

REGULAR MEETING.

COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

MONDAY, November 16, 1914.

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis met in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, November 16, 1914, at 7:30 o'clock, in regular session, President John F. Connor in the chair.

Present: The Hon. John F. Connor, President of the Common Council, and eight members, viz.: Messrs. Barry, Young, McGuff, Miller, Porter, Lee, Graham and Shea.

Mr. Porter moved that the reading of the Journal be dispensed with. Carried.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 6, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council, Indianapolis, Ind.:

GENTLEMEN—I have approved and signed the following ordinances, to-wit:

1. Special Ordinance No. 7, 1914, the same being an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance to change the name of the street now known as Holton Place, between Boulevard Place and the Central Canal, to Seventeenth Street."

2. Special Ordinance No. 8, 1914, the same being an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance to change the name of the first street north of Sixteenth Street, running from Boulevard Place westward to its terminus east of Fall Creek, to Sixteenth Place."

3. Appropriation Ordinance No. 34, 1914, the same being an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance appropriating \$6,600 to the Water Fund and \$2,000 to the Blank Book, Printing and Advertising Fund of the Department of Public Works, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect."

I return the above ordinances herewith.

Yours very truly,

J. E. BELL,

Mayor.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT,

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 6, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council, Indianapolis, Ind.:

GENTLEMEN—I have approved and signed General Ordinance No. 48, 1914, the same being an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance authorizing the sale of eighty (80) bonds of one thousand \$1,000) dollars each of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, payable from the general revenues and funds of said city, or from the Sinking Fund of said city, or as may be required by law, for the purpose of procuring money to be used for the purpose of purchasing ground, erecting two engine houses, purchasing apparatus, equipment and supplies, and repairing building for the use of the Department of Public Safety; providing for the time and manner of advertising sale of bonds and of the receipt of bids for the same, together with the mode and terms of sale, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect."

I return the said ordinance herewith.

Yours very truly,

J. E. BELL,

Mayor.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT,

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 2, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council, Indianapolis, Ind.:

GENTLEMEN—I have approved and signed the following ordinances, to-wit:

1. General Ordinance No. 62, 1914, the same being entitled, "An ordinance transferring \$2,500 from the Fund Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys to Fund Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Salaries and Wages, of the Department of Public Works; and fixing a time when the same shall take effect."

2. Appropriation Ordinance No. 35, 1914, the same being an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance appropriating \$1,500 to the Department of Law for compromise settlement with Nellie B. Wilson, administratrix."

I return both of the said ordinances.

Yours very truly,

J. E. BELL,

Mayor.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 12, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council, City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN—I return herewith, without my approval, General Ordinance No. 61, 1914, the same being an ordinance entitled, "An ordinance amending Clause B of Section 5 of an ordinance entitled 'Regulating of Street Traffic in the City of Indianapolis and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith, being General Ordinance No. 30, 1914, approved Monday, July 1, 1914.'"

I withhold my signature from this ordinance for the reason that there is an irreconcilable conflict between the title to the ordinance and the body thereof.

In the title of the ordinance it states that it is "An ordinance amending Clause B, of Section 5, of an ordinance, etc." In the body of the ordinance, in Section 1, it is provided "That Clause B, of Section 7, of an ordinance entitled, etc.," to be amended in the manner provided therein.

The subject matter of every ordinance must be covered by the title thereto, otherwise the ordinance would be of no force or affect. Since the title to the ordinance in question states that it is for the purpose of amending Clause B, of Section 5, of a certain ordinance, and the body of the ordinance provides that it is Clause B of Section 7 that is amended, there is, therefore, such conflict between the title and the body of the ordinance as to render it illegal and void. For that reason I return the same herewith without my approval.

Very truly yours,

J. E. BELL,

Mayor.

By Mr. McGuff:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN—I move you that General Ordinance No. 61, 1914, be passed over the Mayor's veto.

ED MCGUFF.

The roll was called and General Ordinance No. 61, 1914, failed to pass over the Mayor's veto, by the following vote:

Ayes, 5, viz.: Messrs. Young, McGuff, Lee, Graham and President John F. Connor.

Noes, 4, viz.: Messrs. Barry, Miller, Porter and Shea.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.
INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 2, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council, Indianapolis, Ind.:

GENTLEMEN—I return herewith without my approval Special Ordinance No. 6, 1914, the same being an ordinance entitled "An ordinance annexing certain territory to the City of Indianapolis, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect," the said ordinance being commonly known as the Broad Ripple Annexation Ordinance.

I regret that I cannot approve this ordinance, but am compelled to withhold my approval on account of what I believe to be for the best interests of the City of Indianapolis and also for the best interests of the residents and property owners of the territory sought to be annexed.

I do not favor the policy of making wholesale and irregular annexations to the city, regardless of the question of whether or not the territory covered is being used for residence purposes or farming purposes.

The ordinance in question attempts to extend the city limits northward a distance of about three miles. It includes all of the land lying between White River and the Monon Railroad, taking in the territory known as Broad Ripple and extending across White River north of Broad Ripple and including a narrow strip of land about three-quarters of a mile in length on the west side of White River north of Broad Ripple, and also taking in some irregular tracts of land upon the east side of White River lying to the east of Broad Ripple. Much of this territory covered is used for farming and gardening purposes and will not for many years be subdivided for city purposes.

One of the reasons especially urged for the annexation of this territory is that city improvements, such as sewers, streets and sidewalks, might be made. The most urgent question now presented in that regard is the construction of the large main sewer in College avenue running north to Fiftieth street, steps for the construction of which have already been taken by the Board of Public Works, and the petition therefor is now pending in the Circuit Court, in order that the territory outside of the present corporate limits of the city can be assessed.

The annexation of the territory covered by this ordinance will not aid in the matter of the construction of this main sewer, for the reason that much of the territory which will be drained by this sewer is omitted from the ordinance. The territory lying between Forty-sixth street and Fiftieth street and east of the Monon tracks over to the Fall Creek and White River Gravel Road should be assessed for the construction of this sewer, but it was omitted from the ordinance in question. Even with this territory annexed it will be necessary for the matter to be referred to the Circuit Court just as is now being done in order to assess this particular territory.

There are many miles of improved highway in the territory sought to be annexed which are now cared for by the County Commissioners out of county funds, and they are kept in good condition owing to the fact that the county has ample funds with which to do so. If this territory should be annexed it would be impossible for the city to care for these roads, which would then become city streets, owing to the limited funds available under the city's present financial condition. Not only would the duty of keeping these highways in repair fall upon the city, but the city would be compelled to care for the policing and lighting of all this territory which would result in a tremendous increased cost to the city's operating expenses.

As against this, the argument will be made that the city will receive the taxes for this territory. While that is true, all of this revenue would not proper maintenance of the highways, the lighting and the policing of this be sufficient to meet one-half of the increased cost to the city for the territory. The real result would be that the city would be unable to meet the tremendous increased cost on account of the taking over of this territory, and, the county being relieved from keeping the highways and bridges in repair, the highways would be poorly cared for, all of which would result in a general dissatisfaction among the residents of this annexed territory.

There is no real and good reason why Broad Ripple should be annexed to the City of Indianapolis. They now have a five-cent street car fare, with an organized municipal government to look after and care for the territory included in the boundary of Broad Ripple. Extending an imaginary line known as the city boundary around Broad Ripple does not bring that territory any nearer to the center of the city, and can result in no good to the territory unless the city is financially able to care for it and the property situated therein is adapted for early city uses. A number of persons have urged me to approve this ordinance, while a like number have also earnestly asked that I shall withhold my approval; but I have not allowed myself to be influenced by reason of the personal solicitation and personal interest of those who have talked to me upon this subject. I am only taking into consideration what I deem to be for the best interest of the City of Indianapolis.

There is no question that a large tract of territory lying immediately north of the present corporate limit of the city and extending up to Fiftieth street and extending as far east as the Fall Creek and White River Gravel Road could be very properly added to the city, because this territory is now readily adapted to city uses. This would enable the extension of the sewer system which is now contemplated.

Broad Ripple is a separate municipal corporation, and should not be brought within our corporate limits by merely extending a long, narrow strip to the northward for the purpose of taking it in, but should continue to conduct its affairs under its present government until the territory intervening has been abandoned for farming and gardening purposes and has qualified itself to become city property.

Owing to the fact that I earnestly believe the annexation of all of the immense territory covered by this ordinance in its present form would bring disastrous results both to the City of Indianapolis and to the people residing in the new territory, I am sure that this ordinance should not be passed at this time. I have become convinced that the greater part of the sentiment which has been created in favor of annexation has been created by the owners of real estate and real estate promoters, most of whom do not reside within the territory sought to be annexed. This interest should not be permitted to influence you in the consideration of this ordinance.

I therefore am compelled to return this ordinance without my approval, and earnestly hope that the members of the Common Council will agree with me in this matter.

Yours very truly,

J. E. BELL,
Mayor.

By Mr. Young:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the Common Council, City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN—I move you that Special Ordinance No. 6, 1914, be passed over the veto of the Mayor. This is the Broad Ripple Annexation Ordinance.
W. T. YOUNG.

The roll was called and Special Ordinance No. 6, 1914, was passed over the Mayor's veto, by the following vote:

Ayes, 6, viz.: Messrs. Young, McGuff, Porter, Lee, Graham and President John F. Connor.

Noes, 3, viz.: Messrs. Barry, Miller and Shea.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

From City Controller:

FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.
INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 5, 1914.

To the Honorable, the President and Members of the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN—I submit herewith request from the Board of Public Works for transfer from its Sprinkling Fund the following amounts, to-wit: To Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Salaries and Wages, \$4,000; to Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Accounts, \$3,500. I recommend these transfers, and inclose ordinance for that purpose.

Respectfully,

J. P. DUNN,
City Controller.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 5, 1914.

Hon. Jacob P. Dunn, City Comptroller, Indianapolis, Indiana:

DEAR SIR—You are requested to recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance transferring the following amounts from the Sprinkling Fund: To Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Salaries and Wages, \$4,000; Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Accounts, \$3,500.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

From City Controller:

FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the Honorable, the President and Members of the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN—I submit herewith letters from the Department of Public Works asking transfers of appropriations as follows:

Automobile Chauffeur to Auto Maintenance and Repair, \$308.

Salaries Board of Public Works and Office Force to Tomlinson Hall Janitors, \$649.07.

Street Repair, Asphalt, Accounts, to Street Repair, Salaries and Wages, \$2,000.

I recommend these transfers and inclose ordinance providing for them

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. DUNN,
City Controller.
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.
INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 11, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—We respectfully request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance transferring the sum of \$308 from the fund for Automobile Chauffeur to Auto Maintenance and Repair Fund.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 11, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—We respectfully request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance transferring the sum of \$649.07 from the fund for Salaries of Board of Public Works and Office Force to fund for Tomlinson Hall Janitors.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 11, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—We respectfully request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance transferring \$2,000 from the Street Repair, Asphalt, Account Fund to the Street Repair, Salaries and Wages Fund.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

From City Controller:

FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the Honorable, the President and Members of the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN—I submit herewith letters from the Board of Public Works asking additional appropriations as follows:

To City Civil Engineer Inspectors, Salaries and Wages, \$4,000.

To City Civil Engineer and Office Corps, Salaries and Wages, \$4,000.

Street Repairs, Asphalt, Salaries and Wages, \$6,129.

Street Maintenance, Unimproved, Salaries and Wages, \$809.

City Hall Employees, Salaries and Wages, \$3,500.

I recommend these appropriations, and inclose ordinance providing for them.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. DUNN,
City Controller.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—We request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance appropriating \$4,000 to the C. C. E. Inspectors, Salaries and Wages Fund; \$4,000 to the C. C. E. and Office Corps, Salaries and Wages.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,

GEORGE B. GASTON,

Board of Public Works.

OFFICE OF THE

CITY CIVIL ENGINEER.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the Board of Public Works:

GENTLEMEN—I beg to report that the appropriations for salaries for the office force and for inspectors in this department are exhausted. During the year all of the salaries, except salaries for men at work on track elevation, have been paid out of the fund appropriated for the office and for inspectors. The salaries of the engineering corps at work on river survey and for draughtsmen in the office, for this work, were paid out of this fund, while last year there was a special fund for this purpose. Last year an appropriation of \$3,500 was asked on November 17 for City Civil Engineer Inspectors, Salaries and \$1,700 for Office Salaries. I estimate that for the work now in progress which requires inspection and the work necessary to be done in connection with the plans for sewage disposal and for other work, \$8,000 will be needed, of which \$4,000 will be required for office salaries and \$4,000 for inspectors' salaries.

I would recommend that you request the Controller to ask for an appropriation of \$8,000 for this department.

Very truly yours,

B. J. T. JEUP,

*City Civil Engineer.*DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 11, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—The Street Commissioner has spent \$6,129 from the Street Repair, Asphalt, Salaries and Wages Fund in making repairs for plumbers and public service corporations, which money, when collected, goes into the general fund.

We therefore request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance appropriating the sum of \$6,129 to the Street Repair, Asphalt, Salary and Wages Fund.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 11, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—On account of a controversy between the contractor for the improvement of LeGrande avenue and the Beech Grove Traction Company as to who should make certain repairs to the street, the board had the repairs made by the Street Commissioner at a cost of \$809, which we expect to recover by suit against the contractor and the traction company.

We wish to have the Street Maintenance, Unimproved, Salaries and Wages Fund replenished by an appropriation of \$809, and request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance appropriating that sum.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—The appropriation for City Hall janitors and other employes in the budget for 1914 was reduced to a figure that was entirely insufficient to pay for the proper number of employes, and while this board has reduced the force, we will still be in need of \$3,500 to meet the pay roll of necessary employes, and therefore request that you recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance appropriating the sum of \$3,500 to the City Hall Employes, Salaries and Wages.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

From City Controller:

FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.
INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the Honorable, the President and Members of the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN—I submit herewith letters from the Department of Law asking for additional appropriations of \$300 for transcripts, printing of briefs and miscellaneous; \$435 for trial of case of Hipwell against the City; and of \$1,500 for compromise settlement of the claim of Laura Scheuermann. I recommend these appropriations and inclose ordinance for them.

Respectfully submitted,
J. P. DUNN,
City Controller.

DEPARTMENT OF LAW,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.
INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 10, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, City:

DEAR SIR—This department has left in its fund for Transcripts, Printing of Briefs and Miscellaneous only \$99.77. This amount will not be sufficient to carry the department through the remainder of the year. The exact amount cannot be estimated in advance with any degree of accuracy, and the department has no power to order transcripts for appeals in excess of appropriations on hand, and a condition might arise where the rights of the City to appeal might be lost by reason of deficiency in the appropriation.

I therefore ask that you request from the Common Council an appropriation for this department. I am inclined to think the appropriation ought to be \$300; any unused portion will revert to the general fund at the end of the year.

Yours truly,
WM. A. PICKENS,
Corporation Counsel.

DEPARTMENT OF LAW,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.
INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 11, 1914.

Mr. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis Indiana:

DEAR SIR—The Department of Law is in need of an appropriation of \$1,500 for a compromise settlement with Laura Scheuermann and _____ Scheuermann, her husband. Mrs. Scheuermann has brought suit against the city for damages for a personal injury resulting from a fall on a defective sidewalk. The place of the accident was 1445 Shelby street on the 3d of March, 1914. Mrs. Scheuermann was walking along said sidewalk

and was thrown to the ground by the tipping of a brick in the sidewalk. She sustained a shock which resulted in the sprain of her left knee and a hernia in her left side. By an operation the hernia was removed; the knee, however, will be permanently stiff. Her doctor bill due to said injury amounted to over \$800. There is no question as to the liability of the city, as the sidewalk at 1445 Shelby street on the date of the accident was in very bad condition—was full of large holes several feet in diameter and three to four inches in depth.

It is the opinion of this department that \$1,500 would be a good settlement for the city.

Yours very truly,

RUSSELL J. RYAN,

Assistant City Attorney.

DEPARTMENT OF LAW,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

Hon. Jacob P. Dunn, Controller of the City of Indianapolis, City:

DEAR SIR—Beg leave to report that the case of Jennie V. Hipwell vs. City of Indianapolis, cause No. ———, filed in Marion Superior Court, has been taken in change of venue to the Morgan Circuit Court. That there will be necessarily subpoenaed one hundred witnesses, and under the laws we must tender each witness the legal fees for traveling to and from the court and one day's attendance at the time of the service of the subpoena, making in each case, mileage 31, at 10c, \$3.10, and \$1.25, total \$4.35. One hundred witnesses would require \$435.

This appropriation is necessary at this time, as this is a most important damage suit, having been tried twice before with hung jury each time. The case is set for trial at Martinsville on Monday morning, November 23, 1914. There are not sufficient funds on hand in the legal department to meet this expense, and the total appropriation should be allowed, as the small balance on hand in the legal department is needed for the use of such department.

Respectfully submitted,

FRED E. BARRETT,

City Attorney.

I concur in the request for this appropriation.

WM. A. PICKENS,

Corporation Counsel.

From City Controller:

FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the Honorable, the President and Members of the Common Council:

GENTLEMEN—I submit herewith letter from the Board of Public Works

requesting a bond issue of \$60,000 for funds to acquire real estate necessary for the bridge over White River at Washington street, and to remove obstructions from the river. I recommend the bond issue, and inclose ordinance for that purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. DUNN,
City Controller.

Inclosure.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

Hon. J. P. Dunn, City Controller, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR—You are respectfully requested to recommend to the Common Council the passage of an ordinance authorizing a bond issue of \$60,000 to be used to acquire property on the west side of White River, north and south of Washington street, in connection with the new Washington street bridge; also to make possible a change in the temporary bridge, as recommended by the City Civil Engineer in a communication submitted to the Board of Public Works on November 16, 1914, which recommendation is herewith attached.

Yours very truly,

J. A. RINK,
GEORGE B. GASTON,
Board of Public Works.

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CIVIL ENGINEER.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

Joseph A. Rink, James E. Troy, George B. Gaston, Board of Public Works, City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN—In the construction of the West Washington street bridge and the lengthening of the same in order to provide greater waterway, the west span of the bridge will extend in front of certain properties on the north and south sides of Washington street. It will therefore be necessary to acquire this property for the new channel.

Excavations already made for the west abutment and piers have proceeded to such depth that this property is in danger of being undermined. The west pier and abutments will cut off the temporary pile bridge unless other provision is made for the extension of the temporary bridge to the north through properties on the north side of Washington street.

Appraisers have been appointed by your board to appraise the properties to be acquired, which are as follows:

Lot No. 7 in French's subdivision of outlot No. 4 west of White River, belonging to S. S. Rhodes.

Lots Nos. 4, 5 and 6 and 25 feet off west side of lot No. 3 in French's subdivision of outlot No. 4 west of White River, in the name of B. F. Prunk.

Lot No. 2 and 5 feet off east side of lot No. 3 in French's subdivision of outlot No. 4 west of White River, in the name of W. Ogle.

That portion of outlot No. 1 belonging to W. H. Morrison running from Washington street south to the east side of Parry avenue.

Lots Nos. 1, 2 and 3 and 6 inches off of the west side of lot No. 4 in A. Kaufman's subdivision of outlot No. 2 west of White River, in the name of J. G. Voss.

It may be necessary to acquire the south part of outlot No. 1 west of White River immediately south of W. H. Morrison's property, which is in the name of Sylvester Johnson.

The value of the property to be acquired, according to the report of the appraisers, is from \$42,000 to \$50,000. It is very important that this property be acquired at once so that the necessary change can be made in the construction of the temporary bridge and roadway at West Washington street, in order that travel over this bridge will not be interrupted.

I wish to call your attention to another matter. On October 9 I wrote you requesting you to obtain an opinion from the Corporation Counsel in regard to the removal of 40,000 cubic yards of material which form encroachments to the channel of White River from a point immediately north of Oliver avenue bridge to the mouth of the Kentucky avenue sewer south of Kentucky avenue on the east side of the river.

The Corporation Counsel advises me that we have the authority to enter on the premises to remove these obstructions without condemnation proceedings. He advises that the owners of property be given notice to remove obstructions from the stream. If the owners of property fail to comply with this order the city has the power to enter on the premises and remove the obstructions and to recover the cost of the same by suit from the property owners. The estimated cost of removing these obstructions based on 30c per cubic yard is \$12,000.

I would recommend that you request the City Controller to ask the Common Council to authorize a bond issue of \$60,000 to cover the cost of the property necessary for the extension of the West Washington street bridge and to defray the cost of removing encroachments on the channel of White River from a point immediately north of Oliver avenue to the mouth of the Kentucky avenue sewer.

Respectfully submitted,

B. J. T. JEUP,

City Civil Engineer.

From the Department of Law :

DEPARTMENT OF LAW,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., October 29, 1914.

Mr. John F. Connor, President of the Common Council, 931 West New York Street, City:

DEAR SIR—The franchise of the Citizens' Gas Company requires it to put in, at its own expense, service connections from its mains to the curb line of the property owner who is desirous of using the company's gas.

I find that this provision of the franchise of the company is partly nullified, where streets are being newly paved, by a city ordinance, approved June 26, 1907, beginning with Section 1007 of the Revision of 1910. This ordinance requires the city, when making an improvement on a street, to provide in its contract with the contractor constructing the pavement that such contractor shall construct service pipe connections with the gas mains at the expense of the property owners.

I am informed by the City Civil Engineer that it has been the practice for contractors to have this work done by the gas company and pay the gas company therefor, so that the practical result of this ordinance of 1907 is that the company gets pay from the property owner for doing what it is required to do under its franchise at its own expense. The cost of putting in the connections when the street is paved is borne by the contractor as a part of the expense of laying the pavement and this expense is assessed against the property owner. There is no way the city can avoid this injustice to the property owner so long as this ordinance is in effect.

In 1903 an ordinance was approved requiring this work to be done by the company whenever pavements might be built. This ordinance of 1903 is repealed by the ordinance of 1907. It is altogether probable that it was adopted for the sole purpose of relieving the gas company of a considerable expense in the performance of its duty under its franchise.

I am handing you herewith an ordinance which is intended to relieve the property owners of this charge for service connections, and which is intended to compel the gas company to perform the condition of its franchise. It is entirely within the powers of the Council to pass such an ordinance and protect the property owners, and the Mayor and Board of Public Works are anxious that it should be passed. I trust you will have some member of the Council introduce this ordinance and pass it at as early a date as conditions may permit.

Yours truly,

WM. A. PICKENS,
Corporation Counsel.

REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

From the Committee on Public Works:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

GENTLEMEN—We, your Committee on Public Works, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 60, 1914, entitled "An ordinance approving a certain contract granting E. C. Atkins & Company the right to lay and maintain a side track or switch from, on, over and along Henry street, beginning at a point in the south property line of Henry street, 178 feet west of the property line of Illinois street, to the west property line of Illinois street where the same intersects and crosses Henry street, all more fully

set out and described in the within petition and according to blue print attached, in the City of Indianapolis, Indiana," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

A. D. PORTER,
EDWARD P. BARRY,
FRANK GRAHAM,
THOMAS C. LEE,
ED MCGUFF.

Mr. Porter moved that the report of the Committee be concurred in. Carried.

From Committee on Public Works:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

GENTLEMEN—We, your Committee on Public Works, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 58, 1914, entitled "An ordinance ordering the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, to improve Kelly street from Meridian street to Bluff avenue with cement walks, under Improvement Resolution No. 7552, adopted September 16, 1914," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

A. D. PORTER,
EDWARD P. BARRY,
THOMAS C. LEE,
ED MCGUFF.

Mr. Porter moved that the report of the Committee be concurred in. Carried.

From the Committee on Public Safety:

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., November 16, 1914.

To the President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:

GENTLEMEN—We, your Committee on Public Safety, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 59, 1914, entitled "An ordinance approving a certain contract granting the Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis Railway Company the right to lay and maintain two tracks or switches

across Holton Place, according to blue print attached, in the City of Indianapolis, Indiana," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be amended to read across Seventeenth street instead of Holton Place, and when so amended it do pass.

THOMAS C. LEE,
MICHAEL J. SHEA,
E. R. MILLER,
ED MCGUFF,
W. T. YOUNG.

Mr. Lee moved that the report of the Committee be concurred in. Carried.

INTRODUCTION OF APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

By City Controller :

Appropriation Ordinance No. 36, 1914: An ordinance making deficiency appropriations to the Department of Public Works :

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that the following named amounts be and hereby are appropriated to the Department of Public Works :

To City Civil Engineer Inspectors, Salaries and Wages.....	\$4,000.00
To City Civil Engineer and Office Corps, Salaries and Wages....	4,000.00
To Street Repairs, Asphalt, Salaries and Wages.....	6,129.00
To Street Maintenance, Unimproved, Salaries and Wages.....	809.00
To City Hall Employes, Salaries and Wages.....	3,500.00

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its pasgase.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Finance.

By City Controller :

Appropriation Ordinance No. 37, 1914: An ordinance making additional appropriations to the Department of Law.

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that there be and is hereby appropriated to the Department of Law the sum of fifteen hundred dollars (\$1,500) for settlement of the claim of Laura Scheuermann and _____ Scheuermann, her husband, against the city.

SECTION 2. Be it further ordained that there be and is hereby appropriated to the Department of Law the sum of \$300 for Transcripts, Printing Briefs and Miscellaneous.

SECTION 3. Be it further ordained that there be and is hereby appropriated the sum of \$435 for expenses of trial of Hipwell against the city.

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall be in force and effect from and after its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Finance.

INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL AND SPECIAL ORDINANCES.

By City Controller :

General Ordinance No. 66, 1914: An ordinance transferring and reappropriating certain funds of the Department of Public Works :

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that the following named amounts be and are hereby transferred from appropriations heretofore made to the Department of Public Works and reappropriated as follows, to said department :

Automobile Chauffeur to Auto Maintenance and Repairs.....	\$308.00
Salaries Board of Public Works and Office Force to Tomlinson Hall	
Janitors	649.07
Street Repairs, Asphalt, Accounts to Street Repairs, Salaries and	
Wages	2,000.00

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Mr. Barry moved that the rules be suspended and General Ordinance No. 66, 1914, be placed upon its passage.

Mr. Lee asked to have the ordinance read.

The Clerk read the ordinance.

The roll was called and Mr. Barry's motion failed to carry by the following vote :

Ayes, 4, viz. : Messrs. Barry, Miller, Porter and Shea.

Noes, 5, viz. : Messrs. Young, McGuff, Lee, Graham and President John F. Connor.

General Ordinance No. 66, 1914, was referred to the Committee on Finance.

By City Controller :

General Ordinance No. 63, 1914: An ordinance transferring from the Sprinkling Fund of the Department of Public Works to Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Salaries and Wages Fund, \$4,000; and to Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Accounts, Fund, \$3,500, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that there be and hereby is transferred from the Sprinkling Fund of the Department of Public Works the sum of \$7,500; and the same is appropriated as follows: To Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Salaries and Wages Fund, \$4,000; and to Sweeping and Cleaning Streets and Alleys, Accounts, \$3,500.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Finance.

By the Board of Public Works :

General Ordinance No. 64, 1914: An ordinance ordering the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, to improve Kelly Street from Meridian Street to Bluff Avenue with wooden block, asphalt, bituminous concrete or brick roadway, under Improvement Resolution No. 7555, adopted September 18, 1914.

WHEREAS, The Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, did on the 18th day of September, 1914, adopt Improvement Resolution No. 7555 for the improvement of Kelly Street from Meridian Street to Bluff Avenue with wooden block, asphalt, bituminous concrete or brick; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Public Works did at the same time fix October 12, 1914, at 10:00 o'clock A. M. as the time to hear all persons interested, or whose property is affected by said proposed improvement; and the notice of the passage of said resolution and of the said time for hearing was published on the 22nd and 29th day of September, 1914, in the Indianapolis Commercial, a daily newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, and notices by mail duly forwarded as provided by law; and

WHEREAS, On the 12th day of October, 1914, the board having met in regular session, took final action on said Improvement Resolution, confirming same without modification; and

WHEREAS, A written remonstrance having been filed with the Board of Public Works against the said improvement; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Public Works has submitted to the Common Council, for their consideration and action thereon, an ordinance ordering the Board of Public Works to proceed with the improvement of said street under said resolution; now, therefore,

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis, be and are hereby ordered to improve Kelly Street from Meridian Street to Bluff Avenue with wooden block, asphalt, bituminous concrete or brick, under Improvement Resolution No. 7555, adopted by the Board of Public Works, September 18, 1914.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

Mr. Porter moved that the rules be suspended and General Ordinance No. 64, 1914, be placed upon its passage.

The roll was called and the motion to suspend the rules was lost by the following vote:

Ayes, 7, viz.: Messrs. Barry, Miller, McGuff, Porter, Lee, Shea and President John F. Connor.

Noes, 2, viz.: Messrs. Young and Graham.

General Ordinance No. 64, 1914, was referred to the Committee on Public Works.

By City Controller:

General Ordinance No. 65, 1914: An ordinance authorizing the sale of sixty (60) bonds of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) each of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, payable from the general revenues and funds of

said city, or from the Sinking Fund of said city, or as may be required by law, for the purpose of procuring money to be used for acquiring land for a bridge over White River at Washington street, and for removing obstructions from said river; providing for the time and manner of advertising sale of said bonds, and receipt of bids for same, together with the mode and terms of sale, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

WHEREAS, It is deemed necessary and proper and for the best interests of the City of Indianapolis to acquire certain lands for the construction of a bridge over White River at Washington street, to replace the one destroyed by the last flood; and also to remove certain obstructions from White River; and

WHEREAS, There are not now and will not be sufficient funds in the treasury of said city with which to meet the aforesaid expenditures for said buildings, and it being necessary for the City of Indianapolis to borrow the sum of sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) and to issue and sell its bonds in that amount, payable from the general revenues and funds of said city, or from the Sinking Fund, or as may be required by law; therefore,

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that the City Controller of said city be and is hereby authorized, for the purpose of procuring money to be used for the purposes above named, to issue, prepare and sell sixty (60) new bonds of the City of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, of the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) each, which bonds shall bear the date of December 1, 1914, and shall be numbered from one (1) to sixty (60), both inclusive; shall be designated as "River Improvement Bonds, 1914;" shall mature January 1, 1926; shall bear interest at the rate of four (4) per cent. per annum, which interest shall be payable semi-annually on the first day of January and the first day of July of each year, beginning with July, 1915, and said installments of interest shall be evidenced by interest coupons attached to said bonds, and the first coupon attached to each bond shall be for the interest on said bond from date of issue until the first day of July, 1915.

Said bonds and interest coupons shall be negotiable and payable at Merchants' National Bank of Indianapolis, Indiana. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and City Controller of said City of Indianapolis, and attested by the City Clerk, who shall affix the seal of said city to each of said bonds, and the interest coupons attached to said bonds shall be authenticated by a lithographed fac-simile of the signatures of the Mayor and City Controller of said city engraven thereon, which shall for all purposes be taken and deemed to be equivalent to a manual signing thereof. Said bonds shall be prepared by the City Controller in due form, irrevocably pledging the faith and credit of the City of Indianapolis to the payment of the principal and interest stipulated therein respectively.

It shall be the duty of the City Controller at the time of the issue and negotiation of said bonds to register in a book kept for that purpose all of said bonds so issued and negotiated in serial number, beginning with number one (1), giving also the date of their issuance, their amount, date of maturity, rate of interest, and the time and place where said interest shall be payable; said bonds shall be substantially in the following form, all blanks for numbers and dates to be properly filled in before the issuance thereof:

No.

\$1,000.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
MARION COUNTY, STATE OF INDIANA.
RIVER IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1914.

For value received, the City of Indianapolis, in Marion County, in the State of Indiana, hereby promises to pay to the bearer, without any relief from valuation or appraisal laws, January 1st, nineteen hundred and twenty-six, at the Merchants' National Bank of Indianapolis, Indiana, one thousand dollars in lawful money of the United States of America, together with the interest thereon at the rate of four (4) per cent. per annum from date until paid, the first interest payable on the first of July, 1915, and the interest thereafter payable semi-annually, on the first day of January and July respectively, upon the presentation and surrender of the proper interest coupons hereunto attached, and which are made a part of this bond.

This bond is one of an issue of sixty (60) bonds of one thousand dollars each, numbered from one (1) to sixty (60), both inclusive, of date December 1, 1914, issued by said City of Indianapolis, pursuant to an ordinance passed by the Common Council of the city, on, and an act of General Assembly of the State of Indiana, entitled, "An Act Concerning Municipal Corporations," approved March 6, 1905.

It is hereby certified that all the conditions, acts and things essential to the validity of this bond exist have happened, and have been done, and that every requirement of law affecting the issue hereof has been duly complied with, and that this bond is within every debt and other limit prescribed by the constitution and the laws of the State of Indiana, and that the faith and credit of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, are hereby irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond according to its terms.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, has caused this bond to be signed by the Mayor and City Controller, and attested by the City Clerk, and the corporate seal of said city to be hereunto affixed this.....day of

.....
Mayor.
.....
City Controller.

ATTEST:

.....
City Clerk.

SECTION 2. The City Controller shall, as soon as practicable after the passage of this ordinance, advertise for bids or proposals for said bonds by at least one insertion each in two daily newspapers of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, and may otherwise advertise for such bids or proposals as he may deem advisable. Said advertisements shall describe said bonds with such minuteness and par-

ticularity as the City Controller may see fit, and shall set forth the amount of the bonds to be sold and the rate of interest they shall bear, that the bidder may bid for all or any part of said bonds, the date of opening bids or proposals therefor, the right of the City Controller to reject any or all bids, the amount of deposit each bidder will be required to make, and when and where the bonds shall be delivered and paid for.

SECTION 3. Each and every bid and proposal shall be presented to the City Controller sealed and shall be accompanied by a duly certified check upon some responsible bank of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, payable to the order of the City Treasurer, for a sum of money which shall equal two and one-half ($2\frac{1}{2}\%$) per centum of the face or par value of the bonds bid for, or proposed to be purchased. The City Controller shall continue to receive all bids or proposals therefor at the office of the City Controller until 12 o'clock noon on the day fixed by the Controller and designated in the advertisement for receiving bids or proposals, at which time and place and between the said hour and 2:00 P. M. of said day he shall open said bids or proposals, the City Controller shall award said bonds, or if he shall see fit, a part of any number thereof to the highest and best bidder therefor, but said Controller shall have the full right to reject any and all such bids or proposals, or any part thereof, and shall have the right to accept a part of any bid, and to award upon any bid the whole or a less number of the bonds covered by such bid, he being the sole judge of the sufficiency or insufficiency of any bid. He may also in his judgment and discretion award a part of said bonds to one bidder and a part to another. These provisions shall apply in the case of re-offering and re-advertisement of said bonds as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 4. In case the City Controller shall reject all bids submitted, or if he shall award only a part of said bonds, he shall re-advertise the bonds remaining unsold in the manner as herein prescribed for the original advertisement, but in such re-advertisement he is authorized and directed to fix the date and the time both for receiving and opening bids or proposals and for purchasers to take up and pay for the bonds which may be awarded. And he shall continue from time to time, in like manner, to re-advertise said bonds for sale until said bonds are sold.

SECTION 5. In case any bid or proposal shall not be accepted, and there shall be no award of bonds thereon by the Controller, he shall thereupon return to such unsuccessful bidder the certified check accompanying the same. If the Controller shall award the whole or any part of the bonds upon any bid or proposal, he shall thereupon deliver the certified check accompanying the same to the City Treasurer, who shall thereupon present the same for payment and shall be entitled to collect the same and shall hold the proceeds collected thereon until the completion of the purchase and the payment for the bonds so awarded. If, for any reason, said check shall not be paid upon presentation, such non-payment of said bonds upon the part of the purchaser, and the city, in that event, shall have the right to re-advertise said bonds for sale at once, and shall, in such event, retain said check, and shall have the right to collect the same for its own use, and said check and proceeds thereof, when collected, shall be taken and deemed as agreed and liquidated damages for such breach of contract and as a payment thereof to the city. In case any successful bidder shall fail to complete the purchase of bonds so awarded, and to pay for the same, within the time and manner herein required, or which may be prescribed by the City Controller, as herein provided, the proceeds of such certified check deposited by such bidder shall be taken, considered and deemed as agreed and liquidated damages for the breach of such bidder's contract of purchase, and shall be taken and deemed as a payment to the city for such damages, and shall be re-

tained and held by said city for its use; but if such successful bidder shall complete the purchase of said bonds awarded to him pursuant to the provisions hereof and his bid and award thereon, said proceeds of said certified check shall thereupon be returned to such bidder; or, at the option of the City Controller, at the time of the completion of the sale and payment for the bonds, said proceeds of said certified check may be applied and deemed a payment on account of the purchase of said bonds.

SECTION 6. Delivery of any bonds sold shall be made at the office of the City Treasurer of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, upon such day or days as may be specified in the advertisement or re-advertisement for proposals, or within such time thereafter as may be fixed by the Controller, or at such time or times as may be agreed upon by the Controller and the purchaser or purchasers, and the Controller may extend the time for such delivery not more than ten days after the day or days specified or agreed upon as above provided; and the successful bidder or bidders shall take the bonds awarded to him or them and pay for the same at such place and times, and his or her refusal, neglect or omission to do so shall be a breach of the contract of his bid or proposal, on account of which damages shall be retained or recovered as liquidated and provided in this ordinance.

SECTION 7. The bonds taken and paid for to the satisfaction of the City Controller shall be binding obligations upon the said City of Indianapolis, according to their tenor and effect; and the proceeds derived from any sale or sales of bonds as herein authorized shall be used for the purposes mentioned and described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

SECTION 8. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval by the Mayor.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Finance.

By the Department of Law:

General Ordinance No. 67, 1914: An ordinance for the protection of permanently improved streets, requiring owners of property to make private connections with sewer, water and other like pipes and public conveniences and bring the same inside the curb of streets before the permanent improvement thereof, and requiring gas companies having mains in the street or alley to lay service pipes to the property line and curb box of each property on the street or alley, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that before the roadway of any street or alley shall be permanently improved it shall be the duty of owners of property abutting on such street or alley to make private connections with sewer, water and other like pipes and public conveniences, and to bring such connections inside the curb line of said street, within thirty days after the adoption of a resolution by the Board of Public Works ordering the permanent improvement of such street, and it shall be the duty of any gas company having or

constructing a main in such street or alley to lay a service pipe from such main to the property line of each and every property abutting on such street or alley.

SECTION 2. Whenever the Board of Public Works shall adopt a resolution for the permanent improvement of the roadway of any street or alley, it shall in said resolution declare its intention of making, by contract and at the abutting owner's expense, all private connections with sewer, water, and other like pipes and public conveniences, and of bringing said connections inside the curb lines of said street or alley, where the same has not already been done by the abutting property owner; and shall declare its intention of making by contract at the company's expense service pipe connections between the property line of each abutting property and the gas main of any gas company having or constructing a main in such street or alley; and notice of such intention by the board shall also be made a part of the notice given concerning such street improvement as required by law.

On default of the owner's making the connection required of him, said board shall proceed to do so at the owner's expense, and to make such expense a lien on the property, collectible in the same manner as expenses for other street and sewer improvements, and on default of the gas company's laying the service pipes required of it, said board shall proceed to lay them at the company's expense. And said work of making such private connections and laying such service pipe and bringing the same within the curb line of said street or alley at the expense of the abutting property owner and the gas company shall be included in the general contract for the permanent improvement of said street or alley.

SECTION 3. Bidders on the improvement of any street or alley shall state the amount bid per lineal foot on account of each kind of said private connections with sewer, gas, water and other like pipes and public conveniences and of bringing the same within the curb line, where not already done, and the cost of the sewer and water connections shall be charged by the board to the owner of the property on the assessment roll, and the amount thereof shall be a lien on such property, collectible in the same manner as expenses for other street improvements, and the cost of laying all gas service pipes and connections shall be charged to the gas company having or constructing a main in such street or alley, to be collected from the company by the contractor as for other money due and owing.

SECTION 4. All work of making such private connections and bringing the same within the curb lines as hereinbefore provided, whether done by the owner or by the gas company or by contract made by the Board of Public Works, shall be done under permit from and subject to the approval of the City Engineer.

SECTION 5. All former ordinances within the purview of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and publication once each week for two consecutive weeks in *The Indianapolis Commercial*, a daily newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the city of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time and referred to the Committee on Public Works.

By Mr. Shea :

General Ordinance No. 68, 1914: An ordinance regulating dealers in second-hand automobiles and second-hand accessories for the same, providing a penalty for the violation thereof, repealing conflicting ordinances and fixing the time same shall take effect.

Dealers and Owners of Garages Purchasing Second-Hand Automobiles:

SECTION 1. Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that all dealers in automobiles and owners of garages who shall purchase second-hand automobiles and second-hand parts and second-hand automobile accessories shall be required to make a report of such purchase to the Police Department of the City of Indianapolis, giving a description of the article purchased, within twenty-four hours after such purchase.

Penalty:

SECTION 2. Any person who shall violate any provision of this ordinance shall be fined in any sum not exceeding one hundred (\$100) dollars.

Repeals:

SECTION 3. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith be, and the same are hereby repealed.

Publications:

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and publication once each week for two consecutive weeks in the Star, or any newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time and referred to the Committee on Public Safety.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

Mr. Porter called for General Ordinance No. 58, 1914, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Porter moved that General Ordinance No. 58, 1914, be ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage. Carried.

General Ordinance No. 58, 1914, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 9, viz.: Messrs. Barry, Young, Miller, McGuff, Porter, Lee, Graham, Shea and President John F. Connor.

Mr. Porter called for General Ordinance No. 60, 1914, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Porter moved that General Ordinance No. 60, 1914, be ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage. Carried.

General Ordinance No. 60, 1914, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 7, viz.: Messrs. Barry, Young, McGuff, Porter, Lee, Graham and President John F. Connor.

Noes, 2, viz.: Messrs. Miller and Shea.

Mr. Lee called for General Ordinance No. 59, 1914, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Lee moved that General Ordinance No. 59, 1914, be amended as recommended by the committee. Carried.

Mr. Lee moved that General Ordinance No. 59, 1914, be ordered engrossed, as amended, read a third time and placed upon its passage. Carried.

General Ordinance No. 59, 1914, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 9, viz.: Messrs. Barry, Young, Miller, McGuff, Porter, Lee, Graham, Shea and President John F. Connor.

Mr. Porter moved that General Ordinance No. 55, 1914, be stricken from the files. Carried.

On motion of Mr. Porter the Common Council, at 8:45 o'clock P. M., adjourned.

John F. Connor
.....
President.

ATTEST:

Thomas A. Riley
.....
City Clerk.

