

PROCEEDINGS OF COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION—MAY 1, 1882.

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, met in the Council Chamber, Monday evening, May 1st, A. D. 1882, at eight o'clock, in regular session.

PRESENT—Hon. Daniel W. Grubbs, Mayor, and *ex officio*, President of the Common Council, in the Chair, and 24 members, viz: Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Coy, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

ABSENT—Councilman Weaver—1.

The Proceedings of the Common Council for the regular session, held April 17th, 1882, and for the adjourned sessions, held April 18th and April 24th, 1882, having been printed, and placed on the desks of the Councilmen, said Journals were approved as published.

COMMUNICATIONS, ETC., FROM THE MAYOR.

His Honor, the Mayor, presented the following communication and the accompanying resolution, which were referred to the Committee on Railroads:

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

I herewith present a resolution adopted by the Indianapolis Board of Trade, concerning switching charges in this city, and asking for some action on the part of the city in relation thereto.

Very respectfully,

D. W. GRUBBS, Mayor.

Indianapolis, April 28, 1881.

Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I have the honor to report the adoption, by unanimous vote of this Board, of the following preamble and resolution:

“WHEREAS, The railroads entering the city have lately increased the charges for receiving and delivering freight on private switches, fifty per cent., and without adequate protection from our City Council are liable at any time to further increase said charges; therefore,

Resolved, That we earnestly represent to the honorable Council and Board of Aldermen the importance of putting a clause in every ordinance granting rights or franchises to any railway company, a clause that will require said company to deliver and receive freight in car loads, including the delivery and return of empty cars, and including track service on private switches now or hereafter laid, and the Union Railway tracks, within the city limits, for a sum not exceeding \$1 per car.”

Very respectfully,

H. C. WILSON, Sec'y.

His Honor, the Mayor, presented an invitation from the City of Warsaw, to attend the ceremonies of laying the corner stone of the Court House, May 25th, 1882; which was accepted.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—In accordance with your direction, I have proceeded to straighten the walks in University Park, by cutting the main diagonals through the diamonds at the four corners. Also, by forming a circle and raising a mound in the center. The walks have all been trimmed up to a regular width, and all necessary sodding done.

In this connection I wish to inform you that not a single live tree has been destroyed, but on the contrary every tree has been transplanted and now growing. I have cut out a few dead trees, and trimmed up some partially dead ones. Though the appropriation would not admit of as much work being done as I would liked, yet in my opinion the Park has been materially beautified. The original appropriation was \$300, of which I have spent \$170. Bids for the above work can be seen at my office.

I would suggest that the appearance of the Park could be still more beautified by placing a fountain in the center, and by removing the old rickety fence and substituting a light stone fence therefor.

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

On motion by Councilman Yoke, the above report was concurred in by the following vote:

AYES, 19—viz. Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Dowling, Harold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report; which was received, and the estimates (presented therewith) approved:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the following estimates of work done according to contract:

A first and final estimate in behalf of Dunning & Hudson, for grading and bowldering the south gutter, curbing with stone and paving with brick the south sidewalk of Ohio street, from Harvey street to a point 222 feet east of Harvey street—

222 lineal feet of bowldering, at 49 cents.....	\$108 78
222 lineal feet of curbing, at 45 cents.....	99 90
222 lineal feet of paving, at 43 cents.....	95 46
	\$304 14

A first and final estimate in behalf of James Mahoney, for grading and graveling the first alley north of Market street, from the first alley east of Arsenal avenue to the first alley west of State avenue—

859 $\frac{3}{4}$ lineal feet, at 28 cents.....	\$240 70
---	----------

Respectfully submitted,

S. H. SHEARER, City Civil Engineer.

The following estimate resolution was read :

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of Dunning and Hudson, for grading and bowldering the south gutter, curbing with stone and paving with brick the south sidewalk of Ohio street, from Harvey street to a point 222 feet east of Harvey street, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 20—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The following estimate resolution was read :

Resolved by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, That the accompanying first and final estimate in behalf of James Mahony, for grading and graveling the first alley north of Market street, from the first alley east of Arsenal avenue to the first alley west of State avenue, be, and the same is hereby, adopted as the estimate of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of said city; and that the property owners are hereby required to pay the sums set opposite their respective names.

And it was adopted by the following vote :

NAYS, 20—viz. Councilman Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The following report from the City Clerk was read:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the following entitled affidavit, now on file in my office, for the collection of street assessment by precept, viz :

J. L. Spaulding vs. Frank M Wchiny, for\$24 90
and recommend you order the precept to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

On motion, the above report was concurred in, and the precept ordered to issue by the following vote:

AYES, 19--viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS, 1—viz. Councilman Thalman.

The City Clerk submitted the following report; which was referred to the Finance Committee:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith submit an itemized statement showing the amount of orders drawn on the city treasury during the month of April, 1882, viz:

Board of Health.....	\$ 297 75	
Bridges.....	335 27	
City Assessor's Department.....	144 25	
City Civil Engineer's Department.....	134 00	
City Dispensary.....	295 51	
City Hall.....	510 75	
City Hospital and Branch.....	1,112 08	
For City Treasurer's percentage.....	960 64	
Fire Department.....	5,722 78	
Garfield Park.....	54 00	
Gas.....	5,260 75	
Incidentals.....	135 00	
Judgments and costs.....	2,388 27	
Market-Masters' Fees.....	166 80	
Parks.....	112 90	
Police.....	4,190 00	
Printing.....	373 81	
Salary.....	5,180 75	
Sewers.....	902 21	
Station Houses.....	131 44	
Street Openings and Vacations.....	101 75	
Street Repairs.....	3,740 58	
Taxes refunded.....	92 80	
Time warrants of 1881.....	70,000 00	
		<hr/>
School fund.....		\$102,344 09
		20,432 11
Total.....		<hr/> <u>\$122,776 20</u> <hr/>

Respectfully submitted,

JOS. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The City Treasurer submitted the following report; which was referred to the Finance Committee:

Report of ISAAC N. PATTISON, City Treasurer, for the month of April, 1882.

RECEIPTS.

Balance on hand as per last report, April 1, 1882.....	\$136,463 07
From auction licenses.....	35 00
From benefits.....	51 00
From coal licenses.....	2 00
From dray licenses.....	1 35
From express licenses.....	2 10
From fines and fees.....	285 25
From hucksters' licenses.....	15 00
From market-masters' fees.....	323 00
From peddlers' licenses.....	118 00
From sale of old material from Fire Department.....	161 06
From show licenses.....	110 00
From tapping sewers.....	9 00
From Taxes current.....	361,318 30
From Taxes delinquent.....	2,317 38
From Tax sales.....	6,009 81
	<hr/>
	<u>\$507,221 32</u> <hr/>

DISBURSEMENTS.

For Board of Health.....	\$	297 75
For Bridges.....		335 27
For City Assessor's Department.....		144 25
For City Civil Engineer's Department.....		134 00
For City Dispensary.....		296 26
For City Hall.....		60 75
For City Hospital and Branch.....		1,090 58
For elections.....		3 50
For Fire Department.....		5,565 75
For gas.....		5,260 75
For Garfield Park.....		54 00
For incidentals.....		135 00
For judgments and costs.....		2,388 27
For Market-masters' fees.....		166 80
For parks.....		111 70
For percentage.....		960 64
For Police.....		4,212 00
For printing.....		373 81
For salary.....		4,993 75
For school fund.....		20,432 11
For Sewers.....		902 21
For Station Houses.....		58 57
For street openings and vacations.....		105 00
For street repairs.....		3,655 79
For taxes refunded.....		107 90
For Time Warrant of 1881.....		70,000 00
Balance on hand May 1, 1882, including School fund (\$80,277 02).		385,374 91
		<u>\$507,221 32</u>

TOMLINSON ESTATE.

Balance on hand as per last report, April 1st, 1882.....	\$	26,795 80
From rents.....		144 75
		<u>\$ 26,940 55</u>
Balance on hand, May 1, 1882.....		<u>\$26,940 55</u>

Respectfully submitted,

I. N. PATTISON, City Treasurer.

To JOSEPH T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The Chief Fire Engineer submitted the following report; which was received:

Indianapolis, Ind., May 1, 1882.

To the Honorable Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen:—I herewith submit for your consideration, my monthly report of the cash receipts and disbursements of the Fire Department for the month ending April 30th, 1882

RECEIPTS

April 3.	Balance on hand as per last report.....	\$244 29
" 13.	W. Martin, 2½ feet old hose.....	25
" 15.	Joseph Wiley, 5 feet of old hose.....	50
" 17.	L. Eulering, hose and old coupling.....	6 50
" 18.	Edward Roberts, 15 feet old hose.....	1 50
" 21.	L. Newbacher, old coppers.....	20 00
" 21.	Pioneer Brass Works, old brasses.....	24 00
" 27.	C. Rust, 11 feet old hose.....	1 10
		53 85
	Total.....	\$298 14

DISBURSEMENTS.

April 5.	N. Shimer, 2,140 lb. hay.....	\$19 26
" 6.	A. L. Wright, one box.....	50
" 8.	American Express Co., expressage.....	45
" 10.	Western Union Telegraph Co., telegram.....	40
" 11.	T. Schooley, 2,970 lb. hay, at 95c.....	28 21
" 13.	Western Union Telegraph Co., telegram.....	25
" 14.	United States Express Co., expressage.....	50
" 17.	American Express Co., expressage on engine flues.....	14 50
" 17.	J. A. Wildman, postage stamps.....	5 00
" 18.	Gravel Road Co., toll.....	30
" 20.	Hitchcock & Co., brooms.....	2 25
" 22.	American Express Co., expressage.....	25
" 28.	American Express Co., expressage.....	95
May 1.	I. N. Pattison, City Treasurer, cash.....	53 85
		126 67
	Balance on hand.....	\$171 47
		298 14

Respectfully submitted,

J. H. WEBSTER, Chief Fire Engineer.

Wm. Hadley, rental agent, submitted the following report; which was received:

Indianapolis, May 1st, 1882.

To His Honor, the Mayor, Members of the Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report collections of rents from Tomlinson Estate, for the month of April, 1882, with Treasurer's receipt therefor:

Received of Mary Dyer, 113 North Illinois street.....	\$ 25 00
Received of Hannah Overman, 115 north Illinois street.....	25 00
Received of Paul Sherman, 21 Indiana avenue.....	30 00
Received of W. H. Mahone, No. 117 north Illinois street.....	25 00
	\$105 00
Less 3½ per cent. commission.....	3 67½
	\$107 32½

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM HADLEY, Agent.

The Superintendent of the City Hospital and Branch, submitted the following report; which was received:

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The following reports of the City Hospital and Branch, for the month of April, 1882, are respectfully submitted:

	First Week.	Second Week.	Third Week.	Fourth Week.	Two Days.	Monthly Totals.
Number of paid Officers and Employes in Hospital	12					
Number of paid Officers and Employes in Branch.....	1					
Number of beds in Hospital	100					
Number of beds in Branch.....	10					
No. of adult patients in Hospital at beginning of week.....	48	44	50	52	47	48
No. of infant patients in Hospital at beginning of week.....	3	1	1	2	3
No. of adult patients received during week	7	8	8	5	28
No. of infant patients received or born during week.....	1	2	3
No. of adult patients discharged during week	10	2	6	8	2	28
No. of infant patients discharged during week.....	3	2	5
No. of adult patients who died during week.....	1	2	1	4
No. of infant patients who died during week.....	1	1
No. of patients in Branch at beginning of week.....
No. of patients in Branch at end of week.....
No. of adult patients in Hospital and Branch at end of week	44	50	52	47	44	44
No. of infant patients in Hospital and Branch at end of week	1	1	2
No. of pay-patients at beginning of week.....
No. of pay-patients at end of week
Aggregate number of days of patients in Hospital.....	364	341	370	364	92	1531
Aggregate number of days of patients in Branch.....
Aggregate number of days employes in Hospital.....	450
Number of prescriptions filled.....	846
Total.....

Total expenditures for month	\$991 66
Aggregate number of days subsistence furnished	1985
Average daily cost of each patient	64+cts.
Average daily cost for patients, officers, and employes.....	49+cts.

WILLIAM N. WISHARD, M. D., Superintendent.

The Superintendent of the City Dispensary submitted the following report; which was received:

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The following reports of the City Dispensary for the month of April, 1882, are respectfully submitted:

Number of Patients treated at Dispensary.....	340
Number of Medical cases at Dispensary	300
Number of Surgical cases at Dispensary.....	3
Number of Disease of Nervous System.....	2
Number of Disease of Eye and Ear.....	5
Number of Diseases of the Throat.....	4
Number of Out-door Patients treated	112
Number at Station House	7
Number at News Boys' Home	2
Number of Patients sent to Hospital.....	3
Total number of Patients treated during month.....	989
Total number of Visits made during month.....	243
Total number of Prescriptions filled during month.....	822
Number of Births during month.....	1
Number of Deaths during month.....	4

EXPENDITURES FOR MONTH.

J. J. Garver, Superintendent.....	\$70 00
H. O. Pantzer, Assistant	41 66
F. M. Ferree, Assistant	41 66
C. H. Bacon, druggist	30 00
A. L. Wright & Co., papering two rooms.....	13 85
Vajen & New, hardware	1 45
Gable & Bly, 4 gal. Nelson Co. whisky, at \$2.50.....	10 00
Browning & Sloan, drugs.....	43 88
Oliver Wright, carpenter work, 1 door and lock, etc.....	5 95
Total expenditures for month.....	<u>\$258 45</u>

J. J. GARVER, M. D., Superintendent.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM OFFICIAL BOARDS.

The Board of Public Improvements, through Councilman Dean, submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom was referred the following motion:

“That the Street Commissioner be authorized to repair the east bank of White River with Rolling Mill cinders, so as to protect the piers of Washington street bridge.”

Would recommend that the City Civil Engineer be authorized to contract for 100 loads of rolling mill cinders to be placed in front of the city's portion of streets and sidewalks on said east bank between the two river bridges.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward H. Dean,
Wm. H. Morrison,
John R. Cowie,
Board of Public Improvements.

The Board of Public Improvements and Street Commissioner, through Councilman Dean, submitted the following report; which was received:

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—We herewith report expenditures of the Street Repairs Department for the month of April, 1882:

Pay-rolls.....	\$ 2,575 75
Blacksmithing.....	27 05
Bowlders.....	64 00
Gravel.....	57 90
Hardware.....	46 99
Sand.....	33 20
Rent stone yard	100 00
Stone spawls.....	50 40
Stone crossings	26 50

Total expenditures for April, 1882..... \$2,981 79

Total expenditures per last report..... 25,512 45

Total expenditures to May 1st, 1882..... \$28,494 24

Respectfully submitted,

EDWARD H. DEAN,
Board of Public Improvements.

L. A. FULMER, Street Commissioner.

The Board of Health submitted the following report; which was received:

Report of Deaths in the City of Indianapolis, from the 15th day of April, 1882, to the 30th day of April, 1882—inclusive.

Under 1 year.....	10
1 to 2 years.....	1
2 to 5 ".....	4
5 to 10 ".....	3
10 to 15 ".....	2
15 to 20 ".....	2
20 to 25 ".....	3
25 to 30 ".....	3
30 to 40 ".....	2
40 to 50 ".....	3
50 to 60 ".....	1
60 to 70 ".....	4
70 to 80 ".....	4
80 to 90 ".....	1
90 to 100 ".....	2
100 and upwards.....	0
Unknown.....	0
Total.....	45

J. A. SUTCLIFF, M. D., Pres't.,
 E. S. ELDER, M. D., Sec'y.,
 M. S. RUNNELS, M. D.,
 Board of Health.

The Board of City Commissioners submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

Report of the Board of City Commissioners on the Vacation of a Certain Alley Running from Buchanan Street to Bismarck Street, Parallel with and Contiguous to Sullivan Street.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, members of the Board of City Commissioners, of said city, duly appointed, qualified, and acting under the provisions of the statutes of the State of Indiana, in relation to the laying out, widening, opening, altering and vacation of streets, alleys and highways, beg leave to submit the following report on the matter of the vacation of a certain alley running from Buchanan street to Bismarck street, parallel with and contiguous to Sullivan street.

1st. We met at the office of the City Clerk, in room No. 6, on the 30th day of January, 1882, to examine into the matter of the vacation of said alley, within certain boundaries named, in accordance with a notice of the City Clerk, which notice and the return of the Marshal endorsed thereon, is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "A."

2d. We immediately proceeded to view the alley proposed to be vacated and the property contiguous thereto and the surrounding property along the line of said alley, and find that the following persons, owning the property herein described are interested in said vacation, viz:

- Charles and William Dehne, Bradshaw & Holmes' sub., lot 1, outlot 100.
- Charles Bard, Trustee, Bradshaw & Holmes' sub., lot 35, outlot 100.
- Mary Lingenfelter, Bradshaw & Holmes' sub. lot 36, outlot 100.

And a strip of land lying between said alley and the above described lots, 8 feet 3 inches in width, at the south end and fronting on Buchanan street and gradually

decreasing in width to 5 feet, 4 inches on Bismarck street. That we made due report of said facts to the City Clerk and required said Clerk to have the petitioners notify said interested parties to meet us on the 3d day of April, 1882, at 10 o'clock a. m., when evidence would be heard as to said matters. Said report is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "B."

3d. We further report that we met at the time and place named, and that none of the said interested parties made any opposition to said proposed vacation, and we then adjourned to April 24th, 1882.

4th. We now, on said 24th day of April, 1882, further report that the length, width and location of the alley proposed to be vacated is as follows: A certain alley running from Buchanan street to Bismarck street, parallel and contiguous to Sullivan street, being 380 feet long and 15 feet wide.

5th. The value of the land on which said alley is situated is \$200.

6th. The benefits to the persons desiring the vacation is \$33.

7th. There are no persons objecting to said vacation.

8th. The expenses attending this vacation to be paid by the petitioners amounts to the sum of \$33.

We therefore recommend the prayer of the petition be granted, and that said vacation be made as prayed for.

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. Hadley,
George W. Hill,
James C. Yohn,
N. Kellogg,
M. Steinhauer,
City Commissioners.

The City Attorney offered the following resolution :

Resolved, That the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the petition for the vacation of a certain alley running from Buchanan street to Bismarck street, parallel with and contiguous to Sullivan street, be, and the same is hereby in all things accepted, adopted and approved; and that in accordance with said report, the alley running from Buchanan street to Bismarck street, parallel with and contiguous to Sullivan street in the city of Indianapolis, be, and the same is hereby vacated.

And that the petitioners be, and they are hereby required to pay to the City Treasurer within twenty days from the adoption of this resolution, the sum of thirty-three dollars, the amount of expenses reported by the Commissioners as taxed in this matter; and that said petitioners also be, and are hereby required to procure and have recorded in the office of the Recorder of Marion county, the proper certified copies of proceedings and maps as required by law, at their own expense, and that until such expenses are paid and proceedings and maps recorded, as aforesaid, said alley shall not be closed or used otherwise than as now.

And it was adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The City Commissioners submitted the following report; which was concurred in :

Report of the Board of City Commissioners in the matter of opening Cruse st.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned members of the Board of City Commissioners of said city, duly appointed, sworn, qualified, and acting under and in pursuance with the provisions of the act of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, in relation to the laying out, opening, widening, altering, and vacation of streets, etc., approved March 17, 1875, and the acts amendatory thereof, do report:

1. That, in pursuance to a notice of the City Clerk, herewith filed as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "A." they met in room 6 of the City Clerk's office, on the 30th day of January, 1882.

2. That they did, at that time and place, enter upon the consideration of opening Cruse street to the width of sixty (60) feet from the north line of Meek street to the Michigan road, as is more clearly shown by the petition and plat herewith filed and marked Exhibit "B." That they did, on the 31st day of January, 1882, at 11 o'clock A. M., meet on the ground and examine the property proposed to be taken for such street; also, the contiguous property in the neighborhood, with a view of ascertaining what property would be benefited and what property damaged, and did then file with the City Clerk their report, giving a description of the property, with the name of the owner of each particular lot, which report also instructed the City Clerk to require the petitioners to have the City Marshal notify each property owner to meet the City Commissioners on Friday the 31st day of March, 1882, at 10 o'clock A. M. Said report is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "C."

3. That they did meet on said 31st day of March, 1882, at the place designated, a full Board being present, and entered upon the further consideration of the opening of said street, by examining under oath various witnesses and interested parties in relation to said street opening, and then adjourned to April 24th, 1882.

4. We now, on said 24th day of April, 1882, report that there is no damage to any property on account of said proposed opening of Cruse street, except where a portion of said property is taken therefor.

5. Said opening runs from the north side of Meek street to the Michigan road, being 556½ feet long, sixty (60) feet wide, and runs north and south.

6. No part of the damages are to be paid by the city.

7. The names of the owners, description of the property and value thereof to be appropriated, are as follows:

Bridget Sullivan, I. & C. R. R. sub. lot 25, out-lot 90	\$400
Bridget Sullivan, improvements on same	300
Tim Foley, I. & C. R. R. sub. lot 26, out-lot 90.....	450
Tim Foley, improvements on same	800
P. C. & St. L. R. R. Co., 30 feet west side of out-lot 74, conveyed to city by deed, without cost or charge.....	000
Total damages.....	\$1,950

8. The names of the owners, description of the property and value thereof, beneficially affected by said proposed change and improvement, are as follows:

Catharine C. Bobbs, Bobbs' addition, lot 69.....	\$5
" " " " lot 70.....	5
" " " " lot 71.....	5
" " " " lot 72.....	5
" " " " lot 73.....	5
" " " " lot 74.....	10
" " " " lot 75.....	10

Catharine C. Bobbs, Bobbs' addition,	lot 76.....	10
"	" lot 77.....	10
"	" lot 78.....	10
"	" lot 79.....	10
"	" lot 80.....	10
"	" lot 81.....	15
"	" lot 82.....	10
"	" lot 45.....	5
"	" lot 46.....	20
"	" lot 47.....	10
"	" lot 48.....	10
"	" lot 49.....	10
"	" lot 50.....	10
"	" lot 51.....	10
"	" lot 52.....	10
"	" lot 53.....	10
"	" lot 54.....	10
"	" lot 55.....	10
"	" lot 33.....	5
"	" lot 34.....	5
"	" lot 35.....	10
"	" e. part lot 36.....	5
"	" lot 37.....	5
"	" lot 38.....	10
"	" lot 39.....	5
"	" lot 40.....	5
"	" lot 41.....	5
"	" lot 42.....	5
"	" lot 43.....	5
"	" e. part lot 44.....	5
John Klaiber, Bobbs' addition,	lot 1.....	2
"	" lot 2.....	10
"	" lot 3.....	5
"	" lot 4.....	3
C., I., St. L. & C. R. R. Co., part n.w. qr. sec. 7, town, 15, range 4..		75
Demia Thrasher, Allen, Root & English's Woodlawn, 226.....		10
Wm. H. English, Allen, Root & English's Woodlawn, 227.....		10
"	" 278.....	10
James H. Cogill, Spann & Co.'s First Woodlawn, 33.....		15
Stone, Witt & Taylor, Spann & Co.'s First Woodlawn, 34.....		15
"	" 99.....	15
James Naughton, Spann & Co.'s First Woodlawn, w. $\frac{1}{2}$ 100.....		15
E. L. Atkinson, Fletcher et al.'s sub. lot 109, out-lot 97.....		15
Ben. A. Atkinson,	" sub. lot 108, out-lot 97.....	10
Anna C. Dougherty,	" sub. lot 44, out-lot 96.....	8
"	" sub. lot 45, out-lot 96.....	7
Sophia Aebker,	" sub. lot 46, out-lot 96.....	5
Melinda Davis,	" sub. lot 43, out-lot 96.....	15
Michael Quinlan,	" sub. lot 42, out-lot 96.....	10
Fred. Koernerman,	" sub. lot 41, out-lot 96.....	5
Wm. H. English,	" sub. lot 2, out-lot 96.....	5
"	" sub. lot 3, out-lot 96.....	5
Samuel C. Adams' heirs,	" sub. lot 1, out-lot 96.....	5
Charles H. Behrent,	" sub. lot 7, out-lot 91.....	10
Thomas O. Barbour, Barbour's	re-sub. lot 5, out-lot 91.....	5
"	" re-sub. lot 6, out-lot 91.....	5
"	" re-sub. lot 7, out-lot 91.....	5
Anna Barbour,	" re-sub. lot 1, out-lot 91.....	5
"	" re-sub. lot 2, out-lot 91.....	5
"	" re-sub. lot 3, out-lot 91.....	5

Allen, Johnson & Wilson, Allen, J. & W.'s sub.,	lot 38, out-lot 75..	35
“ “ “ “	lot 39, out-lot 75..	75
“ “ “ “	lot 40, out-lot 75..	125

Total benefits \$1,950

TABLE A.

Damages to be paid as follows, viz:

Bridget Sullivan	\$700
Tim Foley.....	1,250
	<u>\$1,950</u>

TABLE B.

Benefits to be collected from—

Catharine C. Bobbs.....	\$305
John Klaiber.....	20
C., I., St. L. and C. R. R. Co.	87
Wm. H. English	30
Demia Thrasher.....	10
Stone, Witt & Taylor.....	30
James Naughton.....	15
A. E. Atkinson	15
Ben. A. Atkinson.....	10
Anna C. Daugherty	15
Sophia Aebker	5
Melinda Davis	15
Michael Quinlan	10
Fred. Koehnerman	5
Samuel C. Adams' heirs.....	5
Charles H. Behrent.....	10
Thomas O. Barbour	15
Victor Plogstreth's heirs	12
William Hall.....	10
Anna Barbour.....	20
Mary Griffin.....	10
George W. Jenks	10
Timothy King and Florence and J. Shea	15
Patrick Dagnon.....	50
John O'Connor	15
Samuel Thomas	100
Epha Ann Hawkins	90
John and Eliza Doud	15
Charles E. Coffin, trustee.....	15
John Doud	20
Rosalind Vance and Mary B. Rorison	20
James H. Cogill.....	15
Ellen Devine	10
Susan M. Ketcham.....	10
Barbara Jumpertz	15
William Collins.....	15
Daniel O'Conner.....	35
C. Schildemeyer	20
Mary F. and Margaret and E. B. Foley	15
W. H. Mette.....	15
Ellen A. Catherwood.....	15
Tim Sullivan	15
Patrick and Ellen Burke.....	15
James Welsh	15
Allen, Johnson & Wilson.....	736
P., C. & St. L. R. R. Co. (offset by deed of conveyance.).....	00

\$1,950

We further report that the improvements on Bridget Sullivan's lot 25, out-lot 90, consisting of a frame dwelling appraised at \$300, as above shown, can be sold for something above the cost of removing it. We therefore recommend that the City Treasurer be directed to sell the same at the best price obtainable therefor, as your honorable bodies may direct, and that the proceeds thereof be turned into the City Treasury, and that the net amount so returned into said treasury be then deducted *pro rata* from the amounts assessed against the various property owners as above reported, when he collects said assessments, and that the buildings on lot 26, out-lot 90, belonging to Tim Foley, be likewise disposed of.

We therefore recommend that the prayer of the petition be granted, and said street be opened accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

William Hadley,
James C. Yohn,
George W. Hill,
Michael Steinhauer,
N. Kellogg,

City Commissioners.

The City Attorney offered the following resolution :

Resolved, That the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the petition for the opening of Cruse street to the width of sixty feet from the north line of Meek street to the Michigan road, be, and the same is hereby in all things accepted and approved; that the benefits assessed and damages awarded by said Commissioners, be, and the same is hereby approved; that the real estate therein described for the opening of said street, be, and the same is hereby appropriated; that the City Clerk be, and he is hereby directed to certify to the City Treasurer so much of said report as assesses benefits and awards damages upon real estate, giving the description thereof; and that the City Treasurer be, and he is hereby directed to make tender of such damages to the parties entitled thereto, and proceed to collect said benefits according to law.

Resolved further, That said Treasurer proceed to sell at the south door of the Court House, in the city of Indianapolis, not later than ten days after the adoption of this resolution, the buildings and other improvements situate on lot No. 26, out-lot 90, belonging to Tim Foley, as shown in said report, and also the building and other improvements situate on lot 25 and out-lot 90, belonging to Bridget Sullivan, as shown in said report, to the highest bidder for cash, first giving at least five days' notice of the time and place of sale by posting up at least five printed or written notices in the most public places in the city of Indianapolis, and that the net proceeds of said sale be turned into the City Treasury, and that the amount thereof be deducted *pro rata* from the assessments made against the parties named in said Commissioners' report.

And it was adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 20—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The City Commissioners submitted the following report; which was concurred in :

Report of the City Commissioners on the Vacation of Madison Street, etc.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, Ind :

Gentlemen.—The undersigned members of the Board of City Commissioners of said city, duly appointed, qualified, and acting under the provisions of the statutes

of the State of Indiana, in relation to the laying out, opening, widening, altering and vacation of streets, alleys and highways, beg leave to submit the following report on the matter of the vacation of Madison street and a certain alley in the city of Indianapolis, heretofore referred to them by your honorable bodies:

1st. We met at the office of the City Clerk, in room No. 6, on the 27th day of February, 1882, to examine into the matter of the proposed vacation of said Madison street and a certain alley, within certain boundaries named, in accordance with a notice of the City Clerk, which notice and the return of the Marshal endorsed thereon, is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "A."

2d. We immediately proceeded to view the street and alley proposed to be vacated and the property contiguous thereto and the surrounding property along the line of said street and alley, and find that the following persons, owning the property herein described, are interested in said vacation, viz:

F. M. Churchman,	}	Hanna's heirs' addition, lots 1, 2, 3.
S. J. Fletcher,		Hanna's heirs' addition, lots 28, 29, 30, 31.
J. F. Holt,		Hanna's heirs' addition, lots 32, 33, 34, 27.
George F. Adams,		

That we made due report of said facts to the City Clerk and required said Clerk to have the petitioners notify said interested parties to meet us on the 24th day of April, 1882, when evidence would be heard as to said matters. Said report is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "B."

3d. We further report that we met at the time and place named; that although due service had been made on all said interested parties, none of them made any opposition to said proposed vacation. Said notice and the return of service endorsed thereon, is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "C."

4th. We now further report that the length, width and location of the street and alley proposed to be vacated is as follows: Madison street from the west line of Dorman street to the east line of the first alley west of Dorman street, a distance of 216 feet, being 60 feet wide. And the first alley north of Madison street from the west line of Dorman street to the east line of the first alley west of Dorman street, a distance of 216 feet, being 15 feet wide.

5th. The value of the land on which said alley and street are situated is \$400.

6th. The benefits to the persons desiring the vacation is \$63.

7th. There are no persons objecting to said vacation.

8th. The expenses attending these vacations to be paid by the petitioners amounts to the sum of \$63.

We therefore recommend the prayer of the petition be granted and that said vacation be made as prayed for.

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. Hadley,
George W. Hill,
James C. Yohn,
M. Steinhauer,
N. Kellogg,
City Commissioners.

The City Attorney offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the petition for the vacation of Madison street and a certain alley therein described, be, and the same is hereby in all things accepted, adopted and approved; and that in accordance with said report, Madison street from the west line of Dorman street to the east line of the first alley west of Dorman street, a distance of 216 feet, said street being sixty feet wide, and also the first alley north of Madison street from the west line of Dorman street to the east line of the first alley west of Dorman street, a distance of 216 feet, said alley being 15 feet wide, be, and the same are hereby vacated. And that the petitioners be, and they are hereby required to pay

to the City Treasurer within twenty days from the adoption of this resolution, the sum of sixty-three dollars, the amount of expenses reported by the Commissioners as taxed in this matter; and that said petitioners also be, and are hereby required to procure and have recorded in the office of the Recorder of Marion county, the proper certified copies of the proceedings and maps as required by law, at their own expense; and that until such expenses are paid and proceedings and maps recorded, as aforesaid, said alley shall not be closed or used otherwise than as now.

And it was adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 18—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Harold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The City Commissioners submitted the following report; which was concurred in :

Report of the Board of City Commissioners in the matter of opening and widening Dillon street.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen : The undersigned members of the Board of City Commissioners of said city duly appointed, sworn, qualified and acting under and in pursuance of the act of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana in relation to the laying out, opening, widening, altering and vacation of streets, etc., approved March 17th, 1875, and acts amendatory thereto, do report :

1st. That, in pursuance of a notice of the City Clerk, herewith filed as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "A," they met in Room 6 of the City Clerk's office, on the 30th day of January, 1882.

2d. That they did at that time and place enter upon the consideration of widening and extending Dillon street to the width of 60 feet, from Cedar street to the north line of Meek street, as more clearly shown by the petition and plat filed herewith and marked Exhibit "B;" and that they did, on the 31st day of January, 1882, at 10 o'clock, A. M., meet on the ground and examine the property proposed to be taken for such street; also the contiguous property and the property in the neighborhood, with the view of ascertaining what property would be benefited and what property damaged; and did then file with the City Clerk their report, giving a description of the property, with the name of the owner of each particular lot; which report instructed the City Clerk to require the petitioners to have the City Marshal notify each property owner to meet the City Commissioners on Friday, the 31st day of March, 1882, at 10 o'clock, A. M. Said report is filed herewith as a part hereof, and marked Exhibit "C."

3d. That they did meet on the said 31st day of March, 1882, at the place designated (a full board being present) and entered upon the further consideration of opening said street, by examining under oath various witnesses and interested parties in relation to said street opening; and then adjourned to April 24th, 1882.

4th. We now, on said 24th day of April, 1882, report that there is no damage to any property on account of said proposed opening of Dillon street, except where a portion of said property is taken therefor.

5th. Said widening extended from near the intersection of Cedar and Dillon streets to the north line of Meek street, being 1,367 8-12 feet long, and 33 feet wide, and runs north and south.

6th. No part of the damages are to be paid by the city.

7th. The names of the owners, the description of the property and the value thereof to be appropriated, are as follows :

SIG. 121.

TABLE A.

Damages to be paid as follows to

John L. Klaiber for Bobbs's addition, lot 1.....	\$400
" " improvement on same.....	100
Total amount of damages to be paid.....	\$500

TABLE B.

Benefits to be collected

From John L. Klaiber.....	\$60
" Wm. H. English.....	60
" Demia Thrasher.....	20
" James H. Cogill.....	20
" Stone Witt Taylor, et al.....	30
" James Naughton.....	10
" E. L. Atkinson.....	10
" Ben. L. Atkinson.....	5
" Ed. L. Atkinson.....	5
" Anna Daugherty.....	10
" Sophia Aebker.....	5
" Malinda Davis.....	10
" Michael Quinlan.....	10
" Fred. Koehnerman.....	5
" Samuel C. Adams' heirs.....	10
" Charles Behrent.....	20
" Tho. O. Barbour.....	15
" Anna Barbour.....	20
" Victor Plogstreth's heirs.....	25
" Wm. Hall.....	25
" Mariah Griffin.....	25
" George W. Jencks.....	25
" Trim King and Florence Shea.....	25
" Samuel Thomas.....	25
" C., I., St. L. and C. Railroad Company.....	25
Total amount of benefits.....	\$500

We further report that the improvements on John L. Klaiber's lot 1, in Bobbs's addition, amounting to \$100, as above shown, consists of a shed, which can be sold for something above the cost of removing it. We therefore recommend that the city treasurer be directed to sell the same for the best price obtainable therefor, as your honorable bodies may direct, and that the proceeds thereof be turned into the city treasury, and that the net amount so turned into said treasury be then deducted pro rata from the amounts assessed against the various property owners as above reported when he collects said assessments.

We therefore recommend that the prayer of the petition be granted, and said street be opened accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

William Hadley,
James C. Yohn,
G. W. Hill,
Michael Steinhaur,
N. Kellogg,
City Commissioners.

The City Attorney offered the following resolution :

Resolved, That the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the petition for the widening and extension of Dillon street, from Cedar street to the north line of Meek street, to a width of sixty feet, be, and the same is hereby, in all things accepted and approved; that the benefits assessed and damages awarded by

said commissioners be, and the same is hereby approved; that the real estate therein described for the opening, widening and extension of said Dillon street be, and the same is hereby appropriated; that the City Clerk be, and he is hereby, directed, to certify to the City Treasurer so much of said report as assesses benefits and awards damages upon real estate, giving the description thereof; and that the City Treasurer be, and he is hereby, directed, to make tender of such damages to the parties entitled thereto, and proceed to collect said benefits according to law.

Resolved, further, That said Treasurer proceed to sell at the south door of the Court House, in Indianapolis, not later than ten days after the adoption of this resolution, the shed and all other improvements situate on lot number one, in Bobbs's addition, belonging to John L. Klaiber, as shown in said report, to the highest bidder for cash, first giving at least five days notice of the time and place of sale, by posting up at least five printed or written notices thereof in the most public places in the city of Indianapolis, and that the net proceeds of said sale be turned into the city treasury, and that the amount thereof be deducted pro rata from the assessments made against the parties named in said commissioners' report.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 20—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cay, Dean, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The City Commissioners submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

Report of the City Commissioners on the Vacation of Leota Street, etc.

To the Mayor, City Council, and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, members of the Board of City Commissioners of said city, duly appointed, qualified, and acting under the provisions of the statutes of the State of Indiana, in relation to the laying out, opening, widening, altering and vacation of streets, alleys and highways, beg leave to submit the following report on the matter of the vacation of Leota, Allen, Laurel (or Spruce) streets, and certain alleys in the City of Indianapolis, heretofore referred to them by your honorable bodies:

1. We met at the office of the City Clerk in Room No. 6, on Wednesday, the 22d day of February, 1882, at 10 o'clock, A. M., to examine into the matter of the proposed vacation of said Leota, Allen and Laurel (or Spruce) streets and certain alleys, within certain boundaries named, in accordance with a notice of the City Clerk, which notice and the return of the Marshal endorsed thereon, is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "A."

2. We immediately proceeded to view the streets and alleys proposed to be vacated, and the property contiguous thereto, and the surrounding property along the line of said streets and alleys, and find that the following corporation and persons, owning the property herein described, are interested in said vacations, viz:

C., I., St. L. & C. R. R. Co., owning lots Nos. 121 to 200 inclusive, of Allen, Root & English's Woodlawn addition, and 7.44 acres, more or less, in the south part of the n. w. qr. sec. 7, township 15, range 4 east, bounded on the east by Leota street, on the west by Dillon street, on the north by the main track of the C., I., St. L. & C. R. R. Co., and on the south by the first alley north of Deloss street.

Also,

Catharine C. Bobbs, owner of lot 18 in Bobbs' add. Also.

Theodore Pfafflin, Chalmers Brown, and — Drehr (given name unknown), joint owners of lot 16 in Hill's add. to the City of Indianapolis.

William H. English, owner of lot 201 in Allen, Root & English's Woodlawn add. and lot 1, in English's re-sub. of Allen, Root & English's Woodlawn add.

That we made due report of said facts to the City Clerk, and required said Clerk to have the petitioners notify said interested parties to meet us on the 20th day of April, 1882, at 10 o'clock, A. M., when evidence would be heard as to said matters. Said report is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "B."

3. We further report, that we met at the time and place named; that, although due service had been made on all said interested parties, none of them made any opposition to said proposed vacation. Said notice and the return of service endorsed thereon, is filed herewith, as a part hereof, marked Exhibit "C."

4. We now further report, that the length, width and location of the streets and alleys proposed to be vacated, is as follows:

Leota (or Grant) street, from the center of the first alley north of Deloss street to the main track of the C., I., St. L. & C. R. R. Co., a distance of five hundred and twenty (520) feet; said street being 60 feet wide.

Allen street, from Leota (or Grant) street to the center of Laurel (or Spruce) street, 50 feet, the full width thereof.

And the north half of said street, from the center of Laurel (or Spruce) street, to the main track of the C., I., St. L. & C. R. R. Co., being 25 feet wide.

And the west half of Laurel (or Spruce) street from the first alley north of Deloss street to the center of Allen street, a distance of one hundred and fifty (150) feet; being 20 feet wide.

And the first alley north of Allen street, from Leota street to Laurel street, a distance of seven hundred and sixty-five (765) feet; being 10 feet wide.

And the alley running north and south between Laurel and Leota streets, from the first alley north of Deloss street to the main track of the C., I., St. L. & C. R. R. Co., a distance of three hundred and ninety-seven (397) feet, being 10 feet wide.

The first alley north of Allen street, running east from Laurel street to a point where said alley intersects Allen street, a distance of about two hundred and forty (240) feet; being 10 feet wide.

5. The value of the land on which said streets and alleys are situate is \$1,825.

6. The benefits to the persons desiring the vacation is \$66.

7. There are no persons objecting to said vacations.

The expenses attending these vacations, to be paid by the petitioners, amounts to the sum of \$66.

We therefore recommend the prayer of the petition be granted, and that said vacations be made as prayed for.

Respectfully submitted,

William Hadley,
James C. Yohn,
George W. Hill,
Michael Steinhauer,
N. Kellogg,
City Commissioners.

The City Attorney offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the petition for the vacation of Leota (or Grant), Allen, and (Laurel (or Spruce) streets, and certain alleys described therein, be, and the same is hereby, in all things accepted, adopted and approved; and that, in accordance with said report, the following streets and alleys, for the distances named, be vacated, to-wit:

Leota (or Grant) street, from the center of the first alley north of Deloss street, to the main track of the C., I., St. L. and C. R. R. Co., a distance of five hundred and twenty (520) feet, said street being sixty feet wide.

Allen street, from Leota (or Grant) street, to the center of Laurel (or Spruce) street, fifty feet, the full width thereof; and the north half of said street, from the center of Laurel (or Spruce) street, to the main track of the C., I., St. L. and C. R. R. Co., being twenty-five feet wide.

And the west half of Laurel (or Spruce) street, from the first alley north of Deloss street, to the center of Allen street, a distance of one hundred and fifty (150) feet, being twenty-five feet wide.

And the first alley north of Allen street, from Leota (or Grant) street, to Laurel (or Spruce) street, a distance of 765 feet, being 10 feet wide.

And the alley running north and south between Laurel and Leota streets, from the first alley north of Deloss street, to the main track of the C., I., St. L. and C. R. R. Co., a distance of 397 feet, being 10 feet wide.

Also, the first alley north of Allen street, running east from Laurel street, to a point where said alley intersects Allen street, a distance of about 240 feet, being 10 feet wide.

And that the petitioners be, and they are hereby required to pay to the City Treasurer, within twenty days from the adoption of this resolution, the sum of sixty-six dollars, the amount of expenses reported by the Commissioners as taxed in this matter; and that said petitioners also be and are hereby required to procure, and have recorded in the office of the Recorder of Marion county, the proper certified copies of proceedings and maps, as required by law, at their own expense; and that until such expenses are paid and proceedings and maps recorded, as aforesaid, said streets and alleys shall not be closed, or used otherwise than as now.

And it was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage Bryce, Caylor, Coy, Dean, Dowl-
ing, Egger, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson,
Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

The Committee on Judiciary, through Councilman Pritchard, submitted the following report; which was concurred in, and the motion as set forth therein adopted:

Indianapolis, May 1st, 1882.

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Judiciary Committee, together with the City Attorney, to whom was referred sundry papers, report thereon as follows:

The first is a petition of F. W. Baugher, supported by affidavit, showing that for the year 1877, he was assessed with \$1,575.00 on personal property—none of which he owned.

The tax amounts to \$18.14, which he asks shall be certified off the duplicate.

Mr. Baugher's assessment for 1877, on stock of goods, was made out by a deputy, he says "on information." He does not say in his return where he got the information, or that Mr. Baugher had refused to make lists, and Mr. Baugher, on oath says, that he did not own any of the property put in his assessment "on information."

The City Assessor informs your committee that, in his opinion, the tax of \$18.14 should be ordered off the duplicate. Your committee recommend that the City Treasurer be directed to certify off of duplicate the tax of \$18.14 for the year 1877, against F. W. Baugher.

The second is a motion "That in all cases where delinquent taxes are charged against any person or persons having contracts, the City Clerk and Treas-

urer be directed to deduct the said taxes from the first appropriation made to such person or persons.

Your committee recommend that the motion be passed.

Respectfully submitted,

Jas. A. Pritchard,

N. Yoke,

Committee.

C. S. DENNY, City Attorney.

By consent, Councilman Pritchard presented the following petition; which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys:

Indianapolis, May 1st, 1882.

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—We, the undersigned, citizens of Indianapolis, and owners of lots fronting on the first alley north of Sixth street, running from Howard street *east* to the track of the C., I., St. L. & C. R. R., respectfully ask that you will vacate part of said alley, beginning at a point one hundred and thirty and half feet east of Howard; thence east to the track of the above mentioned railroad, as shown by the accompanying plat filed herewith. (The part asked to be vacated from letters A. to B., on said plat.)

Also, we ask you to vacate thirty-one (31) feet of the north end of Lafayette street, said Lafayette street being a short street running from Sixth street north to the above described alley, as fully appears on accompanying plat. (The part asked to be vacated is from C. to D., on said plat.)

Your petitioners would further state that the United States Encaustic Tile Work Company desire to enlarge their works, by building farther south, and they cannot do so unless the vacations herein asked for are made.

We will further state that said alley is not used by the general public, as will fully appear by an examination of the ground.

Respectfully submitted,

U. S. Encaustic Tile Co., Will. Terrill, James G. Douglass.

REPORTS FROM SELECT COMMITTEES.

Councilman Pritchard, in behalf of a certain select committee, submitted the following *majority* report:

Indianapolis, May 1st, 1882.

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your special committee to whom was referred the I., B. & W. R. R. ordinances and remonstrances thereto, report that we favor the first Section of said ordinance, giving said company the right to enter the city with their eastern extension, and recommend that Sections No. one, three and four, be passed.

2d. We believe that Section two (2) of said ordinance should not pass, for the following reasons:

First. There is *no necessity* for it, as the I., B. & W. Co. have lot of land on the corner of West and Georgia streets, 300 by 800 feet, purchased by them *expressly* for a freight depot. This location will accommodate more shippers of goods than South and Tennessee streets, and is only five (5) squares from south Meridian street.

Second. The switch will add very largely to the danger of Mississippi street crossing, and fifty per cent. to the difficulties of crossing Kentucky avenue and Missouri street.

Third. If a new Union Depot is built fronting on Tennessee street, the freight depot on opposite side of street will make a blockade on Tennessee street that could not be tolerated, and the switch itself, in the *way* of the "checker tracks" which then would be moved *west* of Tennessee street.

Fourth. The danger on crossings of our principal streets and our railroads, is now so great as to make the demand on city government *general*, to require safety gates on all principal crossings. This fact should warn the city government not to allow the dangers to be increased by permitting unnecessary freight switches to be laid across our streets.

Fifth. If the I., B. & W. had not, or could not get other grounds on which to build a freight depot, then the switch would be a necessity. But having plenty of ground on north side of all tracks, (and along their tracks), and only five squares from our wholesale houses, it is *not a necessity*. It is, therefore, wrong on the part of the city government to grant this section *simply because the I., B. & W. ask for it*, and over the *earnest, honest* protest of hundreds of our citizens.

Sixth. The I., B. & W. Co. purchased lot 94 about one year ago. It is just about one year ago that a survey was made by this company, running southward from said lot, thence through the southern part of the city to the Belt road right of way, thence northward to connect with their eastern connection. A plat of all this work, we are informed, is now on file in the office of the Chief Engineer of the I., B. & W. Co. The purchase of the lot and the said survey all occurred about the same time. The *fact* of such a survey being made clearly shows the intention of this company, at that time, in purchasing lot 94, on Tennessee and South streets. It was not done for the amusement of the company or its Civil Engineer, but because they intended to make a continuous track through our city, and thereby be independent of the Union depot and Belt road. This so called *switch* is the first step in the execution of this work. This city, having five hundred thousand dollars invested in the Belt road, should not favor any scheme resulting in reducing the business of the Belt road.

Seventh. If the I., B. & W. should build a continuous track through this city, then lot ninety-four (94) will be the location of a passenger depot. This we believe to be the concealed motive of the I., B. & W. Co. We cannot favor any scheme on the part of *any* road looking to the abandonment on their part of the Union depot.

Eighth. In this *unnecessary* switch, adding largely to the difficulties on the part of the public in the use of *four streets*, thereby damaging the property of citizens in the locality of the switch which will end in damage to the Belt road and Union depot, is also involved a damage to the property of the Vandalia Railroad. We do not believe the city government should lend its aid to any company in any such scheme to the injury of the property of another company. To say the *least*, the Vandalia Company is doing as much good for the city and its citizens as the I., B. & W. Co., and *as a matter of right* the city ought not give its consent to an *unnecessary* freight switch, resulting in a great injury to the property of the Vandalia Company.

As a majority of your committee, and at least half the Council, desire to vote for sections *one* (1), three (3) and four (4) of this ordinance, and do not desire to vote for section two (2), we therefore recommend that section two (2) of the ordinance be stricken out.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Fritchard,
James T. Dowling,
Peter F. Bryce.

Councilman Thalman, in behalf of same committee, submitted the following *minority* report:

To the Mayor, Board of Aldermen and City Council:

Gentlemen:—A minority of your special committee, to whom was referred the ordinance granting certain rights of way to the I., B. & W. R. R. Co. Also, remonstrances from citizens against granting said right, respectfully report that we have carefully considered the matter and find that very few signers of said petition are in any way affected by the matter against which they remonstrate. A great many signed the remonstrance with a belief that by the passage of this ordi-

nance a number of other streets in their immediate vicinity would be covered with tracks at no distant day, thereby greatly damaging their homes and their property. This, we have also fully investigated, and find that no such scheme is contemplated in this ordinance, and a communication from the officers of the company fully refutes any such intention. The facts and purposes are plainly set forth in the ordinance; further, we are not presumed to draw on our imagination as to what their purpose may be in the future. This ordinance only is before us for consideration. Whatever may be asked for hereafter will be for your honorable bodies then to determine.

A new road has been built by this company which is of the greatest benefit to our business interests, (as well as to the growth and prosperity of our city generally,) opening a new field for a large traffic which has heretofore been turned in another direction. They do not ask the city for a dollar, nor propose to use any street for their tracks that has not already been given over to other roads. Should we then, as representatives of the city of Indianapolis, needlessly throw obstacles in the way of so valuable an enterprise, and say to this company you shall not have the right to lay a track to your depot ground, nor to enter our city, but build your depot outside of city limits; or, in other words, fence in our city and say we don't want any more railroads, and have all the business we want. We think not, and believe it is our duty to encourage all such enterprises, and not to hinder and drive out. Heretofore the city has voted thousands of dollars to induce railroads to come here; now it is proposed to shut them out when they pay their own way—doing so would certainly be voting directly against the business interests of the city, which, in our opinion, we are here to foster and encourage. We are sorry to find that the strongest opposition comes from other roads, who have been greatly favored in like manner (as asked for in this ordinance) by the city in time past, and with whom it appears the golden rule, "Do unto others as you would have others do unto you," does not largely prevail. The whole fight is (figuratively) "in a nut shell," the Vandalia road is opposed to the L., B. & W. road crossing their track, for the purpose of reaching a plat of ground which they have bought for depot purposes, and which adjoins the Vandalia depot; that is all there is in it. No citizen's property, in our opinion, will be damaged a cent; if the Vandalia road is damaged by such crossing, there is a State law covering such matters, and they can get redress through the courts, if necessary. We, therefore, respectfully recommend to your honorable bodies to pass this ordinance as it is, and grant this new road the privilege of doing business in our city, the same as has been granted to all others heretofore.

Respectfully submitted,

Isaac Thalman,
Wm. H. Morrison.

Councilman Dowling moved that the majority report be concurred in.

Which motion to concur was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 15—viz. Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cowie, Coy, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Pritchard, Stout, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS, 8—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Cole, Dean, Egger, Morrison, Pearson, Reichwein, and Thalman.

On motion by Councilman Dowling, the *order of business* was suspended for the purpose of taking up G. O. 19, 1882, by the following vote:

AYES, 20—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Coy, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Stout, Thalman, Ward and Yoke.

NAYS, 3—viz. Councilmen Dean, Egger, and Reichwein.

The following entitled ordinance was then taken up on its second reading:

G. O. 19, 1882—An Ordinance authorizing the Indiana, Bloomington & Western Railway Company to construct certain tracks within and through a certain portion of the city of Indianapolis, Indiana.

On motion by Councilman Dowling, section two of the above ordinance was *stricken out* by the following vote :

AYES, 16—viz. Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Coy, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Pritchard, Stout, Ward and Yoke.

NAYS, 7—viz.: Councilmen Bedford, Dean, Egger, Morrison, Pearson, Reichwein, and Thalman.

Councilman Stout offered the following amendment to the above ordinance, which was laid on the table, on motion by Councilman Cowie :

Amend section 3 by adding to the end thereof the following :

“Said Indiana, Bloomington & Western Railway Company shall not, at any time, charge or collect from any citizen of said city, more than one dollar per car for cars of freight carried by it on to and off of any switch connecting with the tracks of said company in said city.”

The ordinance was then ordered engrossed with amendments, read the third time, and passed by the following vote :

AYES, 17—viz. Councilmen Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Coy, Dowling, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pritchard, Stout, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS, 6—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Dean, Egger, Pearson, Reichwein, and Thalman.

Councilman Ward, on behalf of a certain special committee, submitted the following report; which was referred back to the committee, with instructions to report whether or not the contract should be let under the existing ordinance, and if the contract should not be let for slops alone :

To the Mayor and Common Council :

Gentlemen:—Your committee appointed to consider the bids heretofore presented, to remove the garbage, etc., from the city, beg leave to report that they have carefully considered the same. The lowest bidder who comes within the terms of the ordinance, is Henry L. Smith. We recommend that the contract be awarded to him, *provided* he will agree in his contract to remove all mixtures of slops and ashes, and other refuse matter mixed with ashes, notwithstanding said mixtures may be in violation of ordinance No. 33, 1880, under which said contract is to be let; *and provided, further*, that the term “similar rubbish,” as used in Section 11 of said ordinance, shall include old boots and shoes.

Respectfully submitted,

Jas. T. Dowling,
John R. Cowie,
Committee.

MESSAGES AND PAPERS FROM THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

The following message was read :

To the Mayor and Common Council :

Gentlemen:—The Board of Aldermen in regular session, held in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, April 24th, 1882, concurred in the following recommendation of the Committee on Public Light :

"Your Committee on Public Light, to whom the "cow" and "goose" question was referred, respectfully make the following report and recommendation: That the Council appoint a special Cow and Goose Committee, and that said committee be fully authorized to let the contract to some responsible party to take charge of the impounding of all animals, as provided for in the general impounding ordinance, the "goose," of course, included, and that the said party provide the impounding grounds at his or their own expense, and that the full receipts of the business, as provided for in the ordinance, go to the party authorized to carry out the impounding ordinance; further, that the City Marshal deputize to such party selected, official powers to fully carry out the impounding ordinance."

I submit the same for your consideration.

• For the Board of Aldermen:

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.

Councilman Egger moved that the matter, as set forth in the foregoing message, be laid on the table.

Councilman Morrison moved as a substitute to refer to a special committee.

Which motion to refer was adopted, and Councilmen Morrison, Pearson and Koller were appointed by the Chair to act as the members of said committee.

The following message was read, and the matter, as set forth therein, was referred to the Committee on Public Property :

To the Mayor and Common Council :

Gentlemen:—The Board of Aldermen in regular session, held in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, May 1, 1882, adopted the following motion:

"That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to rent to one of the employes at Garfield Park, the house in said grounds, for \$8.00 per month, and that the amount be paid monthly to the City Treasurer; further, that the amount of rent be deducted from any amounts due said tenant."

I submit the same for your consideration and action thereon.

For the Board of Aldermen:

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.

Later in the session, Councilman Pritchard moved to reconsider the above action, which *failed* by the following vote:

AYES, 8—viz. Councilmen Bryce, Caylor, Harrold, Hartmann, Koller, Pritchard; Stout, and Yoke.

NAYS, 15—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Cole, Cowie, Coy, Dean, Downing, Egger, Fultz, Knodel, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Thalman, and Ward.

The following message was read, and the amendment of the Board of Aldermen, as set forth therein, was concurred in:

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—The Board of Aldermen, in regular session, held May 1st, 1882, adopted your motion of April 17th, directing the City Treasurer to sell to the highest bidder the several pieces of property known as the Tomlinson Estate property, for sums not less than their appraised value, as now on file in the City Clerk's office, after having amended said motion as follows:

"That the appraisement of 18½ feet east side of Lot No. 4, Square No. 56, with improvements, be fixed at \$28,000.00, instead of \$22,000.00; and that description of property be corrected as follows:

13¾ feet instead of 35 feet, in describing part of Lot 9, Square 35.

52½ feet instead of 52¼ feet, in describing part of Lot 13, Square 46.

18½ feet instead of 18 feet, in describing part of Lot 4, Square 56."

I submit the foregoing for your further consideration and action.

For the Board of Aldermen:

GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.

APPROPRIATION ORDINANCES.

This being the regular appropriation night, the following entitled Appropriation Ordinances were placed on their final passage without a suspension of the rules.

By the Fire Board, through Councilman Thalman, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 25, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department. [Amount appropriated, \$1,419.45.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 17—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Egger, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

By the Hospital Board, through Councilman Bryce, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 26, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis on account of the City Hospital and Branch. [Amount appropriated, \$990.96.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 17—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Egger, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

By the Police Board, through Councilman Pearson, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

Ap. O. 27, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station-Houses. [Amount appropriated, \$133.94.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 17—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Egger, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

By the Committee on Accounts and Claims, through Councilman Brundage, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time :

Ap. O. 28, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis. [Amount appropriated, \$97,919.88.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 17—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Egger, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

By the Committee on Printing, through Councilman Bedford, the following entitled ordinance was introduced, read the first and second times, ordered engrossed, and read the third time :

Ap. O. 29, 1882—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery and Advertising. [Amount appropriated, \$273.90]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 17—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Cowie, Egger, Hartmann, Knodel, Koller, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL AND SPECIAL ORDINANCES.

Under this order of business, the following entitled ordinances were introduced and severally read the first time :

By consent, Councilman Bryce, in behalf of the Committee on Public Light, submitted the following report; which was concurred in :

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Public Light, to whom was referred the petition of M. Clune and others, for placing gas on south Meridian street, recommend that the prayers of the petitioners be granted, and that the accompanying ordinance be passed.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter F. Bryce.
Jas. T. Dowling,
H. B. Stout,
Committee on Public Light.

By Committee on Public Light :

S. O. 53, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures, (complete to burn gas, except the service pipes), on Meridian street, between Kansas street and Arizona street.

By Councilman Caylor :

- G. O. 37, 1882—An Ordinance making it unlawful to post bills or advertisements on fences, buildings, cars and vehicles, without the consent of the owner.
- S. O. 54, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, paving with brick and curbing with stone the west sidewalk of West street, from Third street to McIntyre street.
- S. O. 55, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the roadway of West street, from First street to McIntyre street.

By Councilman Egger :

- S. O. 56, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the west sidewalk of Shelby street, from Prospect street to Pleasant Run (where not already done).

By Councilman Mauer :

- S. O. 573, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, paving with brick and curbing with stone (where not already done), the sidewalks of Blake street from the National Road to Indiana avenue.

By Councilman Pearson :

- S. O. 58, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Pratt street, from Tennessee street to Mississippi street.
- S. O. 59, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, and paving with brick, the west sidewalk of Mississippi street, from First street to Second street.

By Councilman Thalman :

- S. O. 60, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and bowldering the first alley south of Michigan street, from Delaware street to the first alley west of Delaware street.

By Councilman Yoke :

- S. O. 61, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the gutters, and widening the sidewalks of South street, from East street to Noble street.
- S. O. 62, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the east gutter of Elm street, from Pine street to Grove street.
- S. O. 63, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the alley beginning at School street, on south side of lot 13, in out-lot 94, and running around lots 13, 14, 15, and 16, in said out-lot, and terminating at School street.

INTRODUCTION OF MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS.

Councilman Bedford offered the following motion ; which was adopted :

That the City Marshal carry out the instructions from this and the Aldermanic bodies, in reference to ordering the owner of certain lots east of Arsenal avenue, near Ohio street, where water stands to the detriment of the health and happiness of the adjoining property owners.

Councilman Fultz offered the following motions; which were referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, requested to fill chuck-holes on Morris street, between West and Dacotah streets.

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to fill the chuck-holes on Dacotah street, between Morris and Wisconsin streets.

That the Street Commissioner be directed to fill the chuck-holes on Morris street, between West and Dacotah streets.

Councilman Harrold offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to place a double stone crossing on north side of Maryland street, across Missouri street.

Councilman Hartmann offered the following motions; which were referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to clean the gutters on Pine-street, from Washington street to Bates street.

That the Street Commissioner be directed to repair and fill the chuck-holes with broken stone, on east Washington street, from Noble street to State street.

Councilman Hartmann offered the following motion; which was referred to the Committee on Railroads:

That the City Attorney prepare and introduce an ordinance for safety gates at the intersection of Noble and Maryland streets.

Councilman Knodel presented the following petition, and motion; which were received, the prayer of the petition granted, and motion adopted:

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of Indianapolis:

I, the undersigned, beg leave to place a water plug, to be used for sprinkling purposes, between Ray and Morris, on south Meridian street.

CHRISTIAN LEHR.

I, the undersigned, agree to have the aforesaid water plug in front of my residence on south Meridian street, No. 578.

FREDR. KLARE.

That permission be, and is hereby, granted to Christian Lehr to erect water-plug for sprinkling purposes, in front of No. 578 south Meridian street.

Councilman Knodel offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to fill the bad mud holes in the alley in rear of No. 4 Engine House, running from Merrill street to the first alley south. Said alley has been graded and graveled, but now is in an impassable condition.

Councilman Koller offered the following motions; which were adopted:

That the City Civil Engineer be, and is hereby, instructed to set the proper grade stakes for placing a brick sidewalk in front School House No. 14.

That the City Marshal be, and is hereby, directed to notify the Bee Line and Wabash R. R. Co.'s to place larger culverts under their tracks on the west side of Pine street, that the water may run off properly.

That the City Civil Engineer be instructed to examine the north sidewalk of Washington street, between Noble and Davidson streets, and report what is necessary to be done to prevent the water from overflowing the sidewalk at said point.

Councilman Koller offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, instructed to place some broken stone around the fire cistern corner North and Pine streets; also, to open the gutters on Spring street, between New York and Vermont streets.

Councilman Koller presented the following remonstrance; which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with the ordinances, viz., S. O. 10, 11, 46, and 47, 1882:

Indianapolis, May 1, 1882.

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, owners of the entire line of both sides of the square of Highland avenue, between Washington and Market streets, in this city, respectfully remonstrate against the passage of two certain ordinances now pending before your honorable body; one being for the improvement of the roadway of said Highland avenue, between Washington and Ohio streets; and the other for paving and curbing the sidewalks thereof. The undersigned remonstrate to so much of said ordinances as relates to that part of Highland avenue situated between Washington and Market streets, for the following reasons:

That the undersigned, who are the owners of the entire west and east sides of said square along the whole length thereof, have not petitioned for such an improvement as is contemplated by said ordinances; and

That no improvement should be made upon any grade other than that established and adopted by the Board of Public Improvements and your honorable body, which will more fully appear by reference to the grade adopted as the same appears on the plat in the office of the City Engineer.

Very Respectfully,

Fred. Knefler,
Henry Latham,
Chas. Latham.

Councilman Morrison presented the following petition; which was referred to the Judiciary Committee:

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

David F. Ratts would respectfully petition the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis for the allowance of fifty dollars, and as a reason therefor states that: heretofore, to wit, on the 20th day of September, 1880, he entered into a certain contract with the said city to rent and lease the real estate hereinafter described, for the purpose of carrying on a public dumping ground and for disposing of the refuse matter therein set forth, and for no other purpose; and the said city so designated the said grounds. Which said contract is in the following words and figures:

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this 20th day of September, 1880, by and between the City of Indianapolis, party of the first part, and David F. Ratts, party of the second part, witnesseth:

That the party of the first part has this day leased to the party of the second part the following real estate, to-wit: Lots 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, in square 12 of William Braden's Riverside Addition to the City of Indianapolis, Marion county, Indiana, for the term of three years from the 20th day of September, 1880.

The said party of the second part hereby covenants and agrees to pay the said party of the first part, for the use of said premises, and for the privileges granted under this lease, the sum of One Hundred Dollars per annum, payable quarterly in advance.

It is hereby expressly agreed and understood that the said premises are to be used by the party of the second part exclusively as a dumping ground for privy-vault and cesspool cleanings, and such other refuse matter as may be designated by the Board of Health, and as a means of dumping said refuse matter into the current of White river, and for no other purpose whatever.

The said party of the second part is to furnish, at his own expense, all necessary appliances for dumping said refuse matter into the current of the river, opposite or below said premises, at all stages of water, and so conduct and manage said dumping ground that same shall not become offensive or deleterious to health. He shall have personal charge of the dumping of said filth and the cleaning of vessels and vehicles used in hauling the same to said grounds; and shall provide, at his own expense, the necessary help to properly dump said filth and cleanse said vessels. And he is hereby authorized to charge and receive from the persons engaged in hauling said refuse matter to said grounds, for his services in dumping said refuse matter into the river and cleaning said vehicles and vessels, such sum as may be agreed upon with such persons, not exceeding the following sums, to-wit: For privy-vault or cesspool cleaning, 2½ cents per donegan, or 50 cents per load; for garbage and other refuse matter, 25 cents for two-horse loads, and 15 cents for one-horse loads.

The said party of the first part does hereby fix and designate the said premises as a dumping-ground for the refuse matter herein mentioned, for and during the term specified in this contract; unless the use of the same for that purpose should be enjoined by proper legal authority, or the same should become offensive or injurious to health.

The party of the second part hereby covenants and agrees to deliver up the possession of said premises at the expiration of this lease—or sooner, should the city, by her proper health officers, deem it advisable to abandon said premises as a dumping-ground. And on failure to pay rent as hereinbefore stipulated, or should he charge the parties engaged in hauling said refuse matter to said grounds, any sum in excess of the price herein specified for his services in dumping said matter and cleansing said vessels, or should he violate any of the terms and conditions of this lease, then and in such case he shall forfeit all his rights under this lease; and the party of the first part, without notice, shall have the right to enter and take possession of said premises, and expel the occupant thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The parties hereto have set their hands and seals the day and year above written.

DAVID F. RATTS, [SEAL.]

Know all Men by these Presents, That we, David F. Ratts, and Edward Gilbert, and Jackson H. Wright, hereby acknowledge ourselves bound to the City of Indianapolis in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars, for the payment of which we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors and administrators, by these presents.

The condition of this obligation is such, that if the above bound David F. Ratts shall well and truly perform all of the conditions of the above lease, then this obligation shall be void; otherwise, to remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We have hereunto set our hands and seals, this 20th day of September, 1880.

DAVID F. RATTS. [SEAL.]
EDWARD GILBERT. [SEAL.]
JACKSON H. WRIGHT. [SEAL.]

And he would further show to this honorable body, that he entered upon his said contract in good faith and laid out and expended the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars in buying and having made the proper appliances to carry on his said business of dumping and disposing of such refuse matter; and laid out the further sum of fifty dollars in money paid to the city as rent for the said grounds.

And he shows to this honorable body that shortly after he had made every preparation to carry on his said business, and paid to the city said fifty dollars, the city finding that it could not compel persons engaged in hauling the same to convey such matter to said dumping-ground, and abandoned the same.

And inasmuch as the city did so abandon said grounds and its said contract, now therefore your petitioner asks that the city do refund to him the said sum of fifty dollars.

Said David F. Ratts swears that the foregoing statement is true in substance and in fact.

DAVID F. RATTS.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of May, 1882.

HARMON J. EVERETT, Notary Public.

HARMON J. EVERETT, Att'y for petitioner.

Councilman Morrison offered the following motion; which was adopted:

¶ That the Mayor appoint a committee of three (3), together with the City Attorney and the City Civil Engineer, for the purpose of making an examination of the ordinances granting the various railroads entering the city the privilege of crossing streets and alleys of this city, and ascertain if any of said roads are now crossing any streets or alleys where the Council has not by ordinance or otherwise granted said privilege.

Councilman Morrison offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, instructed to put down a double stone crossing on New York street, at the east crossing of Indiana avenue and New York street.

Councilman Pearson presented the following petition; which was referred to the Judiciary Committee and City Attorney:

To the Board of Aldermen and the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—Your petitioner respectfully represents that, on February 11th, 1880, S. A. Fletcher & Co. purchased, at sale of delinquent taxes, the following described real estate, situated in Marion county, to-wit: Thirty (30) by ninety (90) feet southeast corner lot thirty-one (31) out-lot one hundred and one (101) in the City of Indianapolis, paying therefor the sum of \$55. $\frac{5}{100}$, and afterwards paying on accruing taxes the further sum of \$9. $\frac{18}{100}$.

That on July 29th, 1880, your petitioner purchased said real estate, and being the owner thereof, and for the purpose of protecting his title, purchased of said S. A. Fletcher & Co. the said city tax certificate issued in pursuance to said sale, paying therefor the sum of \$92. $\frac{45}{100}$, being the full amount paid on said sale, and also including penalties and costs of sale. The property had been assessed double, and no taxes were due on it.

Wherefore your petitioner asked that the sum of \$92. $\frac{45}{100}$, which sum was paid by him to the said S. A. Fletcher & Co., may be refunded.

GEORGE P. BISSELL, Trustee.

By D. M. BRADBURY, Attorney.

Councilman Pritchard offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That Robert George be granted permission to drain pond of water on Railroad street, between Third and Fourth streets, so as to run the water into the gutter of Third street. The work to be done at his own expense, under the direction of the City Civil Engineer.

Councilman Pritchard presented the following petition and motion; which was received, the prayer of the petition granted, and the motion adopted:

Indianapolis, May 1st, 1882.

We, the citizens living on and adjacent to Sixth and Tennessee streets, hereby give our consent to Henry Love, to erect a hydrant for street sprinkling purposes, on the corner of Sixth and Tennessee streets.

T. S. Prentice, G. W. Eberhardt, Albert Erhart, Jos.
G. Stemen.

This certifies that I give my consent to Henry Love to erect a hydrant for street sprinkling, on the property owned by me, on the corner of Sixth and Tennessee streets, (northwest corner) said hydrant to be erected at the expense of said Henry Love.

WM. SCHAEFER.

That Henry Love be given permission to erect a sprinkling plug on east side of Tennessee street, in front of property owned by Wm. Schaefer, corner of Tennessee and Sixth streets.

Councilman Pritchard presented the following remonstrance; which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with the ordinance, S. O. 57, 1882:

Indianapolis, April 17, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen.—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on Blake street, between Washington street and Indiana avenue, respectfully remonstrate against the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and paving with brick the east sidewalk of said street between the points named, being S. O. 20, 1882.

J. M. Kitchen, 105 feet; R. E. Stanton, by E. S. Field,
his attorney in fact, 138 feet; Wm. Heberd, by E. S.
Field, agent, 35 feet.

Councilman Pearson presented the following remonstrance; which was referred with the above:

Indianapolis, April 29, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen.—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on Blake street, between Washington and New York streets, respectfully remonstrate against the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk of Blake street, between the points named.

William Mansur, 196 feet; Dan. Burton, 72 feet; D. A.
Richardson, 600 feet; Franklin Landers, 300 feet;
Susan C. Sherwood, 35 feet; Jno. A. Benson, 35 feet.

Councilman Pritchard presented the following remonstrance; which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, with the ordinance S. O. 45, 1882:

Indianapolis, April 17, 1882.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, owners of real estate fronting on Linden street, between Orange street and Pleasant Run, respectfully remonstrate against the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling Linden street and sidewalks, between the points above named.

Carrie F. Field, by E. S. Field, agent, 198 feet; D. E. S. Field, by E. S. Field, agent, 66 feet; William S. Hubbard, 30 feet.

Later in the session the action referring the above ordinance, with the remonstrance, to the Committee on Streets and Alleys, was *reconsidered*.

Councilman Reichwein offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, ordered to regulate the gutters, so as to drain the waterpool on East street, north of Washington street, between Court and Washington streets.

Councilman Reichwein offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, ordered to lay stone crossings on Ohio street, crossing Noble street.

Councilman Thalman presented the following petition; which was referred to the Judiciary Committee and City Attorney:

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council, and the

Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Your petitioners, John H. Vajen and James H. Baldwin, would respectfully represent and show, that on the first day of April, 1880, they were respectively owners and holders of capital stock in the Citizens' National Bank, of Indianapolis, as follows: That is to say, John H. Vajen was the owner and holder of three hundred and sixty-six shares of said capital stock, and said James H. Baldwin was the owner of two hundred and forty shares of said capital stock; that each of said shares of said capital stock was of the par or face value of one hundred dollars. And they further represent and show that on the first day of April, 1881, they were severally owners of capital stock in said bank as follows, to-wit: John H. Vajen was the owner and holder of three hundred and ninety-six shares, and said James H. Baldwin was owner and holder of two hundred and forty shares of said capital stock; each of which shares was of the par or face value of one hundred dollars. And they further represent and show, that the Assessor of the city of Indianapolis, did, for said year of 1880, assess and value said stock, for the purposes of taxation, as follows: that is to say, at the rate of fifty-nine and $\frac{99}{100}$ dollars for each and every share of said capital stock held or owned by either of your petitioners; that is to say, the three hundred and sixty-six shares of stock held by John H. Vajen was valued for taxation at the aggregate sum of twenty-one thousand nine hundred and thirty-five and 60-100 dollars, and the said two hundred and forty shares of said capital stock held by said James H. Baldwin, was valued for the purposes of taxation, at the sum of fourteen thousand three hundred and eighty-four dollars; and that the proper city authorities thereafter, according to said valuation, assessed and levied a tax thereon for the year 1880, as against said John H. Vajen, on account of the ownership of said stock, in the sum of two hundred and sixty-one 95-100 dollars; and that they levied and assessed against said James H. Baldwin, on account of the ownership of said capital stock, as a tax for the year 1880, the sum of one hundred

and fifty-three and 91-100 dollars. And they further represent and show, that the Assessor of said city of Indianapolis, for the year 1881, valued said capital stock, for the purposes of taxation, at the rate of eighty-one and 21-100 dollars for each share thereof owned and held by your petitioners respectively; that is to say, the three hundred and ninety-six shares of stock held by said John H. Vajen, was valued for taxation at the aggregate sum of thirty-two thousand one hundred and sixty one and 80-100 dollars, and the said two hundred and forty shares of stock so held by said James H. Baldwin, was valued for the purposes of taxation, at the aggregate sum of nineteen thousand four hundred and ninety-two dollars; and that thereafter, upon said valuation, the proper authorities of the city of Indianapolis assessed and levied a tax for said year 1881, against the holders of said stock as follows: against said John H. Vajen, by reason of his ownership of the said capital stock, the sum of three hundred and forty-four and 13-100 dollars. And your petitioners severally show to the court, that each of them, on said first day of April, 1880, was, and ever since has been, and now is, indebted in a sum in excess of the amount of the valuation of the stock owned by them respectively for either of said years; and they further show that no part of said indebtedness, owing by them severally and respectively, was, or could have been, deducted from any credits due and owing to them respectively, for the reason that neither of them had any credits due and owing to them, from which such indebtedness could have been deducted.

And they further show that after said taxes had been so levied, the tax duplicates for the several years named, in due time came into the hands of the City Treasurer for the purpose of collecting said taxes, and that while said Treasurer of the city of Indianapolis held said duplicates for said years respectively, and while he was engaged in the collection of said taxes, these petitioners, severally, presented themselves to said Treasurer at the time of payment of each of said taxes, and advised said City Treasurer of their said indebtedness, and that the same had not been, and could not be, deducted from credits due these petitioners, for the reason above given, and requesting and demanding that said Assessor should allow them, severally and respectively, to deduct from or set off against the amounts charged against them severally and respectively on account of the ownership of said capital stock, which request and demand of your petitioners was wholly refused by said Treasurer of the city of Indianapolis, who, on the contrary, required and demanded that your petitioners should pay the full amount of said taxes so assessed against them by reason of their ownership of said capital stock, without any reduction or abatement whatever. And they further show that they have not, directly or indirectly, been allowed any reduction whatever on account of said indebtedness; and that thereupon your petitioners, in order to relieve their other property from the lien of said taxes, and to prevent said City Treasurer from proceeding to collect said taxes by levy and sale, or other process of law, these petitioners did severally and respectively pay the amount of said taxes, under protest, and did then and there require said Treasurer to make a note of such protest.

Your petitioners therefore pray your honorable bodies to refund the amounts due to them respectively, on account of said sums so paid by them under protest, with interest from the date of such payment; and as in duty bound, they will ever pray.

And the said John H. Vajen and James H. Baldwin, each being duly sworn, say that the matters and facts stated in the foregoing petition, are true.

JOHN H. VAJEN,
JAS. H. BALDWIN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of April, 1882.

[Seal.]

JOHN S. DUNCAN, Notary Public.

Councilman Ward offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the Finance Committee be added to the Special Committee to whom was referred the garbage question.

Councilman Ward offered the following motion; which was referred to the special committee consisting of Councilmen Ward, Dowling and Cowie, and the Finance Committee:

That the City Clerk advertise for bids for the removal of garbage and slops.

By consent, Councilman Egger offered the following motion; which was referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

That the Street Commissioner be instructed to clean the alley running from Wright street to East street, between Coburn and Dougherty streets, as the same has been graded and graveled.

PENDING ORDINANCES.

The following street improvement ordinances were read, and ordered stricken from the files:

S. O. 61, 130, 142, 155, 1880; S. O. 123, 130, 149, 156, 157, 161, 164, 165, 172, 174, 176, 178, 179, 180, 1881; and S. O. 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, 30, and 32, 1882.

The following street improvement ordinances were read, and referred to the City Attorney and City Civil Engineer, with instructions to prepare new ordinances for introduction:

S. O. 26, 56, 83, 84, 89, 112, 113, 117, 124, 131, 137, 140, 148, 159, 162, 167, 168, 169, 177, 181, 182, 1881; and S. O. 1, 2, 6, 9, 12, 16, 23, 24, 27, 28, and 29, 1882.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

S. O. 45, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveled Linden street and sidewalks, from Orange street to Pleasant Run.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 20—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS, 1—viz. Councilman Pritchard.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

S. O. 48, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for re-grading and bowldering (where not already done) the roadway of Ohio street, from Meridian street to Illinois street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time, ordered engrossed, and read the third time:

S. O. 49, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the alley between Cedar and Grove streets, from Huron street to Fletcher avenue.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time, ordered engrossed, and read the third time :

S. O. 50, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley south of Fletcher avenue, from Cedar street to Grove street.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time, ordered engrossed, and read the third time :

S. O. 51, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the gutters, and widening the sidewalks of Fletcher avenue, from Noble street to Cedar street.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time, ordered engrossed, and read the third time :

S. O. 52, 1882—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the gutters of South street, from East street to Noble street.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 21—viz. Councilmen Bedford, Brundage, Bryce, Caylor, Cole, Dean, Dowling, Egger, Fultz, Harrold, Hartmann, Knodel, Mauer, Morrison, Pearson, Pritchard, Reichwein, Stout, Thalman, Ward, and Yoke.

NAYS—None.

On motion, the Common Council then adjourned.

DANIEL W. GRUBBS, Mayor.

President of the Common Council.

Attest: **JOS. T. MAGNER**, City Clerk.

PROCEEDINGS OF BOARD OF ALDERMEN

REGULAR SESSION—MAY 1, 1882.

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, met in the Aldermanic Chamber, Monday evening, May 1st, A. D. 1882, at eight o'clock, in regular session.

PRESENT—Hon. James T. Layman, President of the Board of Aldermen, in the Chair, and Aldermen DeRuiter, Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Seibert, and Tucker—9.

ABSENT—Alderman Wood—1.

Alderman Tucker offered the following motion; which was adopted:

1 That the Street Commissioner be, and is her-by, directed to rent to one of the employes at Garfield Park the house on said grounds for eight dollars per month, and that the amount be paid monthly to the City Treasurer. Further, that the amount of rent be deducted from any amounts due said tenant.

The Committee on Judiciary, through Alderman Rorison, submitted the following report:

To the President and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Judiciary, with the City Attorney, to whom was referred the motion as to the sale of the property known as the Tomlinson Estate, respectfully recommend its passage, provided it be amended as follows: That the appraisement of 18½ feet east side of lot No. 4, square No. 56, with improvements, be fixed at \$28,000, instead of \$22,000, as now on file in the City Clerk's office. We recommend that the description of property, now on file in the Clerk's office, be corrected as follows: 13¾ feet, instead of 35 feet, in describing part of lot 9, square 35; 52½ feet, instead of 52¼ feet, in describing part of lot 13, square 46; 18½ feet, instead of 18 feet, in describing part of lot 4, square 56.

Respectfully submitted,

Brainard Rorison,
W. H. Tucker,
John Newman,

C. S. DENNY, City Attorney.

On motion, the above report was concurred in, and the amendments adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 5—viz. Aldermen Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, and Tucker.

NAYS, 4—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, Seibert, and President Layman.

Alderman Hamilton offered the following amendment to the original motion, reported on by the above committee :

After "ten days" strike out and insert, "that sealed proposals will be received by the Common Council and Board of Aldermen for ten days thereafter, and city reserves the right to reject any and all bids presented."

And it failed of adoption by the following vote:

AYES, 3—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, and Seibert.

NAYS, 6—viz. Aldermen Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, Tucker, and President Layman.

The motion, as amended by the foregoing report of the Judiciary Committee, was then adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 5 —viz. Aldermen Drew, Mussmann, Newman, Rorison, and Tucker.

NAYS, 4—viz. Aldermen DeRuiter, Hamilton, Seibert, and President Layman.

The Committees on Police Department, Finance and Public Light, through Alderman Rorison, submitted the following report :

To the Mayor and Common Council:

Gentlemen:—Your committee to whom was referred the motion from the Board of Aldermen in reference to improving the efficiency of the police force, beg leave to report that they are in favor of some of the recommendations contained therein; and after a careful consideration of the subject, report that they are in favor of adding to the present force four men, two of whom shall be and remain at the Central Station during the day time, and two during the night; said men to be furnished with a horse and wagon for service at all times, for the purpose of going on call to any portion of the city to convey prisoners in charge of officers to said Central Station. We would further report that telephone service to carry out the above proposed changes in the force has been arranged for at slight cost.

Respectfully submitted,

H. B. Stout,
Ed. Brundage,
Police Board.

Your committees report in favor of concurring in the Council's action on above recommendations from the Police Board.

Brainard Rorison,
W. H. Tucker,
D. Mussmann.

Alderman Hamilton objected to the above report, for the reason that the members of the committees had not been properly notified of any meeting, and moved that the report be *recommitted*.

Alderman Rorison moved to amend above motion, by the Board of Aldermen resolving itself into a Committee of the Whole.

Alderman Seibert then raised the point of order, that Alderman Rorison's motion was *out of order*, for the reason that the matter had not been properly considered in regular committee meeting.

The Chair *sustained* the point of order.

Alderman Rorison moved to amend Alderman Hamilton's] motion to recommit, in order that the papers may be sent to Mr. Hamilton, and that he call the committees together, and make report at the next regular meeting.

Which motion, as an amendment, was adopted, and the *motion to recommit*, as amended, was then adopted.

President Layman presented the following preamble and resolution; which, on motion by Alderman Rorison, was referred to the Committee on Railroads and Public Charities:

Indianapolis, Ind., April 28, 1882.

To the President and Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I have the honor to report the adoption, by unanimous vote of the Board of Trade, of the following preamble and resolution:

WHEREAS, The railroads entering the city have lately increased the charges for receiving and delivering freight on private switches fifty per cent., and without adequate protection from our City Council, are liable at any time to further increase said charges; therefore,

Resolved, That we earnestly represent to the honorable Council and Board of Aldermen the importance of inserting a clause in every ordinance granting rights or franchises to any railway company, a clause that will require said company to deliver and receive freight in car loads, including the delivery and return of empty cars, and including track service on private switches now or hereafter laid, and Union Railway tracks within the city limits, for a sum not exceeding one dollar per car.

Respectfully,

H. C. WILSON, Sec'y.

Alderman Seibert, in behalf of the Committee on Streets and Alleys, submitted the following report; which was concurred in, and the action of the Common Council (see page 1221, *ante*) approved:

To the President and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Committee on Streets and Alleys, Sewers and Drainage, recommend that the action of the Council providing a cistern at or near the intersection of Deloss and Reed streets be concurred in.

H. Seibert,
H. E. Drew,
D. DeRuiter,
Committee.

On motion, the Board of Aldermen then adjourned.

JAMES T. LAYMAN, President.

Attest: GEO. T. BREUNIG, Clerk.