

**CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL  
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA  
REGULAR MEETING  
Monday, April 9, 1979**

A Regular Meeting of the City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, convened in the Council Chambers of the City-County Building at 7:00 p.m., Monday, April 9, 1979, President SerVaas in the chair. Councilman Max Lyons opened the meeting with a prayer, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

**ROLL CALL**

President SerVaas instructed the Clerk to take the roll. Twenty-nine members being present, he announced a quorum.

*PRESENT: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mr. Page, Miss Parker, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters, and Mr. West.*

**CORRECTION OF JOURNAL**

The Chair called for additions or corrections to the Journal of March 26, 1979. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

**OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS**

The Chair called for reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

**TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF  
INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

**Ladies and Gentlemen:**

**You are hereby notified that there will be REGULAR MEETING of the City-County Council held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, April 9, 1979, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETING being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before the regular meeting of the Council.**

**Respectfully,**

**s/Beurt SerVaas, President  
City-County Council**

March 27, 1979

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY  
COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on March 29, 1979, and April 5, 1979, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 128, 130, 134, 135, and 143, 1979 to be held on Monday, April 9, 1979 at 7:00 p.m. in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,

s/Beverly S. Rippy  
City Clerk

March 29, 1979

✓ TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY  
COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolutions:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 27, 1979, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1979 and appropriating an additional forty-two thousand seven hundred twenty-four dollars in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Metropolitan Development, Division of Planning and Zoning and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 29, 1979, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1979 and appropriating an additional three hundred thirty-five thousand nine hundred dollars in the Flood General Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Works, Division of Flood Control, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Flood General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 30, 1979, amending the CITY-COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1979 and appropriating an additional three hundred ninety thousand three hundred forty-five dollars in the Cumulative Bridge Fund for purposes of the Department of Transportation and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Cumulative Bridge Fund.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 24, 1979, to provide for longevity pay for court employees equal to that of the firemen.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 32, 1979, "Sec. 6-5. Trapping animals or birds" to include the entire consolidated city.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1979, to change a part-time position in the Perry Township Small Claims Court to a full-time position.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 9, 1979, commending the Indianapolis Airport Authority for its selection as recipient of a Professional Grounds Management Society award.

**SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 10, 1979, honoring the North Central High School basketball team.**

**SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 11, 1979, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds for Guarantee Auto Stores, Inc.**

**SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 14, 1979, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds for Parahart Corporation.**

Respectfully submitted,

s/William H. Hudnut, III  
MAYOR

President SerVaas then read the correspondence from the Mayor explaining his reasons for vetoing Proposal No. 500, 1978, granting franchises and regulating cable television in Marion County.

April 6, 1979

**TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith Proposal No. 500, 1978 without my signature.

My overriding objective during my considerations of the past few days of Proposal No. 500 has been to determine how best to make available to all citizens of the City of Indianapolis cable television with the greatest possible number of options, at the least possible cost, and at the earliest possible time. I believe my veto today serves those objectives.

First, I am concerned about freezing into place a dividing line for cable service between the "old city" limits and the remainder of Marion County. By vetoing Proposal No. 500, I will afford the City-County Council the opportunity to look once again at the issue whether it is better to treat Marion County as a whole or whether it is better to divide the County into two parts — the "old city" and the "suburbs."

Secondly, if Proposal No. 500 becomes law, I do not think we will ever know, since there was no public bid process, whether or not the citizens of Marion County will be receiving the best service at the lowest possible cost. I believe free and open competition, with equal opportunity for every interested company to bid, will clearly reveal the advantages and disadvantages of each cable company seeking to provide cable service to our city. Whether rightly or wrongly, the appearance as the situation now stands is that one group, without competition, is receiving a very lucrative award. Public policy, in my opinion, requires an absolute and unswerving commitment to open competition, fair hearings and equal treatment — particularly on a matter of this magnitude; and the argument that such occurred in 1967 when the original franchise was let does not persuade me that twelve years later, these requirements have been satisfied. Frankly, local ownership is an important ingredient in the final decision, but so are other factors that the public bid process would undoubtedly reveal.

So, the bottom line for me as I have wrestled with this difficult matter is the uncomfortable feeling deep within that by signing this ordinance, I would assist in legitimatizing a monopoly situation for one company (albeit a company locally controlled and owned by honorable people) before the aforementioned process has occurred. I believe the attorney for the City-County Council put the matter very well when he wrote you on February 8, 1979: "The City is prohibited from awarding an exclusive franchise. Any franchise must be awarded after public procedure. IC 18-5-4-1. The Council should not attempt to create a monopoly, even though in fact one may occur. That is, a monopoly may occur as a result of our ordinance, but the Council should not create one outside the ordinance by restricting the bidding process to the old city limits." By vetoing Proposal No. 500, the City-County Council will once again have the opportunity to examine closely whether it is in the best interests of the citizens of Marion County to grant exclusive rights to the owners of the existing franchise.

Finally, I am not convinced that vetoing Proposal No. 500 will result in any measurable delay in bringing cable service to all the citizens of Marion County. I have been told that litigation challenging the validity of the ordinance can be expected. Obviously, a challenge would only result in further delay. More importantly, the City-County Council has the prerogative of overriding my veto or of acting quickly, with little time lost.

As the entire City is well aware, I have personally spent many, many hours wrestling with this very difficult issue. The decision has not been an easy one, in part because of the complex nature of the issue itself, but also because this decision will have an overwhelming impact on potential cable investors and the people of our City alike. I have heard all the arguments, considered all the known implications and assessed all the known options.

For the reasons listed above, I am not convinced that the best interests of the people will be served by this ordinance. Therefore, I veto the proposal but, at the same time, urge the City-County Council to continue its deliberations on the matter in the hope that the best possible solution may be found.

Sincerely yours,

s/William H. Hudnut, III  
MAYOR

After reading the communication from the Mayor, President SerVaas announced that a motion to reconsider Proposal No. 500, 1978, would be in order under Modifications of Special Orders.

#### PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL NO. 162, 1979. Councilman Howard introduced and read this proposal requesting American Fletcher National Bank to cash internal revenue refund checks and social security checks of citizens who do not have an account with the bank. He stated the problem was very apparent in the UNWA area. Mr. Kimbell moved, seconded by Mr. Hawkins, the previous question. The motion carried on a unanimous voice vote. Proposal No. 162, 1979, then was defeated on a voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 163, 1979. This proposal requesting Indiana University to divest itself of financial interests in South Africa was introduced and read by Mr. Howard. After a brief discussion, the proposal was defeated on a voice vote.

Councilman Durnil presented a petition to the Chair from 46 citizens requesting the funding of adult traffic guards for school children from September through December of 1979 and to include funds for this service in the 1980 budget. The President assigned the matter to the Transportation Committee for investigation.

#### INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Councilman Pearce introduced Mrs. Joan Vaught and her son Ronald. Mr. Page introduced Mr. Ed Clark, retired policeman. Former councilman and current Center Township Assessor, Mr. Hank Bayt, was introduced by Mr. Vollmer.

#### INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 144, 1979. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional forty-eight thousand two hundred thirty-four dollars and sixty cents (\$48,234.60) in the County General Fund for purposes of the County Fair Board Fund and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund;" and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee. At this time the chairman of the committee, Mr. Schneider, announced the next meeting would be April 17th at 4:00 p.m.

PROPOSAL NO. 145, 1979. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) transferring and appropriating three hundred dollars (\$300) in the County General Fund for purposes of the County Assessor and reducing certain other appropriations for that office;" and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 146, 1979. Introduced by Mr. Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional fifty-four thousand four hundred five dollars (\$54,405) in the County General Fund for purposes of Cooperative Extension and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund;" and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 147, 1979. Introduced by Mr. Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional forty-seven thousand six hundred five dollars (\$47,605) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Clerk of the Circuit Court and the County Election Board and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund;" and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 148, 1979. Introduced by Mr. Tintera. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Resolution approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds for Mechanics Laundry and Supply, Inc.;" and the President referred it to the Economic Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 149, 1979. Introduced by Mr. Durnil. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional two hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$280,000) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Metropolitan Development, Division of Planning and Zoning, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund;" and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 150, 1979. Introduced by Mrs. Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional six thousand two hundred dollars (\$6,200) in the City General Fund for purposes of the Department of Public Works, Administration Division, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the City General Fund;" and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 151, 1979. Introduced by Mrs. Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County by amending Chapter 27 to require a grease interceptor be installed for some commercial buildings, to allow a bonded, insured contractor or homeowner to install sewers running between building drain and city sewer, and to allow inspection authority to the Division of Buildings and provide that the Division receive part of the permit fee;" and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 152, 1979. Introduced by Mr. West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County by amending Sec. 12-265 to limit standpipe requirements;" and the President referred it to the Public Safety & Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 153, 1979. Introduced by Mr. West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) to provide for adjustments in the personnel schedule of the Superior Court (Juvenile Division) and Juvenile Center;" and the President referred it to the Public Safety & Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 154, 1979. Introduced by Mr. McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional two hundred fifty-one thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$251,750) in the Transportation General Fund for purposes of the Department of Transportation and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Transportation General Fund;" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 155, 1979. Introduced by Mr. McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance designating portions of Oriental, Mckim, and Williams Streets as one way, and deleting intersection controls at certain intersections in the vicinity of those streets. [Amends Code Sections 29-166 and 29-92];" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 156, 1979. Introduced by Mr. McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance prohibiting parking on certain portions of Fletcher Avenue and Shelby Street [Amends Code Sections 29-268 and 29-267];" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 157, 1979. Introduced by Mr. McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance establishing intersection controls in the Muirfield Subdivision [Amends Code Section 29-92];" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 158, 1979. Introduced by Mr. McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance establishing the speed limit of 35 miles per hour on a portion of Claredon Road [Amends Code Section 29-136];" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 159, 1979. Introduced by Mrs. Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution approving and authorizing execution of an agreement between the City of Indianapolis and the City of Greenwood for treatment of Greenwood sewage by Indianapolis;" and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 160, 1979. Introduced by Mr. Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) transferring and appropriating six thousand seventy-five dollars (\$6,075) in the County General Fund for purposes of Superior Court, Room 6, and reducing certain other appropriations for the Office of the Court Administrator;" and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 161, 1979. Introduced by Mr. Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Court Administrator and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund;" and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

#### MODIFICATIONS OF SPECIAL ORDERS

PROPOSAL NO. 500, 1978. Mr. Gilmer moved, seconded by Mrs. Brinkman, that City-County Council Proposal No. 500, 1978, vetoed by the Mayor, be heard under Special Orders – Unfinished Business. The motion carried on a voice vote.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS – PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NOS. 128 and 130, 1979. Mr. Schneider requested to postpone these proposals until the meeting of April 23, 1979, on the basis that they had not been heard in committee. Consent was given.



PROPOSAL NO. 134, 1979. Chairman of the Public Safety & Criminal Justice Committee, Mr. West, stated that this proposal had not been heard in committee, and therefore requested its postponement until April 23rd. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 135, 1979. Mr. McGrath reported that the money appropriated in this proposal (\$2,135,267.22) was provided by the State for the repair of streets. The Transportation Committee unanimously recommended its passage. Discussion ensued during which Mr. Cantwell suggested that \$300,000 for school guards could come from this fund. In response to a question from Mr. Clark, Mr. McGrath said that this money was not for chuckhole filling. In July the State would appropriate \$3 million, some of that money would be used for chuckholes. Mr. Tintera expressed his displeasure with the fact that Marion County only received 11 percent of the total State money appropriated for street repair when the County contains 16 percent of the population and contributes 22 percent of the gas tax. Mr. Howard requested that the Department of Transportation provide each council member with a printout of the streets in his or her district which are being repaired.

The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 7:34 p.m., during which time Mr. Don Christenson mentioned the disrepair on sidewalks on East 10th Street between Sherman Drive and Wallace Street. The Council reconvened at 7:37 p.m. Mr. West and Mr. Gilmer then stated their concern of the impropriety of the City repairing streets and sidewalks which were torn apart by utilities. Mr. SerVaas requested Mr. McGrath to inquire as to the enforcement of street repairs by the Department of Transportation of the utilities. Following discussion, Proposal No. 135, 1979, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

*28 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mr. Page, Miss Parker, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters, and Mr. West.*

*NO NOES.*

*1 NOT VOTING: Mr. Dowden.*

Proposal No. 135, 1979, AS AMENDED, (amended upon introduction), was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1979, and reads as follows:

CITY—COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1979

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the CITY—COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1979 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 91, 1978) and appropriating an additional two million one hundred thirty-five thousand two hundred sixty-seven dollars and twenty-two cents (\$2,135,267.22) in the Transportation General Fund for purposes of the Department of Transportation and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Transportation General Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.03 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1979, be, and is hereby, amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating additional revenue from the state surplus to continue the repair and maintenance of the street and road system of Indianapolis-Marion County.

SECTION 2. The sum of two million one hundred thirty-five thousand two hundred sixty-seven dollars and twenty-two cents (\$2,135,267.22) be, and the same is hereby, appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	TRANSPORTATION GENERAL FUND
21. Contractual Services	<u>\$2,135,267.22</u>
TOTAL INCREASES	<u>\$2,135,267.22</u>

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:  
TRANSPORTATION GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and unencumbered Transportation General Fund	<u>\$2,135,267.22</u>
TOTAL REDUCTIONS	<u>\$2,135,267.22</u>

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 18-4-5-2.

PROPOSAL NO. 143, 1979. Because this proposal had not been heard in committee, consent was given to postpone it to the meeting of April 23, 1979.

SPECIAL ORDERS – UNFINISHED BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NO. 500, 1978. By motion earlier in the meeting, Proposal No. 500, 1978 (General Ordinance No. 33, 1979) was placed on the agenda as a special order to consider the Mayor's veto of this ordinance adopted on March 26, 1979, establishing procedures for awarding and regulating cable television franchises. In accordance with the rules of the council, the question was "shall Proposal No. 500, 1978, be effective notwithstanding the Mayor's veto?"

The President explained that two-thirds or 20 votes were required to override the Mayor's veto. An "aye" vote was a vote in favor of overriding the veto, whereas a "no" vote sustained the Mayor.

Mr. Cantwell moved, seconded by Mr. Kimbell, the previous question. Consent was given. The motion to override the veto then failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

15 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Page, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Schneider, and Mrs. Stewart.

14 NOES: Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Miller, Miss Parker, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer, Mr. Walters, and Mr. West.

Discussion followed the voting during which Mr. Gilmer stated that the entry of cable television into Indianapolis would probably be delayed five years. Mr. Howard said the citizens in his district would appreciate cable television soon. Mr. West, Mr. Hawkins, and Mr. Tintera also commented.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS – FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 133, 1979. Consent was given to postpone this proposal until the Council meeting of March 23rd. The Public Works Committee had considered the measure during its meeting of April 9th; however, the chairperson considered it advisable to delay Council discussion of the matter until all members had had an opportunity to review the committee report.

#### ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 7:55 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis—Marion County, held at its Regular Meeting on the 9th day of April, 1979.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

ATTEST:

  
President

  
Clerk

(SEAL)