

**CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA
POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING
Tuesday, September 5, 1978**

A Postponed Regular Meeting of the City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, convened in the Council Chambers of the City-County Building at 7:20 p.m., Tuesday, September 5, 1978. President SerVaas in the chair. Councilman David McGrath opened the meeting with a prayer, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

President SerVaas instructed the Clerk to take the roll. Twenty-six members being present, he announced a quorum.

PRESENT: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Chambers, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.

ABSENT: Mr. Bayt, Mr. Howard and Mr. Walters.

CORRECTION OF JOURNAL

The Chair called for additions or corrections to the Journal of August 14, 1978. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

The Chair called for reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

**TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified that there will be a POSTPONED REGULAR MEETING of the City-County Council held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers on Tuesday, September 5, 1978, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such meeting being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before the postponed regular meeting of the Council.

**Respectfully,
s/Beurt SerVaas, President
City-County Council**

August 21, 1978

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the Indianapolis NEWS and Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on August 24, 1978 and on August 31, 1978, a copy of NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON ZONING on Proposals No. 331, 1978 and 332, 1978, to be held September 5, 1978.

Respectfully,

Beverly S. Rippy
City Clerk

August 21, 1978

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the Indianapolis NEWS and the Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on August 24, 1978 and on August 31, 1978, a copy of NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS of a Public Hearing on Proposals No. 282, 340, 342, 344, 345, 1978, to be held on September 5, 1978.

Respectfully,

s/Beverly S. Rippy
City Clerk

August 21, 1978

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the laws of the State of Indiana, I caused to be published in the Indianapolis NEWS and the Indianapolis COMMERCIAL on August 24, 1978 and on August 31, 1978, a copy of CITY—COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 86, 1978.

Respectfully,

s/Beverly S. Rippy
City Clerk

August 16, 1978

TO THE HONORABLE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Mrs. Beverly S. Rippy, the following ordinances:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 88, 1978, amending the CITY—COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1978 and appropriating an additional twenty-two thousand nine hundred seventy-six dollars and sixty-six cents in the City General Fund for purposes of the Office of Youth Development and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the City General Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 89, 1978, amending the CITY—COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1978 and appropriating an additional fifty-one thousand thirty-nine dollars in the Consolidated County Fund for purposes of Metropolitan Development, Buildings Division, Administration, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Consolidated County Fund.

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 90, 1978, amending the CITY—COUNTY ANNUAL BUDGET FOR 1978 and appropriating an additional three hundred eighty-seven thousand four hundred twenty-one dollars in the Sanitation General Fund for purposes of Sanitation — Liquid Waste Division, Department of Public Works, and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the Sanitation General Fund.

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 86, 1978, amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" by amending Sec. 2-204 and adding a new Sec. 2-219, thereby creating a new central equipment management division of the Department of Administration and transferring to that division from the Department of Public Works, all powers and duties necessary for the operation of a municipal garage.

Respectfully submitted,

s/William H. Hudnut, III
MAYOR

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS

Councilman Pearce read a letter from General Counsel, Robert Elrod, withholding approval of Mr. Pearce's proposed resolution. He then read the proposal placing a ceiling on the bonded indebtedness of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana. The President assigned it Proposal No. 379, 1978, and referred it to the Rules and Public Policy Committee.

Councilman Cantwell read a statement from Mayor Hudnut dated August 18, 1977, endorsing the "Sunshine Law" and requesting compliance from all branches of City-County government. Mr. Cantwell then stated his disapproval of the Republican caucus during which the 1979 budget was discussed and amendments proposed.

PROPOSAL NO. 378, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Cantwell. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution recognizing Captain William E. Owen of the Indianapolis Police Department for improving the efficiency of the "911 System". Mr. Cantwell then moved its adoption. Mr. Gilmer requested a report providing support that the system had improved. Mr. Cantwell said after his investigation he was satisfied with the current operation. Mr. West noted that

throughout the proposal Captain Owen's name had been misspelled. Following further discussion, the President referred the proposal to the Public Safety & Criminal Justice Committee.

INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS

PROPOSAL NOS. 348-357, 1978. Introduced by Mr. Durnil. The Clerk read the proposals entitled: "Proposals for Rezoning Ordinances certified from the Metropolitan Plan Commission on August 17, 1978;" and the President referred them to the Committee of the Whole to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption.

PROPOSAL NO. 358, 1978. Introduced by Mr. Durnil. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Rezoning Ordinance certified from the Metropolitan Plan Commission on August 29, 1978;" and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption.

PROPOSAL NO. 359, 1978. Introduced by Mr. Durnil. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Rezoning Ordinance certified from the Metropolitan Plan Commission on August 30, 1978;" and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole to be heard under Special Orders - Final Adoption.

PROPOSAL NO. 360, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution reviewing and modifying the operation and maintenance budget and tax levies of the Indianapolis Airport Authority District of Indianapolis, Indiana, and establishing the appropriations for the purpose of defraying the expenses and all outstanding claims and obligations of said Municipal Corporation for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1979, and fixing a time when this resolution shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole.

PROPOSAL NO. 361, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution reviewing and modifying the operating and maintenance budget and tax levies of the Indianapolis-Marion County Public Library Board of Marion County, Indiana, and establishing the appropriations for the purpose of defraying the expenses and all outstanding claims and obligations of said Library Board for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1979, and ending December 31, 1979;" and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole.

PROPOSAL NO. 362, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution reviewing and modifying the operating and maintenance budget and tax levies of the Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County, Indiana, and establishing the appropriations for the purpose of defraying the expenses and all outstanding claims and obligations of said Municipal Corporation for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1979, and ending December 31, 1979, and fixing a time when this resolution shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole.

PROPOSAL NO. 363, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution reviewing, modifying and approving the operating budget of the Capital Improvements Board of Managers of Marion County, Indiana, and establishing the appropriations for the purpose of defraying the expenses and all outstanding claims and obligations of said Board of Managers for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1979, and ending December 31, 1979, and fixing a time when this resolution shall take effect;" and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole.

PROPOSAL NO. 364, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance levying taxes and fixing the rate of taxation for the purpose of raising revenue to meet the necessary expenses of Indianapolis and Marion County Government and its institutions for the calendar year 1979;" and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole.

PROPOSAL NO. 365, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Miller. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" by modifying various provisions dealing with personnel;" and the President referred it to the Administration Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 366, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1978 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 70, 1977) and appropriating an additional twenty-two thousand dollars (\$22,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of Superior Court No. 5 and reducing the unappropriated and unencumbered balance in the County General Fund;" and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 367, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1978 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 70, 1977)

transferring and appropriating one thousand two hundred fifty dollars (\$1,250) in the County General Fund for purposes of County Court Administration and reducing certain other appropriations for that division;” and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 368, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1978 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 70, 1977) transferring and appropriating one thousand dollars (\$1,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of Marion County Recorder’s Office and reducing certain other appropriations for that division;” and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 369, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Schneider. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1978 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 70, 1977) to reclassify the salaries and number of employees of the Lawrence Township Assessor;” and the President referred it to the County & Townships Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 370, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Gilmer. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1978 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 70, 1977) transferring and appropriating eighty-six thousand dollars (\$86,000) in the Park General Fund for purposes of the Administration Division of the Parks & Recreation Department and reducing certain other appropriations for that division;” and the President referred it to the Parks & Recreation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 371, 1978. Introduced by Councilman West. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance amending the City-County Annual Budget for 1978 (City-County Fiscal Ordinance No. 70, 1977) and appropriating and transferring an additional nine thousand dollars (\$9,000) in the County General Fund for purposes of the Marion County Prosecutor and reducing certain other appropriations for the Marion County Prosecutor;” and the President referred it to the Public Safety & Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 372, 1978. Introduced by Councilwoman Coughenour. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: “A Proposal for a General Ordinance amending the “Code of Indianapolis and Marion County” by adding a new Division 5 to Article VII of Chapter 2 to create the Indianapolis Clean City Commission and to authorize the Mayor to appoint commissioners to administer the Indianapolis Clean City Program;” and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 373, 1978. Introduced by Councilman McGrath. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance establishing a weight restriction on a certain portion of Cruft Street [Amends Code Section 29-136];" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 374, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Cantwell. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance establishing the north side of Raymond Street between Shelby Street and Barth Avenue as an one-hour parking meter zone [Amends Code Section 29-283];" and the President referred it to the Transportation Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 375, 1978. Introduced by Councilmen Howard and Kimbell. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance permitting pari-mutuel wagering in Marion County"; and the President referred it to the Committee of the Whole.

PROPOSAL NO. 376, 1978. Introduced by Councilman Clark. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance to authorize the resolution of disputes between the City of Indianapolis, and its police officers and firefighters and between Marion County and its merit sheriff's deputies concerning wages and wage-related fringe benefits;" and the President referred it to the Rules & Public Policy Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 377, 1978. Introduced by Councilmen Vollmer and Kimbell. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance authorizing the resolution of impasses between the City of Indianapolis and its police officers concerning wages and wage-related fringe benefits;" and the President referred it the Rules & Public Policy Committee.

SPECIAL ORDERS – PUBLIC HEARING

PROPOSAL NO. 331, 1978. This proposal was presented before the Council for public hearing by Councilman SerVaas. In his opening remarks Mr. SerVaas announced that the petitioner and the remonstrators had reached a compromise. The Council then recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 7:48 p.m. for public hearing. During this time Mr. Philip Kneisly of the 86th Street Association, Mrs. Ruth Hayes, President of Pennsylvania Heights Association and President of the Nora Community Council, and Mr. Michael Fox of the Nora Community Council, each spoke expressing support of a system which enables the residents of a City to work with the local government in solving problems. Mr. James R. Nickels, attorney for the petitioners then stated his satisfaction with the agreement. The Council reconvened at 8:04 p.m. Mr. SerVaas moved, seconded by Mrs. Brinkman, the following amendment:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL MOTION

Mr. President:

I move that City-County Council Proposal No. 331, 1978, be amended as follows:

That the proposed ordinance be amended and adopted to include the final development plan filed September 5, 1978, with the Metropolitan Development Commission and entitled "Final Preliminary Plan, As Amended" for Cases Nos. 77-Z-161 and 77-DP-3.

s/Beurt R. SerVaas

The motion carried by unanimous voice vote. In response to Mr. West's inquiry Mr. SerVaas explained that the four major components of the compromise were as follows:

1. Quality of the proposed structure.
2. Parameters of the area.
3. Access road to the main thoroughfare.
4. Handling of excess water.

The covenant had been codified and filed with the Department of Metropolitan Development. Mr. Durnil then moved, seconded by Mr. SerVaas, that all commitments be recorded prior to issuance of a location improvement permit. The motion carried by unanimous voice vote. Proposal No. 331, 1978, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

25 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mrs. Chambers, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.

NO NOES.

1 NOT VOTING: Mr. Cantwell.

Proposal No. 331, 1978, As Amended, was retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 119, 1978, and reads as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 119, 1978 77-Z-161 (77-DP-3) WASHINGTON TWP.
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 2
251 WEST 86TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS
George Sandefur by Robert Borns by James R. Nickels, Attorney, One Indiana Square
No. 2050 requests rezoning of 30.73 acres, being in D-2 district, to D-P classification to permit a Planned Unit Development.

PROPOSAL NO. 332, 1978. Mr. Miller had requested the public hearing of this proposal at the July 31st meeting. Mr. Miller opened the public hearing at 8:08 p.m. by explaining that the neighbors that lived near the proposed rezoning site of 7502 South Shelby were concerned about the implications of developing the area commercially. Mr. James R. Nickels, attorney for the petitioners, Mr. and Mrs. Daniel C. Seckel, then explained with the aid of an opaque projector that the Seckels property was zoned commercially on all sides and in order for them to sell the property at a reasonable rate, rezoning to commercial status was necessary.

Mr. Frank Sisson, representative for the neighborhood, then spoke stating that their concerns included the additional traffic and the gradual progression of commercial development along Shelby Street.

During Council discussion, Mr. Miller said that the neighborhood would not object to a commercial zoning of either C-1 or C-3-C, in lieu of the proposed C-3. Mr. Bruce Brown, Administrator, Division of Planning and Zoning, explained that C-1 and C-3-C zonings included the development of small office buildings, whereas a C-3 classification also included restaurants and gas stations. When asked, Mr. Nickels expressed his dissatisfaction with either of those types of zonings.

Mr. Miller moved, seconded by Mr. Durnil, to amend Proposal No. 332, 1978, by allowing the rezoning to include only C-1 or C-3-C classification. The motion failed on the following roll call vote; viz:

11 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Chambers, Mr. Clark, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Miller, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder and Mr. Tintera.

14 NOES: Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.

1 NOT VOTING: Mr. Lyons.

The question was then called on Proposal No. 332, 1978. The petitioner was sustained and the proposal adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

20 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mr. Cantwell, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.

6 NOES: Mrs. Chambers, Mr. Clark, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Miller and Mr. Tinder.

Proposal No. 332, 1978, was retitled REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 120, 1978, and reads as follows:

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 120, 1978 78-Z-63 PERRY TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 25
7502 SHELBY STREET, INDIANAPOLIS
Daniel C. & Ola Seckel by James R. Nickels, Attorney, One Indiana Square No. 2050
request rezoning of 0.80 acre, being in A-2 district, to C-3 classification to permit
commercial development.**

PROPOSAL NOS. 282, 340, 342, 344, and 345, 1978. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:40 p.m. for public hearing on these proposals, and reconvened at 8:41 p.m. The Chair then postponed them until the meeting of September 25, 1978.

SPECIAL ORDERS – UNFINISHED BUSINESS

PROPOSAL NO. 102, 1978. The Council recessed to a Committee of the Whole at 8:42 p.m. for public hearing, and reconvened at 8:43 p.m. The Chair then postponed further action on this proposal until the meeting of September 25, 1978.

PROPOSAL NO. 285, 1978. Mr. Tinder reported that the Rules & Public Policy Committee recommended the adoption of the proposal. He then offered the following amendments, each of which were adopted by unanimous voice vote:

CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL MOTIONS

Mr. President:

I move to amend Proposal No. 285, 1978, as follows:

(1) Delete the introduced version and insert in lieu thereof the version entitled Committee Recommendations.

(2) In Section 4, subsection (b) and in Section 9, line 5, delete the word "Executive".

(3) In Section 3, line 4, delete the period after "duties" and insert a comma and the following words: "provided, however, no power or duty herein prescribed shall in any way be permitted to derogate the powers, duties or responsibility of an elected official."

In Section 8, line 4, designate that paragraph subsection (a) and insert the following words after the word "final" in line 11: "except as provided in Sec. 2-238.8(b)". Also add a subsection 2-238.8(b) to read as follows:

"(b) Should an elected official feel aggrieved at the decision resulting from appeal to this Board, the matter shall be heard and finally resolved by majority vote at a special meeting of the Rules and Policy Committee of the City-County Council with at least five (5) members present and voting. Such meeting shall be held within twenty-one (21) days of written request by the elected official."

s/John G. Tinder

Following brief discussion, Proposal No. 285, 1978, As Amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mrs. Chambers, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Dowden, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. Schneider, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.
2 NOES: Mr. Boyd and Mrs. Journey.
1 NOT VOTING: Mr. Cantwell.

Proposal No. 285, 1978, As Amended, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 87, 1978, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 87, 1978

A GENERAL ORDINANCE amending Chapter II, Article VI of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County," to establish the Marion County Data Processing Board and the Central Data Processing Agency, to fix the powers and duties of each, to fix responsibilities of the Director and Administrator, and to repeal ordinances in conflict therewith.

WHEREAS, the City-County Council is responsible for approving and appropriating all funds drawn from public sources for the operation of all forms of local government; and,

WHEREAS, the City-County Council is responsible for the raising of revenues for the funding of all local government operations; and,

WHEREAS, the City-County Council finds it necessary to draw upon the expertise of an administrative body for advice concerning the highly technical area of data processing as well as to rely upon this administrative body for the effective and efficient management of data processing in the county in order to assure the maximum effectiveness and lowest cost to the taxpayers, and the Legislature has authorized the Council to regulate this subject area; and,

WHEREAS, the effective utilization of data processing systems can improve the operations and efficiency of government, such systems many times including functions that cross existing governmental organizational boundaries and require common systems approaches; now, therefore:

**BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

SECTION 1. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.1 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.1 Definitions.

(a) "Board" means the Marion County Data Processing Board.

(b) ~~"Executive Director" or "Director" means the Executive Director of the Marion County Data Processing Board.~~

(b) ~~(c) "Administrator" means the Administrator~~ "Director" means the Director of the Central Data Processing Agency.

(c) ~~(d)~~ "CDP" means the Central Data Processing Agency of Indianapolis and Marion County.

(d) ~~(e)~~ "Council" means the City-County Council of Indianapolis and of Marion County.

~~(e) (f)~~ "Subject agencies" means any and all agencies, officers, offices, boards, commissions, divisions, and departments of the City of Indianapolis, of the County of Marion, township assessors in Marion County, and any court or prosecutor funded by Marion County.

SECTION 2. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.2 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.2 Board Created, Members.

(a) There is hereby created the Marion County Data Processing Board, which shall consist of the following persons, who shall be appointed for the following terms:

~~(1) A~~ two city officers of senior status, appointed by the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis, to serve at the pleasure of the Mayor;

~~(2) The Auditor of Marion County, to serve by virtue of his office, Two (2) county constitutional office holders, limited to the Auditor and the Clerk or Treasurer of Marion County, to be appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, the Council.~~

~~(3) The Presiding Judge of Marion County Municipal Court, representing the judicial branch of local government, to serve by virtue of his office;~~

~~(4) Two persons having senior management experience with large commercial firms located in Marion County, who hold line authority over their business's data processing organization as well as another non-data processing area, to be appointed by the Mayor. The terms of such citizen appointments shall be staggered by initial appointment of one citizen to a three-year term and one to a two-year term; thereafter, each to serve for two-year terms but at the pleasure of the Mayor. Two~~

~~persons, each of whom must have senior management experience with organizations which are located in Marion County, which utilize large data processing installation comparable to the City-County installation, and which are not in the business of selling data processing equipment or services. Further each such person must hold line authority over the manager of the organization's data processing area. One such person shall be appointed by the Council and the other by the Mayor. The terms of such citizen appointments shall be staggered by the initial appointment of the Mayor's appointment to a three year term and the Council's appointment to a two-year term; thereafter each to serve for two-year terms but at the pleasure of the respective appointing authority.~~

(b) Board members shall serve in person and not by proxy, and without compensation, except that personal expenses incurred through service to the Board, travel, lodging and fees may be reimbursed to the Board member upon authorization of the Board.

SECTION 3. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.3 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.3 Power and Duties of the Board.

The board shall have the following powers and duties, provided, however, no power or duty herein prescribed shall in any way be permitted to derogate the powers, duties or responsibilities of any elected official.

(a) To determine the means of financing any data processing services, subject to the approval of the Council where applicable and to fix rates and formulae for invoicing user agencies for data processing services rendered;

(b) To review and approve all data processing budgets, operating systems, contracts, and expenditures for data processing services, equipment purchase, rent, or lease, consultants, management or technical personnel, studies, programs and data processing materials, or supplies, for any and all subject agencies;

(c) To conduct studies and evaluations of any and all data processing needs and current systems operating in the subject agencies;

(d) To contract for technical and specialized assistance in administering its duties;

(e) To require annual data processing plans and resources inventories from all subject agencies;

(f) To develop, maintain and communicate data processing policy and administrative procedures for the entities within the subject agencies and a data processing master plan for all subject agencies;

(g) To develop, maintain and distribute personnel job descriptions and salary level recommendations for the ~~Executive Director and the CDP Administrator~~, and for data processing staff of CDP or subject agencies, and to approve all technical positions therein, in conjunction with the Department of Administration of the City where applicable;

(h) To employ or retain by personal services contract ~~as a Executive Director, pursuant to Section 2-238.5 of this article, and to employ an administrator~~ for the Central Data Processing Agency, who ~~both~~ shall have such duties as established herein, to serve at the pleasure of the Board;

(i) To promulgate rules and regulations for the efficient administration of its policies and procedures for the subject agencies;

(j) To develop and oversee adherence to standards for privacy of personally identifiable confidential data and security of systems and records;

(k) To delegate any functions to the ~~Executive Director or Administrator~~, subject to review by the Board.

SECTION 4. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.4 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.4 Officers, Meeting of Board.

The officers of the Board shall be a chairman and a secretary, one of whom shall be the a senior city official and the other a county-auditor, officer. ~~The chairman shall be named by the Mayor, and the secretary by the Board.~~

(a) All contracts, agreements, resolutions, and official communications of the Board shall be in writing and be executed by these officers upon being authorized by motion passed by the Board by simple majority of its members present.

(b) A quorum of the Board for official action in session shall be three (3) members. For this purpose, the ~~Executive Director~~ shall not be considered a member. Official minutes of meetings shall be kept by the ~~Executive Director~~.

(c) The Board shall meet monthly at such place and time as may be set by the Chairman, and may meet at such other times and places as may be needed in special session called by the Chairman for a particular purpose. All meetings, whether regular or special, shall be open to the public. No official action may be taken by the Board except at a public meeting, whether regular or special. Board members may confer from time to time in executive session without the necessity of calling a public meeting as applicable by law.

SECTION 5. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.5 to read as follows:

Sec. 2.238.5 Director Appointed.

The Board shall employ, or retain ~~the services by~~ personal services contract of, an a ~~Executive Director ("Director").~~ The Director shall meet with the Board as a non-voting member. The Director shall be the senior administrator of the Central Data Processing Agency (CDP) and shall act as technical advisor and provide staff support for the Board in its deliberations. The Director shall have the authority and responsibility to act for the Board in its name on a daily operational basis when the Board is not in session, but all such action shall be subject to the review of the Board.

SECTION 6. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.6 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.6 Power and Duties of Director.

The Director shall have the following additional specific duties:

(a) To review data processing activities, operations, requests, and technical personnel of the subject agencies and provide recommendations on same to the subject agency or Board; to oversee the overall management of data processing activities which are subject to this ordinance;

(b) To receive and review with comment and recommendations all reports, requests, and documents for the Board;

(c) To communicate for and on behalf of the Board with the subject agencies, other governmental units, and the private sector when the Board is not in session;

(d) To receive budget proposal for data processing operations and services for agencies of the Consolidated City, the County, the courts and other subject agencies and to assist the Board in review and evaluation of the budgets prior to their submission to the City-County Council;

(e) To review all contracts for data processing services, equipment lease, rent, or purchase, materials, supplies, consultants, technical personnel, studies or programs for the subject agencies, including specifically, CDP, and submit same with comment and recommendations to the Board for its action;

(f) To coordinate the preparation of a master plan for data processing operations for all subject agencies, within the direction given from the Board;

(g) To implement all administrative rules and regulations promulgated by the Board.

SECTION 7. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.7 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.7 Board Approval Required.

No subject agency, as defined in Sec. 2-238.1 herein, or officer, employee, or agent thereof, shall, after the effective date of this ordinance, purchase, lease, rent or contract for the use of any data processing services, equipment, materials, supplies, data processing studies, programs technical personnel, or consultants without first obtaining written approval of the Board. Any such purchase, lease, rental or contract entered into by a subject agency without the prior written approval of the Board shall be voidable at the option of the Board.

SECTION 8. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.8 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.8 Appeal Procedure.

(a) Any subject agency or user which, in the opinion of that agency head, feels aggrieved at a decision of the Board concerning that agency's data processing operations, may file a written request for review of such decision with the Chairman of the Board, who shall place such request on the agenda of the special meeting of the Board for the purpose of appellate review. The Board shall call a special meeting to hear the appeal, and for the purpose of special meetings for appeals, the Board shall consist of the regular Board members, plus the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis or his designee and the President of the City-County Council who may designate the Vice President of the Council to attend for him. The decision of this Board shall be final, except as provided in Sec. 2-238.8(b), and shall be entered of record in the minutes of the Board. In order to hear the appeal, the Board shall have present at least four (4) of its regular members plus either the Mayor (or his designee) or the President of the City-County Council (or his designee).

(b) Should an elected official feel aggrieved at the decision resulting from appeal to this Board, the matter shall be heard and finally resolved by majority vote at a special meeting of the Rules and Policy Committee of the City-County Council with at least five (5) members present and voting. Such meeting shall be held within twenty-one (21) days of written request by the elected official.

SECTION 9. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.9 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.9 Central Data Processing Agency Created.

There is created the Central Data Processing Agency ("CDP") which shall be under the policy supervision of the Marion County Data Processing Board through the Executive Director. CDP shall be the functional operating data processing facility for such portions and agencies of local government as the Board may prescribe. The Board shall approve the organization of CDP along such lines as are consistent with principles of good management and the provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION 10. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.10 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.10 Agency Administrator, Duties, Director, Additional Duties Pertaining to Central Data Processing Agency.

~~CDP shall be managed and supervised by the CDP Administrator, Director who shall be appointed by, and shall be responsible to, the Board. The Administrator~~ Director will be responsible for the planning, organization and management of CDP, within the organization plans and policies approved by the Board.

SECTION 11. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.11 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.11 Agency Function.

CDP shall provide data processing services to those local government subject agencies designated by the Board according to the direction given by the Board and to the master plan for the county as developed by the Board in conjunction with the subject agencies, including CDP. CDP, subject to the Board's direction, shall be the primary provider of services for the City, the County and the courts and shall receive systems and service requests from its users, evaluate same, and submit requests of a type specified by the Board to the Director for his evaluation and to the Board for its approval.

SECTION 12. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.12 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.12 Agency Users Committee Created, Duties, Procedure.

There is created a Data Processing Users Committee, which shall be made up of representatives of each city, county, township, or other local governmental unit which receives data processing services subject to this ordinance. The representative members may be heads of user agencies or technical administrators from user agencies designated by the agency head. The Users Committee shall be charged with the duty of monitoring the quality and cost of service. The Users Committee shall meet bi-monthly or more frequently ~~if need~~ needed. A chairman vice-chairman, and a secretary shall be chosen from among its members but the office of vice-chairman must be filled by a township assessor as a representative of the various entities received services from CDP. Regular meetings shall be established by the Chairman and Special Meetings shall be called by the Chairman whenever three (3) or more User representatives so request, stating the subject matter involved and reason immediate action is necessary. Upon the majority vote of a quorum of the Users Committee in an official meeting, the Users Committee may cause the Board to meet in a special meeting to hear any items the Users Committee approves to be agenda items at the special Board meeting. The appeal procedure provided by Sec. 2-238.8 shall also be available to the Users Committee in the event that such special Board meetings held under the provisions of this section do not yield results acceptable to a majority vote of a quorum of a subsequent official Users Committee meeting. The Users Committee shall advise the ~~Administrator~~, the Mayor, the City-County Council, the Director and the Board on matters pertaining to the service received and shall recommend changes and suggestions for improvement where thought necessary.

SECTION 13. The "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" is hereby amended by adding a new section 2-238.13 to read as follows:

Sec. 2-238.13 Severability.

Should any portion, sentence, clause, paragraph, or section of this ordinance be declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect any other portion, sentence, clause, paragraph, or section if it is possible to give effect to its meaning without the invalid portion, and to this end, all sentences, clauses, paragraphs and sections of this ordinance are declared severable.

SECTION 14. Sections 2-238, 2-239, 2-240, 2-241, 2-242, 2-243, 2-254, 2-255, 2-256, 2-257, 2-258, 2-259, 2-260, 2-261 of the "Code of Indianapolis and Marion County" are hereby repealed.

SECTION 15. This ordinance shall be effective upon passage and signing by the Mayor, pursuant to applicable law.

PROPOSAL NO. 320, 1978. Mr. Tintera stated that the economic development bonds would create ten to fifteen new jobs at Swiss-Arrow, Inc. and A & H Truck Line, Inc. Following a brief discussion, Proposal No. 320, 1978, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: *Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mrs. Chambers, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.*
NO NOES.

3 NOT VOTING: *Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Dowden and Mr. Schneider.*

Proposal No. 320, 1978, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 14, 1978, and reads as follows:

CITY—COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 14, 1978

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "City") is authorized by IC 18-6-4.5 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction and equipping of said facilities either directly or by loan to a company and said facility to be either owned by or leased to a company; and,

WHEREAS, Swiss-Arrow, Inc. and A & H Truck Line, Inc. (the "Companies") have advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that they propose that the City acquire, construct and equip an economic development facility and lease the same to either Company or both or that the City loan the proceeds of such a financing to either Company or both for such purposes said economic development facility to be a 21,868 square foot motor carrier freight terminal, including the real estate on which it is located and the equipment to be installed therein, to be located at the corner of Chief Lane and Stockberger Place, Indianapolis, Indiana, on an approximate 6.2 acre tract of land (the "Project"); and,

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase of 10 to 15 new job opportunities to be achieved by the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and,

WHEREAS, subject to all required approvals under the Act and having received the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and,

WHEREAS, the construction of the facility will not have an adverse competitive effect on any similar facility already constructed or operating in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines and confirms that the promotion of diversification of industry and job opportunities in and near Indianapolis, Indiana, and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis; and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City under the Act in amount not to exceed \$1,500,000 for the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project and the leasing of the Project to the Company of the loaning of the proceeds of such a financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be as authorized by law and is mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) it will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds, subject to the City obtaining all approvals as may be required under the Act, including the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the approval of the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County, Indiana, and the Indianapolis Public Schools.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the Inducement Resolution passed by the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter either lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purposes.

PROPOSAL NO. 321, 1978. This proposal authorizes economic development bonds in the amount of \$3,000,000 for Consolidated Freightways, Inc. The issuance of these bonds will help generate fifty to seventy new jobs. Following discussion, Mr. Tintera moved, seconded by Mr. Gilmer, its adoption. The motion carried on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 AYES: *Mr. Anderson, Mr. Boyd, Mrs. Brinkman, Mr. Campbell, Mrs. Chambers, Mr. Clark, Mrs. Coughenour, Mr. Durnil, Mr. Gilmer, Mr. Hawkins, Mrs. Journey, Mr. Kimbell, Mr. Lyons, Mr. McGrath, Mr. Miller, Mr. Patterson, Mr. Pearce, Mr. SerVaas, Mrs. Stewart, Mr. Tinder, Mr. Tintera, Mr. Vollmer and Mr. West.*

NO NOES.

3 NOT VOTING: *Mr. Cantwell, Mr. Dowden, and Mr. Schneider.*

Proposal No. 321, 1978, was retitled SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 15, 1978, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 15, 1978

A SPECIAL RESOLUTION approving and authorizing certain actions and proceedings with respect to certain proposed economic development bonds.

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the "City") is authorized by IC 18-6-4.5 (the "Act") to issue revenue bonds for the financing of economic development facilities, the funds from said financing to be used for the acquisition, construction and equipping of said facilities either directly or by loan to a company and said facilities to be either owned by or leased to a company; and,

WHEREAS, Consolidated Freightways, Inc. (the "Company") has advised the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the City that it proposes that the City acquire, construct and equip an economic development facility and lease the same to the Company or that the City loan the proceeds of such a financing to the Company for such purposes said economic development facility to be a 30,000 square foot motor carrier freight terminal, including the real estate on which it is located and the equipment to be installed therein, to be located on 1240 South Holt Road, Indianapolis, Indiana, on an approximate 30 acre tract of land (the "Project"); and,

WHEREAS, the diversification of industry and increase of 50 to 75 new job opportunities to be achieved by the acquisition, and equipping of the Project will be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and,

WHEREAS, subject to all required approvals under the Act and having received the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, it would appear that the financing of the Project would be of public benefit to the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its citizens; and,

WHEREAS, the construction of the facility will not have an adverse competitive effect on any similar facility already constructed or operating in or about Indianapolis, Indiana; now, therefore:

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY—COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

SECTION 1. The City-County Council finds, determines and confirms that the promotion of diversification of industry and job opportunities in and near Indianapolis, Indiana, and in Marion County, is desirable to preserve the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the City of Indianapolis; and that it is in the public interest that the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and said City take such action as it lawfully may to encourage diversification of industry and promotion of job opportunities in and near said City.

SECTION 2. The City-County Council further finds, determines and confirms that the issuance and sale of revenue bonds of the City under the Act in an amount not to exceed \$3,000,000 for the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project and the leasing of the Project to the Company or the loaning of the proceeds of such a financing to the Company for such purposes will serve the public purposes referred to above, in accordance with the Act.

SECTION 3. In order to induce the Company to proceed with the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project, the City-County Council hereby finds, determines and confirms that (i) it will take or cause to be taken such actions pursuant to the Act as may be required to implement the aforesaid financing, or as it may deem appropriate in pursuance thereof; provided that all of the foregoing shall be as authorized by law and is mutually acceptable to the City and the Company; (ii) It will adopt such ordinances and resolutions and authorize the execution and delivery of such instruments and the taking of such action as may be necessary and advisable for the authorization, issuance and sale of said economic development bonds, subject to the City obtaining all approvals as may be required under the Act, including the advice of the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission and the approval of the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County, Indiana, and the Indianapolis Public Schools.

SECTION 4. All costs of the Project incurred after the Inducement Resolution passed by the Indianapolis Economic Development Commission, including reimbursement or repayment to the Company of moneys expended by the Company for planning, engineering, interest paid during construction, underwriting expenses, attorney and bond counsel fees, acquisition, construction and equipping of the Project will be permitted to be included as part of the bond issue to finance said Project, and the City will thereafter either lease the same to the Company or loan the proceeds of such financing to the Company for the same purposes.

PROPOSAL NOS. 348 – 359, 1978. No action was taken and these proposals, and they were retitled REZONING ORDINANCES NOS. 121 – 132, 1978, and read as follows:

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 121, 1978 78-Z-98 PIKE TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1
3101 WEST 96TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS**
College Life Insurance Company of America by William F. LeMond, Attorney, 600 Union Federal Building requests rezoning of 24.51 acres, being in I-2-S and A-2 districts, to D-6 II classification to permit multi-family dwellings.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 122, 1978 78-Z-99 WARREN TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 14
1300 NORTH SHADELAND AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS**
Ford Land Development Company by Bruce A. Cordingley, Attorney, 111 Monument Circle, 10th Floor requests rezoning of 6.34 acres, being in C-4 district, to C-5 classification to permit a motor vehicle dealership with outside sales.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 123, 1978 78-Z-100 LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 3
6827 EAST 82ND STREET, INDIANAPOLIS**
Radnor Castleton Corp. by William F. LeMond, Attorney, 600 Union Federal Building requests rezoning of 2.00 acres, being in C-4 district, to C-6 classification to permit the construction of a motel.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 124, 1978 78-Z-105 PERRY TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 25
7002 SOUTH SHERMAN DRIVE, INDIANAPOLIS**
Patrick J. Bennett by Michael J. Kias, Attorney, 3045 South Meridian Street requests rezoning of 1.51 acres, being in A-2 district, to C-1 classification to permit professional offices.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 125, 1978 78-Z-106 LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 3
7701 EAST 86TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS**
Trustees of Emerson Avenue Church of Christ by Phillip E. Jeffries, Trustee by Michael S. Walsh, Attorney, 708 Union Federal Building requests rezoning of 3.61 acres, being in A-2 district, to SU-1 classification to permit church uses.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 126, 1978 78-Z-111 CENTER TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 9
3302 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET, INDIANAPOLIS**
Winona Memorial Foundation of Indianapolis by Charles E. Wilson, Attorney, 111 Monument Circle, 10th Floor requests rezoning of 0.38 acre, being in D-9 district, to HD-2 classification to permit hospital related uses.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 127, 1978 78-Z-112 WAYNE TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1
6231 CRAWFORDSVILLE ROAD, INDIANAPOLIS**
Indun Realty, Inc. by Charles E. Wilson, Attorney, 111 Monument Circle, 10th Floor requests rezoning of 2.05 acres, being in C-4 district, to C-5 classification to permit the erection and operation of a motel.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 128, 1978 78-Z-113 WAYNE TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 17
4056 WEST MICHIGAN STREET, INDIANAPOLIS**
General Motors Corp. by Bruce R. Karr, Attorney, 1313 Merchants Bank Building requests rezoning of 4.94 acres, being in A-2 district, to I-4-U classification to permit industrial development and off-street parking.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 129, 1978 78-Z-134 PIKE TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 1
6440 NORTHWESTERN AVENUE (MICHIGAN ROAD), INDIANAPOLIS**
The Church at Indianapolis, Northwest Fellowship by Frederick S. Bremer, General Agent and Attorney, 1322 Circle Tower requests rezoning of 4.36 acres, being in D-2 district, to SU-1 classification to permit church use and related functions.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 130, 1978 78-Z-144 CENTER TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 5
3120 NORTH EMERSON AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS**
Auntie Mame's Child Development Center by Charles R. Hale, President, Board of Directors, 3120 North Emerson Avenue requests rezoning of 1.39 acres, being in D-4 district, to C-1 classification to permit a child care facility.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 131, 1978 78-Z-110 WARREN TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 12
2801 NORTH ARLINGTON AVENUE, INDIANAPOLIS**
Clarence & Margaret Clarkowski by Herbert J. Backer, Attorney, 300 Union Federal Building requests rezoning of 4.29 acres, being in C-1, C-3 and A-2 districts, to C-3 classification to permit commercial development.

**REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 132, 1978 78-Z-96 WARREN TOWNSHIP
COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT NO. 5
5601 EAST 38TH STREET, INDIANAPOLIS**
International House of Pancakes, Inc. by Stephen D. Mears, Attorney, 1135 Market Square Center, requests rezoning of 0.25 acre, being in D-4 district, to C-3 classification to permit commercial development.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

The President announced that the next Council meeting on September 11, 1978, would begin at 6:30 p.m., and the Police, Fire, and Solid Waste Special Service District Councils would begin at 5:00, 5:30, and 6:00, respectively. He also noted that fifteen minutes of that Council meeting would be dedicated to a special ceremony honoring the visiting members of the Taipei, Taiwan, Council. Also, a luncheon was to be given at 12:00 noon on September 11, 1978, in their honor. All Council members are invited.

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the City-County Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, held at its Postponed Regular Meeting on the 5th day of September, 1978.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

ATTEST:


President

Clerk of the City-County Council