

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE }
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }
SATURDAY, APRIL 16, 1870, 7½ O'CLOCK P. M. }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, Daniel Macauley, in the chair,
and the following members :

Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn,
Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman,
Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

The proceedings of the regular session held April 9, 1870, were
read and approved.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES.

Mr. Marsee from Committee on Fire Department made the follow-
ing report :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1870.

MR. PRESIDENT :—In pursuance of the order of the Council, your Committee on Fire Department, with the Select Committee added thereto, for the purpose of examining the two systems of Automatic Fire Alarm Telegraph, offered to this City by Gamewell & Co., and the Cleveland Fire Alarm Company, would respectfully report :

That they invited John F. Wallick, J. C. Wilson, Charles C. Whitney, J. R. Erringer, Jr. and John E. Simpson, to be present at the examination which took place in the Council Chamber, on the afternoon of March 30.

We herewith submit the proposition of the two Companies, together with the reports of the above named gentlemen; and that of the Superintendent of our present system of Fire Alarm, Mr. L. B. Yeaton, for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN L. MARSEE,
AUSTIN H. BROWN, } Committee.
ISAAC THALMAN, }

THOMAS COTTRELL, }
J. H. WOODBURN, } Select Committee.

Also the following :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 30, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN :—In connection with the proposals made by us, to your honorable body some time ago, for the erection of our system of Telegraph Fire Alarms in your city, we would respectfully add, that if you are of the opinion that one circuit will meet the requirements of your city, and you will use the same battery you now have, we will make a deduction of five hundred dollars.

We will also furnish such additional boxes as your city may, in the future desire, together with all the improvements of the same, for one hundred dollars each.

We will guarantee that the boxes shall be so constructed that when two or more are sprung for a fire, the box operated upon first will strike correctly, the others remaining silent until the first box has given a complete alarm.

We also guarantee to so construct the entire system, (either in one or four circuits,) that your present employees in the Fire Department, shall be perfectly able to successfully manage the same in every particular.

Respectfully submitted,

GAMEWELL & CO.

Also the following :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 24, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The Cleveland Fire Alarm Company respectfully propose to attach to the present Fire Alarm Boxes now in use in the city, their Automatic Instrument and inside door, patented July 13, 1869, and February 1, 1870, for the sum of fifty (\$50) dollars each; one-half to be paid on the completion of the work, if the instruments are found to perform all right, and are as we recommend them, and the balance to be paid in three months from the completion of said work, providing the instruments continue to work all right, and prove to be as recommended by us. And we will agree to furnish all extra instruments that may be needed by the city or private individuals for the sum of one (\$100) hundred dollars each.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

CLEVELAND FIRE ALARM CO.,

By J. B. FRANZ, *Agent.*

Also the following :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 1, 1870.

To John L. Marsee, Esq., Chairman Committee Fire Department :

DEAR SIR:—In accordance with your request we attended the meeting of the Fire Committee on the afternoon of the 30th ult.; heard the statements of the gentlemen who represent the two competing systems of Fire Alarm Telegraph now before the Council for its consideration, and saw the working of the respective instruments.

We then formed the following opinions, which we have since seen no reason to change:

First.—That the Cleveland system, represented by Mr. Franz, differs in no respect from the system now in use here, except in substituting automatic alarm boxes for the signal keys now used.

Second.—That the Gamewell system, represented by Mr. Firman, is an entirely different system, the main points of difference being—

First.—Working the line in four circuits, instead of one.

Second.—Substituting low intensity battery power for high.

Third.—Dispensing with local batteries for striking the Engine House gongs; and—

Fourth.—Such an arrangement of the signal boxes as prevents confusion if an alarm is attempted to be given from two or more boxes at the same time.

All these points we consider materially in favor of the Gamewell system, for the following reasons:

First.—A line worked in four circuits is much less liable to interruption. If a break occurs on any one circuit, the other three are not affected by it, but are ready for service while the fourth is being repaired, which can be quickly done, because a galvanometer, attached to each circuit, shows the repairer, within a very short distance, where the trouble is.

A stronger point is, that it renders possible—

Second.—The employment of a low intensity battery.

It is an electrical law, so far without exception, that intensity is always at the expense of prolonged action; and the greater the force the more expensive the material which produces it, and the more rapid its consumption.

Intense action is also more likely to be variable; and with the most careful watching, power sometimes suddenly ceases.

A battery of low intensity is the exact opposite. It requires but little care. The material composing it is comparatively inexpensive, and the current is constant and even.

The change in battery would probably save \$300 a year.

Third.—Dispensing with local batteries for striking the Engine House gongs, saves the amount of their cost and perpetual maintenance, and substitutes for a varying, uncertain electric force, the direct, unvarying action of a mechanical force, controlled by the main line circuit, giving greater certainty at less expense.

The advantages of the fourth point are too evident to need illustration. Mr. Firman has explained the manner in which the boxes are constructed to prevent confusion, and we see no reason to doubt its practicability and successful working.

There are minor points of difference, not of essential importance, and mostly involving principles only familiar to telegraphers; of which it is only necessary to say that they are all more or less in favor of the Gamewell system; and on the whole we are decidedly of opinion that that system is the best, and will prove the cheapest in the long run.

Yours truly,

JOHN F. WALLICK,
J. C. WILSON,
CHAS. C. WHITNEY,
J. R. ERRINGER, Jr.,
JOHN E. SIMPSON.

Also the following:

INDIANAPOLIS, March 24, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—By request of the Chairman of the Fire Committee, I submit the following opinions:

First.—That the Cleveland system of Fire Alarm is not reliable, because the whole line is worked in one circuit, and if it gets out of order at any one point, the whole city is out of order until that point is repaired, and you have your whole line to trace out in order to find that point.

Second.—Their instruments are so arranged that there is not the least protection from lightning, as the current of electricity passes through the machinery, one pole of the battery being attached to the clockwork.

Third.—In working the whole line in one circuit, you *have to use* a high tension battery, which cannot be kept at a uniform strength without constant attention, and renewing at great expense.

That the Gamewell system has the advantage over the Cleveland, in having the circuit divided into loops or districts, each centering into one point or station, and are so arranged that if the line is out of order it does not affect any other part of the line only the loop that is out.

Second.—He has the advantage in having his instruments so arranged that every part of the machine is insulated, so there is no danger of its being burned or melted by lightning. He also has each box protected by a ground and lightning arrester.

Third.—By dividing the line into loops, you can use a low tension or sulphate battery, which can be kept at a uniform strength at one-sixth the cost of the high tension battery.

There are other points that have come under my observation, which cannot be explained on paper. But I can explain them verbally, if the above is not sufficient.

Respectfully submitted,

L. B. YEATON.

Also the following communication :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 12, 1870.

To John L. Marsee, Esq, Chairman Fire Committee :

DEAR SIR:—We notice in the report of the Council proceedings last Saturday night, the charge was made that the opinion of the telegraphers, given at the request of your committee, concerning the merits of the Cleveland and Gamewell systems of fire alarm telegraph, was given in favor of the Gamewell system under penalty of losing their positions, as the Telegraph Company, or some of its officials, were largely interested in that system.

So far as we are concerned, there is not one word of truth in the statement, and whoever originated it is guilty of deliberate, intentional, malicious falsehood.

As for Messrs. Simpson and Erringer, it is only necessary to say that they are not now, and have not for years, been in the employ of the Telegraph Company.

Yours truly,

JOHN F. WALLICK,
J. C. WILSON,
CHAS. C. WHITNEY.

Mr. Thalman called up the report offered by himself at the last meeting, in regard to Fire Alarm.

Mr. Thalman presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 9, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The Cleveland Fire Alarm Company respectfully propose to make the following proposition in addition to the one submitted to your honorable March 24, 1870:

If at any time your honorable body, should decide to have the tower bell struck by telegraph, we will agree to put up the necessary machinery for striking the same, and will let it remain for three or six months on trial, and if at the expiration of that time it is found to work satisfactorily, the city shall then pay us five hundred (\$500) dollars for said machinery.

And we will agree to furnish your city with all improvements we may make in Fire Alarm Telegraph, or all we may become possessors of, at the nominal cost of making and putting up said improvements.

Respectfully submitted,

CLEVELAND FIRE ALARM CO.,

By J. B. FRANZ, Agent.

On motion by Dr. Woodburn, the whole matter in regard to Fire Alarm, was laid over for the present.

Mr. Marsee presented the following communication :

CINCINNATI, O., April 14, 1870.

Charles Richman, Chief Engineer Fire Department, Indianapolis, Ind.:

DEAR SIR:—On Monday last, April 11th, I received a telegram from the President of the Allerton Iron Works Manufacturing Company, saying "the engine for Indianapolis would not be ready for delivery on April 15th," on yesterday, April 13th, I received another telegram, saying that on Saturday next he would write me upon what day I might with certainty expect it. I will, therefore, write you on Monday. I am sorry there is such delay in this matter. We have engines ready we could put in your city, but do not wish to give you any except the one making specially for you.

Yours truly,

W. H. DYER.

72 West Third Street.

Which was received, and the Chief Fire Engineer instructed to telegraph said parties not to send their engine.

Mr. Wiles from the Committee on Contracts, made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1870

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred sundry proposals for grading and graveling Louisiana street, would report that we consider Richard Carr, for the improvement of said street, to be the best bidder, his bid being 68 cents per lineal foot front, on the north side, and 58 cents per lineal foot front, on the south side. Mr. Mahoney having said to the City Clerk that he did not want the contract at his bid, and therefore, we would recommend that Richard Carr be awarded the contract.

For grading and graveling the alley through out lot 39, Mr. F. J. Blum^s was the lowest bidder, and as we consider his bid high, we did not report in favor of awarding him the contract, as New Jersey street will now be improved we are of opinion that the surplus dirt on said street can be used on said alley, and in view of such fact, we recommend that the improvement of said alley be postponed until after New Jersey street is awarded, and then re-advertise said alley.

Respectfully submitted,

W. D. WILES, } Committee.
H. GIMBER, }

Which was concurred in, with the exception of the first paragraph in regard to Louisiana street, which was referred back to the Committee, with instructions to have the same re-advertised.

Mr. Kahn from the Committee on Public Schools made the following report:

OFFICE TRUSTEES OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS, }
INDIANAPOLIS, April 1 1870. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The following is a condensed statement of the receipts and expenditures of the School Funds for the quarter ending March 31, 1870^s

Jan'y 1, 1870,	Balance Tuition Fund on hand.....	\$20,377 08
" 29, "	Received for tuition.....	118 95
March —, "	Received from City Treasurer.....	3,500 00
	Total	\$23,996 03
Jan'y 5, 1870,	Paid M. J. Campbell in full.....	\$44 00
" 21, "	Paid teachers monthly roll.....	4,347 50
Feb'y 14, "	Paid P. Conde in full.....	87 00
" 16, "	Paid E. D. McCarty in full.....	46 33
March 13, "	Paid teachers monthly roll.....	4,486 79
" 18, "	Paid teachers monthly roll.....	4,327 56
		<u>\$13,339 18</u>

Balance tuition on hand, March 31, 1870.....		\$10,656 85
Jan'y 1, 1870, Balance Special Fund due Trustees.....	\$1,916 00	
Expended in month of January.....	4,206 91	
Expended in month of February.....	1,711 05	
Expended in monnth of March.....	1,663 71	
		9,497 62
Total cash on hand, March 31, 1870.....		\$1,159 23

Respectfully submitted,

W. H. L. NOBLE, }
 J. C. YOHN, } Trustees.
 J. R. ELDER, }

Which was received.

Also the following motion :

That the City Clerk be instructed to issue his warrants on the Treasurer in favor of the School Trustees, for any money in the Treasury, belonging to School Fund of the city.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Thoms insroduced Special Appropriation ordinance No. ²⁰~~19~~, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Gimber from Select Committee made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The select committee to whom was referred the charges made against Orlando Link, the city scavenger, in maintaining a nuisance at the burial ground for dead animals, having made an examination of said grounds, cannot see that he has done so from the condition we found the ground in.

We would also report in favor of releasing John P. Fseuzel, as security on the bond of Orlando Link, provided he files another bond, and giving as security the man proposed, John Fishback.

Respectfully submitted,

HENRY GIMBER, }
 W. W. WEAVER, } Committee.

Which was concurred in.

Mr. Newman from the Committee on Finance, made the following Report:

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The Finance Committee to whom was referred the petition of Thomas B. McCarty, Treasurer of the Rink Association, praying the refunding of certain taxes erroneously assessed, report that they have had that matter under consideration, and relying on the recommendation of William Hadley, City Assessor, as to the worth of the property, and erroneous tax, they recommend that fifty dollars and fifty cents, (\$50.50) of erroneous assessment and taxation for city purposes, be refunded to said Rink Association and that the same be put in the next appropriation passed by the Council.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. NEWMAN, }
 J. H. WOODBURN, } Committee.
 THOMAS COTTRELL, }

Which was concurred in.

REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The Civil Engineer made the following reports:

OFFICE OF CITY CIVIL ENGINEER, }
 Indianapolis, April, 16, 1870. }

GENTLEMEN:—I hereby report a partial estimate for the following work:

John A. Whitsit for building fifteen (15) cisterns, capacity, 9,220 43-100 barrels, at sixty-four (64) cents per barrel.....	\$5,901 07
Deduct fifteen per cent.....	885 07
Present estimate.....	\$5,016 00
Former estimate.....	4,278 00
Present payment.....	\$738 00

Also Burke and Richter, partial estimate for building stone protection wall on Louisiana street, between East and Noble streets.

Four hundred and fifty (450) yards of masonry, at five and one-half (5 50) dollars per yard.....	\$2,475 00
---	------------

Respectfully submitted,
 R. M. PATTERSON, *Civil Engineer.*

Also the following:

OFFICE OF CITY CIVIL ENGINEER, }
 Indianapolis, April 16, 1870. }

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—I hereby report the following work finished according to contract:

g. Hanway and Lefever, for grading and graveling Garden street, between Mississippi and Tennessee streets.

Length on north side	420 feet.
Length on south side	420 feet.
Total length	840 feet.
At seventy-five cents per lineal foot	\$630 00

Respectfully submitted,

R. M. PATTERSON, *Civil Engineer.*

Which were concurred in.

The City Clerk made the following report:

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK, }
Indianapolis, April 9, 1870. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The City Clerk respectfully reports to Council:

First and final estimate allowed Hanway and Lefever for grading and graveling Garden street and sidewalks, from Mississippi to Tennessee streets.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL M. RANSELL, *City Clerk.*

Which was concurred in.

Also the following resolution:

Resolved, That the foregoing first and final estimate allowed Hanway and Lefever, for grading and graveling Garden street and sidewalks, from Mississippi to Tennessee streets, be and the same is hereby adopted as the estimate of this Council, and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution.

Those who voted in the affirmative were Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

The City Clerk made the following report:

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK, }
 Indianapolis, April 16, 1870. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The City Clerk would respectfully report to your honorable body, that he has issued to the City Treasurer warrants on the City Treasury, for bonds and coupons paid by him, as follows, to-wit: and would respectfully ask you to direct the Finance Committee to examine the same, and have them destroyed, and relieve me of the responsibility of keeping them on hand.

CRB'

Bonds issued April 1, 1870.....	\$97,000 00
Bonds issued October 31, 1867.....	7,500 00
Coupons for interest paid on Railroad bonds.....	6,450 00
Coupons for interest paid on Loan bonds.....	5,335 00
Total.....	\$116,285 00

Respectfully submitted,

D. M. RANSELL, *City Clerk.*

Which was received, and the Finance Committee, City Clerk, Mayor and Treasurer, directed to destroy the canceled bonds.

The City Clerk made the following report:

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK, }
 Indianapolis, April 16, 1870. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

The City Clerk respectfully reports to Council:

First.—Contract and bond of August Richter, for building the stone work for a bridge across Pogue's Run, where the same crosses Garden street.

Second.—Contract and bond of August Richter, for building the stone work for a bridge across Pogue's Run, on Tennessee street.

Respectfully submitted,

D. M. RANSELL, *City Clerk.*

Which was concurred in, and the contracts and bonds approved.

The City Attorney made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—In obedience to your motion, I have prepared an ordinance prohibiting officers from working city prisoners upon the public streets, which I transmit herewith.

I think the object of the motion fully accomplished by repealing the ordinance pending for working city prisoners. This ordinance will be found on page 122 of the revised ordinances.

Respectfully submitted,

B. K. ELLIOTT, *City Attorney.*

Which was concurred in.

Also, General ordinance No. 15, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to repeal an ordinance relative to the collecting of fines, penalties, judgments, costs and forfeitures.

Which was read the first time.

The City Sexton made the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, March 7, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—The following interments have been made in the City Cemeteries during the month ending February 28, 1870 :

Interments under 5 years	-----	19
Between 10 and 20 "	-----	1
" 30 and 40 "	-----	5
" 40 and 50 "	-----	2
" 50 and 60 "	-----	2
" 70 and 80 "	-----	1
	-----	<hr/>
Total	-----	30

All of which is respectfully submitted,

JAS. H. HEDGES, *City Sexton.*

Which was received.

The City Clerk made the following report :

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK, }
Indianapolis, April 9, 1870. }

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The City Clerk would respectfully report that there are on file in my office the following affidavits for the collection of street assessment by precept, as follows :

R. H. Patterson, vs. Bright, Powell & Ellis, for	-----	\$23 45
R. H. Patterson, vs. E. W. H. Ellis, for	-----	23 45

And would recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

D. M. RANSDELL, *City Clerk.*

Which was concurred in.

The Street Commissioner made the following report:

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1870.

Hon. Daniel Macauley, Mayor of the City of Indianapolis:

GENTLEMEN:—I have the honor to report the following work done in my department since March 7, 1870:

FIRST WARD.

Repaired the crossing on Pennsylvania and North streets.....	\$3 00
Repaired the crossing on Delaware and Ohio streets.....	4 00
Repaired the crossing on Alabama and New York streets.....	4 00
Repaired the culvert on Alabama and New York streets.....	5 00
Repaired the crossing on New York and East streets.....	11 00
<hr/>	
Total.....	\$27 00

SECOND WARD.

Repaired crossing on Cherry and East streets.....	\$4 00
Filled the holes on Alabama street between North and St. Clair street....	21 00
Filled the holes on Fort Wayne avenue, between North and Alabama sts..	19 00
Filled the holes on Walnut street between New Jersey and Alabama sts..	5 00
Repaired the crossing on Meridian and St. Joseph street.....	4 00
<hr/>	
Total	\$53 00

THIRD WARD.

Filled the holes on Tennessee and Market streets	\$5 00
Repaired the crossing on Mississippi and Pratt streets.....	9 00
Repaired the crossing on Meridian and St. Clair streets	3 00
Repaired the crossing on Tennessee and Walnut streets.....	5 00
Paved with brick the sidewalk corners, on the west side of Illinois, at the intersection of Illinois and Market, New York, Vermont and Michigan streets.....	170 00
<hr/>	
Total.....	\$192 00

FOURTH WARD.

Made a culvert on Blake street and Indiana avenue.....	\$70 00
Made a tumble on Blackford street, at its intersection with the race.....	21 00
<hr/>	
Total	\$91 00

FIFTH WARD.

Filled the holes on South street near Pogue's Run.....	\$3 00
Repaired the crossing on Tennessee and South streets.....	7 00
Filled the holes on South between Alabama and Delaware street.....	13 00
Repaired the culvert on Tennessee and South streets.....	7 00
Filled the holes on Pennsylvania street at the Railroad crossing.....	11 00
<hr/>	
Total	\$41 00

SEVENTH WARD.

Filled the holes on East between Washington and Pogue's Run.....	\$13 00
Filled the holes at the crossing of Alabama and South streets.....	6 00
Filled with earth and cinders, the gutter on Wyoming street, between Delaware and Alabama.....	112 00
Repaired South street between Alabama and Delaware streets.....	31 00
Repaired the first alley south of Merrill street, running east from Alabama street; also the first alley east of Alabama street, running north from Sinker street.....	78 00
Total.....	\$240 00

EIGHTH WARD.

Repaired the sidewalk on South street between East and Noble streets....	\$27 00
Repaired East street between Washington street and Pogue's Run.....	13 00
Repaired the crossing on McCarty street and Virginia avenue.....	14 00
Filled the holes about the cistern on Bradshaw street and Virginia avenue	5 00
Filled the holes about the cistern on Noble and South streets.....	11 00
Filled the holes on Liberty near Pogue's Run.....	6 00
Repaired the sidewalk on East street between McCarty and Coburn streets	4 00
Extending the Virginia river sewer across Pine street a distance of 160..	210 00
Repaired the crossing on South and _____ streets.....	3 00
Total.....	\$293 00

NINTH WARD.

Repaired the culvert on Alabama and New York streets.....	\$4 00
Repaired the crossing on Delaware and Ohio streets.....	4 00
Repaired the culvert on Ohio and Pennsylvania streets.....	7 00
Repaired the sidewalk on Washington and East streets.....	13 00
Repaired the sidewalk on Washinnton street at the corner of the first alley east of Pennsylvania streets.....	9 50
Total.....	\$37 00

BRIDGES, &c.

Repaired the bridge over Pogue's Run on Meridian street.....	\$7 00
Repaired the bridge over the Canal on Washington street.....	13 00
Repaired the bridge over the Canal on Merrill street.....	6 00
Made two foot bridges over the Canal on Vermont street.....	245 00
Total.....	\$271 00

RECAPITULATION BY WARDS.

Showing the amount on hand February 8, 1870; the amount expended since February 8, 1870; and the amount on hand at the present date.

WARDS.	Deficit Mar. 7, '70	Am't on hand Mar. 7, 1870.	Am't ap- propriated Apr. 1, '70.	Total on hand with appropri- ation.	Am't ex- pended since Mar. 7, 1870.	Balance on hand at present date.	Deficit to date.
First Ward -----		\$212 20	500 00	\$712 20	\$27 00	\$685 20	
Second Ward -----		257 95	500 00	757 95	53 00	704 95	
Third Ward -----		234 84	500 00	734 84	192 00	542 84	
Fourth Ward -----	\$57 16		500 00	442 84	91 00	351 84	
Fifth Ward -----	129 02		500 00	370 98	40 00	330 98	
Sixth Ward -----	72 80		500 00	427 20		427 20	
Seventh Ward -----		187 20	500 00	687 20	240 00	447 20	
Eighth Ward -----		202 35	500 00	702 35	293 00	409 35	
Ninth Ward -----		151 92	500 00	651 92	37 50	614 42	
Bridge Fund -----		2276 00		2276 00	271 00	2005 00	
Miscellaneous -----	345 88						345 88
	\$624 88	\$3521 66	\$4500 00	\$5763 48	\$1245 50	\$6517 98	\$345 88

Respectfully submitted,

AUGUSTUS BRUNER, *Street Commissioner.*

Which was received.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING.

Special Appropriation ordinances Nos. 18, 19 and 20, 1870, were read the second time and ordered to be engrossed.

Mr. Brown moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of passing the above Appropriation ordinances.

Which motion was adopted by the following vote :

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—17.

Negative.—Councilman Kennington—1.

The ordinances were then read the third time.

The question being, shall the ordinances pass ?

18. Cal. H.
19. ...
20. ...

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinances passed.

The following ordinances were read the second time and ordered to be engrossed :

General ordinance No. 17, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 40, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 35, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 34, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 33, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 32, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 31, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 30, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 38, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 44, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 45, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 47, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 46, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 48, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 49, 1870.

In reference to Special ordinance No. 49, Mr. Brown presented the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 9, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—The undersigned, property holders in out lot No. 122, would respectfully remonstrate against grading the first alley east of Tennessee street, running north and south through said out lot, between Norwood and McCarty streets.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS WREN,
J. H. MCKERNAN,
S. YANDES,
And 3 others.

Which, on motion, was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Special ordinance No. 50, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 53, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 54, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 55, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 56, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 51, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 57, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 59, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 60, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 61, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 62, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 63, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 64, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 65, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 66, 1879.

Special ordinance No. 67, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 68, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 69, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 70, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 71, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 72, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 73, 1870,

Special ordinance No. 76, 1870.

Special ordinance No. 41, 1870.

ORDINANCES ON THIRD READING.

Special ordinance No. 38, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Michigan street between Pennsylvania and Delaware streets.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass.

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cot-

trell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 41, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and bouldering Pennsylvania street, (exclusive of sidewalks,) from the North side of Michigan street, to the north side of north street.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 51, 1870, entitled :

W AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Wabash street and sidewalks between New Jersey and East streets.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 55, 1870, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to grade and gravel Wilkens street from Tennessee to Chestnut street. W.

Which was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 56, 1870, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to grade and gravel West street from the south line of Root street to the Corporation line south. W.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 53, 1870, entitled:

AN ORDINANCE to grade and pave with brick the sidewalks of Mississippi except such portions as have already been paved, between Ohio street and Indiana avenue. W.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 50, 1870, entitled :

me
AN ORDINANCE to pave with brick the north sidewalk on Maryland street between Tennessee and Mississippi streets.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass ?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 48, 1870, entitled :

18
AN ORDINANCE to grade, gravel, and curb the outside edge, the sidewalks on Benton street from Maryland to Bates street.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass ?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 46, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to grade and pave with brick the west sidewalk of New Jersey street from Washington to South street. 22.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass ?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Coudcilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 47, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to grade and gravel east sidewalk on Western avenue between the intersection of St. Mary street with said avenue and College Home avenue. 11.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass ?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative.—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 45, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to grade and pave with brick the east sidewalk on Alabama street from Washington street to Union Railway track. (a)

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass ?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 44, 1840, entitled :

5. AN ORDINANCE to grade and gravel Sevren alley running from Maryland to Georgia streets.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 30, 1870, entitled :

9.
0. AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Ohio street, from Mississippi to West streets.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 31, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on West street, from the arm of the Canal to New York street. E.
W.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 32, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on Indiana avenue, from West to St. Clair streets. E.
W.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newmar, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 33, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures complete to burn gas, except the service pipe, on New York street from Blackford to Blake streets. E.
W.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, ^WGimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 34, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling California street and sidewalks, from North street to Indiana avenue.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass ?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 35, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling First street between Illinois street and the Canal.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass ?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 40, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for grading and graveling Davidson street and sidewalks, from the north side of North street to St. Clair street.

Was read the third time and placed upon its passage.

The question being, shall the ordinance pass?

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Heckman, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Pyle, Shepherd, Thalman, Thoms, Weaver, Whitsit, Wiles and Woodburn—18.

Negative—none.

So the ordinance passed.

Special ordinance No. 28, 1870, entitled :

AN ORDINANCE to provide for paving with what is known as Nicholson pavement, Delaware street, from Washington street to the north side of Massachusetts avenue.

Was called up.

Dr. Woodburn presented the following remonstrance :

INDIANAPOLIS, April 16, 1870.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

GENTLEMEN:—We have on your table a remonstrance against putting down Nicholson pavement at our expense on Delaware street, from Washington to New York street.

We still remonstrate against said improvement, as our street is in good repair. If you put down said Nicholson pavement, it is at your own expense. We protest against the said improvement.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW WALLACE,
ANDREW WALLACE and JOAN,
M. C. HILL,
WILLIAM SMITH,

And 12 others.

Which was received.

After various efforts being made to adjourn, the ordinance was passed by following vote :

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Shepherd, Thalman, Weaver and Wiles—12.

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Pyle, Thoms, Whitsit and Woodburn—6.

Special ordinance No. 29, 1870, entitled :

D AN ORDINANCE to provide for paving with what is known as Nicholson pavement, Delaware street, from the north side of Massachusetts avenue to the south side of St. Mary street.

By consent the ordinance was amended in accordance with Committee's report of April 9, 1870, by making the northern terminus of the improvement, St. Clair street in lieu of St. Mary street.

The ordinance was then read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Those who voted in the affirmative were, Councilmen Cottrell, Gimber, Harrison, Kahn, Kennington, Locke, Marsee, Newman, Shepherd, Thalman, Weaver and Wiles—12.

Those who voted in the negative were, Councilmen Brown, Heckman, Pyle, Thoms, Whitsit and Woodburn—6.

On motion the Council adjourned.

Daniel Macauley
DANIEL MACAULEY, Mayor.

ATTEST:

Sydney Russell
~~D. M. RUSSELL~~, City Clerk.