# MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

# REGULAR MEETINGS - MONDAY, MAY 20, 1985

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:06 p.m., on Monday, May 20, 1985, with Councillor SerVaas presiding.

The meeting was opened with a prayer by Pastor Arthur R. Burkham of the Emmanuel Lutheran Church and the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag by Councillor Stuart W. Rhodes.

## ROLL CALL

Councillor SerVaas instructed the Clerk to take the roll call of the Council, which was as follows:

PRESENT: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

Twenty-nine members being present, he announced a quorum was present.

#### OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The President called for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils, will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, May 20, 1985, at 7:00 p.m., the purposes of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,

s/Beurt SerVaas, President City-County Council

May 7, 1985 TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

## Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in The Indianapolis NEWS and The Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on May 9, 1985, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposal Nos. 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 239, 244 and 245, 1985, to be held on Monday, May 20, 1985, at 7:00 p.m., in the City-County Building.

Respectfully,

s/Beverly S. Rippy City Clerk

May 8, 1985 TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances and resolutions:

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 14, 1985, authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue its "Economic Development First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1985 (4V's Project)" in the aggregate principal amount of Seven Hundred Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$725,000) and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 15, 1985, authorizing the execution and delivery of an Assignment and Assumption Agreement concerning the previously issued \$2,250,000 City of Indianapolis Economic Development Second Mortgage Revenue Bond, Series 1980 (Paper Art Company, Inc. Project).

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 16, 1985, authorizing the execution and delivery of an Assignment and Assumption Agreement concerning the previously issued Economic Development Revenue Bonds for Paper Art Company, Inc.

-416-

概 2 2 2 2 2 SER BE CHEST SERVICE **日本版 新 街** E E RES PER IN 1021 701 10 E 2508 | 255 Williams of the Control Cara me me THE RESERVE 京田 | 単 部 LEGISTE OF F 10 W 100 16 图 图 (P1062 36) VALANTI DEL 12. 127 mg 144 · 42 04

1. 16

1.39998

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 17, 1985, designating part of the Consolidated City as an Economic Development Target Area, which designation meets the requirements imposed by I.C. 36-7-12 for allowing industrial development bond financing for economic development facilities used for retail trade, banking, credit agencies or services.

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 18, 1985, authorizing the City of Indianapolis to issue its "Economic Development Revenue Bond, Series 1985 (Pakway Container Corporation Project)" in the principal amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) and approving and authorizing other actions in respect thereto.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 42, 1985, honoring School No. 92 Special Olympics state basketball champions.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 43, 1985, in memoriam of French M. Elrod.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 44, 1985, recommending the renaming of various streets to "Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Street."

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 45, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 53, 1984 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 46, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 103, 1983 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 47, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 44, 1984 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 48, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 45, 1984 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 49, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 91, 1984 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 50, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 7, 1984 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 51, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 65, 1983 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 52, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 30, 1984 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 53, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 23, 1983 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 54, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 34, 1984 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 55, 1985, amending City-County Special Resolution No. 76, 1982 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 56, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 57, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 58, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 59, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 60, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 61, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 62, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 63, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 64, 1985, approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 65, 1985, approving the leasing of certain real estate of the Department of Parks and Recreation.

Respectfully submitted,

福金雪路電

fistim m

BARIN B

1 and 300 mal 1

THE REPORT

168 MI 188

THE REAL PROPERTY.

0 60 W 100

Some and

esten det et ren det et ren de

5.09 981

s/William H. Hudnut, III

# ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Consent was given for the adoption of the agenda of the City-County Council and the Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils of May 20, 1985.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS

President SerVaas called for additions or corrections for the Journal of March 26, 1985. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS, AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS --

PROPOSAL NO. 302, 1985. This proposal supports the renovation of the State Soldiers' and Sailors' Monument. Proposal No. 302 is sponsored by Councillors Giffin, SerVaas, Boyd and Hawkins. Councillor Giffin read the resolution and moved for its adoption, seconded by Councillor Gilmer. Proposal No. 302, 1985.was adopted by unanimous voice vote, retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 66, 1985, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 66, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION supporting the renovation of the State Soldiers' and Sailors' Monument.

WHEREAS, in 1887 Civil War Veterans received approval from the State of Indiana to build a "State Soldiers" and Sailors' Monument' to honor all of Indiana's war veterans; and

WHEREAS, the Monument, begun in 1889 and completed in 1901, is one of the principal monuments in the nation commemorating Civil War Veterans and one of the very few in our country dedicated to the ordinary soldier; and

WHEREAS, when the State Soldiers' and Sailors' Monument was dedicated in 1902 it was the second highest monument in the world and has since become the symbol of the City of Indianapolis; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

- SECTION 1. The Indianapolis-Marion County City-County Council supports the Governor in his efforts to establish a Committee to oversee the restoration of the appearance and the structural integrity of the State Soldiers' and Sailors' Monument.
- SECTION 2. The Council further endorses the Governor's concept of accomplishing such restoration through donations from the general public and encourages all Indianapolis residents to support this effort to save the symbol of our Indianapolis.
- SECTION 3. The Mayor is invited to join in this resolution by affixing his signature hereto.
- SECTION 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

1.01108

PROPOSAL NO. 315, 1985. Councillor Clark requested consent of the Council to introduce Proposal No. 315, 1985, which reverses a change in policy on economic development bond financing for multi-family residential housing located outside an economic development target area. He explained that since the policy change, there is now a June 1, deadline to obtain construction and permanent bond financing. Councillor Clark read the resolution, which would allow construction financing but not permanent financing for multi-family residential housing. Councillor Clark moved, seconded by Councillor Giffin for adoption.

After considerable discussion Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, to proceed with the agenda and take up Proposal No. 315 and all proposals affected by Proposal No. 315 at the end of the agenda. Consent was given.

# INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NO. 254, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SPECIAL RESOLUTION authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Convention Garage Associates, Inc. in an approximate amount of \$10,000,000"; and the President referred it to the Economic Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 291, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE appropriating \$37,000 for the Office of the Director of the Department of Administration to purchase television equipment and lights for the Public Assembly Room"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 292, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code concerning licensing requirements for the City"; and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 293, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FISCAL ORDINANCE transferring

\$13,600 for the Prosecutor's Child Support IV-D Agency for the Summer Telemarketing Campaign"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 295, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Dowden. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE establishing a Metropolitan Emergency Communications Agency and an Indianapolis Public Safety Communications Commission"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 296, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE establishing the rules and procedures for the preparation of the 1986 Annual Budget for City and County Government"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 297, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the Code concerning vehicle taxes in Marion County"; and the President referred it to the Rules and Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 298, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE establishing a loading zone on a portion of St. Joseph Street"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 299, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE establishing intersection controls in Country Walk Subdivision"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 300, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE changing intersection controls at California and St. Clair Streets"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 301, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE changing intersection controls on Lafayette Road and the entrance to Ayr Way"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

# MODIFICATION OF SPECIAL ORDERS

Carlon S.

NAME OF

Care per on, de year o end ter un o end f

Land Series

**製料機(取)** 

10 6 35 10 8 35

(2000 MI)

144

5791983

PROPOSAL NO. 303, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Howard. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a GENERAL ORDINANCE changing parking controls on a portion of Illinois Street"; and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

# SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NO. 191, 1985. This proposal grants the extension of the expiration date contained in previously adopted Special Resolution No. 92, 1981, for Canal Commons. Councillor Schneider explained that this was a formality to change the expiration date to December 31, 1985. The Economic Development Committee on May 15, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 191, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 5-0. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Cottingham for adoption. Proposal No. 191, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West NO NAYS

Proposal No. 191, 1985, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 67, 1985, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 67, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION amending City-County Special Resolution No. 92, 1981 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, City-County Special Resolution No. 92, 1981 has been previously adopted by the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana, concerning certain proposed economic development facilities to be developed by Canal Commons Associates, an Indiana limited partnership (the "Company"); and

WHEREAS, such bonds have not yet been issued as of the date of adoption of this City-County Special Resolution and it is desirable to place an expiration date on the previously adopted Special Resolution No. 92, 1981; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the City-County Special Resolution No. 92, 1981 is hereby amended by deleting Section 3 and Section 4 thereof and inserting in lieu thereof the following: SECTION In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, this body hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds, provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds the aggregate amount of private activity bonds issued pursuant to such issue when added to the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year it being understood that the City of Indianapolis by taking this action is not making any representation nor any assurances that any such allocable limit will be available, that inducement resolutions in an aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may and in all probability will be adopted, and that the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions and that no portion of such private activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed project and subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires December 31. 1985 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Company, the City by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12. SECTION 4.

1, 10

5.079 GB F

All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of City-County Special Resolution No. 92, 1981, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, renovation, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter see the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Company for the Project.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that except as modified by Section 1 hereof, all other findings and provisions of City-County Special Resolution No. 92, 1981 shall remain unchanged and are hereby reaffirmed and confirmed.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 206, 1985. This proposal is an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for SMC Pneumatics, Inc. in an approximate amount of \$7,300,000. Councillor Schneider explained that SMC Pneumatics is in the business of importing parts and assembling several lines of pneumatic valves (pneumatically operated air cylinders and directional control air valves) and also imports finished goods consisting of air filters, regulators, lubricators and cylinders. These products are marketed through the United States and Canada. The Economic Development Committee on May 15, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 206, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 4-1-1. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Howard for adoption.

Councillor Clark stated that he voted against Proposal No. 206, 1985, during the Economic Development Committee meeting because SMC Pneumatics did not list any competitors in its application submitted for the inducement resolution. A survey was conducted through the Council Staff and there were seventeen companies that responded that they manufacture the same type of pneumatic valves and would be adversely affected with the passage of Proposal No. 206.

Proposal No. 206, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey,

McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Strader, West

2 NAYS: Clark, Stewart

Proposal No. 206, 1985, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 68, 1985, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 68, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, SMC Pneumatics, Inc. (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, renovate, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of an existing facility containing approximately 120,000 square feet and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at 3011 North Franklin Road, Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana on approximately 13.125 acres of land which will be used by the Company to distribute and manufacture pneumatically operated "air cylinders" and directional control valves (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 54 at the end of one year and 96 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens and will contribute significantly to the creation of permanent new job opportunities; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens and will contribute significantly to the creation of permanent new job opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health,

safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of Seven Million Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$7,300,000) under the Act to be privately placed for the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to SMC Pneumatics, Inc. (the "Company") or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

图台監查金

CHE WILL

明4個 歌 順

THE REAL PROPERTY.

WIND SELL

2 10 M

CALL!

10 100

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project, this City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds provided that at the time of the proposed issuance of such bonds the aggregate amount of private activity bonds issued pursuant to such issue when added to the aggregate amount of private activity bonds previously issued during that calendar year will not exceed the private activity bond limit for such calendar year it being understood that the City of Indianapolis by taking this action is not making any representation nor any assurances that any such allocable limit will be available, that inducement resolutions in an aggregate amount in excess of the private activity bond limit may and in all probability will be adopted, and that the proposed Project will have no priority over other projects which have applied for such private activity bonds and have received inducement resolutions and that no portion of such private activity bond limit has been guaranteed for the proposed project and subject to the further caveat that this inducement resolution expires December 31, 1985 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Company, the City by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during renovation, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter sell the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Company for the Project, and the City will thereafter lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purpose or sell the same to the Company.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 196, 1985. This is a proposal for a special resolution granting the extension of the expiration date and modifying the partnership and project description contained in previously adopted Special Resolution No. 102, 1983, for J. Scott Keller Partnership. Councillor Schneider explained that the original resolution described thirteen apartment structures to be renovated and Proposal No. 196, allows five of the renovation projects to be eliminated and two to be added. The Economic Development Committee on May 15, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 196, 1985, Do Pass As Amended by a vote of 4-0. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer for adoption. Proposal No. 196, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

Proposal No. 196, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 69, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 69, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION amending City-County Special Resolution No. 102, 1983 and approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, J. Scott Keller, or his assigns (the "Company") has previously advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, renovate, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities were generally described as the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the following existing buildings in Indianapolis, Indiana:

- The Saint Clair located at 108 East St. Clair Street containing approximately 16,736 square feet
- The McKay located at 611 North Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 21,520 square feet
- c) The Ambassador located at 39 East 9th Street containing approximately 65,169 square feet

- d) The Buschmann Block located at 1034 North College Avenue containing approximately 40,736 square feet
- e) The Dartmouth located at 221 East Michigan Street containing approximately 40.355 square feet
- f) The Explanade located at 3015 North Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 20,000 square feet
- g) The Massachusetts located at 421-429 1/2 Massachusetts Avenue containing approximately 16,072 square feet
- h) The Plaza located at 911 North Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 40,000 square feet
- The Raleigh located at 1301 North Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 74,802 square feet

**医社会 100 100** 

nen m

CHEE MIN

1 22 M 10 10

1500 WE 856

P 60 10 320

Litradi sets

STATE SEE

CONTRACT CONTRACT CONTRACT

CAL

1

Language L

o mail i

- j) The Lockerbie Court located at 402, 404, 408, 412, 416 New Jersey Street and 332, 334 Vermont Street containing approximately 58,000 square feet
- k) The Murphy Holliday & Wyons located at 30 East Georgia Street and 134-140 South Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 180,000 square feet
- The Pontius located at 1433 North Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 60,000 square feet
- m) A building located at 1229 North Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 23,436 square feet
- n) The St. Regis located at 1402 North Pennsylvania Street containing approximately 60,000 square feet

and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements which will be leased to tenants for use as multi-family housing units with some incidental commercial use (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, The Company has advised the City that some of the buildings as originally described in the Project will not be included in the Project and that a building not originally included in the Project description should be added to the Project description so that the revised description of the economic development facilities being financed by the City consists of the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of various existing buildings known as:

919 North Pennsylvania Street

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

The Pontius 1433 North Pennsylvania Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

The Pennsylvania

The Van Dyke 1229 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

The Raleigh 1301 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

The Plaza 902 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

The Ambassador 39 East 9th Street

The Dartmouth 221 East Michigan Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

The McKay

611 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

John W. Murphy Building

30 East Georgia Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Holliday and Wyons Building

133-140 South Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements which will be leased to tenants for use as multi-family residential rental housing with some incidental commercial use (the "Revised Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 11 at the end of one year and three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Revised Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Revised Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of \$23,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement for the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Revised Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to J. Scott Keller or his assigns (the "Company") or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to continue to proceed with the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Revised Project, this City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will

adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Company, the City by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Revised Project and for additions to the Revised Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Revised Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

脏点监测书

SEP BE

E 1023 1251 135

**彩本新版版** 

Man Bank

C THE ME MAN !

100 mg 100

10 E 32

NAMES OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

NEW WELL

and the latest the second to

CALCELL I

· 및 메니

1.21(28) []

SECTION 4. All costs of the Revised Project incurred after the passage of City-County Special Resolution No. 102, 1983, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Revised Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Revised Project, and the City will thereafter see the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Company for the Revised Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 207, 1985. This proposal is an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for American Trans Air, Inc. in an approximate amount of \$10,000,000. Councillor Schneider stated that American Trans Air, Inc. is the largest charter air carrier in the United States. It is in the final phase of fleet conversion to more modern aircraft. The Company's current facilities have become inadequate due to growth of personnel and recent acquisition of eight Boeing 727's and six Lockhead L-1011 aircraft. American Trans Air currently leases 24,000 square feet of office space from the Indianapolis Airport Authority at 2141 South High School Road and leases hangar and maintenance shops (45,000 square feet total) at various locations at the Airport and at Park Fletcher. Councillor Schneider described the project as the construction of approximately 60,000 square feet of hanger space, 45,000 square foot office and 15,000 square foot maintenance and shop space on approximately fifteen acres at the Indianapolis International Airport (northwest quadrant). This will allow maintenance being currently done on aircraft in Los Angeles under contract with TWA to be performed here in Indianapolis by the Company. Estimated costs for the \$10 million project are as follows: \$7 million building, and \$3 million equipment. Construction should

begin in June of 1985 and be complete December 1, 1985. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 90 jobs with \$2.5 million in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 440 positions with \$10 million in additional payroll. There will also be numerous construction jobs created by this project. The company reports a current annual payroll of approximately \$20 million for 1,000 employees. The Economic Development Committee on May 15, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 207, 1985, Do Pass As Amended by a 5-0 vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Howard for adoption. Proposal No. 207, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Rhodes

Proposal No. 207, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 70, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 70, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, American Trans Air, Inc. (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 60,000 square feet of hanger space, 45,000 square feet of office space and 15,000 square feet of shop space and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located on the grounds of Indianapolis International Airport, Indianapolis, Indiana on approximately 15 acres of land which will be used by American Trans Air, Inc. in its business as a certified air passenger carrier (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 90 at the end of one year and 440 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens and will contribute significantly to the creation of permanent new job opportunities; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens and will contribute significantly to the creation of permanent new job opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

**医注蓝斑** 新

fisti in H

N. SECT. 1227 MIS-

TO ST

124 20

MAN BEAT 1

10 to 10

STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

AND BELLEVIA

12 70

1.7928131

- MELL

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to American Trans Air, Inc. (the "Company") or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, this City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires December 31, 1985 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Company, the City by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter sell the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of the revenue bonds to the Company for the Project, and the City will thereafter lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purpose or sell the same to the Company.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 265, 1985. This proposal is an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Cedar Crossing Apartments of Marion County, Ltd. in an approximate amount of \$2,350,000. Councillor Schneider stated that the applicant has indicated that the project is no longer viable and he moved, seconded by Councillor Rader to Strike Proposal No. 265, 1985. Proposal No. 265, 1985, was stricken by unanimous voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 277, 1985. This proposal is an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Sterling Limited Partnership d/b/a The Sterling Group in an approximate amount of \$4,000,000. Councillor Schneider described the project as the construction of a full service retirement community including independent living units, support services and a licensed nursing home (78 beds). There will also be 154 units plus 12 quadraplex units of multi-family residential housing. The project is to be located at 1503 Mitthoeffer Road (81.3 acres). Estimated costs for the \$5,325,000 project are as follows: \$300,000 land, \$4,450,000 building and \$575,000 equipment. Construction should begin in April 1986 and be complete in June of 1987. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 70 jobs with \$911,200 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 84 positions with \$1,214,500 in additional payroll. The Economic Development Committee on May 15, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 277, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 5-0. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Journey for adoption. Proposal No. 277, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West
NO NAYS

Proposal No. 277, 1985, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 71, 1985, and reads as follows:

HE SEE 180 TO

Can min

**日本部 (第2 18日)** 

RECT ME MEI BY

120

THE RESERVE

MAN AND SERVICE

10 St 10 St

16 6 AE

STATE OF STA

SENT ONLE !

a reference

1.79 284 84

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 71, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, Sterling Limited Partnership d/b/a The Sterling Group (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 166 units of multifamily residential housing plus certain administrative offices and a nursing care facility containing approximately 78 beds contained within a complex of buildings having a total gross building area of approximately 62,250 square feet and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at 1503 Mitthoeffer Road on approximately 81.3 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana which will be used as congregate care housing for the elderly (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 70 at the end of one year and 84 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$4,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstand-ing principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 282, 1985. This proposal is an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond

financing for Wayne R. Nelson and Dr. Richard T. Conard or a to be formed partnership of which Applicants will be the general partners in an approximate amount of \$18,000,000. Councillor Schneider described the project as occurring at the former Fall Creek School site at Kessler Boulevard and Fall Creek Road in two phases. The site is approximately 32 acres. Four hundred units of multifamily residential rental housing for the elderly will be constructed around the existing school building. Estimated costs for the \$18 million project are as \$361,000 land, \$14,639,000 building, and \$3 million miscellaneous contingencies. Construction should begin in September of 1985 and be complete in November of 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 100 jobs with \$600,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 100 positions with \$1,300,000 in additional payroll. The Economic Development Committee on May 15, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 282, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 5-0. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Giffin for adoption. Proposal No. 282, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

**翻译整 歌 彩** 

CHA BING

BASE SERVICE

Town I

124

First the make

THE REAL PROPERTY.

16 % NO 18

TOTAL SERVICE SERVICES

A MARKET BE A

一型型目

1.4

3.379 284 (8)

- magaziti

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West
NO NAYS

Proposal No. 282, 1985, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 72, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 72, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, Wayne R. Nelson and Dr. Richard T. Conard (or a to be formed partnership of which Applicants will be the general partners) (the "Applicant" has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, renovate, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the

proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of approximately 400 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a complex of buildings having a total gross building area of approximately 600,000 square feet and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at Kessler Boulevard and Fall Creek Road (Fall Creek School Building site) on approximately 32 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana to be used as a congregate housing facility for the elderly (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 100 at the end of one year and 100 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility of facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

- SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.
- SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$18,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide financing for the acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.
- SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement

resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, renovation underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 304-314, 1985. Introduced by Councillor Borst. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "REZONING ORDINANCES certified by the Metropolitan Development Commission on May 20, 1985". No action was taken on Proposal Nos. 304-314, 1985 by the Council; and the proposals were deemed adopted. Proposal Nos. 304-314, 1985, were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 62-72, 1985, and read as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 62, 1985 85-Z-37 WARREN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 15

1202 NORTH SHADELAND AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS

Dave Mason, by James W. Beatty, requests the rezoning of 3.67 acres, being in the C-4 district, to the C-5 classification, to conform zoning to its use as an outdoor automobile sales lot and to permit the construction of an addition.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 63, 1985 85-Z-40 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 6

2201 EAST 86TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS

图 255 200 %

fist m

Carl Bill

MASS SE 1861

BANK BILL

BEE WHILE

1 (A 1958 MB 1959)

22 1

STATEMENT OF THE STATEM

A SHEET BY

1. 10

s respective t

Metropolitan School District of Washington Township, by Philip A. Nicely, requests the rezoning of 13.31 acres, being in the C-2 district, to the SU-2 classification, to provide for school uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO.  $64,1985\,$  85-Z-49 LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 3

7321 NORTH SHADELAND AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS

Shadeland Station Developers, by Philip A. Nicely, requests the rezoning of 3.56 acres, being in C-1 and C-4 districts, to the C-4 classification, to provide for retail commercial development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 65, 1985 85-Z-50 WARREN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 10

5104 EAST 21ST STREET, INDIANAPOLIS

Sam Johns, by William Wurster, requests the rezoning of 0.42 acre, being in the D-5 district, to the C-4 classification, to provide for retail commercial development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 66, 1985 85-Z-51 AMENDED CENTER TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 22

18 SOUTH LASALLE STREET, INDIANAPOLIS

Philip C. Thrasher requests the rezoning of 0.72 acre, being in the I-3-U district, to the C-5 classification, to provide for auto painting and auto glass repair.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 67, 1985 85-Z-53 CENTER TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 16

2542 NORTH DELAWARE STREET, INDIANAPOLIS

Indianapolis Teen Challenge requests the rezoning of 1.8 acres, being in the D-8 district, to the SU-7 classification, to conform zoning to its use as a Christian treatment facility and to permit the reconstruction of a small shed.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 68, 1985 85-Z-55 WAYNE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 17

2525 LAFAYETTE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS

William McQuary, by F. Robert Lively, requests the rezoning of 0.28 acre, being in the D-4 district, to the C-4 classification, to provide for the operation of a retail cabinet store.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 69, 1985 85-Z-56 PIKE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1

6503 WEST 71ST STREET, INDIANAPOLIS

Joseph and Margaret Wagle and Golden Rule Insurance Company, by Wilson S. Stober, request the rezoning of 9.9 acres, being in the D-2 district, to the C-1 classification, to provide for office development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 70, 1985  $\,$  85-Z-58  $\,$  WAYNE TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1

8126 CRAWFORDSVILLE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS

American Fletcher National Bank, by Stephen D. Mears, requests the rezoning of 5.0 acres, being in the C-1 district, to the D-6 II classification, to provide for multifamily residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 71, 1985 85-Z-59 FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 13

5253 VICTORY DRIVE, INDIANAPOLIS

Beech Tree House Day Care Center, by Lou Zickler, requests the rezoning of approximately 11 acres, being the C-S district, to the C-S classification, to provide for the construction of a day care center in addition to the uses authorized by 77-Z-98.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 72, 1985 85-Z-60 WARREN TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 12

6747 EAST 38TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS

George T. Pride, by James Kervan, requests the rezoning of 0.11 acre, being in the D-3 district, to the C-4 classification, to provide for a retail furniture business.

SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 239, 1985. This proposal is for a rezoning ordinance for Lawrence Township, Councilmanic District No. 3, 7101 Sargent Road. Proposal No. 239, 1985, was called out for public hearing by Councillor Nickell, who stated that all questions had been answered concerning this case; and Councillor Nickell moved, seconded by Councillor Howard for adoption. Proposal No. 239, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West NO NAYS

Proposal No. 239, 1985, was retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 73, 1985, and reads as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 73, 1985 85-Z-35 (85-DP-4) LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP

COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 3 7101 SARGENT ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS

Mud Creek Development Company, by James L. Tuohy, requests the rezoning of 16.01 acres, being in the D-S district, to the D-P classification, to provide for a planned unit development consisting of 20 detached condominiums.

PROPOSAL NO. 244 and 245, 1985. These proposals are for rezoning ordinances for Perry Township, Councilmanic District No. 25, 4801 East Stop 11 Road and 8002 South Emerson Avenue, respectively. Councillor Borst stated that these ordinances had been requested for public hearing because certain commitments had not been filed by the attorney. All the proper documents have now been filed; and Councillor Borst moved, seconded by Councillor Miller, for adoption of Proposal Nos. 244 and 245, 1985. Proposal No. 244 and 245, 1985, were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

1 NAY: Borst

**医主型器** 卷

CHILDING

BANK BEN

n mail (ii)

1 ST (BES 1908 SERVE)

THE STATE OF THE S

10 (10 (MI)

**阿里斯斯斯斯** 

0 60 W 100 W 101

STREET, STREET

NAME OF BRIDE

A TATAL NA

AND THE REAL PROPERTY.

Proposal Nos. 244 and 245, 1985, were retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 74 and 75, 1985, respectively and read as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 74, 1985 85-Z-5 AMENDED PERRY TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 25

4801 EAST STOP 11 ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS

R.J. Realty, Inc., by Michael J. Kias, requests the rezoning of 6.42 acres, being in the A-2 district, to the D-6 classification, to provide for multi-family residential development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 75, 1985 85-Z-6 AMENDED PERRY TOWNSHIP COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 25

8002 SOUTH EMERSON AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS

R.J. Realty, Inc., by Michael J. Kias, requests the rezoning of 14.62 acres, being in the A-2 district, to the C-3 classification, to provide for commercial development.

PROPOSAL NO. 168, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$100,000 for the City Legal Division for contractual legal services. Councillor Coughenour explained that Proposal No. 168, appropriates funds to pay the contractual legal fees incurred in defense of the lawsuit filed by the City of Baltimore against Mayor William H. Hudnut, III. The Administration Committee on May 13, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 168, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 7-0. The President called for public testimony at 8:09 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes for adoption. Proposal No. 168, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West
NO NAYS

Proposal No. 168, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 38, 1985, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 38, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Legal Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds for contractual legal services.

SECTION 2. The sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved: DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

LEGAL DIVISION

ELLE B

1 MIT 251 MI

**日本部 | 第二 1987** |

BAR WALL

1 22 2 2

C TORS I BOY COR! I TO 1.1

SCHOOL BOST IS 1

Seminar All

CANADAR I

CALL NO.

.71

1.79 200 M

\*\*\*\*

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND

1. Personal Services
TOTAL INCREASE

\$100,000 \$100,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

LEGAL DIVISION

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Consolidated County Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$100,000 \$100,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 170, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$20,224 for various County Agencies to hire students for the summer months which will be 50% reimbursed. Councillor Cottingham explained that Proposal No. 170 is available through the State of Indiana Work-Study Program and that each agency participating must have 50% of the funds necessary to hire these students for the summer. The County and Townships Committee on May 14, 1985, heard testimony concerning Proposal No. 170 and at that time amended the proposal from \$20,224 to \$6,600. This was due to the reduction by the State in the total amount available. The County and Townships Committee did recommend Proposal No. 170, 1985, Do Pass As Amended by a vote of 6-0. The President called for public testimony at 8:10 p.m. Mr. John McClain asked what type of summer jobs this proposal involved. Councillor Cottingham stated that they would vary according to each county agency. Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Giffin for adoption. Proposal No. 170, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West
NO NAYS

Proposal No. 170, 1985, as amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 39, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 39, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Six Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$6,600) in the County General Fund for purposes of various Marion County Agencies and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.02 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds to hire students during the summer which is 50% reimbursed by the State of Indiana Work-Study Program.

SECTION 2. The sum of Six Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$6,600) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved: COUNTY SURVEYOR COUNTY GENERAL FUND 1. Personal Services \$ 350 SUPERIOR COURT - JUVENILE DIV. 1. Personal Services 1,000 SUPERIOR COURT - PROBATE DIV. 1. Personal Services 400 SUPERIOR COURT - CRIMINAL DIV. - RM. 2 1. Personal Services 300 PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE MUN. COURT 1. Personal Services 1,850 CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR 1. Personal Services 800 LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR 1. Personal Services 1,400 WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR 1. Personal Services 500 TOTAL INCREASE \$6,600

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

# MARION COUNTY AGENCIES Unappropriated and Unencumbered County General Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

\$6,600 \$6,600

SECTION 5. The personnel schedules are hereby amended by deleting the cross-hatched portions and adding the new amounts as underlined herein:

## (8) COUNTY SURVEYOR - Dept. 29 County General Fund

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Classification	Maniber	Salary	Ciassification
Elected Official	1	30,511	30,511
Chief Administrator	1	28,048	28,048
Technical Supervisor	1	26,580	26,580
Administrative Asst.	1	14,694	14,694
Party Chief	2	21,483	42,668
Asstistant Party Chief	1	19,312	19,312
Instrument Man	1	18,204	18,204
Rod/Chainman	2	15,407	15,407
Draftsman	2	14,638	14,638
Secretary	1	14,694	14,694
Part-time	2	2,040	2/,040 2,390
Vacancy Factor			(2,040)
TOTAL	15	2/	24.77年 225,106

## (4) SUPERIOR COURT - JUVENILE DIVISION - Dept. 65

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Judge	1	17,153	17,153
Administrators	3	36,698	87,231
Managers	14	25,643	295,580
Secretaries	4	13,734	49,880
Computer Operators	4	15,000	52,087
Clerk-Typists	15	12,668	111,323
Referees	5	36,193	120,060
Court Reporters	6	21,708	125,181
Bailiffs	7	16,024	92,345
Probation	61	23,504	909.337
Professional Staff	5	29,226	104,932
Maintenance Staff	8	13,009	70,498
Jury Per Diem		,	8,160
Temporary Help		1/2/85/2 13,852	
Vacancy Factor	-	,	(193,996)
TOTAL	133	11.862.823 1.863.623	

# (6) SUPERIOR COURT-PROBATE DIVISION - Dept. 63

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Elected Official	1	17,153	17,153
Hearing Judge	1	41,555	41,555
Commissioners	4	34,188	67,779
Court Attorney	1	8,077	8,077
Court Reporters	2	20,033	39,024
Bailiff	1	15,170	15,170
Admin. Assistant	1	15,170	15,170
Estate & Gdnshp. Clerks	2	13,943	27,886
Adoption Clerk	1	13,943	13,943
Temporary Help			HATA 1,677
TOTAL	14	P14	71084 <u>247,434.</u>

# (8) SUPERIOR COURT - CRIMINAL DIVISION - ROOM TWO - Dept. 52

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Elected Official	1	17,153	17,153
Court Reporters	2	17,845	35,691
Bailiffs	2	15,088	29,156
Chief Clerk	1	15,984	15,984
Secretary	1	15,073	15,073
Record Clerk	1	13,899	13,899
Clerk	1	12,840	12,840
Master Commissioner	1	19,232	19,232
Public Defenders	1	13,116	13,116
Temporary Part-time		1,561	1,561/1,861
TOTAL	11	1)	73,705 174,005

1910 1120

THE REAL PROPERTY.

ATTY AND AND AND

## (24) PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT - Dept. 47 County General Fund

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Judges	15	17,160	242,476
Court Reporters	16	18,616	279,240
Bailiffs	47	17,550	689,078
Managers	3	29,744	78,520
Supervisors/Admin. Asst.	9	20,930	164,060
Court Specialists	48	15,574	503,802
Professional	76	25,844	792,350
Temporary		1/H/68/6 16,486	
Vacancy Factor			(197.891)
TOTAL	214	21,5661,1	27A 2,568,121

## (1) CENTER TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR - Dept. 06

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Assessor	1	35,735	35,735
Real Estate Deputies	11	25,618	177,061
Personal Prop. Deputies	9	24,504	142,107
Deputies II	27	15.593	253,844
Temporaries		, ,	37/2100 38.000
TOTAL	48	/ 174	646,747

# (4) LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR - Dept. 20

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Assessor Chief Deputy	1 1	31,202 23,397	31,202 23,397
Deputies	9	22,684	97,085
Temporary Vacancy Factor	-		$\frac{ 3 7 00}{(3,734)}$
TOTAL	11	/1:	5/4/\$5/0 <u>153,050</u>

## (8) WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP ASSESSOR - Dept. 32

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Assessor	1	34,669	34,669
Chief Deputy	1	26,003	26,003
Personal Prop. Deputies	2	18,783	30,737
Real Estate Deputies	6	20,227	96,786
Technical Clerks	7	13,327	78,982
Draftsman	1	14,962	13,167
Temporary			10,696 11,196
TOTAL	18	A91	4/0/40 <u>291,540</u>

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 214, 1985. This proposal approves the issuance of temporary tax anticipation time warrants for the use of the Park District Fund, Consolidated County Fund, Consolidated City Police Force Account, Police Pension Fund,

Consolidated City Fire Force Account, Firemen's Pension Fund and Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund during the period of July 1, 1985 to December 31, 1985. Councillor Coughenour stated that this is a routine function of the Council to approve tax anticipation time warrants and that the Administration Committee on May 13, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 214, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 7-0. The President called for public testimony at 8:14 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes for adoption. Proposal No. 214, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West NO NAYS

Proposal No. 214, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1985, and reads as follows:

# CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 40, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE approving temporary tax anticipation borrowing, authorizing the City of Indianapolis to make temporary loans for the use of the Park District Fund, Consolidated County Fund, the Consolidated City Police Force Account, the Police Pension Fund, the Consolidated City Fire Force Account, the Firemen's Pension Fund, and the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund during the period July 1, 1985, to December 31, 1985, in anticipation of current taxes levied in the year 1984 and collectible in the year 1985, authorizing the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loans; pledging and appropriating the taxes to be received in said Funds to the payment of said tax anticipation time warrants including the interest thereon; and fixing a time when this ordinance shall take effect.

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the City-County Council now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Park District Fund to meet the current expenses of the Department of Parks and Recreation payable from said Fund prior to the December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, the December, 1985, distribution of taxes to be collected for said Park District Fund will amount to more than three million dollars (\$3,000,000) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Park District Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the City-County Council now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Consolidated County Fund to meet the current expenses of the Consolidated County Fund, payable from said Fund prior to December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, the December, 1985, distribution of taxes to be collected for said Consolidated County Fund will amount to more than two million three hundred thousand dollars (\$2,300,000) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Consolidated County Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the Special Service District Council of the Police Special Service District of the City of Indianapolis now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Consolidated City Police Force Account to meet the current expenses payable from said Account prior to December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Account; and

WHEREAS, the December, 1985, distribution of taxes to be collected for said Consolidated City Police Force Account will amount to more than nine million dollars (\$9,000,000) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Consolidated City Police Force Account; and

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the Special Service District Council of the Police Special Service District now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Police Pension Fund to meet the current expenses for the payment of pensions and benefits to retired members and dependents of deceased members and other death benefits payable from said Fund prior to the December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

I

961

M

1 33

()

30 I

動物

祖日

朝日

12 I

1

WHEREAS, the December, 1985, distribution of taxes collected for said Police Pension Fund will amount to more than one million nine hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$1,950,000) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Police Pension Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the Special Service District Council of the Fire Special Service District of the City of Indianapolis now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Consolidated City Fire Force Account to meet the current expenses payable from said Account prior to December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Account; and

WHEREAS, the December, 1985, distribution of taxes to be collected for said Consolidated City Fire Force Account will amount to more than seven million three hundred thousand dollars (\$7,300,000) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Consolidated City Fire Force Account; and

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the Special Service District Council of the Fire Special Service District now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Firemen's Pension Fund to meet the current expenses for the payment of pensions and benefits to retired members and dependents of deceased members and other death benefits payable from said Fund prior to the December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, the December, 1985, distribution of taxes collected for said Firemen's Pension Fund will amount to more than two million two hundred thousand dollars (\$2,200,000) and the interest cost of making a temporary loan for said Firemens Pension Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis has authorized the making of temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund in the amount of two million eight hundred thousand dollars (\$2,800,000) payable from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Controller has represented and the Solid Waste Special Service District Council now finds that there will be insufficient funds in the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund to meet the current expenses of the Sanitary Solid Waste Fund payable from said Fund prior to the December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said Fund; and

WHEREAS, a necessity exists for the making of temporary loans for said Funds and Accounts in anticipation of current revenues for said Funds and Accounts actually levied and in course of collection for the year 1985; now, therefore:

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Park District Fund of said City in the amount of three million dollars (\$3,000,000) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Fund for the year 1985, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates per annum not to exceed a maximum rate of 10 percent, the exact rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 9. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants, Said warrants shall mature and be payable on December 26, 1985. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Park District Fund, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Park District Fund from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes for said Park District Fund, viz; three million dollars (\$3,000,000) to the Park District Fund, the 1985 Budget Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and said Park District Fund, 1985 Budget Fund No. 092, Character 3, Other Services and Charges, Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

SECTION 2. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Consolidated County Fund of said City in the amount of two million three hundred thousand dollars (\$2,300,000) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Fund for the year 1985, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates per annum not to exceed a maximum rate of 10 percent, the exact rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 9. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants. Said warrants shall mature and be payable on December 26, 1985. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Consolidated County Fund, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Consolidated County Fund from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes for said Consolidated County Fund, viz; two million three hundred thousand dollars (\$2,300,000) to the Consolidated County Fund, the 1985 Budget Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and said Consolidated County Fund,

1985 Budget Fund No. 027, Character 3, Other Services and Charges, Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

SECTION 3. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Consolidated City Police Force Account of said City in the amount of nine million dollars (\$9,000,000) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Account for the year 1985, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates per annum not to exceed a maximum rate of ten percent (10%), the exact rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 9. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants. Said warrants shall mature and be payable on December 26, 1985. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Consolidated City Police Force Account, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Consolidated City Police Force Account from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes for said Consolidated City Police Force Account, viz; nine million dollars (\$9,000,000) to the 1985 Budget Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and to the 1985 Budget Fund No. 084, Character 3, Other Services and Charges, Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

图2整图影

Can Bill

STREET, SE

State Burth

SHEW WILL

N VI MILES

1. W TO 1

1,71300

SECTION 4. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Police Pension Fund of said City in the amount of one million nine hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$1,950,000) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Fund for the year 1985, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 9. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants. Said warrants shall mature and be payable on December 26, 1985. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Police Pension Fund, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Police Pension Fund from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes for said Police Pension Fund, viz; one million nine hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$1,950,000) to the Police Pension 1985 Budget Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and the Police Pension Fund 1985 Budget Fund No. 085, Character 3, Other Services and Charges, Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

SECTION 5. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Consolidated City Fire Force Account of said City in the amount of seven million three hundred thousand dollars (\$7,300,000) in anticipation

of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Account for the year 1985, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates per annum not to exceed a maximum rate of ten percent (10%), the exact rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 9. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants. Said warrants shall mature and be payable on December 26, 1985. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Consolidated City Fire Force Account, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Consolidated City Fire Force Account from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes for said Consolidated City Fire Force Account, viz; seven million three hundred thousand dollars (\$7,300,000) to the 1985 Budget Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and to the 1985 Budget Fund No. 087, Character 3, Other Services and Charges, Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

SECTION 6. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Firemen's Pension Fund of said City in the amount of two million two hundred thousand dollars (\$2,200,000) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Fund for the year 1985, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 9. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants. Said warrants shall mature and be payable on December 26, 1985. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Firemen's Pension Fund, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Firemen's Pension Fund from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes for said Firemen's Pension Fund, viz; two million two hundred thousand dollars (\$2,200,000) to the Firemen's Pension 1985 Budget Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and the Firemen's Pension Fund 1985 Budget Fund No. 088, Character 3, Other Services and Charges, Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

SECTION 7. The City of Indianapolis is authorized to borrow on a temporary loan for the use and benefit of the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund of said City in the amount of two million eight hundred thousand dollars (\$2,800,000) in anticipation of current tax revenues actually levied and in course of collection for said Fund for the year 1985, which loan shall be evidenced by tax anticipation time warrants bearing interest at a rate or rates per annum not to exceed a maximum rate of ten percent (10%), the exact rate or rates of interest to be determined by competitive bidding at advertised public sale as hereinafter provided, and said warrants to be substantially in the form set forth in Section 9. Said warrants shall be dated as of the date or dates of delivery of said warrants and the interest accruing on the warrants to the date of maturity shall be added to and included in the face value of the warrants. Said war-

rants shall mature and be payable on December 26, 1985. Said warrants, including interest shall be payable from the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund, and there is hereby appropriated and pledged to the payment of said warrants including interest a sufficient amount of the current revenues to be received in said Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes for said Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund, viz; two million eight hundred thousand dollars (\$2,800,000) to the 1985 Budget Payment of Temporary Loans (hereby created) for the payment of the principal of the warrants evidencing such temporary loan, and to the 1985 Budget Fund No. 055, Character 3, Other Services and Charges, Interest (Temporary Loans) and the amount of interest on said principal computed from the date or dates of said warrants to the date of maturity at the interest rate or rates bid by successful bidder or bidders for said warrants.

SECTION 8. Said tax anticipation time warrants shall be executed in the name of the City of Indianapolis by the facsimile signature of the Mayor of said City, countersigned by the Controller of said City, the corporate seal of said City to be affixed thereto and attested by the Clerk of the Council. Said warrants shall be payable at the office of the Marion County Treasurer, ex officio Treasurer or the paying agent of the City of Indianapolis.

SECTION 9. Said tax anticipation time warrants shall be issued in substantially the following form (all blanks, including the appropriate amounts, date, statutory citations, and other data, to be properly completed prior to the execution and delivery thereof):

Ch.

113問題 |

36-3-4-22.

No	Principal and Interest \$
CITY	OF INDIANAPOLIS
TAX ANTICI	PATION TIME WARRANT
	(FUND)
-	(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
On theday of	, 19_, the City of Indianapolis, in Marion County,
Indiana, promises to pay to the bea	rer, at the office of the Marion County Treasurer,
ex officio Treasurer or	the paying agent of the City of Indianapolis,
the sum ofincludi	the paying agent of the City of Indianapolis, ng interest on the principal amount of this warrant
from the date hereof to maturity, p	ayable out of and from taxes levied in the year of
19 , and payable in the year 19 , v	which said taxes are now in course of collection for
the of the City of	of Indianapolis, with which to pay general, current,
operating expenses of the	
This Tax Anticipation Time V	Varrant is one of an authorized issue of warrants
aggregating a sum of	
exclusive of interest added thereto	to the maturity, evidencing a temporary loan in
	ourse of collection for the(Fund) of
said City of Indianapolis and Marion	
Said temporary loan was auth	orized [by Resolution Noduly adopted
	City of Indianapolis at a meeting thereof duly and
legally convened and held on the	day of, 19, for the purpose of
	lid Waste General Fund of said Sanitary District in
compliance with the provision of I.	C. 36-9-25-32 and] by ordinance duly adopted by
	ng thereof duly and legally convened and held on
	, 19, for the purpose of providing funds for

(Fund) of said City of Indianapolis, in compliance with I.C.

The consideration of said warrant is a loan made to the City of Indianapolis in anticipation of taxes levied for the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of said City for the year of 19\_\_, payable in the year 19\_\_, and said taxes so levied are hereby specifically appropriated and pledged to the payment of said Tax Anticipation Time Warrant.

It is hereby certified and recited that all acts, conditions and things required to be done precedent to the authorization, preparation, complete execution and delivery of said warrants have been done and performed as provided by law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City of Indianapolis has caused the warrant to be signed in its corporate name by the facsimile signature of the Mayor, and countersigned by the Controller of the City of Indianapolis, the corporate seal of said City to be hereunto affixed, and attested by the Clerk of the City of Indianapolis.

by the Controller of the City of Indianahereunto affixed, and attested by the Cler	apolis, the corporate seal of said City to be k of the City of Indianapolis.
Dated thisday of	, 19
	CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS
	By:
	Mayor, City of Indianapolis
	WILLIAM H. HUDNUT, III
	COUNTERSIGNED:
	By:
	Controller, City of Indianapolis
	FRED L. ARMSTRONG
(SEAL)	ATTEST:
	By:
	Clerk, City of Indianapolis
	BEVERLY S. RIPPY

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to have said tax anticipation time warrants prepared, and the Mayor, Controller and Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to execute said tax anticipation time warrants in the manner substantially set out in the form hereinbefore provided. The Controller shall sell said warrants at public sale. Prior to the sale of said warrants, the Controller shall cause to be published a notice of sale at least ten days before the date of sale in two newspapers of general circulation, printed in the English language and published in the City of Indianapolis, as provided by law. All bids for said warrants shall be sealed and shall be presented to the Controller at his office, and all bids shall name the rate or rates of interest for said warrants, or portion thereof bid for. Said warrants, or portion thereof bid for, shall be awarded to the bidder or bidders therefore submitting the lowest interest rate or rates. In the event two bidders submit the same interest rate for all or a portion of the warrants, such warrants shall be awarded to the bidder submitting the greatest premium. Any premium bid shall be used solely for the repayment of the principal of and interest on the warrants. No bid for less than par shall be considered, and the Controller shall have the right to reject any and all bids. The proper officers of the City are authorized to deliver the time warrants to the purchaser or purchasers of said warrants at the agreed purchase price. The warrants may all be delivered at one time or in parcels from time to time, pursuant to any agreements or understandings with respect to said delivery by and between the Controller and the purchaser of the warrants.

SECTION 11. The proceedings had and action taken by the Board of Public Works of the City of Indianapolis in authorizing the making of a temporary loan and the issuance of tax anticipation time warrants to evidence such loan for the Sanitary Solid Waste General Fund for two million eight hundred thousand dollars (\$2,800,000) payable from the December, 1985, distribution of taxes levied for said funds, are hereby ratified, approved, and confirmed and to the extent as may be required by law, shall be deemed to be proceedings had and action taken by this City-County council, and are incorporated herein by reference.

SECTION 12. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

CHAMB CHAMB CHAMB

**(100 年 100 日**)

See and Post

CANCER SELECTION

CHA MI

1 10 100

SUPERINCE IN

PROPOSAL NO. 215, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$26,300 for the Department of Administration, Office of the Director to reduce workmen's compensation claims. Councillor Coughenour stated that these funds reflect a savings in workmen's compensation already realized this year. These funds will be used to implement a community safety program, which has already been successful in the Department of Public Safety and also to contract out the task of processing workmen's compensation claims. The Department of Administration anticipates that there will be enough savings in workmen's compensation claims each year to fund the program. The Administration Committee on May 13, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 215, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 7-0. The President called for public testimony at 8:15 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes for adoption. Proposal No. 215, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West NO NAYS

Proposal No. 215, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 41, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 41, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Twenty-six Thousand Three Hundred Dollars (\$26,300) in the City General Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Office of the Director and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the City General Fund.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds for a Risk Manager and related expenses in order to reduce workmen's compensation claims, legal suits and judgments.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-six Thousand Three Hundred Dollars (\$26,300) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved: DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

1. Personal Services
TOTAL INCREASE

CITY GENERAL FUND

\$26,300 \$26,300

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
Unappropriated and Unencumbered
City General Fund

CITY GENERAL FUND

\$26,300 \$26,300

City General Fund
TOTAL REDUCTION

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 216, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$236,000 for the Department of Administration, Office of the Director for a Health Enhancement Program. Councillor Coughenour reported that the purpose of this program is to increase productivity by reducing sick leave utilization and to cut claims of health care costs. The program will be offered to non-union City employees and consist of a strictly confidential medical examination by a qualified doctor and sound medical advice on how to lead a more healthy life. Employees must have the following qualifications: carry health insurance through Prudential; must be a non-union City employee; and must go through the physical examinations and conseling which takes annually 4 to 6 hours of their personal time. The Administration Committee on May 13, 1985 recommended Proposal No. 216, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 7-0. The President called for public testimony at 8:20 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Curry for adoption. Proposal No. 216, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

1 NAY: Nickell

Proposal No. 216, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 42, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 42, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Two Hundred Thirty-six Thousand Dollars (\$236,000) in the City General Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Office of the Director and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the City General Fund.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of appropriating funds for the Health Enhancement Program to decrease health insurance costs and the use of sick time and to increase productivity. The funding has been provided by insurance program individuals.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Hundred Thirty-six Thousand Dollars (\$236,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved: DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

CITY GENERAL FUND \$222,000

3. Other Services & Charges4. Capital Outlay TOTAL INCREASE

 $\frac{14,000}{$236,000}$ 

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

Unappropriated and Unencumbered

CITY GENERAL FUND \$236,000

City General Fund TOTAL REDUCTION

\$236,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 217, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$15,000 for the Records Division to increase microfilming of county records on a contractual basis. Councillor Coughenour stated that these funds will allow the Records Division to continue its contractual agreement with Manpower. This contract includes 4 persons who assist in the process of transferring City documents to microfilm. The Administration Committee on May 13, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 217, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 7-0. The President called for public testimony at 8:21 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes for adoption. Proposal No. 217, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

29 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard. Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Page, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West NO NAYS

Proposal No. 217, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 43, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 43, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the Department of Administration, Records Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds to increase the microfilming of county records on a contractual basis which will be reimbursed by the County General Fund.

SECTION 2. The sum of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved: DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION RECORDS DIVISION

3. Other Services & Charges TOTAL INCREASE

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND

\$15,000 \$15,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
RECORDS DIVISION CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND
Unappropriated and Unencumbered
Consolidated County Fund
TOTAL REDUCTION \$15,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

CARRIED TO SERVICE TO

**新型版 職** 

ALTER SERVICE

UT SHEET

This proposal authorizes the Board of County PROPOSAL NO. 218, 1985. Commissioners to issue and sell bonds of the County in an amount not to exceed \$4,992,000 to pay certain judgment obligations of the Department of Public Welfare owed to Methodist, Community and I.U. Hospitals. Councillor Cottingham explained that recently six hospitals began legal action against the City for the care of the indigent. These six hospitals included St. Francis, Winona, St. Vincents, Methodist, I.U., and Community. The three smallest were paid in cash with 50 cents on the dollar, which amounted to less than one-half of a million dollars. There was not enough money to pay the three larger hospitals in cash. The three larger hospitals have agreed to take 50 cents on the dollar and to buy the bonds to finance this at a 5% interest rate. The County and Townships Committee on May 14, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 218, 1985, Do Pass by a 5-1 vote. Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Hawkins for adoption. The President called for public testimony at 8:25 p.m.

Mr. Carl Moldthan, Director of the Indianapolis Taxpayers Association, stated that each year the taxpayers of Marion County pour between \$25 and \$30 million in property taxes into Wishard Hospital for the care of the indigent. About two years ago, a similar bill was paid to Methodist as a result of another law suit. This bill was also for the care of the indigent who were not treated at Wishard. He suggested that the City sell Wishard to I.U. Medical School for a very small sum. Then, all the tax money that is supposed to go to Wishard could be placed into a special fund just to pay for the care of the indigent. This fund would gain interest and actually make money for the taxpayers. He indicated that, "another advantage would be that we would no longer have to pay for capital expenditures for a hospital we no longer owned. This would mean, no more bond issues for Wishard."

Dr. SerVaas passed the gavel to Councillor Miller and stated that the Council has been working on this very problem for the last ten years. "The City Administration and the Council worked together and managed to get the State Legislature to pass a law that prohibited other hospitals from treating the indigent except for emergency services. Then, the doctors said that the threat of malpractice was so great that they must treat the patients as best they could." Dr. SerVaas indicated that the Welfare Department is not doing its job effectively of appealing these indigent cases and proving that they were an emergency situation. He stated that he would welcome the Indianapolis Taxpayers Association's help next year with the State Legislature to resolve this problem.

Proposal No. 218, 1985, failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

11 AYES: Bradley, Cottingham, Curry, Giffin, Gilmer, Howard, Journey, Miller, Rader, SerVaas, West

17 NAYS: Borst, Boyd, Campbell, Clark, Coughenour, Crowe, Dowden, Durnil, Hawkins, Holmes, McGrath, Nickell, Rhodes, Schneider, Shaw, Stewart, Strader 1 NOT VOTING: Page

Councillor Coughenour suggested that a resolution be prepared stating strongly that an end be found to this dilemma and that the Council and the Health and Hospital Corporation seriously look at this problem.

Councillor Boyd, being on the prevailing side of the previous vote on Proposal No. 218, moved that the proposal be reconsidered. Councillor West seconded. The motion to reconsider passed on the following roll call vote; viz:

17 AYES: Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Howard, Journey, Miller, Rader, Rhodes, SerVaas, West 11 NAYS: Borst, Clark, Dowden, Durnil, Holmes, McGrath, Nickell, Schneider, Shaw, Stewart, Strader 1 NOT VOTING: Page

Mr. John Ryan, Corporation Counsel, explained that when the bargaining process started between the City and the hospitals, the hospitals wanted \$10,000,000. It has been worked on a great deal to get the hospitals to lower their claims

to \$5,000,000. The City has made the best deal possible at this time. There has been a Legislative Study Committee working on this very problem, and we are not alone in this issue. It is a serious problem throughout the entire State of Indiana.

Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Borst, to postpone Proposal No. 218, 1985, until the June 24, 1985, Council meeting. During this time, a resolution should be drafted stating some formal recommendations of this Council. The motion passed by a voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 219, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$614 for the County Assessor for printing of forms for all township assessors. Councillor Cottingham explained that all the budgets of the assessors are very close and that the necessary funds were found in the budget of the Marion County Auditor for the printing of these various forms used by all the assessors. The County and Townships Committee on May 14, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 219, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 5-1. The President called for public testimony at 9:15 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider for adoption. Proposal No. 219, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

配起動物

CHE N

MALES BALL

1.7199 111

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 219, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 44, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 44, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Six Hundred Fourteen Dollars (\$614) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Assessor and reducing certain other appropriations for the Marion County Auditor.

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.02 (a)(1) and (2) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing a transfer of funds for printing of forms for all township assessors.

SECTION 2. The sum of Six Hundred Fourteen Dollars (\$614) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the appropriations as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

MARION COUNTY ASSESSOR

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

2. Supplies TOTAL INCREASE \$614 \$614

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

MARION COUNTY AUDITOR

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

2. Supplies

\$614 \$614

TOTAL REDUCTION

This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and SECTION 5. compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 221, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$20,000 for Superior Court, Juvenile Division for the Guardian Ad Litem Project which will be reimbursed. Councillor Dowden explained that Proposal No. 221 represents a reappropriation of \$20,000 approved in Fiscal Ordinance No. 92, 1984, which was approved by the Council on November 19, 1984. The \$20,000 is from a federal The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 8, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 221, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 6-0. The President called for public testimony at 9:16 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Borst, for adoption, Proposal No. 221, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 221, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 45, 1985, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 45, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000) in the State and Federal Grant Fund for purposes of the Marion County Superior Court, Juvenile Division and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grant Fund.

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

图通路器 (ISO IS N

PARE IN

THE REAL PROPERTY. September 1

Samme Mil !!

PERSONAL BILLIANS

TAIL BELL

the party is

1

1 CHEST !

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.02(b)(4) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of reappropriating 1984 funds for the Guardian Ad Litem Project which will be reimbursed with federal funds.

The sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved: SUPERIOR COURT - JUVENILE DIV. STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT FUND \$20,000 32. Contractual Services TOTAL INCREASE \$20,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions: SUPERIOR COURT - JUVENILE DIV. STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered \$20,000 State and Federal Grant Fund

TOTAL REDUCTION \$20,000

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

This proposal appropriates \$5,000 for Superior PROPOSAL NO. 222, 1985. Court, Criminal Division, Probation Department for the partial payment of the Intern Supervisor's salary. Councillor Dowden stated that the \$5,000 appropriation is funded by a contractual payment through the Community Corrections Program (State Grant Fund). The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 8, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 222, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 6-0. The President called for public testimony at 9:17 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Gilmer for adoption. Proposal No. 222, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 222, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 1985, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 46, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Superior Court, Criminal Division Probation Department and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.02(b)(1) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds for the partial payment of the Intern Supervisor's salary which will be reimbursed by a contract with the Community Corrections Advisory Board.

SECTION 2. The sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved: SUPERIOR COURT, CRIMINAL DIVISION

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

1. Personal Services

SECTION 4.

reductions:

\$5,000 \$5,000

TOTAL INCREASE

The said additional appropriations are funded by the following

SUPERIOR COURT, CRIMINAL DIVISION

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered County General Fund

\$5,000 \$5,000

TOTAL REDUCTION

SECTION 5. The personnel schedule is hereby amended by deleting the crosshatched portions and adding the new amounts as underlined herein:

## (1) SUPERIOR COURT - CRIMINAL COURT PROBATION DEPARTMENT - Dept. 64 County General Fund

Personnel Classification	Maximum Number	Maximum Salary	Maximum Per Classification
Professional Clerical Vacancy Factor	26 12	25,210 #\$# 13,995	### 458,833 127,456 (17,445)
TOTAL	38	5/6/8/	N44 <u>568,844</u>

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 223, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$21,550 for Superior Court, Criminal Division, Probation Department to purchase supplies, equipment and office remodeling. Councillor Dowden reported that the funds are available from the Adult Probation Services Fund (supervision fee). Approximately, \$17,500 of the appropriates will be to purchase four personal computers, two with word processing capabilities. This will improve the Department's financial record keeping, case tracking and management, and word processing. The computer installation includes running cables upstairs to the 9th Floor mainframe. Access is not available at this time, but when it is the Probation Department will have the equipment necessary to connect. The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 8, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 223, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 6-0. The President called for public testimony at 9:19 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Durnil for adoption. Proposal No. 223, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 14

1 11

1 81

161

11

101

1

911

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 223, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 47, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 47, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Twenty-one Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$21,550) in the Adult Probation Fees Fund for purposes of the Superior Court, Criminal Division, Probation Department and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Adult Probation Fees Fund.

### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.02 (b)(1) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds for supplies, to host a foreign national probation officer, for office remodeling to improve efficiency and security and to purchase our personal computers.

The sum of Twenty-one Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$21,550) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:

#### SUPERIOR COURT, CRIMINAL DIVISION PROBATION DEPARTMENT ADULT PROBATION FEES FUND \$ 650 2. Supplies 3. Other Services & Charges 2,600 4. Capital Outlay 18,300 TOTAL INCREASE

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

\$21,550

SUPERIOR COURT, CRIMINAL DIVISION

PROBATION DEPARTMENT ADULT PROBATION FEES FUND

Unappropriated and Unencumbered Adult Probation Fees Fund

\$21,550 TOTAL REDUCTION \$21,550

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 224, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$2,433 for the Prosecutor for expenses incurred during an investigation and a training conference. Councillor Dowden explained that the appropriation reflects a \$433 reimbursement from a federal grant for a one-time training conference held in Washington. D.C. One staff member attended this conference which was held February 28th through March 11th. The \$2,000 is an additional reimbursement from the State in reference to an insurance investigation. The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 8, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 224, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 6-0. The President called for public testimony at 9:20 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Borst, for adoption. Proposal No. 224, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 8

81

.

14

1

1 10

181

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 224, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 48, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 48, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Two Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-three Dollars (\$2,433) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Prosecuting Attorney and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.02 (b)(22) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds reimbursed by the State for expenses incurred in an investigation and reimbursed by federal funds for expenses incurred for a training conference.

SECTION 2. The sum of Two Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-three Dollars (\$2,433) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY
COUNTY GENERAL FUND
3. Other Services & Charges
TOTAL INCREASE
\$2,433
\$2,433

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY
Unappropriated and Unencubered
County General Fund
TOTAL REDUCTION

COUNTY GENERAL FUND

\$2,433 \$2,433

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 225, 1985. This proposal appropriates \$25,000 for the Prosecutor to meet the requirements of the Youth Resource Center Grant. Councillor Dowden stated that the appropriation represents additional funds to an existing previously appropriated federal grant. The appropriation will alleviate certain cash flow problems in the grant, and the \$7,100 derived from the Auditor's budget will be reimbursed within the next sixty to ninety days. The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 8, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 225, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 6-0. The President called for public testimony at 9:21 p.m. There being no one present to testify Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes for adoption. Proposal No. 225, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 225, 1985, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 49, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 49, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) appropriating an additional Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) in the State and Federal Grant Fund for purposes of the Marion County Prosecuting Attorney and reducing certain other appropriations for the Marion County Auditor and the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the State and Federal Grant Fund.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 2.02 (b)(22) of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing funds to meet the requirements of the Youth Resource Center Grant.

SECTION 2. The sum of Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) be, and the same is hereby appropriated for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the appropriations and the unappropriated balances as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following additional appropriations are hereby approved:
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY
STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT FUND
32. Contractual Services
TOTAL INCREASE
\$25,000
\$25,000

SECTION 4. The said additional appropriations are funded by the following reductions:

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT FUND

31. Personal Services \$11,900 COUNTY AUDITOR
31. Personal Services (Fringes) 7,100

配經過暫

(MEN

PARES

Untalling at

Unappropriated and Unencumbered

State and Federal Grant Fund

TOTAL REDUCTION

\$25,000

SECTION 5. The personnel schedule is hereby amended by deleting the crosshatched portions and adding the new amounts as underlined herein:

Personnel	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum Per
Classification	Number	Salary	Classification
Deputy Prosecutors	4	32,000	62,000
Witness Coordinator	1	18,000	18,000
Paralegal	1	17,000	17,000
Investigator	1	21,000	21,000
Project Analyst	1	11,000	11,000
Director	4	25,500	\$8/,0/90//51,713
Volunteer Coordinator	1	15,000	15,000
Project Coordinator	1	9,240	5,331
Secretary	4	14,500	3/1/05/7/25,444
Counselor	2	15,000	29,000
Screening Deputy	1	12,000	10,000
Intern	1	13,000	6,500
Data Entry Clerk	1	13,000	6,500
Vacancy Factor			(56,525)
TOTAL	23	/\$	23,868 221,963

SECTION 6. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION

PROPOSAL NO. 169, 1985. This proposal transfers \$2,000 for the City-County Council to purchase additional word processing equipment. Councillor Coughenour explained that this transfer will be utilized to purchase software for upgrading of the word processing equipment. This improvement is to enable secretarial convenience and save time. Proposal No. 169, 1985, was amended in Committee from \$2,000 to \$4,000. This money will enable the Council office to purchase a new dictaphone. The current system is over 10 years old, is skipping dictation and in need of repair. The estimated cost to repair the equipment is approximately \$500, and there is no guarantee that the machine would not break down again. The Administration Committee on May 13, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 169, 1985, Do Pass As Amended by a vote of 7-0. Councillor Coughenour moved, seconded by Councillor Holmes, for adoption. Proposal No. 169, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 169, 1985, as amended, was retitled FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 50, 1985, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 50, 1985

A FISCAL ORDINANCE amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1985 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 65, 1984) transferring and appropriating Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000) in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of the City-County Council and reducing certain other appropriations for that division.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. To provide for expenditures the necessity for which has arisen since the adoption of the annual budget, Section 1.02 of the City-County Annual Budget for 1985, be and is hereby amended by the increases and reductions hereinafter stated for the purposes of providing a transfer of funds to purchase equipment to increase the capabilities of the current word processing system.

SECTION 2. The sum of Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000) be, and the same is hereby transferred for the purposes as shown in Section 3 by reducing the accounts as shown in Section 4.

SECTION 3. The following increased appropriation is hereby approved:
CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND
4. Capital Outlay

\$4,000

TOTAL INCREASE \$4,000

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL

3. Other Services & Charges
TOTAL REDUCTION

CONSOLIDATED COUNTY FUND
\$4,000
\$4,000

1

141

41

141

報りは

i gi

121

1 (8)

10

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

SECTION 4. The said increased appropriation is funded by the following reductions:

PROPOSAL NOS. 226-228, 1985. PROPOSAL NO. 226, 1985, changes parking controls on a portion of Pleasant Run Parkway. The petitioners requested that no parking anytime from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. except Saturday and Sunday be implemented. The staff and visitors to the Little People's Prep School on the north side of Pleasant Run occasionally park on the south side of Pleasant Run. The school has off-street parking available. PROPOSAL NO. 227, 1985, changes intersection controls in various subdivisions. The intersection control changes are in Shadow Ridge, Golden Oaks and Normandy Farms subdivisions. The streets in these subdivisions have been inspected by the Department of Transportation staff and have been accepted for maintenance by the City. PROPOSAL NO. 228, 1985, changes intersection controls at Lafayette Road and Eagledale Shopping There are four other exits and entrances to the Eagledale Shopping Center and there is no reason for the traffic light in the middle. Councillor Gilmer explained that the Transportation Committee had amended Proposal No. 228, 1985, to delete just the one traffic light. The Transportation Committee on May 14, 1985, recommended Proposal Nos. 226 and 227, 1985, Do Pass by a vote of 5-0 and 6-0 respectively and recommended Proposal No. 228, 1985, Do Pass As Amended by a vote of 8-0. Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Proposal Nos. 226-228, 1985, were adopted on the Bradley for adoption. following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw,

Stewart, Strader, West NO NAYS 1 NOT VOTING: Page

PROPOSAL NOS. 226-228, 1985, were retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NOS. 31-33, 1985, respectively, and read as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 31, 1985

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-270, Parking prohibited during specified hours on certain days.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-270, Parking prohibited during specified hours on certain days, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

#### ON ANY DAY EXCEPT SATURDAYS AND SUNDAYS From 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Pleasant Run Parkway, South Drive, on the south side, from Arlington Avenue to Webster Avenue.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 32, 1985

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION	PREFERENTIAL	TYPE OF CONTROL
1, Pg. 1	Almaden Ct. &	NONE	NONE
	Almaden Dr.		
1, Pg. 1	Chablis Cir. &	NONE	NONE
	Maisons Ct.		
1, Pg. 1	Dubonnet Ct. &	NONE	NONE
	Dubonnet Wy.		
1, Pg. 1	Normandy Bl, Palais	NONE	NONE
	Ct. & Palais Dr.		
6, Pg. 2	Glenmore Dr. &	Glenmore Dr. (NB) &	STOP
	Lantern Le.	Lantern Le. (SB)	

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP	INTERSECTION		TYPE OF CONTROL
1, Pg. 1	Almaden Ct. &	Almaden Dr.	STOP
1, Pg. 1	Almaden Dr. Almaden Dr. Chablis	Chbls Cir/Chbls Ct	STOP
1, Pg. 1	Cir. & Chablis Ct. Almaden Dr. &	Almaden Dr.	YIELD
1, Pg. 1	Randue Ct. Andre Ct.,	Dubonnet Wy.	
1, Pg. 1	Andre Ct.,	Andre Dr./Dubnnot W	y. STOP
_, _ 0	Andre Dr. &	.,	
	Cabernet Wy.		
1, Pg. 1	Chablis Cir. &	Chablis Cir.	YIELD
1 Da 1	Maisons Ct. Dubonnet Ct. &	Dubonnet Wy.	STOP
1, Pg. 1	Dubonnet Wy.	Dubonnet wy.	SIOI
1, Pg. 1	Kimkris Ct. &	Normandy Blvd.	YIELD
, ,	Normandy Blvd.		
1, Pg. 1	LaTour Cir. &	Normandy Blvd.	YIELD
	Normandy Blvd.	27	amo n
1, Pg. 1	Normandy Wy., Palais Ct. & Palais Dr.	Normandy Wy.	STOP
1, Pg. 1	Claret Dr. &	NONE	NONE
-1-8	Normandy Blvd.		
3, Pg. 3	Emily Dr. &	Township Line Rd.	STOP
	Township Line Rd.		
3, Pg. 3	Emily Dr. &	Emily Dr.	STOP
3, Pg. 3	Golden Leaf Way Golden Leaf Way &	Golden Leaf Way	STOP
J, I g. J	Golden Oaks W.	Golden Dear Way	3101
3, Pg. 3	Golden Leaf Way &	Golden Oaks N.	STOP
	Golden Oaks N.		
6, Pg. 1	Beanblossom Cir. &	Salt Fork Way	YIELD
C Da o	Salt Fork Way	Uama Dd	STOP
6, Pg. 2	Hague Rd. & Stonebranch S. Dr.	Hague Rd.	SIOI
6, Pg. 3	Hollow Ridge Cir. &	Salt Fork Way	STOP
	Salt Fork Way		
6, Pg. 3	Pocket Hollow Ct. &	Stonebranch S. Dr.	YIELD
c D- 0	Stonebranch S. Dr.	Ct b b. M. D.	C/DO D
6, Pg. 3	Salt Fork Way & Stonebranch N. Dr.	Stonebranch N. Dr.	STOP
6, Pg. 3	Salt Fork Way &	Stonebranch S. Dr.	STOP
, 5	Stonebranch S. Dr.		
6, Pg. 3	Salt Fork Way &	82nd St.	STOP
	82nd St.	0. 1 LN 5	WIELD
6, Pg. 4	Stonebranch N. Dr. & Wade Hill Ct.	Stonebranch N. Dr.	YIELD
6, Pg. 2	Glenmore Dr. &	Glenmore Dr. &	STOP
	Lantern Le.	Lantern Le. (WB)	

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 33, 1985

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-92, Schedule of intersection controls, be and the same is hereby amended by the deletion of the following, to wit:

BASE MAP INTERSECTION PREFERENTIAL TYPE OF CONTROL
Lafayette Rd. & SIGNAL
Eagledale Shopping
Center - South Dr.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 230, 1985. This proposal appoints Lt. Hilton J. Cancel to the Community Corrections Advisory Board. Councillor Dowden stated that the Council appoints four persons to the Community Corrections Advisory Board and one of the four must be a person of a minority race. Lt. Cancel has been serving as a proxy on the board and wishes to continue his involvement. Lt. Cancel also has twenty years of law enforcement experience which provides a good understanding of the criminal justice system. The Committee did amend Proposal No. 230, to correct the term that Lt. Cancel will serve. The Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee on May 8, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 230, 1985, Do Pass As Amended by a vote of 6-0. Councillor Dowden moved, seconded by Councillor Clark, for adoption. Proposal No. 230, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 230, 1985, as amended, was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 12, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 12, 1985

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Lt. Hilton J. Cancel to the Community Corrections Advisory Board.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Community Correction Advisory Board the Council appoints:

#### LT. HILTON J. CANCEL

SECTION 2. The foregoing appointment shall be effective upon passage of this resolution ending December 31, 1985, at the pleasure of the Council and until his respective successor is appointed.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 231, 1985. This proposal changes parking controls on a portion of Brentwood. Councillor Gilmer explained that the Transportation Committee amended Proposal No. 231, to further clarify the intersection to read Brentwood Drive, on the east side, from Breen Drive to Stratford Court. This street is within an apartment complex and has been accepted for maintenance by the City. The Transportation Committee on May 14, 1985, recommended Proposal No. 231, 1985, Do Pass As Amended by a vote of 6-0. Councillor Gilmer moved, seconded by Councillor Nickell, for adoption. Proposal No. 231, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz;

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

**a** 1

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 231, 1985, as amended, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 34, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 34, 1985

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending the "of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain designated streets.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The "of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana", specifically, Chapter 29, Section 29-267, Parking prohibited at all times on certain designated streets, be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following, to wit:

Brentwood Drive, on the east side, from Breen Drive to Stratford Court.

SECTION 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 315, 1985. This proposal reverses a change in policy on economic development bond financing for multi-family residential housing located outside an economic development target area. Councillor Clark stated that most of the companies coming before the Council tonight for permanent financing have had three years to get that financing. The companies were led to believe by the Administration that the Council would support and pass bond issues for permanent financing when this is not the policy of the Council. Councillor Clark moved, seconded by Councillor Stewart for adoption.

Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Journey:

## CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

### Mr. President:

I move to amend Proposal No. 315, 1985, by deleting Section 2 in its entirety, and renumbering Section 3 as Section 2.

### Councillor Rhodes

-

By supporting this amendment Proposal No. 315 still states the Council' position of not providing permanent financing for multi-family residential housing outside an economic development target area, but does allow for continued construction financing for multi-family residential housing.

Councillor Miller moved, seconded by Councillor Schneider, that the Council recess for 10 minutes. The President recessed the meeting at 9:40 p.m. The President reconvened the meeting of the City-County Council at 10:00 p.m.

The President restated that there is a motion to amend Proposal No. 315, 1985, by deleting Section 2. The motion passed by a voice vote. The President then called for a vote on the main motion, which is the adoption of Proposal No. 315, 1985, as amended. Proposal No. 315, 1985, as amended, was adopted by a voice vote.

**医2整张节** 

FED S N

CHEE PH

NEW BEING

CANADA MANAGA MA

CALL BE BE

UNITED IN

Proposal No. 315, 1985, as amended, was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 13, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 13, 1985

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION reversing a change in policy on economic development bond financing for multi-family residential housing located outside an economic development target area and tabling certain proposals until further action by the Economic Development Commission.

WHEREAS, prior to May, 1985, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission had adopted a policy that economic development bonds would be issued only for construction financing of multi-family residential construction outside an economic development target area; and

WHEREAS, without consultation or approval by this Council, the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission has revised its policies and recommended permanent financing for 35 multi-family residential projects not located in an economic development target area; and

WHEREAS, this Council has not received sufficient economic analysis or financial data to justify such change in policy; and

WHEREAS, the use of economic development bonds for such permanent financing is contrary to the prior policies of this council; now, therefore:

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council determines that its prior policy on permanent financing of multi-family residential housing constructed outside an economic development target area should not be changed.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 255-260, 1985. Councillor Schneider stated that the companies involved in Proposal Nos. 255-260, have stated that they do not want construction financing if they cannot get permanent financing. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Miller to strike Proposal Nos. 255-260, 1985. Proposal Nos. 255-260, 1985, were stricken by voice vote.

PROPOSAL NOS. 261-264 and 266-271, 1985. Councillor Schneider stated that the companies involved in Proposal Nos. 261-264, and 266-271, have stated that they do not want construction financing if they cannot get permanent financing. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Miller to strike Proposal Nos. 261-264 and 266-271, 1985, were stricken by voice vote.

PROPOSAL NOS. 272-274 and 289-290, 1985. Councillor Schneider stated that the company involved in Proposal Nos. 272-274, and 289-290, has stated that they do not want construction financing if they cannot get permanent financing. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Miller to strike Proposal Nos. 272-274 and 289-290, 1985. Proposal Nos. 272-274 and 289-290, 1985, were stricken by voice vote.

1

2

翻

PROPOSAL NO. 275, 1985. This proposal is an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Amli Realty Co., or a limited partnership to be formed with Amli Realty Co., as general partner in an approximate amount of \$7,600,000. Councillor Schneider outlined the project as the construction of 230 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located at 1527 East Stop 10 Road. Estimated costs for the \$7.6 million project are as follows: \$150,000 land, \$6 million buildings, \$50,000 equipment and \$1,400,000 miscellaneous contingencies. Construction should begin September, 1985 and be complete in April, 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 6 jobs with \$60,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 6 positions with \$75,000 in additional payroll. The attorney for Amli Realty Company stated that they would like Proposal No. 275, 1985, amended for construction financing only. Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Schneider:

## CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move to amend Proposal No. 275, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$7,600,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

## Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes for adoption. Proposal No. 275, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

0

海鹿

4

**新華華華華華** 

190

im i

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 275, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 73, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 73, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development

facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, Amli Realty Co., or a limited partnership to be formed with Amli Realty Co., as general partner (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 230 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a complex of buildings having a total gross building area of approximately 205,000 square feet and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at 1527 East Stop 10 Road on approximately 19.3 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 6 at the end of one year and 6 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

-

額

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$7,600,000\$ under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken

such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

al light in

alki a

Palin pr

A gray too. 1

aciditi inc

PROPOSAL NO. 276, 1985. This proposal is an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Maple Leaf/Indianapolis, Ltd. in an approximate amount of \$7,600,000. Mr. Larry Crock, attorney for Maple Leaf/Indianapolis, Ltd., stated that the company is not interested in receiving construction financing without permanent financing. Councillor Cottingham moved, seconded by Councillor Clark to strike Proposal No. 276, 1985. Proposal No. 276, 1985, was stricken by voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 278, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Joseph F. Sexton, a corporation controlled by him, or a limited partnership of which he or a corporation controlled by him is the general partner in an approximate amount of \$20,000,000. Councillor Schneider des-

cribed the project as the construction of 538 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located at 6000 Fall Creek Parkway, North Drive. Estimated costs for the \$20 million project are as follows: \$950,000 land and special requirements, \$9,050,537 buildings, \$3,321,217 general conditions, \$4,229,424 financing costs, \$260,272 start-up costs, \$3,467,241 other development costs and \$721,309 miscellaneous contingencies. Construction should begin October, 1985 and be complete in May, 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 8 jobs with \$120,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 8 positions with \$150,000 in additional payroll. Mr. Zeff Wise, attorney for Joseph F. Sexton stated that they would like Proposal No. 278, 1985, amended for construction financing only. Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Clark:

## CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

## Mr. President:

I move to amend Proposal No. 278, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$20,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

### Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Clark for adoption. Proposal No. 278, 1985, as amended was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

BEEN IN

C INC

**多** 

Tall par Tall par

-

Di 8495 ( 1898 (

or Affiliance

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 278, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 74, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 74, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, Joseph F. Sexton, a corporation controlled by him, or a limited partnership of which he or a corporation controlled by him is the general partner (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 538 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a complex of buildings having a total gross building area of approximately 440,086 square feet and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at 6000 Fall Creek Parkway, North Drive on approximately 61 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 8 at the end of one year and 8 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

- SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.
- SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$20,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.
- SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.
- SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

胡

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 279, 1985. This is a proposal for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for a to be formed Indiana limited partnership with Haskel W. Prock as general partner in an approximate amount of \$11,500,000. Councillor Schneider stated that the project is the construction of 300 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located at 3300 South Lynhurst Drive. Estimated costs for the \$11.5 million project are as follows: \$640,000 land, \$9 million buildings, \$980,000 financing costs, \$180,000 architects and engineering, \$500,000 debt service reserve and \$200,000 miscellaneous contingencies. Construction should begin September, 1985 and be complete in March, 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 6 jobs with \$252,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 6 positions with \$278,000 in additional payroll. Mr. Daniel Milton, attorney for Haskel W. Prock stated that they would like Proposal No. 279, 1985, amended for construction financing only. Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Miller:

### CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

### Mr. President:

mei I

I move to amend Proposal No. 279, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$11,500,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

### Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Clark for adoption. Proposal No. 279, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 279, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 75, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 75, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, a to be formed Indiana limited partnership with Haskel W. Prock as general partner (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 300 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a complex of buildings having a total gross building area of approximately 270,000 square feet and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at 3300 South Lynhurst Drive on approximately 32 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 6 at the end of one year and 6 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$11,500,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

Str.

REII IN

MI D

Milit also

Met in

TRU IN

Ball 1885

THE THE PERSON NAMED IN

温園

Stal men i Sun man i

III III

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 280, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development

bond financing for W. J. McCullough or any entity designated by him in an approximate amount of \$45,000,000. Councillor Schneider stated that the developer nor a representative is present and moved, seconded by Councillor Miller to strike Proposal No. 280, 1985. Proposal No. 280, 1985, was stricken by voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 281, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Park Place Associates in an approximate amount of \$2,000,000. Councillor Schneider outlined the project as the renovation of IPS Building No. 66 to the 38 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located at 604 East 38th Street. The project will also include four medical/dental offices, which are not included in this bond issue. Estimated costs for the \$2 million project are as follows: \$1,850,000 building and \$150,000 miscellaneous contingencies. Construction should begin August, 1985 and be complete in March, 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 9 jobs with \$108,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 9 positions with \$120,000 in additional Councillor Schneider pointed out that this project is located in an economic development target area and does not need to be amended. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes for adoption. Proposal No. 281, 1985, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 281, 1985, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 76, 1985, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 76, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, Park Place Associates (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, renovate, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, renovation, construction, conversion, improvement, installation and equipping of approximately 38 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a portion of an existing building that has a total gross building area of approximately 58,000 square feet; the acquisition and installation of machinery, equipment, fixtures and furnishings for use therein; and the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of various site improvements at the building to be located at 604 East 38th Street on approximately 1.7 acres of land, in Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 9 at the end of one year and 9 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

11

ø

排機

NEW TONE

ing !

WHEREAS, the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide financing for the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby

finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during renovation, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, renovation, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NOS. 283 and 284, 1985. These are proposals for inducement resolutions authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Landmark-Boulders Phase II in an approximate amount of \$9,350,000 and Shore Acres on the Lake Associates in an approximate amount of \$9,630,000 respectively. Mr. Mike Cook, attorney representing both companies stated that they did not want construction financing only. Councillor Clark moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, to strike Proposal Nos. 283 and 284, 1985. Proposal Nos. 283 and 284, 1985, were stricken by voice vote.

PROPOSAL NO. 285, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Stenz & Associates in an approximate amount of \$16,500,000. Councillor Schneider described the project as the rehabilitation of a total of

483 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located at various locations as follows: Broad Ripple, 1050 Riviera Dr. East, 40 units; Sears Parcel No. 4 & 3, South Half of Block bounded by New Jersey and East Street and Vermont, 100 units; Lockerbie Peaks Apartments, 617 Vermong Place, 15 units; Howland Manor Apartments, 3753 North Meridian Street, 19 units; Vernon Courts Apartments, 3420 North Meridian Street, 19 units; Buckingham Balmoral, 3103 North Meridian Street, 76 units; 127 East Michigan Street, 50 units; 3707 North Meridian Street, 24 units; Browning Apartments a) 3720 North Meridian Street - 25 units, b) 3726 North Meridian Street - 20 unites, c) 3429 North Capitol Avenue - 18 units, d) 5347 North College Avenue - 50 units, and e) 3310 North Meridian Street - 27 units. Estimated costs for the \$16.5 million project are as follows: \$933,000 land, \$19,183,263 buildings and \$3,607,237 miscellaneous contingencies. Construction should begin June, 1985 and be complete by December 31, 1985. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 41 jobs with \$650,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 41 positions with \$745,000 in additional payroll. Mr. James T. Crawford, corporation counsel, stated that the developer would like Proposal No. 285, 1985, amended for construction financing Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Clark:

### CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

**医河南泉** 

I move to amend Proposal No. 285, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$16,500,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, for adoption. Proposal No. 285, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 285, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 77, 1985, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 77, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, Stenz & Associates or a partnership or partnerships to be formed by the principals of Stenz & Associates (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, renovate, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of various multifamily residential rental housing projects as follows: a) Lockerbie Peaks Apartments (617 Vermont Place), b) Howland Manor Apartments (3753 North Meridian), c) Vernon Court Apartments (3420 North Meridian), d) Buckingham Balmoral Apartments (3103 North Meridian), e) 127 East Michigan Street, f) 3707 North Meridian Street, g) Browning Apartments (3720 North Meridian, 3726 North Meridian, 3429 North Capitol, 5347 North College and 3310 North Meridian), h) Sears Parcel No. 4 and 3 (South half of block bounded by New Jersey and East Street and Vermont), i) Broad Ripple Project 1050 Riviera Drive East and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located in Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

9

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 41 at the end of one year and 41 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility of facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$16,500,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

10

神母

im

THE REAL

10%1 it

In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, SECTION 3. renovation, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during renovation, construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, renovation, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the

same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 286, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for South Emerson Associates in an approximate amount of \$6,000,000. Councillor Schneider stated that the project is the construction of 180 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located on 15 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of South Emerson and Shelbyville Road. Estimated costs for the \$6 million project are as follows: \$400,000 land, \$4,800,000 buildings (construction and equipping), \$360,000 financing fees, \$200,000 architects, engineers, legal, \$150,000 miscellaneous contingencies and \$425,000 debt service reserve. Construction should begin October, 1985 and be complete in July, 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 6 jobs plus 15-20 construction jobs with \$236,500 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 6 positions plus 15-20 construction jobs with \$236,500 in additional payroll. Mr. James Capehart, attorney for the developer, stated that they would like Proposal No. 286, 1985, amended for construction financing only. Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Clark:

## CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

### Mr. President:

I move to amend Proposal No. 286, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$6,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the

sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

### Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes for adoption. Proposal No. 286, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

神歌

艦

3

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 286, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 78, 1985, and reads as follows:

## CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 78, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, South Emerson Associates (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 180 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a complex of buildings and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at South Emerson and Shelbyville on approximately 15 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 6 full time and 15-20 part-time at the end of one year and 6 full time and 15-20 part-time at the end of three years) to be

achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$6,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 287, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for Stop 12 Associates in an approximate amount of \$11,000,000. Councillor Schneider outlined the project as the construction of 312 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located on 26 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Stop 12 Road and Shelby Street. Estimated costs for the \$11 million project are as follows: \$681,000 land, \$8,300,000 buildings (construction and equipping), \$620,000 financing fees, \$340,500 architects, engineers, legal, \$248,000 miscellaneous contingencies and \$743,000 debt service reserve. Construction should begin October, 1985 and be complete in July, 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 6 jobs plus 15-20 construction jobs with \$410,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 6 positions plus 15-20 construction jobs with \$410,000 in additional payroll. Mr. James Capehart, attorney for the developer, stated that they would like Proposal No. 287, 1985, amended for construction financing only. Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Clark:

## CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

### Mr. President:

pa B

MAN IN

I move to amend Proposal No. 287, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$11,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

### Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes for adoption. Proposal No. 287, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

27 AYES: Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

1 NAY: Borst

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 287, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 79, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 79, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, Stop 12 Associates, a to be formed Indiana limited partnership (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition,

construction, installation and equipping of approximately 312 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a complex of buildings and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at Stop 12 Road and Shelby Street on approximately 26 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 6 full time and 15-20 part-time at the end of one year and 6 full time and 15-20 part-time at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

of SEPS

M a

Mari pa

- SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$11,000,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.
- SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstand-

ing principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 288, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing certain proceedings with respect to proposed economic development bond financing for William A. Schmadeke and/or any to be formed partnership of which he is a partner in an approximate amount of \$1,800,000. Councillor Schneider described the project as the construction of 50 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located at 7700 East 21st Street. Estimated costs for the \$1.8 million project are as follows: \$98,000 land, \$1,338,000 buildings, \$100,700 equipment, \$54,500 other, \$40,000 miscellaneous contingencies and \$120,500 debt service reserve. Construction should begin September, 1985 and be complete in May, 1986. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 2 1/2 jobs with \$65,200 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 2 1/2 positions with \$65,200 in additional payroll. Mr. William Schmadeke, developer, stated that they would like Proposal No. 288, 1985, amended for construction financing only. Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Clark:

### CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move to amend Proposal No. 288, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$1,800,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

### Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Rhodes, for adoption. Proposal No. 288, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 288, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 80, 1985, and reads as follows:

### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 80, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "Issuer") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, William A. Schmadeke and/or any to be formed partnership of which he is a partner (the "Applicant") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the Issuer either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Applicant for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 50 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained within a complex of buildings and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be located at 7700 East 21st Street on approximately 4.4 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 2½ at the end of one year and 2½ at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the Issuer and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said Issuer take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said Issuer.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the Issuer in an approximate amount of \$1,800,000 under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to the Applicant or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Applicant to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the Issuer and the Applicant; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the

caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Applicant, the Issuer by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; and (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Applicant of moneys expended by the Applicant for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the Issuer will thereafter sell the same to the Applicant or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Applicant for the same purpose or sell the same to the Applicant. Also certain indirect expenses, including but not limited to, planning, architectural work and engineering incurred prior to this inducement resolution will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance the Project.

E-E-B-B

(MEN

CANDRING BE

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 161, 1985. This proposal is for an inducement resolution authorizing interim (construction) financing for Jack E. Kovich, David C. Kovich and Chris C. Kovich d/b/a The Sanctuary Development Company, an Indiana Limited Partnership in an approximate amount of \$35,000,000. Councillor Schneider stated that the project is the construction of 920 units of multi-family residential rental housing to be located on West 46th Street immediately west of I-465. Estimated costs for the \$50 million project are as follows: \$48 million buildings and \$2 million miscellaneous contingencies. Construction should begin during the summer of 1985. The estimated number of additional employment positions at the end of one year total 9 jobs with \$190,000 in additional payroll to the community. The three-year job estimate is 26 positions with \$380,000 in additional payroll. The Economic Development Committee on May 1, 1985, amended Proposal No. 161, from the above mentioned company to HPD Partners, an Indiana General Partnership and also amended it to include permanent financing. Mr. Bob Wildman, representing the developer, stated that they would like Proposal No. 161, 1985, amended for construction financing only. Councillor Rhodes made the following motion, seconded by Councillor Schneider:

## CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

### Mr. President:

I move to amend Proposal No. 161, 1985, by inserting in Section 2 the words underlined as follows:

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of Fifty Million Dollars (\$50,000,000) under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to HPD Partners, an Indiana General partnership, or its assigns or its successors (the "Company") or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

### Councillor Rhodes

The amendment passed by a unanimous voice vote. Councillor Schneider moved, seconded by Councillor Clark, for adoption. Proposal No. 161, 1985, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

28 AYES: Borst, Boyd, Bradley, Campbell, Clark, Cottingham, Coughenour, Crowe, Curry, Dowden, Durnil, Giffin, Gilmer, Hawkins, Holmes, Howard, Journey, McGrath, Miller, Nickell, Rader, Rhodes, Schneider, SerVaas, Shaw, Stewart, Strader, West

NO NAYS

1 NOT VOTING: Page

Proposal No. 161, 1985, as amended, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 81, 1985, and reads as follows:

#### CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 81, 1985

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, (the "City") is authorized by I.C. 36-7-12 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of said facilities either directly owned by or leased or sold to a company; and leased or sub-leased to users of the facilities; and

WHEREAS, HPD Partners, an Indiana General partnership, or its assigns or its successors (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City either acquire, construct, install and equip certain economic development facilities and sell or lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of an economic development financing to provide financing to the Company for the same, said economic development facilities to be the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of approximately 920 units of multifamily residential rental housing contained in a complex of buildings and the machinery and equipment to be installed therein plus certain site improvements to be developed in five phases to be located at 46th Street and I-465 West on approximately 80 acres of land, Indianapolis, Indiana (the "Project"); and

SERES.

(40 8 N

(100 M 100 M)

September 1881

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase in job opportunities (an additional number of jobs of approximately 10 at the end of one year and 33 at the end of three years) to be achieved by the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens and will contribute significantly to the creation of permanent new job opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the facilities will not have an adverse competitive effect or impact on any similar facility or facility of the same kind already constructed or operating or in the same market area or in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

# BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the promotion of diversification of economic development and job opportunities in or near Indianapolis, Indiana and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis, and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City ("Issuer") in an approximate amount of Fifty Million Dollars (\$50,000,000) under the Act to be privately placed or a public offering with credit enhancement to provide interim (construction) financing for the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project and the sale or leasing of the Project to HPD Partners, an Indiana General partnership, or its assigns or its successors (the "Company") or the loaning of the proceeds of such financing to

the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project, this City-County Council hereby finds, determines, ratifies and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds subject to the caveat that this inducement resolution expires January 31, 1986 unless such bonds have been issued prior to the aforesaid date or unless, upon showing of good cause by the Company, the City by official action extends the terms of this inducement resolution; (iii) it will use its best efforts at the request of the Company to authorize the issuance of additional bonds for refunding and refinancing the outstanding principal amount of the bonds, for completion of the Project and for additions to the Project, including the costs of issuance (providing that the financing of such addition or additions to the Project is found to have a public purpose [as defined in I.C. 36-7-12-1 et. seq.] at the time of authorization of such additional bonds), and that the aforementioned purposes comply with the provisions of I.C. 36-7-12.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the passage of this resolution, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for application fees, planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter sell the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purpose or sell the same to the Company.

SECTION 5. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC 36-3-4-14.

### SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS

There being no business before any of the Special Service District Councils, none of the Special Service District Councils convened.

### **NEW BUSINESS**

President SerVaas announced the Council members on the Community Development Task Force, which are as follows: Dr. Borst, Mrs. Bradley, Mr. Howard, Mrs. Journey, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Rader, Mr. Shaw and Mr. Strader.

### ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 10:39 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and the Indianapolis, Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 20th day of May, 1985.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

Beut Sula

Clerk of the City County Council

ATTEST:

(SEAL)