applicable, the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year, it being understood that the Issuer, by taking this action, is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in the aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may, and in all probability will, be adopted; (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions; and (3) no portion of such activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed Project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the

issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the date which is sixty (60) days prior to the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of monies expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and acquisition and construction of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the Project to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the Project. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to such date will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project in accordance with the Final Regulations (T 8476) on Arbitrage Restrictions on Tax-Exempt Bonds in particular Section 1.150-2.

SECTION 5. This Council recognizes that the Applicant intends to utilize Low Income Housing Tax Credits, if available, pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or any successor section thereof in connection with the financing of the Project with tax-exempt bonds.

SECTION 6. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 307, 2000. Councillor Hinkle reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal No. 307, 2000 on May 1, 2000. The proposal is an inducement resolution for Broad Ripple Lakes L.P. in an amount not to exceed \$2,100,000 for the rehabilitation of 398 apartment units in 38 buildings at North Oaks Apartments located at 5018 LeMans Drive (District 6). By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

Councillor Black asked if the developer is ever going to complete this project. Councillor Hinkle stated that the developer used \$7.9 million and has completed the first phase, and this \$2.1 million will complete the entire \$10 million project.

Councillor Hinkle moved, seconded by Councillor Langsford, for adoption. Proposal No. 307, 2000 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Bainbridge, Black, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Conley, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Hinkle, Horseman, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, SerVaas, Short, Smith, Soards, Tilford

0 NAYS:

5 NOT VOTING: Borst, Gibson, Gray, Moriarty Adams, Talley

1 ABSENT: Coughenour

Proposal No. 307, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 39, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 39, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities the funds from said financing to be used for the rehabilitation of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the Company; and

WHEREAS, Broad Ripple Lakes L.P., an Indiana limited partnership or Gough & Gough, Inc., an Indiana corporation (the "Applicant"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission

(the "Commission") and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities consists of the rehabilitation of North Oaks Apartments consisting of 369,917 sq. ft. located at 5018 LeMans Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project").

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and retention of opportunities for gainful employment and the creation of business opportunities to be achieved by the construction of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the construction of the Project will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, is desirable, serves a public purpose, and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the issuer; and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation and retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$2,100,000 under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered if permitted under current Commission policy for the construction of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant for the construction of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires on November 30, 2000, unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds has been adopted by this Council prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer, by official action, extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) if applicable, the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year, it being understood that the Issuer, by taking this action, is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in the aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may, and in all probability will, be adopted; (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions; and (3) no portion of such activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed Project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the date which is sixty (60) days prior to the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of monies expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and construction of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the Project to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the Project. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to such date will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project in accordance with the Final Regulations (T 8476) on Arbitrage Restrictions on Tax-Exempt Bonds in particular Section 1.150-2.

SECTION 5. This Council recognizes that the Applicant intends to utilize Low Income Housing Tax Credits, if available, pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or any successor section thereof in connection with the financing of the Project with tax-exempt bonds.

SECTION 6. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 308, 2000. Councillor Hinkle reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal No. 308, 2000 on May 1, 2000. The proposal is an inducement resolution for Kingsmill II, L.P. in an amount not to exceed \$6,000,000 to be used for the construction of 80 new apartment units (40 new two-bedroom, two-bath, and 40 new three-bedroom units) located at 6363 Commons Drive (District 1). By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Hinkle moved, seconded by Councillor Nytes, for adoption. Proposal No. 308, 2000 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

21 YEAS: Bainbridge, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Conley, Coomrod, Douglas, Dowden, Gibson, Hinkle, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, SerVaas, Smith, Tilford

1 NAY: Soards

6 NOT VOTING: Black, Gray, Horseman, Moriarty Adams, Short, Talley

1 ABSENT: Coughenour

Proposal No. 308, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 40, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 40, 2000

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") is authorized by IC 36-7-11.9 and IC 36-7-12 (collectively, the "act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities the funds from said financing to be used for the construction of said facilities, and said facilities to be either sold or leased to a company or the proceeds of the revenue bond issue may be loaned to the company and said facilities directly owned by the Company; and

WHEREAS, Kingsmill II, L.P., an Indiana limited partnership or Gough & Gough, Inc., an Indiana corporation (the "Applicant"), has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission (the "Commission") and the Issuer that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities consists of the construction of 80 new apartment units consisting of 93,420 sq. ft. located at 6363 Commons Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project").

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and retention of opportunities for gainful employment and the creation of business opportunities to be achieved by the construction of the Project will serve a public purpose and be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the construction of the Project will not have an adverse competitive effect on similar facilities already constructed or operating within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the diversification of industry and the retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer, is desirable, serves a public purpose, and is of benefit to the health or general welfare of the issuer, and that it is in the public interest that this Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage the diversification of industry, the creation and retention of opportunities for gainful employment within the jurisdiction of the Issuer.

SECTION 2. It further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$6,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or publicly offered if permitted under current Commission policy for the construction of the Project and the

sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant for the construction of the Project will serve the public purposes referred to above in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the Project, this Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided (a) that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant and (b) subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires on November 30, 2000, unless such bonds have been issued or an Ordinance authorizing the issuance of such bonds has been adopted by this Council prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon a showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer, by official action, extends the term of this inducement resolution; and (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development revenue bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds (a) this inducement resolution is still in effect and (b) if applicable, the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year, it being understood that the Issuer, by taking this action, is not making any representation nor any assurances that (1) any such allocable limit will be available, because inducement resolutions in the aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may, and in all probability will, be adopted; (2) the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions; and (3) no portion of such activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed Project; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Applicant to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in the Act] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of the Act.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the date which is sixty (60) days prior to the adoption of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of monies expended by the Applicant for application fees, planning, engineering, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, and construction of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the Project to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Applicant for the Project. Also certain indirect expenses incurred prior to such date will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project in accordance with the Final Regulations (T 8476) on Arbitrage Restrictions on Tax-Exempt Bonds in particular Section 1.150-2.

SECTION 5. This Council recognizes that the Applicant intends to utilize Low Income Housing Tax Credits, if available, pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or any successor section thereof in connection with the financing of the Project with tax-exempt bonds.

SECTION 6. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 310, 2000. Councillor Hinkle reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal No. 310, 2000 on May 1, 2000. The proposal is a special ordinance for final action on the Oasis of Hope Development Corporation, Inc. Project in an amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 to be used for the acquisition, construction and rehabilitation of a 185-unit apartment complex on an approximately 526,866 square foot parcel of land to be located at 2372 Beckwith Drive (New Bridges Apartments Project) (District 10). By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Hinkle moved, seconded by Councillor Smith, for adoption. Proposal No. 310, 2000 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: *Bainbridge, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Conley, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Gibson, Hinkle, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, SerVaas, Short, Smith, Soards, Talley, Tiford*

0 NAYS:

4 NOT VOTING: *Black, Gray, Horseman, Moriarty Adams*

1 ABSENT: *Coughenour*

Proposal No. 310, 2000 was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 4, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 4, 2000

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue up to \$4,000,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Adjustable Rate Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 2000 (New Bridges Apartments Project) (the "Bonds") and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

WHEREAS, Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapters 11.9 and 12 (collectively, the "Act") declares that the financing and refinancing of economic development facilities constitutes a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that an issuer may, pursuant to the Act, issue revenue bonds and lend the proceeds thereof to a corporation, partnership or individual for the purpose of financing costs of acquisition or construction of facilities, including real and personal property, for diversification of economic development and promotion of job opportunities in or near such issuer, and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that such bonds may be secured by a trust indenture between an issuer and a corporate trustee; and

WHEREAS, a representative of Oasis of Hope Development Corporation, Inc. (the "Company") has requested that the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Issuer") issue bonds and lend the proceeds thereof to the Company in order to enable the Company to undertake and complete the acquisition, development, rehabilitation and equipping of a 185-unit apartment complex to be known as New Bridges Apartments, located at 2372 Beckwith Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has rendered a report concerning the proposed financing of economic development facilities for the Company and the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County has commented thereon; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to and in accordance with the Act, the Issuer desires to provide funds to finance the acquisition, development, rehabilitation and equipping of the Project by issuing not to exceed \$4,000,000 City of Indianapolis, Indiana Adjustable Rate Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 2000 (New Bridges Apartments Project) (the "Bonds"); and

WHEREAS, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, after a public hearing conducted on April 26, 2000 pursuant to Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapter 12, Section 24 and Section 147(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), found that the financing of the Project complies with the purposes and provisions of the Act and that such financing will be of benefit to the health and welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer intends to issue the Bonds pursuant to a Trust Indenture (the "Indenture") dated as of May 1, 2000 by and between the Issuer and Bank One Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (the "Trustee") in order to obtain funds to lend to the Company pursuant to a Loan Agreement (the "Loan Agreement") dated as of May 1, 2000 between the Issuer and the Company for the purpose of financing or providing reimbursement for the cost of the Project and to pay a portion of the costs of issuance of the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Loan Agreement provides for the repayment by the Company of the loan of the proceeds of the Bonds pursuant to which the Company will agree to make payments sufficient to pay the principal and interest on the Bonds as the same become due and payable and to pay administrative expenses in connection with the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the financing will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating in the same market area or in or about Marion County, Indiana; and

WHEREAS, there has been submitted to the Commission for its approval substantially final forms of the Trust Indenture, Loan Agreement and Preliminary Offering Memorandum, and forms of the Bonds (hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Financing Documents") and this proposed form of special ordinance which are by this reference incorporated herein by Resolution adopted prior in time to this date, which Resolution has been transmitted hereto; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. It is hereby found that the financing of the economic development facilities referred to in the Financing Documents consisting of the Project, the issuance and sale of the Bonds, the loan of the net proceeds thereof to the Company for the purposes of financing or providing reimbursement for a portion of the cost of the Project, and the repayment of said loan by the Company, will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of the Act.

SECTION 2. The forms of the Financing Documents presented herewith are hereby approved and all such documents shall be kept on file by the Clerk of the Council or City Controller. In compliance with Indiana Code Title 36, Article 1, Chapter 5, Section 4, two (2) copies of the Financing Documents are on file in the office of the Clerk of the Council for public inspection.

SECTION 3. The Issuer shall issue its Bonds in one or more series and in the principal amounts not to exceed \$4,000,000 for the purpose of procuring funds to loan to the Company in order to finance or provide reimbursement for a portion of the cost of the Project which Bonds will be payable as to principal and interest solely from the payments made by the Company pursuant to the Loan Agreement to evidence and secure said loan and as otherwise provided in the above-described Financing Documents. The Bonds shall never constitute a general obligation of, an indebtedness of, or charge against the general credit of the Issuer.

SECTION 4. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized and directed to sell such Bonds to the purchasers thereof at a price not less than 97% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued interest, if any, and at a rate of interest not to exceed 15% percent per annum. The Bonds will mature no later than 35 years from their date of issuance.

SECTION 5. The Mayor and City Clerk are authorized and directed to execute those Financing Documents approved herein which require the signature of the Mayor and City Clerk and any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and their execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the Issuer. The signatures of the Mayor and the City Clerk on the Bonds may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and their execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the Issuer. The signatures of the Mayor and the City Clerk on the Bonds may be facsimile signatures. The City Clerk and City Controller are authorized to arrange for the delivery of such Bonds to the purchaser, payment for which will be made in the manner set forth in the Financing Documents. The Mayor and City Clerk may, by their execution of the Financing Documents requiring their signatures and imprinting of their facsimile signatures thereon, approve changes therein and also in those Financing Documents which do not require the signature of the Mayor and/or City Clerk without further approval of this City-County Council or the Commission if such changes do not affect terms set forth in Indiana Code Title 36, Article 7, Chapter 12, Section 27(a)(1) through (a)(10).

SECTION 6. The provisions of this special ordinance and the Financing Documents shall constitute a contract binding between the Issuer and the holder or holders of the Bonds and after the issuance of said Bonds, this special ordinance shall not be repealed or amended in any respect which would adversely affect the right of such holder or holders so long as said Bonds or the interest thereon remains unpaid.

SECTION 7. Rule 15c2-12(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "SEC Rule"), provides that, prior to the time a participating underwriter or placement agent bids for, purchases, offers or sells municipal securities, the participating underwriter or placement agent shall obtain and review an official statement that an issuer of such securities deems a "near final" official statement. The Preliminary Offering Memorandum is hereby deemed final as of its date, except for the omission of no more than the following information: the offering price(s), interest rate(s), selling compensation, aggregate principal amount, principal amount per maturity, delivery dates, ratings and other terms of the securities depending on such matters. The Mayor, the City Clerk or any other officer of the Issuer familiar with the matters with respect to the Issuer set forth in the Preliminary Offering Memorandum is hereby authorized to certify to the underwriter, that the information in the Preliminary Offering Memorandum with respect to the Issuer is deemed to be final within the meaning of the SEC Rule prior to the distribution of the Preliminary Offering Memorandum.

SECTION 8. This special ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with Indiana Code Title 36, Article 3, Chapter 4, Section 14.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal Nos. 270-272, 2000 on April 25, 2000. He asked for consent to vote on these proposals together. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 270, 2000. The proposal approves an increase of \$8,000 in the 2000 Budget of the County Sheriff (State and Federal Grants Fund) as pass-through money for Mothers Against Drunk Drivers (MADD), funded by an Indiana Criminal Justice Institute grant. PROPOSAL NO. 271, 2000. The proposal approves an increase of \$465,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Marion County Justice Agency (Law Enforcement Equitable Share Fund) for a purchase option on 41 leased vehicles that are to be utilized by investigative personnel of the Indianapolis Police Department, financed by fund balances. PROPOSAL NO. 272, 2000. The proposal approves an increase of \$200,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Marion County Justice Agency (Law Enforcement Fund) to pay Gottlieb & Wertz Inc. for contractual services for the JUSTIS system, financed by fund balances. By unanimous votes, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass.

Councillor Soards asked if the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute is a public body and how is it funded. Councillor Dowden stated that it is a public body and is funded through State and Federal grant monies.

The President called for public testimony at 9:06 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 270-272, 2000 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

- 20 YEAS: Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Cockrum, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Gibson, Gray, Hinkle, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Moriarty Adams, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, Short, Smith, Tilford*
- 2 NAYS: Conley, Soards*
- 6 NOT VOTING: Bainbridge, Black, Brents, Horseman, SerVaas, Talley*
- 1 ABSENT: Coughenour*

Proposal No. 270, 2000 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 2000

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 2000 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 98, 1999) appropriating an additional Eight Thousand Dollars (\$8,000) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the County Sheriff and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(y) of the City-County Annual Budget for 2000 be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of Mothers Against Drunk Drivers.

SECTION 2. The sum of Eight Thousand Dollars (\$8,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>COUNTY SHERIFF</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	8,000
TOTAL INCREASE	8,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	8,000
TOTAL REDUCTION	8,000

SECTION 5. Except to the extent of matching funds, if any, approved in this ordinance, the council does not intend to use the revenues from any local tax regardless of source to supplement or extend the appropriation for the agencies or projects authorized by this ordinance. The supervisor of the agency or project, or both, and the auditor are directed to notify in writing the city-county council immediately upon receipt of any information that the agency or project is, or may be, reduced or eliminated.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 271, 2000 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 2000

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 2000 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 98, 1999) appropriating an additional Four Hundred Sixty-five Thousand Dollars (\$465,000) in the Law Enforcement Equitable Share Fund for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Law Enforcement Equitable Share Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(bb) of the City-County Annual Budget for 2000 be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency for a purchase option on 41 leased vehicles that are to be utilized by investigative personnel of the Indianapolis Police Department.

SECTION 2. The sum of Four Hundred Sixty-five Thousand Dollars (\$465,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY</u>	<u>LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUITABLE SHARE FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	465,000
TOTAL INCREASE	465,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUITABLE SHARE FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Law Enforcement Equitable Share Fund	465,000
TOTAL REDUCTION	465,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 272, 2000 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 37, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 37, 2000

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 2000 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 98, 1999) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000) in the Law Enforcement Fund for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Law Enforcement Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02(bb) of the City-County Annual Budget for 2000 be, and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency to pay contractual services to Gottleib & Wertz Inc.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriation is hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY</u>	<u>LAW ENFORCEMENT FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	200,000
TOTAL INCREASE	200,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

	<u>LAW ENFORCEMENT FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
Law Enforcement Fund	200,000
TOTAL REDUCTION	200,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 275, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Coughenour, approves an increase of \$59,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Department of Capital Asset Management (Federal Grants Fund) to pay for the design of a constructed wetland for the Fall Creek Watershed near the Indiana State Fairgrounds, financed with federal funds. President SerVaas reported that the proposal was postponed in the Public Works Committee on May 4, 2000. Councillor Hinkle moved, seconded by Councillor Moriarty Adams, to postpone Proposal No. 275, 2000 until June 19, 2000. Proposal No. 275, 2000 was postponed by a unanimous voice vote.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 161, 2000. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 161, 2000 on March 1, 2000. The proposal was returned to Committee by the full Council on April 10, 2000 and heard again in Committee on April 25, 2000. The proposal approves an increase of \$251,000 in the 2000 Budget of the Marion County Justice Agency (Law Enforcement Equitable Share Fund) to purchase a surveillance vehicle and equipment for that vehicle, ballistic vests, jail security entry system, and SWAT team equipment for the Marion County Sheriff's Department, financed by fund balances. By an 8-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it be stricken. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Borst, to strike. Proposal No. 161, 2000 was stricken by a unanimous voice vote.

Councillor Smith reported that the Capital Asset Management Committee heard Proposal Nos. 276-292, 2000 together. He asked for consent to vote on Proposal Nos. 276-277, 2000 together and Proposal Nos. 278-292, 2000 together. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 276, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Smith, acquires real estate currently owned by Stephen L. Capper that is necessary for the Pogues Run Flood Control Project. PROPOSAL NO. 277, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Smith, acquires real estate currently owned by Florence E. Capper, Stephen L. Capper, and Darla Jo Capper that is necessary for the Pogues Run Flood Control Project. By 8-0 votes, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass. Councillor Smith moved, seconded by Councillor Moriarty Adams, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 276-277, 2000 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Bainbridge, Black, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Conley, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Gibson, Gray, Horseman, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Moriarty Adams, Nytes, Sanders, Short, Smith, Talley, Tilford

0 NAYS:

5 NOT VOTING: Borst, Hinkle, Schneider, Servaas, Soards

1 ABSENT: Coughenour

Proposal No. 276, 2000 was retitled GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 1, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 1, 2000

A GENERAL RESOLUTION establishing that the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana is interested in making the purchase of specified land.

WHEREAS, the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana ("City-County Council") is the fiscal body of the City of Indianapolis pursuant to IC 36-1-10.5-1, et seq; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-1-10.5-5 the City of Indianapolis may purchase land only after the City-County Council passes a resolution to the effect that the City-County Council is interested in making a purchase of specified land; and

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis wishes to purchase a particular parcel of real estate located in Marion County, which is described in Exhibit "A", which is attached hereto and incorporated herein, ("Real Estate"), for a flood control project within the Pogue's Run watershed; and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council, having considered the acquisition of the Real Estate and being duly advised, finds that the City-County Council has an interest in acquiring the Real Estate; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council hereby establishes that the City-County Council has an interest in acquiring the Real Estate.

SECTION 2. For purposes of Revised Code Sec. 151-66 the Real Estate is owned by Stephen L. Capper.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 277, 2000 was retitled GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 2, 2000

A GENERAL RESOLUTION establishing that the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana is interested in making the purchase of specified land.

WHEREAS, the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana ("City-County Council") is the fiscal body of the City of Indianapolis pursuant to IC 36-1-10.5-1, et seq; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-1-10.5-5 the City of Indianapolis may purchase land only after the City-County Council passes a resolution to the effect that the City-County Council is interested in making a purchase of specified land; and

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis wishes to purchase a particular parcel of real estate located in Marion County, which is described in Exhibit "A", which is attached hereto and incorporated herein, ("Real Estate"), for a flood control project within the Pogue's Run watershed; and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council, having considered the acquisition of the Real Estate and being duly advised, finds that the City-County Council has an interest in acquiring the Real Estate; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council hereby establishes that the City-County Council has an interest in acquiring the Real Estate.

SECTION 2. For purposes of Revised Code Sec. 151-66 the Real Estate is owned by Florence E. Capper, Stephen L. Capper, and Darla Jo Capper.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 278, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Borst, authorizes intersection controls for Creekbend Subdivision, Sections 1 and 2 (District 25). PROPOSAL NO. 279, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Hinkle, authorizes intersection controls for Westridge Village, Section 1 (District 18). PROPOSAL NO. 280, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Schneider, authorizes intersection controls for Spring Mill Woods Subdivision (District 3). PROPOSAL NO. 281, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Smith, authorizes a multi-way stop at Bel Moore Boulevard and Samuel Drive (District 23). PROPOSAL NO. 282, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Nytes, authorizes a multi-way stop at 23rd Street and Sheldon Street (District 22). PROPOSAL NO. 283, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Cockrum, authorizes a stop sign at Dollar Hide Drive and Wheel Horse Drive (District 19). PROPOSAL NO. 284, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Douglas, authorizes a multi-way stop at 36th Street and Butler Avenue (District 10). PROPOSAL NO. 285, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Douglas, authorizes parking restrictions on Emerson Court (District 10). PROPOSAL NO. 286, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Gray, authorizes parking restrictions in Moller Village Subdivision (District 9). PROPOSAL NO. 287, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Black, authorizes parking restrictions on Sunset Avenue from Hampton Drive to Lake Road (District 6). PROPOSAL NO. 288, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Nytes, authorizes parking restrictions on Walnut Street between Cleveland Street and East Street (District 22). PROPOSAL NO. 289, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Talley, authorizes parking restrictions on 38th Street North Drive between Whittier Place and Layman Avenue (District 14). PROPOSAL NO. 290, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Brents, authorizes parking restrictions on 12th Street near Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street (District 16). PROPOSAL NO. 291, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Brents, authorizes the deletion of one-way traffic flow on Pine Street between Bates Street and Daley Street (District 16). PROPOSAL NO. 292, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Borst, authorizes a weight limit restriction on Banta Road

from Belmont Street to Tibbs Avenue (District 25). By 8-0 votes, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass. Councillor Smith moved, seconded by Councillor Borst, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 278-292, 2000 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 YEAS: *Bainbridge, Black, Borst, Boyd, Bradford, Brents, Cockrum, Conley, Coonrod, Douglas, Dowden, Gibson, Gray, Hinkle, Horseman, Knox, Langsford, Massie, Moriarty Adams, Nytes, Sanders, Schneider, SerVaas, Short, Smith, Soards, Talley, Tilford*
 0 NAYS:
 1 ABSENT: *Coughenour*

Proposal No. 278, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 54, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 54, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
38	Creekbank Dr Creekbend Blvd	Creekbank Dr	Stop
38	Creekbank Dr Creekbend Ln	Creekbend Ln	Stop
38	Creekbend Ct Creekbend Blvd	Creekbend Blvd	Stop
38	Creekbend Ln Creekbend Blvd	Creekbend Blvd	Stop
38	Creekbend Blvd Edgewood Av	Edgewood Av	Stop
38	Creekbend Cir Creekbend Ln	Creekbend Ln	Yield
38	Creekbend Ct Creekbend Ln	Creekbend Ln	Yield

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 279, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 55, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 55, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
22	Claypoole Dr Lohr Way	Lohr Way	Stop
22	Claypoole Dr Thousand Oaks Dr	Thousand Oaks Dr	Stop
22	Lohr Dr Thousand Oaks Dr	None	All Way Stop
22	Lohr Way Thousand Oaks Dr	Thousand Oaks Dr	Stop
22	Thousand Oaks Blvd. 21 st St	21 st St	Stop

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 280, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 56, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 56, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
3	Braeside Dr N Coventry Rd	Coventry Rd	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
3	Braeside Dr N Coventry Rd	None	All Way Stop
3	Braeside Dr N Dunsmuir Dr	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 281, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 57, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 57, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
48	Bel Moore Blvd Samuel Dr	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 282, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 58, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 58, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
25	23 rd St Sheldon St	Sheldon St	Stop

SECTION 2. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
25	23 rd St Sheldon St	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 283, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 59, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 59, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
37	Dollar Hide Dr Wheel Horse Dr	Wheel Horse Dr	Yield

SECTION 2. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
37	Dollar Hide Dr Wheel Horse Dr	Wheel Horse Dr	Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 284, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 60, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 60, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
19	36 th St Butler	Butler Av	Stop

SECTION 2 The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-416, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
19	36 th St Butler	None	All Way Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 285, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 61, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 61, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Emerson Court, on the north side, from a point 160 feet west of Emerson Avenue to Emerson Avenue

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 286, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 62, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 62, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Courtfield Drive, on the eastside, from Moller Road to east end of the cul-de-sac

Fullwood Court, on the west side, from Courtfield Drive to the east end of the cul-de-sac

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 287, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 63, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 63, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Sunset Avenue, on the west side, from Hampton Drive to Lake Road

Sunset Avenue, on the east side, from a point 100 feet south of 46th Street to a point 100 feet north of 46th Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 288, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 64, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 64, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Walnut Street, on the north side, from a point 75 feet west of East Street
to a point 115 feet west of East Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 289, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 65, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 65, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 621-121, Parking prohibited at all times on certain streets, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Thirty-eighth Street North Drive, on both sides,
from a point 200 feet west of Layman Avenue to Layman Avenue

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 290, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 66, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 66, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 621-124, Parking prohibited during specified hours on certain days.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 621-124, Parking prohibited during specified hours on certain days, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

NO PARKING ON ANY DAY
EXCEPT SATURDAYS, SUNDAYS, AND HOLIDAYS
From 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Twelfth Street, on the north side, from a point 200 feet west of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street
to Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 291, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 67, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 67, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-342, One-way streets and alleys designated.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-342, One-way streets and alleys designated, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

ONE-WAY SOUTHBOUND

Pine Street, from Washington Street to Bates Street

Pine Street, from Washington Street to Maryland Street

SECTION 2. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-342, One-way streets and alleys designated, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

ONE-WAY SOUTHBOUND

Pine Street, from Washington Street to Daly Street

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 292, 2000 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 68, 2000, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 68, 2000

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," Sec. 441-364, Trucks on certain streets restricted.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Revised Code of the Consolidated City and County," specifically, Sec. 441-364, Trucks on certain streets restricted, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

11,000 POUNDS GROSS WEIGHT

Banta Road, from Belmont Street to Tibbs Avenue

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

NEW BUSINESS

Councillor Black moved, seconded by Councillor Talley, to strike Proposal No. 38, 2000, which has been tabled in the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee and is listed under pending proposals in the agenda.

PROPOSAL NO. 38, 2000. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Dowden and Talley, reappoints Leah Orr to the Citizens Police Complaint Board. Councillor Black stated that the candidate no longer wishes to serve on this board. Proposal No. 38, 2000 was stricken by a unanimous voice vote.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

The President said that the docketed agenda for this meeting of the Council having been completed, the Chair would entertain motions for adjournment.

Councillor Boyd stated that he had been asked to offer the following motion for adjournment by Councillor Brents in memory of Cora Sloss Reed

Councillor Boyd moved the adjournment of this meeting of the Indianapolis City-County Council in recognition of and respect for the life and contributions of Cora Sloss Reed. He respectfully asked the support of fellow Councillors. He further requested that the motion be made a part of the permanent records of this body and that a letter bearing the Council seal and the signature of the President be sent to the family advising of this action.

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 9:18 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 8th day of May, 2000.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.



President

ATTEST:



Clerk of the Council

(SEAL)