

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS,
MONDAY, MARCH 15TH, 1869, 7 O'CLOCK, P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair
and the following members :

Councilmen Brown, Coburn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, God-
dard, Henschen, Jameson, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stan-
ton and Woodburn—14.

Absent—Councilmen Burgess, Colley, Kappes and Schmidt—4.

The proceedings of the Common Council held March 8th 1869,
were read and approved.

REGULAR ORDER OF BUSINESS.

Mr. Coburn presented the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned, owners of lots bordering on Alabama street, between
Washington street and Massachussts Avenue, respectfully remonstrate against
the passage by the Common Council of an ordinance for paving with brick
the east sidewalk of said Alabama street between the points named.

L. M. Phipps, Sarah A. Smith,
James M. Ray, Thos. E. Holbrook,
J. E. Robertson, And 8 others.

Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Also, the following communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 13, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—I consider it necessary to make the following statements in reply to certain inquiries that have been recently made, in relation to the City Scale at the East Market House.

It is known that I have had charge of the Scale since it was set up. It was placed on the Market Space by the Council, at the instance of Mr. Grosvenor, of the Committee on Markets. I had nothing to do with its erection. He informed me, as also did the man who put the Scale up, that it cost about \$225.00. As I was informed, it was set up for the accommodation of the public, both of the city and the country, who found it inconvenient to go from the Market to the Scales owned by private parties in the city to get their weighing done. Many also preferred, for various reasons, to weigh on a public rather than on a private Scale.

After its erection it was found that it would not pay a man to give all his time to it. The Committee on Markets then wished to know of me if I would not consent to take it. It was suggested that my constant presence on the Market enabled me to tend to the Scale better than any one else. The terms were fixed, and I was requested to go on till otherwise directed by the Council. On these conditions I took charge of the Scale, under the direction of the Council.

The profits have not exceeded sixty cents per diem during the whole year. In the fall and winter and early spring it is most profitable. The remainder of the year it does not amount to anything of account. To make anything at any time, requires constant presence on the Market.

The Council ordered that if purchasers required, coal and coke should be weighed on the City Scale at five cents per load. Since this order I have weighed about one hundred loads of a ton each, more or less, of hard coal, and a few loads of soft coal or coke.

If it is your desire for me to continue to weigh to the end of my term, the first of May next, I am willing to do so. If you can find some other man to fill the place, on better terms for the public, I advise you to get him by all means. Or if you wish to close up the Scale, and stop weighing for the accommodation of the public, it is under your direction.

The Scale is a good one and is in good order. I have had it tested several times, and am sure that it has given general satisfaction to its patrons.

I have of course always held the Scale subject to any order the Council might see proper to make, and shall continue to do so.

Respectfully,

L. H. JAMESON.

Which was referred to the Committee on Markets.

Mr. Coburn introduced special ordinance No. 11—1869, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Oak street and sidewalks, between Massachusetts Avenue and the Corporation line north.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Davis presented the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The undersigned, property holders living on Ellen street, between North street and Indiana Avenue, respectfully and firmly protest against the grading and graveling of said Ellen street at this time.

Your petitioners would represent that they are all laboring men, and in

these hard times it is as much as they can do to take care of and provide for their families, and pay the taxes on their little properties.

John Sullivan, Thomas S. Manning,
John Larkin, Mrs. Caleb B. Smith.
Samuel Wall,

Which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

Mr. Foster presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., March 8, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—I hold the certificates of the City Treasurer for the purchase for taxes of lots 11 and 12. in out-lot 27, owned by Timothy O'Reilly. I bid in the lots to protect my mortgage lien on the same for part of the purchase money due me from O'Reilly, he being unable to pay the taxes. The assessment is excessive. Before the day of sale I called the attention of the Assessor to the fact, and he, finding it wrong corrected the assessment and made a certificate to that effect. At the sale I stated that the amount claimed was wrong, that the Assessor had made a correction, reducing the amount to about half what it now is. The Treasurer promised at the sale that he would make the reduction as certified by the Assessor. I paid the whole amount without noticing that the correction was not made. I now demand that the sale be canceled and the money refunded me.

Respectfully, J. H. MCKERNAN,
Per LINDLEY.

N. B. The lots are on Tennessee street close to Pogue Run, and of comparatively small value.

Which was referred to the Finance Committee.

Also, the following bill :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 8, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

City of Indianapolis,	To J. H. McKernan,	Dr.
August 8, 1863. To 50 gravel tickets sold	Street Commissioner,	\$5 00
December 9, 1863. To 50 gravel tickets sold	Street Commissioner,	5 00
" 23, 1863. To 50 gravel tickets sold	Street Commissioner,	5 00
		<hr/>
		\$15 00

The above account is justly due me and wholly unpaid. I have made application heretofore for its payment and do not know why it has not been ordered paid.

Mr. Foster I wish you would see this introduced and properly referred, and have the report of the committee heard and acted upon.

Respectfully, J. H. MCKERNAN.
Per LINDLEY.

Which was referred to the Street Commissioner.

Mr. Foster presented the following communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

I would present my case before your honorable body in the form of a petition for an unjust assessment on improvements on lots 5 and 6, in out-lot

30, in the City of Indianapolis, county of Marion, Indiana. My contract for improvements being \$11.90, on which I am assessed \$1,000, which I have paid in full for the years 1867 and 1868, and as I understand an assessment is made on a two-thirds value, a little less than \$800 would be fair and reasonable on which to pay. Therefore I hope you will take into your consideration the above atatement, which would, if your honorable body are disposed to do justice to your humble petitioner, refund to me the sum of five dollars, which at the rate of \$1.25 on the \$100 would be just and fair. Mr. Hadley, our Assessor, has I believe made the correction for 1869.

Yours respectfully,

JAMES W. DIXON.

Which was referred to the Finance Committee.

Mr. Goddard introduced special ordinance No. 12--1869, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Meridian street and sidewalks, between McCarty and Ray streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Henschen presented the following petition:

INDIANAPOLIS, March 13, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned, your petitioners and resident property holders in Square 107, respectfully represent that owing to the errors in various surveys of your City Engineers there is a discrepancy of some three feet in length of lots 19 and 38 fronting on Virginia Avenue. Off of the west end of said lots Frederick Jasper has purchased 60 feet adjoining the alley on the west of said lots, and in order that said original lines may not be disturbed we respectfully pray your honorable body to vacate three feet of the east side of the first alley west of Virginia Avenue, between Stevens and Merrill streets fronting on Stevens street.

Fred. Jasper,
Samuel Moore,
John B. Kelley,

D. Gilmore,
Rudolph Rugg.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Henschen presented the following resignation:

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—Please accept my resignation as one of the members of the Board of Registry of the Eighth Ward.

FRED. JASPER.

Which was accepted.

Also, the following motion:

That C. C. Whitsit be elected as a member of the Board of Registry to fill the vacancy in the Eighth Ward.

Mr. Brown called for the ayes and noes upon the adoption of the motion.

Those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Co-

burn, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Henschen, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—10.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Goddard, Jame-son, Loomis and MacArthur—4.

So the motion was adopted.

Mr. Loomis offered the following motion :

That the City Marshal be directed to enforce the hog ordinance instanter.

Which was adopted.

Mr. MacArthur presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Feb. 1, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—We, the undersigned, property holders residing along the line of Blackford street, between New York and North streets, respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance for grading and graveling said street and sidewalks, between the aboved named points, with good river or creek gravel, 20 feet in width in the center of the street, 12 inches in depth in the center, and sloping to 6 inches at either edge, and the sidewalks 6 inches in depth of the same quality of gravel, said improvement to be done under the direction of the City Civil Engineer. And your petitioners will ever pray, &c, &c.

H. M Goe,
Aaron Rozier,
Joseph Pearson,

Lewis Ferdinand,
Mike Murphy,
And 5 others.

Which was received.

Also, special ordinance No. 13—1869, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Blackford street and sidewalks, between New York and North streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. MacArthur offered the following motion :

That the City Marshal be, and is hereby, instructed to have the fence removed from the east sidewalk of Ellen street from North street to the rear end of John Sullivan's lot, the same being 18 inches or more in Ellen street.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Mr. Stanton presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Feb. 23, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned, resident property holders on Vermont st., between California street and the first alley west of Bright street, respectfully petition your honorable body to have the above named street graded and graveled, between the points named, in the usual manner, under the direc-

tion of the City Civil Engineer. And your petitioners will ever pray, &c., &c.

Jacob Rhinehold,
Rufus Davisson,
Joseph Pearson,

John Carlisle,
John A. Anderson,
B. F. Aiman.

Which was received.

Also, special ordinance No. I4—1869, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Vermont street and sidewalks, between California street and the first alley west of Bright st.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Stanton presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 11, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned respectfully represents that in March, 1850, he purchased of the City of Indianapolis lot 10, in out-lot 159, of City Council's subdivision in the City of Indianapolis, agreeing to pay therefor the sum of \$50, of which amount he then and there paid in cash \$16.66 $\frac{2}{3}$, executing his two promissory notes, each for the sum of \$16.66 $\frac{2}{3}$, payable in one and two years for the balance of the purchase money. That he has since paid in full said two notes. That at the time he made said purchase he took from the city a bond for a deed—the deed to be executed upon the completion of the payments. That he by mistake, with other papers, placed said bond in the hands of Robert Walpole during his lifetime, and that he has never since been able to get said bond in his possession, and that he cannot now find the same. He further says that suit was brought against him on the last of said notes and a judgment obtained. That he files herewith a transcript of the proceedings in obtaining judgment, and the statement of its satisfaction. That he has paid taxes on said lot since the purchase of the same, and having paid the city in full for said lot, as by contract he agreed to do, he asks that you will take such action as will secure for him without further delay a proper deed of conveyance, conveying to him and his heirs the lot aforesaid.

Respectfully,

JULIUS PETTY.

Which was referred to the Committee on Judiciary and the City Attorney.

Mr. Coburn introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 10—1869, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the repairs of streets, &c.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Coburn introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 11—1869, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Brown offered the following motion :

That the City Treasurer report to the Council the amount collected by him as advertising costs in cases of street improvements where precepts have been issued, and what disposition has been made of such funds.

Which was adopted.

REPORTS FROM BOARDS.

Mr. Davis, from the Board of Public Improvements, made the following report :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, Feb. 20, 1869. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom was referred the bids for erecting lamp-posts and fixtures on Madison Avenue, between Meridian street and the south side of the alley running east and west between out-lots Nos. 16 and 25; also for erecting lamp-posts and fixtures on St. Joseph street, between Alabama and New Jersey streets, would report that D. Root & Co. are the only bidders, their bid being \$37.50 for the corner posts, and \$35.50 for the intermediate posts. We respectfully recommend that the contract be awarded said parties.

Respectfully,

J. W. DAVIS, Chairman.

Attest:

D. M. RANDELL, Clerk.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

OFFICE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, }
Indianapolis, March 15, 1869. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom was referred the petition of John Wands et al, asking to be relieved from water that overflows their property, would report and recommend that the Civil Engineer be directed to survey McCarty street from New Jersey street to Waters street, with a view to draining said street between said points.

Respectfully,

JOSEPH W. DAVIS, }
SAMUEL GODDARD, } Board.
HENRY COBURN, }

Attest:

D. M. RANDELL, Clerk.

Which was concurred in.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

Mr. Brown, from the Committee on Streets and Alleys, made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of J. C. Green & Co., in reference to erecting a stairway at the corner of Delaware and Washington streets, recommend that the prayer of the petitioners be granted.

AUSTIN H. BROWN, }
HENRY COBURN, } Committee.
J. W. DAVIS, }

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

The Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the petition of Merritt & Coughlen, asking for the vacation of Water street between Washington and Maryland streets, report that they find the petitioners have given the legal requisite notice, and there being no opposition to the proposed vacation, your committee recommend that the prayer of the petitioners be granted and the vacation ordered, as the street is of no public utility.

AUSTIN H. BROWN, }
HENRY COBURN, } Committee.
J. W. DAVIS, }

Also, the following :

ORDER OF VACATION.

And now come the petitioners and produce proof that they have given proper notice of their intention to apply to this Council to vacate that portion of Water street which runs between Maryland and Washington streets, in the City of Indianapolis, by advertising 21 days in the Indianapolis Weekly Journal, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City of Indianapolis, which proof is as follows to-wit:

Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in the office of the City Clerk of the City of Indianapolis, praying for the vacation of Water street, in said city, between Washington and Maryland streets, and that the petition will be heard and proceedings taken for the vacation of said street, at the meeting of the Common Council of said city, to be held on Monday the 8th day of March, 1869.

STATE OF INDIANA, }
MARION COUNTY, } ss:

Personally appeared before the undersigned Henry A. Loudon, book-keeper of the Indianapolis Weekly Journal, a public weekly newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in Indianapolis, in the county aforesaid, who being duly sworn, upon his oath saith that the notice, of which the attached is a true copy, was duly published in said paper for three weeks suc-

cessively, the first of which publication was on the twelfth (12th) day of February, 1869, and the last on the 26th day of February, 1869.

HENRY A. LOUDEN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 30th day of March, 1869.

T. A. GOODWIN, Not. Pub.

And also by putting up notices at three of the most public places in the neighborhood of said street, which is as follows to-wit:

Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in the office of the City Clerk of the City of Indianapolis, praying for the vacation of Water street, in said city, between Washington and Maryland streets, and that the petition will be heard and proceedings taken for the vacation of said street, at the meeting of the Common Council of said city, to be held on Monday, the 8th day of March, 1869.

STATE OF INDIANA, }
MARION COUNTY, } ss:

Isaac Marchant, of Marion county, Indiana, being duly sworn, on his oath says that he did on the 20th day of February, 1869, put up printed notices, of which the foregoing is a full and true copy, at three of the most public places in the neighborhood of said street.

ISAAC MARCHANT, JR.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of March, 1869.

JOHN G. WATERS, Not. Public.

And no person appearing to object to said vacation, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Council that said petitioners are the sole owners of all the property adjoining said street, and the Council being fully advised in the premises, it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decided by the Council that so much of Water street as lies between Washington and Maryland streets, in the City of Indianapolis, be, and the same is hereby, vacated.

Which, on motion, was received and the vacation ordered.

Mr. Brown, from the Committee on Printing and Stationery, introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 12—1869, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Clerk made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The City Clerk respectfully reports to your honorable body the amount expended by the City on account of the late rebellion, from May 12, 1862, to March 12, 1869.

From May 12, 1862, to May 12, 1863—

For soldiers' bounty -----	\$5,020 00
----------------------------	------------

From May 12, 1863, to May 12, 1864—

For relief of soldiers' families -----	29,302 50
Wood for the poor -----	4,784 18

Reception of Volunteers -----	\$1,069 16
Clothing City Regiment -----	489 00
From May 13, 1864, to May 13, 1865—	
For recruits-----	390,376 50
For War Bonds issued-----	277,306 92
For War Bonds paid-----	25,000 00
For substitutes for citizens-----	9,600 00
For decorations for Funeral of President Lincoln-----	2,568 80
For relief of soldiers' families-----	1,936 75
For interest paid on War Orders-----	1,875 00
For reception of Volunteers-----	640 86
For wood for soldiers' families-----	8,875 00
From May 13, 1865, to May 14, 1866—	
For interest on War Bonds-----	7,062 25
For interest on Bank Loan-----	6,037 81
For expenses of Funeral of President Lincoln-----	262 86
From March 14, 1867, to May 14, 1868—	
For interest paid on City War Orders-----	28,061 88
For interest paid on War Fund Loan-----	17,550 00
For interest paid on War Bonds-----	9,305 00
From March 14, 1868, to March 12, 1869—	
For interest on War Bonds-----	10,888 75
Total-----	\$838,013 22

EXPENSES.

From May 12, 1862, to May 12, 1863-----	\$5,020 00
From May 12, 1863, to May 12, 1864-----	35,644 84
From May 13, 1864, to May 12, 1865-----	718,179 83
From May 13, 1865, to March 14, 1866-----	13,362 92
From March 14, 1866, to May 14, 1868-----	54,916 88
From March 14, 1868, to March 12, 1869-----	10,888 75
Total-----	\$838,013 22

Respectfully submitted,

D. M. RANDELL, *City Clerk.*

Which was concurred in.

The City Attorney made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 15, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—I have carefully examined the questions presented by the petition of L. B. Wilson, Esq., and have come to the conclusion, not, however, without much hesitation, that the assessment complained of was not fatally defective. In *Noble v. City of Indianapolis*, 16 Ind. 506, our Supreme Court held that an assessment in the name of Noah Noble's heirs was valid, and in *Ronkendorf v. Taylor*, 4 Peters (U. S. Reports), the Supreme Court held an assessment against "Henry Toland's heirs" sufficient. I think these cases analogous to Mr. Wilson's, and that they determine the law adversely to his claim.

Respectfully,

B. K. ELLIOTT, *City Attorney.*

Which was concurred in.

By consent, Mr. Davis presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, Feb. 5, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned, members of the Butchers of Marion county, Indiana, have the honor to state to your honorable body, that for some time several butchers and other residents of Marion county, are in the habit of selling meat and such other articles pertaining to the department of the butchers outside of the Market Houses, thereby avoiding the payment of increased rents, as provided by the city ordinance for the use and occupancy of those stalls, stands and benches situated within the Market Houses, to the detriment of the Treasury of this city.

Some of those renters of stalls situated within the Market Houses contemplated already to withdraw from renting stalls inside of the Market Houses as soon as their present lease will expire, and intend to avail themselves of the opportunity granted to sell under more favorable circumstances and terms on stalls, stands and benches outside of the Market Houses by reduced rents as provided by the city ordinance.

The undersigned therefore respectfully call your attention to this branch for proper legislation, and respectfully suggest to your honorable body, to take such measures and pass such ordinances, which you may think proper, which will remedy the evil mentioned, and which will make it impossible to elude the spirit of the city ordinance.

Especially we, the undersigned, pray the honorable City Council to enact an ordinance prohibiting and preventing any person to sell less than a quarter of meat by weight outside of the Market House during the usual market hours.

Very respectfully,

Wm. Schweinsberg,
Louis Hahn,
John Neutzel,

John Yorger,
Fred. Borst,
And 38 others.

Which was referred to the Committee on Markets, with instructions to report at next meeting.

Mr. MacArthur introduced an ordinance to issue a yearly license to Thomas Fletcher for the Exchange Building in the City of Indianapolis.

Mr. Brown moved to reject the ordinance, and called for the ayes and noes.

Those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Geisel, Goddard, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—6.

Those who voted in the negative were Councilmen Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Jameson, Loomis and MacArthur—6.

There being a tie vote His Honor, the Mayor, voted in the affirmative.

So the ordinance was rejected.

The City Commissioners made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, Feb. 9, 1869.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The Commissioners of Streets and Alleys respectfully submit the following report in the matter of widening Norwood street, between Illinois and Tennessee streets, to make it a uniform width, that pursuant to notice they met at the office of City Clerk, on Monday the 8th day of February, 1869, and proceeded to view the ground to be appropriated for the opening of said street, and find that the value of the property to be appropriated, to-wit, 25 feet off of the north side of out-lot No. 122, is valued at 1,218 dollars.

That no part of the expense of opening said street shall be borne by the city of Indianapolis. That the persons benefitted are below named :

Jacob Grube, lot No. 13, out-lot 122, 120 feet.....	\$360 00
John Morton, lot No. 26, out-lot 122, 42 feet.....	126 00
J. W. Byrket, lot No. 35, out-lot 122, 42 feet.....	126 00
John S. Spann, lot No. 34, out-lot 122, 42 feet.....	126 00
Samuel B. Morris, lot No. 33, out-lot 122, 42 feet.....	126 00
Thomas Wren, lot No. 32, out-lot 122, 120 feet.....	360 00

That the persons below named are damaged upon the real estate described in the sum set opposite their names, to-wit:

The Indianapolis Rolling Mill Company, owner of 173 9-12 feet, south side of out-lot No. 124	\$1,218 00
--	------------

We assess the sums against the persons aforesaid.

The Commissioners would respectfully report that the said Rolling Mill Company propose to give $9\frac{1}{2}$ feet off of their ground, which would make said street $34\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide, making said street wide enough for all practicable purposes, and would meet with the approbation of the petitioners.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

SAMUEL M. SEIBERT,	} Commissioners.
JAMES N. RUSSELL,	
JAMES C. YOHN,	
THOMAS SCHOOLEY.	
WILLIAM BRADEN,	

Which was received and referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with instructions to report at next meeting.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 12—1869, appropriating money for printing, was called up and read the second time and ordered to be engrossed.

Mr. Brown moved that the rules be suspended and that the ordinance be placed upon its passage.

The question being on a suspension of the rules, those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the rules were suspended, and the ordinance read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

ORDINANCES ON THIRD READING.

Mr. Cottrell called up special appropriation ordinance No. 5—1869, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for street repairs in each of the wards in the City of Indianapolis, and defining the duties of the Street Commissioner in relation thereto, and appropriations heretofore made for such purpose.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Loomis, MacArthur, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—13.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

Mr. Davis called up special ordinance No. 107—1868, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to provide for bowldering so much of Wabash street as has not already been bowldered between Meridian and Illinois streets.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Loomis, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—12.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

Dr. Woodburn called up special appropriation ordinance No. 9—1869, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money to the City Hospital for the month of February, 1869.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass? those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Davis, Foster, Geisel, Goddard, Henschen, Jameson, Loomis, Seidensticker, Stanton and Woodburn—12.

No Councilman voting in the negative.

So the ordinance passed.

On motion the Council adjourned.

DANIEL MACAULEY, *Mayor*.

ATTEST:

D. M. RANDELL, *City Clerk*.