

**MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
AND
SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS
OF
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA**

**REGULAR MEETINGS
MONDAY, MAY 26, 1992**

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:11 p.m. on Monday, May 26, 1992, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

Councillor Moriarty led the opening prayer and invited all present to join her in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL

The President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

28 PRESENT: Beadling, Black, Borst, Boyd, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Hinkle, Howard, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams
1 ABSENT: Giffin

A quorum of twenty-eight members being present, the President called the meeting to order.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS AND VISITORS

Councillor Beadling introduced Alan and Enid Marow from Suffolk, England.

Councillor Black introduced Anthony and April Black, his son and granddaughter. April won honors in the Marion County Math contest for seventh graders.

Councillor Dowden introduced Kenny Sanders, Al Blanken, Dan Skinner, Jim Peachey, Gerald E. Coverstone, Walter Wells, John Flack, Everett McFarland, Jr., Curtis Willis and Glenn Jones. They are veterans of the Korean War and present tonight for Proposal No. 161, 1992 which designates a portion of Vermont Street as the "Korean War Memorial

Way." Councillor O'Dell displayed the framed photocopy of the Chosin Star, a painting by John Flack which will hang in the City-County Council office.

Councillor Williams informed the members of the Council that the Arsenal Tech Constitution team, which was presented a special resolution on February 24, 1992 for winning the state Constitution and Bill of Rights contest, have since placed third at the national competition.

Councillor Howard acknowledged the presence of several employees from the Voters Registration office.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Councillor Curry asked for consent to amend the agenda by advancing Proposal No. 236, 1992. Councillor O'Dell asked for consent to advance Proposal No. 161, 1992. Consent was given to advance both proposals and accept the proposed agenda as amended.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS

President SerVaas called for additions or corrections to the Journal of May 11, 1992. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

PROPOSAL NO. 267, 1992. This proposal, sponsored by Councillor Borst, recognizes Josephine "Jo" Hauck. Councillor Borst read the resolution and presented a framed document to Ms. Hauck, who expressed appreciation for the recognition. Kenny Hauck, her husband, was also present. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor West, for adoption. Proposal No. 267, 1992 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 267, 1992 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 40, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 40, 1992

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing Josephine "Jo" Hauck.

WHEREAS, one memorable day, thirty years ago, Josephine "Jo" Hauck was asked to take a one-year job to help organize the 500 Festival Associates office; and

WHEREAS, that challenge became a full-time position, and crusade, that continued for thirty years duration; and

WHEREAS, Jo Hauck's three decades as Executive Director of the 500 Festival Associates, along with the Board of Directors, hundreds of members, and thousands of volunteers, have made the Month of May in Indianapolis the admiration of America; and

WHEREAS, this year's 500 Festival Parade on May 23, will have the cooperation of the Disney company, something that Disney does with no other city; will attract 450,000 spectators; and will be viewed on television by 20 million people--the second most watched parade in America; now, therefore:

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

May 26, 1992

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council recognizes and thanks Josephine "Jo" Hauck for her skill, dedication and hard work during the past thirty years in transforming the pre-500 Mile Race from some fragmented events into a well-organized package which all local citizens and race fans can be extremely proud.

SECTION 2. "Things" do not just happen; it takes people like Jo Hauck and the 500 Festival Associates Directors, members, and volunteers to make May in Indianapolis an orchestrated month of 500 Race related events.

SECTION 3. The Council wishes Jo along with her husband, Kenneth, well in the future.

SECTION 4. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 268, 1992. This proposal, sponsored by Councillors Golc, Borst and Jones, recognizes the White River Park State Games. Councillor Jones read the resolution and presented a framed document to Jim Titus, executive director of the White River Park State Games, who expressed appreciation for the recognition. Councillor Golc moved, seconded by Councillor Borst, for adoption. Proposal No. 268, 1992 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 268, 1992 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 41, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 41, 1992

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing the White River Park State Games.

WHEREAS, the White River Park State Games, which are conducted by the Indiana Sports Corporation, celebrates the tenth anniversary of providing amateur sports competition in the tradition of the Olympic Games and the Pan American Games; and

WHEREAS, more than 156,000 Hoosiers have participated in the State Games, and over 20,000 young and old are expected to compete in the June 26-28, 1992, regional round of competition held in eight Indiana communities; and

WHEREAS, as in each previous year, the finals will be held in Indianapolis, this year on July 17-19 at several facilities which also serve as Olympic tryout venues; and

WHEREAS, sports offered in this year's White River Park State Games include: basketball, bowling, cycling, diving, figure skating, five mile run/three mile walk, golf, gymnastics, judo, racquetball, sailing, soccer, softball, swimming, table tennis, tennis, track and field, volleyball, water polo, and wrestling; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council does hereby recognize all amateur athletes, volunteers, staff, directors, and sponsors who have been involved with the White River State Park Games during the past decade.

SECTION 2. The Council encourages all athletic-minded citizens of this city and state to catch the Olympic Spirit and enter the State Games by the June 10 deadline, and to give it their best shot at the June Regionals to become eligible to compete in the July Indianapolis Finals.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 269, 1992. This proposal, sponsored by Councillor Brents, recognizes Historic Ransom Place. Councillor Brents read the resolution and Councillor Black presented framed documents to Ransom family members, Ransom Place neighbors and to Linda Enders, Chair of the Advisory Board for Historic Ransom Place. Ms. Enders

expressed appreciation for the recognition. Councillor Brents moved, seconded by Councillor Black, for adoption. Proposal No. 269, 1992 was adopted by unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 269, 1992 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 42, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 42, 1992

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION recognizing Historic Ransom Place.

WHEREAS, after the Civil War, thousands of Blacks, many not long removed from human slavery, moved to Northern cities including Indianapolis; and

WHEREAS, many of these new residents brought with them marketable trades and skills; and

WHEREAS, by 1900, many prosperous Blacks were buying homes and settling in the northwest section of the Mile Square, including Freeman Briley Ransom, an attorney, home renovator, general manager for Madame C.J. Walker's beauty business, and Indianapolis City Councilman from 1939 to 1942; and

WHEREAS, the Great Depression and out-migration of many solid citizens led to a deteriorated and neglected neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, in 1980, the six block core of this proud old neighborhood between 10th Street, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street, St. Clair Street and Paca Street was designated an Historic Conservation District and targeted for stabilization and renewal; and

WHEREAS, in March, 1991, six active neighbors formed the Ransom Place Neighborhood Association to help improve their own neighborhood, and on May 10, 1992, Historic Ransom Place was dedicated with dignitaries and proper ceremony; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council commends the dedication, energy, and enthusiasm of all who are involved with revitalizing the Historic Ransom Place neighborhood in northwest downtown Indianapolis.

SECTION 2. The city's heart is its neighborhoods; and the good work going on at Historic Ransom Place reflects great credit upon that neighborhood and upon this city.

SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 196 and 197, 1992. Councillor Borst asked for consent to vote on these two appointments together. Consent was given. PROPOSAL NO. 196, 1992. The proposal appoints Jack H. Hall, M.D. to the Metropolitan Development Commission. PROPOSAL NO. 197, 1992. The proposal appoints David R. Shirley to the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals Division III. Councillor Borst reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal Nos. 196 and 197, 1992 on May 12, 1992. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposals to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass. Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor McClamroch, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 196 and 197, 1992 were adopted by a unanimous voice vote.

Proposal No. 196, 1992 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 58, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 58, 1992

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Jack H. Hall, M.D. to the Metropolitan Development Commission.

May 26, 1992

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Metropolitan Development Commission, the Council appoints:

Jack H. Hall, M.D.

SECTION 2. The appointment made by this resolution is for a term ending December 31, 1992. The person appointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council and until his respective successor is appointed and has qualified.

Proposal No. 197, 1992 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 59, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 59, 1992

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing David R. Shirley to the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals Division III.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Metropolitan Board of Zoning Appeals Division III, the Council appoints:

David R. Shirley

SECTION 2. The appointment made by this resolution is for a term ending December 31, 1992. The person appointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council and until his respective successor is appointed and has qualified.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 236, 1992. Councillor Curry reported that the Rules and Public Policy Committee heard Proposal No. 236, 1992 on May 19, 1992. The proposal requires an 8% reduction in budgeted expenditures from the County General Fund. Councillor Curry said that the proposal was submitted because of the \$10.3 million projected deficit facing the County and that some drastic action had to be taken. The proposal was amended in Committee, and by a 5-2 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Curry said that at the May 19th meeting the Committee asked the Auditor to try to find other revenue sources or potential transfers. At this time he asked John von Arx, County Auditor, to make a progress report.

Mr. von Arx stated that some of the factors that he and his staff have been working on since January have materialized because of the ordinance. They have found the following ways to reduce the projected \$10.3 million deficit:

1. A reduction of Information Services Agency (ISA) charges per agency.
2. A reduction in rent for County agencies in the City-County Building, the Juvenile Detention Center and the County Jail.
3. The deferment of the second rent payment due at the end of December 1992 to January 1993 for County agencies in the City-County Building, the Juvenile Detention Center and the County Jail.
4. Revenues in excess of amounts budgeted for 1992 that agencies can expect to receive and other additional adjustments for various reasons.

5. Voluntary reductions by agencies.

He said these budget cuts total approximately \$8.8 million which leaves a balance of approximately \$1.5 million still needed. This does not mean that this problem has been solved or that there will not be additional cuts required.

Councillor Williams stated that she believes the Council needs to adopt an amended budget; it does not need to adopt this ordinance.

The President said that the ordinance acknowledges that the shortfall exists and if these actions are not taken, the crises will continue to accelerate. He believes the ordinance still has to be passed.

Councillor Howard stated that the Auditor's suggestions look like "voodoo economics."

Councillor Black said that he has questioned several recent expenditures, such as renovations, new cars purchased, and the hiring of out-of-state consultants. He recommended that if the Council is sincere in trying to cut financial expenditures, the Councillors should take a 50% salary cut and all the other elected officials should take a pay cut.

Councillor Franklin commended Mr. von Arx for being responsible enough to bring this problem in front of the Council at a time when corrections can be made.

Councillor Curry stated that this ordinance provides that any additional revenues acquired beyond the 1992 revenues shall be subject to allocation and require action by the Council. Councillor Curry moved to adopt Proposal No. 236, 1992, as amended.

Councillor Gilmer commended Robert Wilkes, financial analyst for the Council, for his work on the recommended budget cuts.

Councillor Mullin stated that he believes better and more innovative funding formulas are needed.

Proposal No. 236, 1992, as amended, passed by the following roll call vote; viz:

19 YEAS: Beadling, Borst, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Hinkle, McClamroch, Moriarty, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Smith, West

9 NAYS: Black, Boyd, Brents, Howard, Jimison, Jones, Mullin, Short, Williams

1 NOT PRESENT: Giffin

Councillor Howard asked for consent to explain his vote. Consent was given. He said the reason he voted "no" is because he does not want the practice of issuing more traffic tickets as a way to increase revenues.

Councillor Williams asked for consent to explain her vote. Consent was given. She recommended that the Council professionally evaluate County government, as the Mayor did earlier in the year with City government, before the Council adopts a 1993 budget. She opposed this proposal and unless something is done between now and budget time, she will also oppose the county budget.

May 26, 1992

The President said that he thinks this represents the very best thinking that could have been done by elected county officials, judges, township assessors, and many councillors. The President said that the Auditor will present a budget report at every Council meeting.

Councillor Coughenour expressed her appreciation to all the county agencies that worked on this matter and who tried to reduce their expenditures.

Councillor Boyd asked if every section in Proposal No. 236, 1992 still applies. The President said that it still applies until no shortage exists.

Councillor Boyd said that Section 7 states that no department or agency is authorized to encumber for goods or services that will not be received by December 31 of each year. He asked if there will be any exceptions. The President replied that there might be noted exceptions.

Councillor Borst voiced his appreciation to the Auditor and to the county agencies who worked on this matter.

Proposal No. 236, 1992 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 25, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 25, 1992

A FISCAL ORDINANCE directing a reduction of expenditures from budgeted appropriations from the County General Fund.

WHEREAS, due to changed economic conditions, the 1992 Marion County Budget must be reduced by \$10,298,000 or 8%; and

WHEREAS, the Marion County Auditor has already requested voluntary reductions of 8% from all county agencies and departments; and

WHEREAS, due to lower revenues, depletion of fund balances, and decrease of unused funds, the City-County Council recognizes the need to reduce expenditures in the Marion County General Fund; now therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The appropriations approved in Article II of the City-County Annual Budget for 1992 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 61, 1991) be, and is hereby, amended to reduce the total appropriations for each office or agency funded from the County General Fund by an amount equal to 8% of such total, unless hereinafter specifically exempted.

SECTION 2. To accomplish such budget reductions, the Auditor is directed to immediately reduce the unencumbered balance of each budget by character by 8%, until an acceptable expenditure reduction plan is effected under Section 3 of this ordinance. All budget characters are subject to such reduction, and no county agency or department is exempt from this reduction.

SECTION 3. All county agencies and departments are requested to submit an expenditure reduction plan to the County Auditor by May 29, 1992, to be effective as of June 1, 1992. The plan must identify by budget object the amount of reduction and should total 8% of the agency's or department's amended 1992 budget. The agency plan may include, but is not limited to, reductions to a four-day work week, delaying of capital expenditures and office renovation projects, leaving positions vacant, reducing contractual services, reducing building rent by final payment in 1992, and postponing office automation projects. Any plan presented by a county agency or department shall maintain the same office hours as prior to the reduction. The reduction plan may include a four-day work week spread over the same office hours prior to the reduction in work week. No additional compensatory time or overtime may be given or paid.

SECTION 4. If an agency fails to submit a reduction plan, the Marion County Auditor will reduce that agency's budget by 8%, reducing each budget character by a percentage of the total agency budget until a total of 8% is reduced from that agency's budget. In place of a portion of the 8% reduction additional revenues

achieved beyond the 1992 estimated revenues are subject to allocation by City-County Council with preference for the agency generating the revenue enhancement.

SECTION 5. Expenditures exempt from the reduction for 1992, but not 1993, are residents in state institutions, tuition transfers, regional mental health centers, Noble Centers, legal settlements and appropriations that are subject to reimbursement by federal, local or state sources.

SECTION 6. The Marion County Auditor will report to the Council by the seventh business day of each month that all departments are in compliance with the budget reductions, the reduction plans submitted by each department, and any changes in revenue collections and projections.

SECTION 7. No department or agency is authorized to encumber for goods and services, or any portion of those goods and services, that will not be received by December 31, of each year. The Marion County Auditor shall not honor any encumbrance document or direct claim voucher that is to be paid from prior year funds for goods and services to be received in the next year.

SECTION 8. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after passage by the City-County Council and approval by the Mayor (or passage over his veto), except that, any part of this ordinance effecting the budget or appropriations for an office or officer of the county provided for by the Constitution of Indiana or a judicial office or officer shall not be subject to the veto of the Mayor.

PROPOSAL NO. 161, 1992. Councillor Borst reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal No. 161, 1992 on May 12, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Ruhmkorff, Dowden and O'Dell, designates Vermont Street from Capitol Avenue to Alabama Street as the "Korean War Memorial Way." Councillor Borst said that the proposal was amended in Committee to designate Vermont Street from Capitol Avenue to Delaware Street. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councillor Ruhmkorff moved, seconded by Councillor Dowden, to delete the word "Delaware" in Section 1 and insert in lieu thereof the word "Alabama."

Councillor Williams stated that there has been a project underway for ten years to make a segment of Massachusetts Avenue a tribute to the arts. The project involves erecting an art sculpture at the corner of Massachusetts and Alabama and reducing signage along that segment of Massachusetts. She believes the two interests collide with one another.

Councillor Hinkle voiced his support of Councillor Ruhmkorff's amendment.

Councillor Ruhmkorff's motion to amend Proposal No. 161, 1992, as amended, passed by a majority voice vote.

Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Ruhmkorff, for adoption. Proposal No. 161, 1992, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Beadling, Borst, Boyd, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Hinkle, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West
3 NAYS: Black, Howard, Williams
1 NOT VOTING: Brents
1 NOT PRESENT: Giffin

Proposal No. 161, 1992, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 43, 1992 and reads as follows:

May 26, 1992

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 43, 1992

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION designating Vermont Street from Capitol Avenue to Alabama Street as the "Korean War Memorial Way."

WHEREAS, the Korean War from 1950 to 1953 was fought by the United States, backed by the United Nations, to defend South Korea from its invading Communist neighbors North Korea and the People's Republic of China; and

WHEREAS, during the intense conflict 54,246 Americans were killed, 103,284 were wounded and 131 Medals of Honor were awarded; and

WHEREAS, one of the first units activated for the war was the Indianapolis based 526 member 16th Infantry Training Battalion, United States Marine Corps Reserve on August 11, 1950; and

WHEREAS, this Indianapolis unit was comprised of many World War II veterans who were on the Indianapolis police and fire departments; and

WHEREAS, these marines, and the other Indianapolis soldiers, sailors, airmen and marines who followed distinguished themselves in the war's notable battles of Pusan Perimeter, Chosin Reservoir, Pork Chop Hill, Hamburger Hill, Heartbreak Ridge and the Inchon Landing; and

WHEREAS, history has called Korea "The Forgotten War", but Indianapolis chooses to remember and honor the sacrifices made by these sons and daughters and their families who defended freedom; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Indianapolis City-County Council as representatives of all citizens in this great community do hereby honor the inspiring conduct of those who served in the Korean War by designating Vermont Street from Capitol Avenue to Alabama Street as the "Korean War Memorial Way."

SECTION 2. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Tuesday, May 26, 1992, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,
s/Beurt SerVaas
Beurt SerVaas, President
City-County Council

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that the Mayor did not sign Proposal No. 193, as passed in Council on May 11, 1992 and presented to the Mayor on May 13, 1992. Proposal No. 193, 1992 is a Fiscal Ordinance

Journal of the City-County Council

transferring and appropriating an additional Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000) in the City General Fund for purposes of the City-County Council to pay legal fees relating to cable franchise matters.

Respectfully,
s/Beverly S. Rippy
Beverly S. Rippy, City Clerk

May 8, 1992

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Wednesday, May 13, 1992, a copy of LEGAL NOTICE on General Ordinance Number 27, 1992.

Respectfully,
s/Beverly S. Rippy
Beverly S. Rippy, City Clerk

May 12, 1992

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on Thursday, May 14, 1992, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 230, 231, 232 and 234, 1992, to be held on Tuesday, May 26, 1992, at 7:00 p.m., in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,
s/Beverly S. Rippy
Beverly S. Rippy, City Clerk

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolutions:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 22, 1992, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1992 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 61, 1991) appropriating an additional \$14,227 in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the States and Federal Grants Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 23, 1992, amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1992 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 61, 1991) appropriating an additional \$700 in the County Grants Fund for purposes of the Presiding Judge of the Municipal Court and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County Grants Fund.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 35, 1992, recognizing The Kroger Company and IPS Daniel Webster School No. 46 Partners in Education.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 36, 1992, recognizing Pike Township High School's centennial year.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 37, 1992, recognizing Methodist Hospital's Life Line medical helicopter service.

May 26, 1992

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 38, 1992, authorizing the amendment of Special Resolution No. 43, 1991, as amended, to extend the expiration date on the Inducement Resolution for Meadow Farms Industries, Inc. to November 30, 1992.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 39, 1992, an inducement resolution for Herff Jones, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$5,000,000 for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of a building containing approximately 69,000 square feet to be located at 4500 West 62nd Street.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 29, 1992, amending the Code by deleting a one-way traffic flow on McCrea Street between Georgia Street and Jackson Place, North Drive; and by authorizing intersection controls at Georgia Street and McCrea Street and at Jackson Place and McCrea Street (District 21).

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 30, 1992, amending the Code by authorizing a weight limit restriction on a segment of Alabama Street, from Fort Wayne Avenue to 16th Street (District 22).

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 5, 1992, approving the sale of City of Indianapolis, Indiana Convertible Demand Adjustable Rate Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Series 1992 (Park Tudor Foundation, Inc. Project) in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,500,000.

Respectfully,
s/Stephen Goldsmith
Stephen Goldsmith

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 252, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE authorizing the City to make temporary loans for the use of the Consolidated County Fund"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 253, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by restricting former city and county employees' dealings with their former employer"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 254, 1992. Introduced by Councillors Smith and Short. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code concerning vacation leave for retired employees who are subsequently employed by public safety agencies"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 255, 1992. Introduced by Councillors Jimison and Mullin. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION concerning reduction of Councillor salaries"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 256, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Rhodes. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code concerning zoning procedures"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 257, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$6,900 for the Superior Court, Criminal Division, Room 4, to pay the Mike Tyson trial expenses"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 258, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$66,666 for the Sheriff to utilize a state Project 55 grant to pay officers overtime for working a selective speed enforcement program"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 259, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring and appropriating \$17,202 for the Sheriff to pay the salary of a replacement employee"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 260, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$700,673 to utilize a state grant for the Community Corrections Agency for fiscal year 1992-93"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 261, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$154,169 for the Community Corrections Agency to continue the Juvenile Re-entry Services Program for fiscal year 1992-93 funded by a state grant"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 262, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$123,564 of Home Detention User Fees for the Community Corrections Agency for operating expenses for the 1992-93 fiscal year"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 263, 1992. Introduced by Councillors Hinkle and Giffin. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL ORDINANCE establishing an Airport Economic Development Tax Area"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 264, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Beadling. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE authorizing a multi-way stop at Mud Creek Road and Scotch Pine Lane (District 5)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 265, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Brents. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE authorizing a multi-way stop at Blackford Street and North Street (District 16)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 266, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Black. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code by authorizing parking restrictions on a segment of Washington Boulevard (Districts 6 and 7)"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 270, 1992. Introduced by Councillor West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Rudy Hightower to the

May 26, 1992

Board of Public Safety"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NOS. 271-276, 1992. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on May 22, 1992". The Council did not schedule Proposal Nos. 271-276, 1992 for hearing pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608. Proposal Nos. 271-276, 1992 were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 51-56, 1992 and are identified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 51, 1992. 92-Z-14 (Amended) CENTER TOWNSHIP.
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT #22.

2859 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
WILSON, KEHOE AND WININGHAM, by Phillip A. Nicely, requests the rezoning of 1.63 acres, being in the C-1/RC, D-5/RC and D-9/RC Districts, to the C-1/RC classification to provide for commercial development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 52, 1992. 92-Z-24 LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP.
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT # 05.

12202 EAST 65TH STREET (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
PAUL W. STEWART, by Thomas Michael Quinn, requests the rezoning of 29.16 acres, being in the D-A District, to the D-3 classification to provide for single-family residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 53, 1992. 92-Z-37 (DP-4) WAYNE TOWNSHIP.
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT #18.

3366 DANDY TRAIL (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
EATON AND LAUTH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, by Michael C. Cook, requests the rezoning of 31.841 acres, being in the DP District, to the DP classification to provide for commercial/retail storage and residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 54, 1992. 92-Z-38 DECATUR TOWNSHIP.
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT #19.

4370 MANN ROAD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
MARS HILL CHURCH OF GOD requests the rezoning of 5.0 acres, being in the SU-34 District, to the SU-1 classification to provide for a church.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 55, 1992. 92-Z-39 CENTER TOWNSHIP.
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT #22.

539 through 547 EAST MARKET STREET, INDIANAPOLIS.
CHRISTOPHER C. and GREGORY F. ZOELLER request the rezoning of 0.25 acre, being in the I-3-U/RC District, to the CBD-2/RC classification to provide for offices.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 56, 1992. 92-Z-48 CENTER TOWNSHIP.
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT #22.

CHATHAM-ARCH NEIGHBORHOOD (approximate address), INDIANAPOLIS.
METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION requests the rezoning of 13.774 acres, being in the D-8, D-10, C-1, CBD-2 and SU-1 Districts, to the D-8 classification to promote the maintenance, redevelopment, and new development of single-family and multi-family residences in accordance with the Chatham-Arch Historic Area Preservation Plan.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 198, 1992. Councillor Borst reported that the Metropolitan Development Committee heard Proposal No. 198, 1992 on May 12, 1992. The proposal appropriates \$7,140 for the County Recorder to make the annual payment for leased vault space. By a 5-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:50 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Ruhmkorff, for adoption. Proposal No. 198, 1992 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: *Beadling, Borst, Boyd, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams*

0 NAYS:

5 NOT VOTING: *Black, Franklin, Hinkle, Howard, Ruhmkorff*

1 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin*

Proposal No. 198, 1992 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 26, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 26, 1992

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1992 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 61, 1991) appropriating an additional Seven Thousand One Hundred Forty Dollars (\$7,140) in the Recorder's Perpetuation Fund for purposes of the County Recorder and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Recorder's Perpetuation Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (h) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1992, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the County Recorder to make the annual payment for leased vault space.

SECTION 2. The sum of Seven Thousand One Hundred Forty Dollars (\$7,140) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

<u>COUNTY RECORDER</u>	<u>RECORDER'S PERPETUATION FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>\$7,140</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	\$7,140

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	<u>RECORDER'S PERPETUATION FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered Recorder's Perpetuation Fund	<u>\$7,140</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$7,140

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 204, 1992. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 204, 1992 on May 13, 1992. The proposal appropriates \$10,350 for the Marion County Justice Agency, acting as subgrantee for the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute, to pay personnel costs for the Julian Center for its Victim Witness Services. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:50 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Curry, for adoption. Proposal No. 204, 1992 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

May 26, 1992

21 YEAS: *Beadling, Borst, Boyd, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Gilmer, Golc, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Rhodes, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams*

0 NAYS:

7 NOT VOTING: *Black, Brents, Franklin, Hinkle, Howard, Ruhmkorff, Schneider*

1 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin*

Proposal No. 204, 1992 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 27, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 27, 1992

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1992 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 61, 1991) appropriating an additional Ten Thousand Three Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$10,350) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (dd) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1992, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Marion County Justice Agency, acting as subgrantee for the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute, to pay personnel costs for the Julian Center for its Victim Witness Services.

SECTION 2. The sum of Ten Thousand Three Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$10,350) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

<u>MARION COUNTY JUSTICE AGENCY</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	<u>\$10,350</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	\$10,350

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered	
State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>\$10,350</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$10,350

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 230, 1992. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 230, 1992 on May 13, 1992. The proposal appropriates \$100,000 for the Prosecuting Attorney to cover the computer system linkup charges between the Prosecutor's Office and the Indiana Prosecuting Attorney's Council. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:54 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Moriarty, for adoption. Proposal No. 230, 1992 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 YEAS: *Beadling, Borst, Boyd, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams*

0 NAYS:

3 NOT VOTING: Black, Hinkle, Howard
1 NOT PRESENT: Giffin

Proposal No. 230, 1992 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 28, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 28, 1992

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1992 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 61, 1991) appropriating an additional One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (w) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1992, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney to utilize grant monies to link the Prosecutor's Office computer system with the Indiana Prosecuting Attorney Council server.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
3. Other Services and Charges	\$ 23,750
4. Capital Outlay	<u>76,250</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	\$100,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered State and Federal Grants Fund	<u>\$100,000</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$100,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 231, 1992. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 231, 1992 on May 13, 1992. The proposal appropriates \$5,000 for the Prosecuting Attorney to utilize a state grant to pay the salary of a part-time juvenile victim advocate. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass.

The President called for public testimony at 8:55 p.m. There being no one present to testify, Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Franklin, for adoption. Proposal No. 231, 1992 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: Beadling, Boyd, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams
0 NAYS:
4 NOT VOTING: Black, Borst, Hinkle, Howard
1 NOT PRESENT: Giffin

Proposal No. 231, 1992 was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 29, 1992 and reads as follows:

May 26, 1992

CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 29, 1992

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1992 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 61, 1991) appropriating an additional Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) in the State and Federal Grants Fund for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grants Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.01 (w) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1992, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for purposes of the Prosecuting Attorney to utilize a one-time grant award to hire a part-time juvenile victim advocate.

SECTION 2. The sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

<u>PROSECUTING ATTORNEY</u>	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
1. Personal Services	<u>\$5,000</u>
TOTAL INCREASE	\$5,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

	<u>STATE AND FEDERAL GRANTS FUND</u>
Unappropriated and Unencumbered State & Federal Grants Fund	<u>\$5,000</u>
TOTAL REDUCTION	\$5,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 232, 1992. The proposal appropriates \$33,011 for the Superior Court, Juvenile Division/Detention Center, to pay the salaries of an additional court reporter and a bailiff. Councillor Dowden asked for consent to postpone Proposal No. 232, 1992 until June 8, 1992. Consent was given.

PROPOSAL NO. 234, 1992. The proposal appropriates \$37,500 to cover public defender expenses. Councillor Dowden asked for consent to postpone Proposal No. 234, 1992. Consent was given.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 167, 1992. Councillor Curry reported that the Rules and Public Policy Committee heard Proposal No. 167, 1992 on May 19, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Williams, amends the Code concerning political contributions. By a 4-2 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it be stricken. Councillor Curry moved, seconded by Councillor Dowden, to strike.

Councillor Williams asked that the proposal be withdrawn.

Councillor Dowden said that he believed the appropriate step for the sponsor to take is to recommend that the proposal be stricken.

The President reported that Robert Elrod, Parliamentarian, informed him that if there is consent from the body, Councillor Williams may withdraw the proposal.

Councillor Williams said that she withdraws her request to have the proposal withdrawn and urged that the Councillors vote "no" on the Committee's recommendation to strike.

Councillors West, McClamroch and Smith voiced their support of striking Proposal No. 167, 1992.

Proposal No. 167, 1992 was stricken on the following roll call vote; viz:

17 YEAS: Beadling, Borst, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Hinkle, McClamroch, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Smith, West
11 NAYS: Black, Boyd, Brents, Golc, Howard, Jimison, Jones, Moriarty, Mullin, Short, Williams
1 NOT PRESENT: Giffin

PROPOSAL NO. 168, 1992. Councillor Curry reported that the Rules and Public Policy Committee heard Proposal No. 168, 1992 on May 19, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Borst, Jimison and West, amends the Code concerning the board of public safety. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it be stricken. Councillor Curry moved, seconded by Councillor West, to strike. Proposal No. 168, 1992 was stricken by unanimous voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 195, 1992. Councillor Rhodes was absent when this proposal was discussed in Committee; Councillor Curry gave the Committee report. He said that the Administration and Finance Committee heard Proposal No. 195, 1992 on May 18, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Hinkle and Giffin, authorizes the lease of office space for the Wayne Township Assessor to be built at Country Club Road and Rockville Road. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Curry moved, seconded by Councillor Ruhmkorff, for adoption. Proposal No. 195, 1992 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Beadling, Black, Boyd, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Golc, Hinkle, Howard, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Ruhmkorff, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West
0 NAYS:
5 NOT VOTING: Borst, Gilmer, Rhodes, Schneider, Williams
1 NOT PRESENT: Giffin

Proposal No. 195, 1992 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 44, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 44, 1992

A Special Resolution authorizing the lease of office space for the Wayne Township Assessor to be built at Country Club Road and Rockville Road.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Wayne Township Assessor desires to lease office space to be built at Country Club Road and Rockville Road.

May 26, 1992

SECTION 2. The property will be owned by D & S Investments, located at 5250 Commerce Circle, Indianapolis, Indiana 46237. D & S Investments is a partnership whose sole principals are Daniel C. Carpenter and Stoney L. Blazek.

SECTION 3. The City-County Council, pursuant to IC 36-1-10-7, has investigated the conditions requiring the need for office space and hereby determines that the office space described in Section 1 is needed.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 228, 1992. Councillor Rhodes reported that the Administration and Finance Committee heard Proposal No. 228, 1992 on May 18, 1992. The proposal transfers and appropriates \$70,000 for Voters Registration to purchase computer equipment. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councillor West said that he had a letter from Voters Registration indicating that it is going to purchase two disk drives and a tape drive. Councillor West said he asked Information Services Agency (ISA) if the purchase of any of the equipment could be postponed, and ISA is checking to see if all this equipment is needed.

Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor Williams, to return Proposal No. 228, 1992, as amended, to Committee. Proposal No. 228, 1992, as amended, was returned to Committee by a majority voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 229, 1992. Councillor Rhodes reported that the Administration and Finance Committee heard Proposal No. 229, 1992 on May 18, 1992. The proposal authorizes the Controller, the Auditor and the Treasurer to transact business through the use of electronic funds transfer. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Rhodes moved, seconded by Councillor Shambaugh, for adoption. Proposal No. 229, 1992 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

24 YEAS: *Beadling, Black, Borst, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Hinkle, Howard, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams*
0 NAYS:
4 NOT VOTING: *Boyd, Golc, O'Dell, Schneider*
1 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin*

Proposal No. 229, 1992 was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 45, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 45, 1992.

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing the Controller and the Marion County Auditor and the Marion County Treasurer to transact the business of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, through the use of electronic funds transfer.

WHEREAS, the Controller desires to transact the business of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and the Marion County Auditor desires to transact the business of Marion County, Indiana, and the Marion County Treasurer desires to transact the business of Marion County, Indiana, through the use of electronic funds transfer; and

WHEREAS, IC 5-13-5-5 requires that the fiscal body of any political subdivision by ordinance or resolution authorize the proper legal officers of a political subdivision to transact the political subdivision's business through the use of electronic funds transfer.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to IC 5-13-5-5, the City-County Council as the fiscal body for the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, hereby authorizes the Controller to transact the business of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis, the Marion County Auditor to transact the business of Marion County, Indiana, and the Marion County Treasurer to transact the business of Marion County, Indiana, through the use of electronic funds transfer.

SECTION 2. The Controller and the Marion County Auditor and the Marion County Treasurer may transact business by electronic funds transfer which the appropriate financial officer authorizes. Said business includes the payment or receipt of funds.

SECTION 3. The Controller and the Marion County Auditor and the Marion County Treasurer shall maintain adequate documentation of any transaction conducted by electronic funds transfer so that the transactions may be audited as provided by law.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 235, 1992. Councillor Dowden reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 235, 1992 on May 13, 1992. The proposal amends the Code concerning rabies control. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Moriarty, for adoption. Proposal No. 235, 1992, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 YEAS: *Beadling, Black, Borst, Boyd, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Hinkle, Howard, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, Schneider, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams*

0 NAYS:

1 NOT VOTING: *O'Dell*

1 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin*

Proposal No. 235, 1992, as amended, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 31, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 31, 1992

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, Chapter 6, Article IV, Sections 6-152 through 6-154.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Article IV, Rabies Control, of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County is hereby amended by deleting the stricken-through text and inserting the language underlined to read as follows:

ARTICLE IV. RABIES CONTROL

Sec. 6-150. Rabies vaccination required.

(a) Each dog and cat kept in the city shall have a rabies vaccination more recent than one (1) year.

(b) The owner of a dog or cat which does not have a rabies vaccination more recent than one (1) year shall be punishable by a fine in the following amounts, plus the expense to the quarantining authority of procuring for the animal a current rabies vaccination:

(1) First offense: Ten dollars (\$10.00);

(2) Second offense: Twenty-five dollars (\$25.00);

- (3) Third offense: Fifty dollars (\$50.00); and
- (4) Subsequent offenses: Fifty dollars (\$50.00) times the number of offenses, including the first three (3) offenses.

Sec. 6-151. Quarantining authority.

For the purposes of this article, the quarantining authority shall be the department of public safety, its contractors, agents, employees and designees, acting pursuant to directives and regulations of the Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County or the State Board of Animal Health.

Sec. 6-152. Precautionary measures and individual animal quarantine.

(a) A captured animal, other than a crime prevention animal for which a current permit has been issued by the city, known to have bitten or otherwise exposed a person to the possibility of contracting rabies through nonbite exposure shall be quarantined for ~~such~~ a period of not less than ten (10) days as is specified in such cases by the Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County. In the sole discretion of the quarantining authority, the quarantine may be on the premises of the owner, at the city animal shelters or those of its contractors, if any, or, at the owner's expense, in a kennel or veterinary hospital.

(b) For the purposes of this article, nonbite exposure includes scratches, abrasions, open wounds or mucous membranes contaminated with saliva or other potentially infectious material from a rabid animal.

Sec. 6-153. Surrender of suspected animal by owner.

~~The owner of an animal which animal is suspected by the quarantining authority of having bitten a person or been exposed to rabies, shall promptly surrender the animal upon demand by said authority for purposes of quarantine and observation, at the expense of the owner of the animal.~~

Whenever the quarantining authority suspects that an animal either (i) has been exposed to rabies or (ii) has bitten or exposed through non-bite exposure a person to rabies, such animal shall be surrendered by its owner for quarantine and observation, at the owner's expense, promptly upon demand by the quarantining authority.

Sec. 6-154. Finding of rabies and general quarantine.

(a) When a quarantined animal has been found rabid or if suspected of being rabid by a licensed veterinarian and dies while under observation, the quarantining authority shall take such action as is specified in such cases by the state board of health and shall notify the proper public health officials of reports of human contacts made by and the diagnosis made of the animal.

(b) When a rabies report is made pursuant to subsection (a), the quarantining authority shall recommend to the department of public safety a quarantine within the city for a period of thirty (30) days. Upon invocation of the quarantine by the department of public safety, any animal found at large within the city may be destroyed without being impounded. During the quarantine period, every animal bitten or exposed through nonbite exposure by an animal adjudged to be rabid shall be confined, at its owner's expense, or destroyed as specified by the State Board of Animal Health.

(c) During a rabies quarantine declared by the department of public safety, an owner or keeper of an animal resisting the quarantining authority acting pursuant to this chapter or who permits an animal owned or kept by him to be at large within the city shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500.00) and impoundment of the animal suspected of rabies or of being in violation of the rabies quarantine.

Sec. 6-155. Disposal of rabid animals.

The quarantining authority shall dispose of any animal suspected by it of being infected with rabies in accordance with the rules and regulations of the State Board of Animal Health.

Sec. 6-156. Reports by veterinarians.

Each veterinarian within the city shall report to the quarantining authority animals suspected by him of being rabid.

Secs. 6-157 - 6-169. Reserved.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 237, 1992. Councillor Curry reported that the Rules and Public Policy Committee heard Proposal No. 237, 1992 on May 19, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillors Curry and Rhodes, concerns vehicle taxes in Marion County. By a 4-2 vote, the Committee reported the proposal to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councillor Curry moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, for adoption.

Councillor Mullin said that the wheel tax is an antiquated funding source and new funding formulas will have to be found. Councillors O'Dell and Borst asked Councillor Mullin to name one funding source to replace the vehicle tax. Councillor Mullin replied that he will in the future.

Councillor Howard stated that he believes the Department of Transportation should have a list of the worse streets and begin repairing them first.

Proposal No. 237, 1992 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

22 YEAS: *Beadling, Borst, Boyd, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Dowden, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Howard, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Smith, West, Williams*
4 NAYS: *Black, Jimison, Mullin, Short*
2 NOT VOTING: *Hinkle, Schneider*
1 NOT PRESENT: *Giffin*

Proposal No. 237, 1992 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 32, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 32, 1992

A GENERAL ORDINANCE concerning vehicle taxes in Marion County.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Sections 2-471, 2-472 and 2-473 of Division 3 of Article XI of Chapter 2 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", are hereby readopted by this council, these sections to continue to provide as follows:

DIVISION 3. VEHICLE TAXES

Sec. 2-471. Excise surtax.

All passenger cars, trucks of less than eleven thousand (11,000) pounds gross vehicle weight and motorcycles registered in Marion County, that are now subject to an excise tax (in lieu of a property tax) shall also be subject to an annual excise surtax of ten (10) per cent to be paid with the registration of said motor vehicles; however, the annual surtax on a vehicle may not be less than seven dollars and fifty cents (\$7.50).

Sec. 2-472. Wheel tax.

(a) All of the following six (6) classes of motor vehicles, registered in Marion County, shall be subject to an annual wheel tax as set out in the following schedule, to be paid with the registration of said motor vehicles.

Motor Vehicle Classification	Annual Wheel Tax
(1) Buses	\$ 40.00
(2) Recreational vehicles	20.00
(3) Semitrailers	10.00
(4) Tractors	30.00

(5) Trailers	10.00
(6) Trucks	40.00

(b) As provided by IC 6-3.5-5-4, the following motor vehicles are exempt from the annual wheel tax:

- (1) Vehicles owned by the state, a state agency or a political subdivision;
- (2) Buses owned and operated by a religious or nonprofit youth organization and used to haul persons to religious services or for the benefit of their members;
- (3) Vehicles subject to the annual excise surtax.

Sec. 2-473. Distribution of tax revenue.

All of the excise surtax and wheel tax collected on motor vehicles registered in Marion County shall be distributed as provided in IC 6-3.5-5-14 and IC 6-3.5-4-12, and shall be used only to construct, reconstruct, or repair streets and roads under its jurisdiction.

SECTION 2. Section 2-474 of Division 3 of Article XI of Chapter 2 of the Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, is hereby amended by deleting the stricken-through text and adding the underlined text to read as follows:

Sec. 2-474. Sunset provision.

The taxes imposed by sections 2-471 and 2-472 shall not be imposed after January 1, 1993, unless readopted by this council. This section is expressly declared severable; and should any court declare this section invalid, the balance of this division shall be effective notwithstanding the invalidity of this section.

SECTION 3. The express or implied repeal or amendment by this ordinance or of any other ordinance, or part thereof, does not affect any rights or liabilities accrued, prior to the effective dates of this ordinance. Those rights, liabilities, and proceedings are continued, and penalties shall be imposed and enforced under the repealed or amended ordinance as if this ordinance had not been adopted.

SECTION 4. Should any provision of this ordinance be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid for any reason, the remaining provisions shall not be affected unless such remaining provisions cannot, without being given the effect intended by the Council in adopting this ordinance.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 238, 239, 240 and 245, 1992. Councillor Gilmer asked for consent to vote on these four transportation proposals together. Consent was given. PROPOSAL NO. 238, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Hinkle, amends the Code by authorizing intersection controls in the Chapel Bend subdivision (District 18). PROPOSAL NO. 239, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Gilmer, amends the Code by authorizing a traffic signal at the intersection of Doubletree Drive and Michigan Road (District 1). PROPOSAL NO. 240, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Schneider, amends the Code by authorizing a traffic signal at the access drive (south) and Harcourt Road (8400 N.) (District 3). PROPOSAL NO. 245, 1992. The proposal, sponsored by Councillor Williams, amends the Code by deleting a one-way southbound traffic flow on Pierson Street between Fall Creek Parkway, South Drive and 22nd Street; and by authorizing intersection controls at Fall Creek Parkway, South Drive, and Pierson Street (District 22). Councillor Gilmer reported that the Transportation Committee heard Proposal Nos. 238, 239, 240 and 245, 1992 on May 19, 1992. By a 6-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal No. 238, 1992 to the Council with the recommendation that it do pass. By a 7-0 vote, the Committee reported Proposal Nos. 239, 240 and 245, 1992 to the Council with the recommendation that they do pass. Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Hinkle, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 238, 239, 240 and 245, 1992 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 YEAS: *Beadling, Black, Borst, Brents, Coughenour, Curry, Franklin, Gilmer, Golc, Hinkle, Howard, Jimison, Jones, McClamroch, Moriarty, Mullin, O'Dell, Rhodes, Ruhmkorff, SerVaas, Shambaugh, Short, Smith, West, Williams*
0 NAYS:

Journal of the City-County Council

3 NOT VOTING: Boyd, Dowden, Schneider
1 NOT PRESENT: Giffin

Proposal No. 238, 1992 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 33, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 33, 1992

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. That the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection traffic controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
22, Pg. 4	Girls School Rd. & Nostalgia Le.	Girls School Rd.	Stop
22, Pg. 4	Greensprings Rd. & Rosebery Le.	Greensprings Rd.	Stop
22, Pg. 5	Nostalgia Le. & Rosebery Le.	Rosebery Le.	Stop
22, Pg. 5	Ponsonby Ct., Rosebery Ct., & Rosebery Le.	Rosebery Ct. & Rosebery Le.	Stop

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 239, 1992 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 34, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 34, 1992

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
2, Pg. 1	Doubletree Dr. & Michigan Rd.	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 240, 1992 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1992 and reads as follows:

May 26, 1992

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 35, 1992

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. That the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection traffic controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
3, Pg. 1	Access drive (south) & Harcourt Rd. (8400 N.)	None	Signal

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

Proposal No. 245, 1992 was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 1992 and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 36, 1992

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-166, One-way streets and alleys designated, and Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection traffic controls.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. That the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-166, One-way streets and alleys designated, be, and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

SOUTHBOUND

Pierson Street, from Fall Creek Parkway, South Drive to Twenty-second Street

SECTION 2. That the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana," specifically Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection traffic controls, be, and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

<u>BASE MAP</u>	<u>INTERSECTION</u>	<u>PREFERENTIAL</u>	<u>TYPE OF CONTROL</u>
25, Pg. 11	Fall Creek Pkwy., S. Dr. & Pierson St.	Fall Creek Pkwy., S. Dr.	Stop

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

Councillor Dowden asked for consent to postpone in Committee Proposal No. 233, 1992 to June 10, 1992. Consent was given.

Councillor Golc asked for a Circle Centre Mall progress report. The President said he will have someone give a report at the next Council meeting.

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 26th day of May, 1992.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

Beurt SerVaas

President

ATTEST:

Ben J. Kappas

Clerk of the Council

(SEAL)