

PROCEEDINGS OF BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

REGULAR SESSION—MARCH 9, 1881.

The Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis met in the Aldermanic Chamber, on Wednesday evening, March 9th, A. D. 1881, at half-past seven o'clock, in regular session.

PRESENT—His Honor, James T. Layman, Vice-President, in the Chair, and Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood—7.

ABSENT—Aldermen Grubbs, Tucker and President Coburn—3.

The Proceedings of the Board of Aldermen, for the regular session held on February 23d, 1881, having been printed, and placed on the desks of the Aldermen, said Journals were approved as published.

MESSAGES AND PAPERS FROM THE COMMON COUNCIL.

The following message was read and received:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith transmit to your honorable body certain papers, favorably passed upon by the Common Council, at its regular session, held on Monday evening, March 7th, 1881, for your action upon the same.

For the Common Council:

JOS. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

The report from the Committee on Contracts was read; which, on motion by Alderman Drew, was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys and Public Light:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Your Joint Committees on Contracts and Streets and Alleys, having the matter of placing the names of streets on street corners referred back to us for reconsideration, would report: After having re-examined all the plans and proposals, we are still of the opinion that the plans and proposals of J. W. Bove & Co. and J. W. Springstein are the lowest and best.

J. W. Bove & Co., street lamp sign, made of malleable iron, blacked, and put in the lamps for eighteen (18) cents per sign. Believing that J. W. Bove & Co. is the lowest and best bidder, we recommend they be awarded the contract.

For placing the names of streets on the house corners, J. W. Springstein bids ten (10) cents per each tin sign. Believing the bid of J. W. Springstein is the lowest and best, we recommend he be awarded the contract; provided, the said tin sign is made of the best block tin, painted with two coats of black, or japauned, and two inch white letters, and placed on corners where there are no lamps.

We further recommend that only two malable iron signs be placed in each lamp, and the tin sign to be put up in such quantities and on such streets as may be directed by the City Civil Engineer.

Respectfully submitted,
John Newman,
Aldermanic Committee.

Wm. H. Morrison,
John A. Lang,
Isaac Thalman,
E. H. Koller,
Council Committee.

The following report from the City Clerk was read:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—I herewith report the following entitled affidavits, now on file in my office, for the collection of street assessments by precepts, to-wit:

Smith & Sylvester vs. John G. Blake, for.....	\$21 80
C. T. Langhorne vs. George W. McAlpine, trustee, for.....	14 08
August Richter vs. Anna M. Southard, for.....	58 50
August Richter vs. Francis S. Mallock, for.....	45 00
August Richter vs. Clarrissa H. Young, for.....	24 30
August Richter vs. Azel B. Brown, for.....	90 00
August Richter vs. James James, for.....	45 00
August Richter vs. Susan C. Dickson, for.....	22 50
August Richter vs. Susan C. Dickson, for.....	45 00
August Richter vs. Riley Foster, for.....	45 00
August Richter vs. Blanche Caldwell, for.....	54 00

And recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Jos. T. MAGNER, City Clerk.

On motion, the action of the Common Council (see page 921, *ante*) in approving the report was concurred in; and the precepts ordered to issue by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussman, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following report from the City Attorney was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 923, *ante*) was concurred in:

Indianapolis, March 7th, 1881.

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—In obedience to your instructions, I herewith submit a report as to the conditions upon which lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Metzger & Robinius' subdivision in square 70 can be redeemed from the tax sale had February 13th, 1879. Upon examination, I found that the lots referred to had not only been sold for taxes for the years 1877 and 1878, but they had also been carried on the duplicate for the years 1879 and 1880, and the holder of the certificate, to prevent a sale, had paid the taxes for those years. The taxes for the years 1879 and 1880 were assessed against the lots contrary to the order of the Board of Commissioners exempting them; and the attention of the Auditor having been called to this, he has ordered a refunding of the taxes for those years to the holder of the certificate.

This would leave the amount to be paid in redemption the sum of \$761.03, with penalty and interest. Mr. Locke, the holder of the tax certificate, proposes, however, to accept the amount of his bid at tax sale, \$161.03; and 25 per cent, additional in lieu of penalty and interest. Of this amount, the city is liable for such

portion thereof as the amount of the taxes for 1878 bears to the whole amount, and Mr. Ogden, the owner of the property, should pay the balance.

I would suggest that, in case the city should redeem from the tax sale and pay the full amount, the certificate be assigned to the city.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN A. HENRY, City Attorney.

The following report from the Fire Board was read, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see pages 923 and 924, *ante*) was concurred in:

Indianapolis, March 4th, 1881.

To His Honor, the Mayor, Members of the Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Your Fire Board and Chief Engineer would respectfully report that we have considered the motion referred to us in regard to advertising for proposals for building a 1,500-barrel cistern at the corner of Seventh and Howard streets. We believe a cistern is badly needed at that point; and would recommend that the motion be concurred in, and that the Civil Engineer be directed to advertise for a 2,000-barrel cistern.

Respectfully submitted,

James T. Layman,

John R. Pearson,

Isaac Thalman,

Fire Board.

JOHN G. PENDERGAST, Chief Fire Engineer.

The following report from the Board of Public Improvements was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council in approving the several recommendations (see page 926, *ante*) was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—The Board of Public Improvements, to whom sundry papers were referred, would report:

1st. Is a motion that the Street Commissioner be instructed to place wooden culverts at the crossing of West and Pratt streets. Recommend the work be done.

2d. Is a motion, that the Street Commissioner be directed to lay double stone crossing across South street on both sides of Illinois street. Recommend the work be done.

3d. Is a motion that the Street Commissioner be instructed to put the drinking fountain at the corner of College avenue and Seventh street in good condition.

4th. Is a motion that the Street Commissioner be directed to put a double stone crossing on south side of Massachusetts avenue, crossing Alabama street. The intention of this motion was to read Delaware instead of Alabama street. Recommend the work be done at Delaware street.

5th. Is a motion that the Street Commissioner be directed to repair and put in good order the flag stone crossing across Pennsylvania street at Postoffice corner. Recommend that the work be done.

6th. Is a motion that the Street Commissioner raise and put in good condition the alley crossing of sidewalk adjoining the New-Denison Hotel on Pennsylvania street. Recommend the work be done.

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. H. Morrison,

Edward H. Dean,

Board of Public Improvements.

The Mortality report from the Board of Health (see page 928, *ante*) was read and received:

Report of Deaths in the City of Indianapolis, from the 15th day of February to the 28th day of February, 1881—inclusive.

Under 1 year	13
1 to 2 years.....	5
2 to 5 "	3
5 to 10 "	3
10 to 15 "	5
15 to 20 "	5
20 to 25 "	5
25 to 30 "	7
30 to 40 "	5
40 to 50 "	8
50 to 60 "	3
60 to 70 "	4
70 to 80 "	5
80 to 90 "	0
90 to 100 "	1
100 and upwards.....	0
Unknown.....	0
Total.....	72

Respectfully,
 E. S. ELDER, M. D., President.
 W. E. JEFFRIES, M. D., Secretary.

The following report from the City Commissioners was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see pages 929 and 930, *ante*) was concurred in:

To His Honor, the Mayor, Common Council and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—In pursuance of the call issued by the City Clerk on the petition of the Indianapolis Rolling Mill Company et al, by the direction of the City Council and Board of Aldermen, the following Commissioners met on the 28th day of February, 1881, viz: James C. Yohn, Michael Steinhauer, Geo. W. Hill and Wm. Hadley, at the office of the City Clerk, and, after consideration of the matter contained in said petition, we adjourned to meet the 2d day of March, 1881.

In pursuance of said adjournment, we met at the office of City Clerk on the 2d day of March, 1881.—the following Commissioners present, viz: James C. Yohn, Michael Steinhauer, Wm. Hadley and Geo. W. Hill. At said meeting your Commissioners made the following finding and report, which we now respectfully submit:

We have viewed the streets and alleys proposed to be vacated, and we find that the heirs of James H. McKernan, deceased, are the persons who will be directly benefitted by the proposed vacation.

We further find that all the property owners immediately upon the line of said streets and alleys, and who are directly interested therein, and who would in anywise be affected by the vacation of the same, have joined in said petition and become parties to the same.

We further find that all the necessary and proper parties affected by the proposed vacation, have been before us, in person or by attorney, in said petition, and that all have asked for, and none have objected to, said vacation.

We further find that the expense of obtaining the consent and removing the objections of those parties interested in said streets and alleys, and affected by the vacation of the same, will be about seven thousand dollars (\$7,000), and that said expenses will be borne by the said Indianapolis Rolling Mill Company and the heirs of James H. McKernan, deceased, who are the parties directly benefitted by said vacation.

In connection with the report we find,

First. The following to be the length, width and location of the streets and alleys proposed to be vacated:

1st. Catharine street, from Mississippi street east to Tennessee street, in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 125; being 465 feet long by 30 feet in width.

2d. Catharine street, from the first alley east of Missouri street to the eastern terminus in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 126; being 202 feet long on the north side and 243 feet long on the south side, and 60 feet in width.

3d. Mississippi street, from Merrill street to McCarty street, in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lots 125 and 126; being 840 feet long by 30 feet in width.

4th. Ann street, from Catharine street to McCarty street, in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 125; being 390 feet long by 60 feet wide.

5th. The first alley lying north of McCarty street, from the first alley east of Missouri street to its eastern terminus in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 126; being 300 feet long on the north side and 307 feet and 8 inches long on the south side, and 16 feet wide, more or less.

6th. The alley lying northeast of lots 22 and 28 in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 126, from its beginning at the northeast corner of said lot 22 to its intersection with Mississippi street on the east side of said lot 28; being 352½ feet long, more or less, and 15 feet wide.

7th. The first alley west of Tennessee street, from Catharine street south to a point even with a line drawn 20 feet north of the south line of lots 5 and 22 in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 125; being 130 feet long and 10 feet wide.

Second. The value of the land upon which said streets and alleys are located is six thousand five hundred and fifty dollars (\$6,550).

Third. The benefits to the persons desiring the vacation is \$102.

Fourth. That all the property owners or persons who are in anywise interested in said streets and alleys, and who had a right to object to the vacation of the same, have joined in and become parties to the petition praying for the vacation of the same.

We therefore recommend that said petition be granted, and that said streets and alleys be vacated as prayed for.

James C. Yohn,
William Hadley,
M. Steinhauer,
Geo. W. Hill,
City Commissioners.

The following resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 930, *ante*) was read:

Resolved, That the report of the City Commissioners in the matter of the petition of the Indianapolis Rolling Mill Company et al., for the vacation of certain streets and alley therein described, and presented to the Common Council at the present session, be, and the same is hereby, in all things accepted, adopted and approved; and that, in accordance with said report, the following streets and alleys be ordered vacated as follows, to-wit:

1st. Catharine street, from Mississippi street east to Tennessee street.

2d. Catharine street, from the first alley east of Missouri street to the eastern terminus in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 126.

3d. Mississippi street, from Merrill street to McCarty street, in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 125 and 126.

4th. Ann street, from Catharine to McCarty street, in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 125.

5th. The first alley lying north of McCarty street, from the first alley east of Missouri street to its eastern terminus in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 126.

6th. The alley lying northeast of lots 22 and 28 in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 126, from its beginning at the northeast corner of said lot 22 to its intersection with Mississippi street, on the east side of said lot 28.

7th. The first alley west of Tennessee street, from Catharine street south to a point even with a line drawn 20 feet north of the south line of lots 5 and 22 in McKernan & Pierce's subdivision of out-lot 125.

And that the persons against whom benefits are assessed be required to pay the same to the City Treasurer within twenty days from this date.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following report from the Finance Committee was read :

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen.—Your Joint Committee on Finance, to whom sundry papers were referred, would report :

1st. Is a resolution that the Joint Finance Committees contract with some suitable person to look after the city's interest relative to unassessed property. In the opinion of the committee, it is the duty of the City Assessor and Treasurer to use full diligence to make assessments and collections of taxes on all property. Therefore, recommend the resolution be not adopted.

2d. Is a report of the Board of Managers and Treasurer of the Home for Friendless Women for 1880. We have examined the report, and believe the same to be correct. Recommend the report be adopted.

3d. Is the contract and bond of the Indianapolis Fertilizer Company for removing dead animals from within the city limits. This contract was awarded said company last August for \$110. Said amount has been paid into the City Treasury, and a receipt given. Therefore, we recommend the contract and bond be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

James T. Layman,	Leon Kahn,
F. W. Hamilton,	James T. Dowling,
Aldermanic Committee.	E. H. Koller,
	John R. Pearson,
	Council Committee.

On motion, the action of the Common Council in approving the above report, and approving the contract and bond of the Indianapolis Fertilizer Company (see page 931, *ante*) was concurred in.

The following report from the Judiciary Committee was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 931, *ante*) was concurred in :

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Gentlemen.—Your Judiciary Committee and City Attorney, to whom was referred the petition of Wm. J. Wallace, asking that certain damages assessed by the City Commissioners in the opening of Court street be paid to him, report :

We found, upon examination, that the Commissioners assessed the sum of \$100 as damages to the owner of a piece of ground 25 by $36\frac{1}{10}$ feet in the northwest corner of out-lot 65, taken and condemned in the opening of Court street. The appropriation was accordingly made, but the warrant has never been issued, for the reason that the Clerk was unable to determine who was the party entitled to the

same; and the matter has so remained for several years. Mr. Wallace has procured a quit claim deed to the city from the Laux heirs, who appear by the records to be the legal owners of the property, accompanied with a proper abstract of title. He has also obtained power of attorney, authorizing him to draw the money.

We therefore recommend that the City Clerk be authorized to deliver said warrant to said William Jno. Wallace, taking proper voucher therefor.

Respectfully submitted, William C. Lamb,
James T. Dowling,
James A. Pritchard,
Judiciary Committee.

JOHN A. HENRY, City Attorney.

The following clauses in the report from the Judiciary Committee was read; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see pages 931 and 932) was concurred in:

1. The first is a petition of Mary M. Springer, asking the payment of a certain judgment in her favor against the city for the sum of fifteen hundred dollars, on account of personal injuries received by reason of defect in West Michigan street fill. The case was tried at the November term, 1880, of Superior Court, resulting in above judgment. Motion for new trial filed by the city was overruled by the court. The petitioner offers to accept nine hundred and fifty dollars in full satisfaction of her judgment, and your Committee are of the opinion that the proposition under the facts is the best that can be done, and therefore recommend that the petitioner, Mary M. Springer, be paid the sum of nine hundred and fifty dollars and costs, and receipt judgement docket in full. This case is one of several in which the city has been mulched in damages on account of the West Michigan street fill. Your committee heartily concur in the suggestion of Mr. Henry, City Attorney, that some steps should be taken to insure security to travelers on this street; for if left in its present condition, the city may expect to be called upon to respond in heavy damages in the future—as in the past.

3. The third is the petition of Frank H. Mitchell, trustee, by William C. Anderson, petitioner, showing that on the 12th day of February, 1880, at city tax sale, petitioner purchased lot No. sixty-three (63) in Steven's subdivision of out-lot one hundred and three (103), and paid City Treasurer the sum of thirty-nine dollars and eleven cents (\$39.11). Petition further shows that the sale was erroneous, from the fact that the property was assessed in another name and sold in that name, the property being double on the duplicate, and taxes for which property was sold having been paid in that name. Your committee were informed by City Treasurer that the foregoing facts were true. Petitioner asks that the money paid at said tax sale be refunded to him. Your committee recommend that the city's portion of said (\$39.11) be refunded without interest, and that the City Treasurer present bill to School Board for that part of said fund paid to them as school tax.

4. The fourth is a petition of George W. Stubbs, showing that on the 12th day February, 1880, at city tax sale, he purchased lot two in Hendrick's subdivision, in out-lot No. ninety-nine (99), for which he paid ten dollars and eighty-two cents (\$10.82). Petitioner shows above sale to have been erroneous, for the reason that the property was assessed double on the duplicate; and asks that the money be refunded with interest. Your committee were informed by City Treasurer that the above real estate was sold in the name of Streight, and taxes were paid in the name of Stubbs. Your committee recommend that the city's portion of said \$10.82 be refunded without interest, and that the City Treasurer present bill to School Board for that part of said fund paid to them as school tax.

The following report from the Committee on Railroads was read, and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 933, *ante*) was concurred in:

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen.—Your Joint Committees on Railroads, to whom was referred a motion to inquire into the feasibility of compelling the railroad companies whose tracks cross Virginia avenue to erect and maintain safety-gates at said crossing, report :

Your committee are of the opinion that for the better protection of travel on said street, safety-gates should be placed at said crossing. Therefore, recommend that the City Marshal be, and is hereby, directed to notify the Pan-Handle and Union Railway Companies to erect and maintain safety-gates at the crossing of Virginia avenue and their tracks.

Respectfully submitted,

H. Seibert,
F. W. Hamilton,
Aldermanic Committee.

N. Yoke,
W. G. White,
W. E. Shilling,
Council Committee.

The following clause of the report from the Committee on Streets and Alleys was read ; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 934, *ante*) was concurred in :

To the Mayor, Common Council, and Board of Aldermen :

Gentlemen.—Your Joint Committees on Streets and Alleys, to whom sundry papers were referred, would report :

1st. Is a petition of John Coburn et al. to change and alter the location of an alley in the southwest quarter of square 38, and to vacate a portion thereof. We have examined and investigated the matter, and, in our opinion, the same should be referred to the City Commissioners. We, therefore, recommend the accompanying resolution be adopted.

2d. Is a remonstrance of H. S. Hutchins et al. against the improvement of the alley running from Seventh street to Eighth street, between Delaware and Alabama streets. We have examined the locality, and are of the opinion that said alley should be improved.

Respectfully submitted,

H. E. Drew,
John Newman,
W. H. Tucker,
Aldermanic Committee.

Jno. T. Downey,
Wm. H. Morrison,
John A. Lang,
Council Committee.

The following resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 934, *ante*) was read :

Resolved, That the petition of John Coburn et al., praying for the vacation of a certain portion of a ten (10) foot alley, commencing at Hudson alley in square 38, and fully described in the plat thereto attached, be referred to the City Commissioners, with instructions to assess benefits and damages to any person thereby benefitted or damaged. The said commissioners are instructed to return, as a part of their report, all petitions and notices ; and if any property owner immediately upon the line of said street or alley, who is directly interested therein, shall object to such vacation, to make such a part of their report ; and the City Clerk is hereby directed to issue the proper notices to the commissioners.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following report was read ; and the favorable action of the Common Council thereon (see page 935, *ante*) was concurred in :

Indianapolis, March 7th, 1881.

To the Common Council and Board of Aldermen City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—The undersigned, to whom was referred the communication of the "Evangelical Alliance" and others, in reference to the alleged violation of certain laws and ordinances of the city, would report that they have had the same under consideration, and herewith report the same back with the recommendation that the Police Board be authorized and directed to instruct the Chief of Police to at once see that all laws and ordinances of said city are enforced.

Wm. C. Lamb,	James A. Pritchard
Will F. A. Bernbamer,	H. J. Prier,
J. Caven, Mayor,	Jno. T. Downey,
John A. Henry, City Attorney,	James T. Dowling,
	Jas. T. Layman.

The following motion was read; and referred to the Committee on Water:

That the City Civil Engineer be, and is hereby, directed to advertise for sealed proposals to furnish and erect a drinking fountain at the southeast corner of Downey street and Madison avenue.

The following motions (adopted by the Common Council—see pages 939 and 942, *ante*) were severally concurrently adopted:

That the City Clerk be instructed to provide for the better lighting of the east and west entrance of the basement to the Court House, and request the County Commissioners to place hand rails on each side of the steps at said entrances.

That the City Clerk be instructed to have eight hundred copies of the Garbage Ordinance printed, and posted in and about the city.

That the City Marshal be, and is hereby, instructed to endeavor to find the parties who erected the large bill board on the south side of Washington street, corner of Missouri street, and notify the owners thereof to immediately take down said bill board, as it is dangerous to the safety of the general public, from its close proximity to the C., I., St. L. & C. Railroad, rendering it almost impossible for the public to see approaching trains.

That the City Civil Engineer be instructed to draft a map showing location of all fire alarm boxes, telegraph lines, cisterns, hydrants, etc., pertaining to the Fire Department, under instructions of the Chief Fire Engineer.

That the Chief Fire Engineer and Fire Board be directed to sell the old hook and ladder truck.

The following motions were read; and the action of the Common Council thereon (see pages 939 and 941, *ante*) was concurrently adopted:

That the Street Commissioner be, and is hereby, directed to repair the extreme southern portion of Mississippi street and the street leading thereto from the Bluff road.

That the Street Commissioner be and is hereby, ordered to repair the sidewalk at the northeast corner of Tennessee street and Michigan street, said sidewalk being in bad condition.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 939, *ante*) was referred to the Committee on Public Light, with power to act:

That the lamp on the corner of Noble and Vermont streets be replaced and relighted.

The following resolution (adopted by the Common Council—see page 940, *ante*) was read:

Resolved, That in addition to tickets issued to wood sellers on the East and West Markets of the city, the Wood Measurers be instructed to mark in plain figures on end of wagons the amount of wood on each of such wagon, and the Clerk is hereby instructed to notify said officers of the passage of this resolution.

And it was concurrently adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 6—*viz.* Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman and Wood.

NAYS, 1—*viz.* Aldermen Seibert.

The following motion (adopted by the Common Council—see page 942, *ante*) was not concurrently adopted:

That the City Clerk be directed to credit the proceeds of the sale of St. Joseph street Hose Reel House to Fire Department account.

The following entitled ordinances (passed by the Common Council—see pages 935, 936 and 937, *ante*) were severally read the first time:

Ap. O. 12, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the Fire Department.

Ap. O. 13, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis on account of the City Hospital and Branch.

Ap. O. 14, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station-Houses.

Ap. O. 15, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis.

Ap. O. 16, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery, and Advertising.

This being the regular appropriation night, the foregoing entitled Appropriation Ordinances were placed on their final passage without suspension of the rules.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

Ap. O. 12, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis on account of the Fire Department. [Amount appropriated \$411.80.]

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—*viz.* Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

Ap. O. 13, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of the City Hospital and Branch. [Amount appropriated, \$827.22.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

Ap. O. 14, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Station-Houses. [Amount appropriated, \$328.34.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

Ap. O. 15, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis. [Amount appropriated, \$14,733.82.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :

Ap. O. 16, 1881—An Ordinance appropriating money for the payment of sundry claims against the City of Indianapolis, on account of Printing, Stationery and Advertising. [Amount appropriated, \$327.74.]

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinances (passed by the Common Council—see pages 943 to 946, *ante*) were severally read the first time :

G. O. 2, 1881—An Ordinance fixing the amount of license to be paid by the Capitol Theater, situate No. 141 West Washington street.

G. O. 5, 1881—An Ordinance to amend an Ordinance entitled, "An Ordinance granting Charles T. Gilmore a license to carry on, maintain and exhibit a museum and menagerie in the City of Indianapolis." Ordained June 23, 1880.

S. O. 4, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the west gutter of West street, from Kentucky avenue to a point one hundred feet south of Kentucky avenue.

- S. O. 5, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley west of Alabama street, from Seventh street to the State ditch.
- S. O. 6, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and bowldering the first alley South of Georgia street, from Illinois street to Tennessee street.
- S. O. 7, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing with stone the gutters and paving with brick the north sidewalk (where not already bowldered, curbed or paved) of Georgia street, from Illinois street to Mississippi street.
- S. O. 8, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling East Pearl street and sidewalks, from Benton street to the first alley east of Benton street.
- S. O. 104, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for the erection of lamp-posts, lamps and fixtures (complete to burn gas, except the service-pipes,) on California street, between Indiana avenue and First street.

Alderman Mussman moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of placing G. O. 2 and 5, 1881, and S. O. 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, 1881, and S. O. 104, 1880, on their final passage.

Which motion was adopted and the rules suspended by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

G. O. 2, 1881—An Ordinance fixing the amount of license to be paid by the Capitol Theater, situate at 141 West Washington street.

And it failed to pass by the following vote:

AYES, 4—viz. Aldermen Drew, Mussmann, Newman, and Wood.

NAYS, 3—viz. Aldermen Hamilton, Layman and Seibert.

On motion, the above action was reconsidered by the following vote:

AYES, 6—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS, 1—viz. Aldermen Newman.

The above ordinance, G. O. 2, 1881, was then referred to the Judiciary Committee.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time; and referred to the Judiciary Committee:

G. O. 5, 1881—An Ordinance to amended an Ordinance entitled, "An Ordinance granting Charles T. Gilmore a license to carry on, maintain and exhibit a museum and menagerie in the City of Indianapolis." Ordained June 23, 1880.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second time, and referred to the Board of Public Improvements:

S. O. 4, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading, bowldering and curbing the west gutter of West street, from Kentucky avenue to a point one hundred feet south of Kentucky avenue.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 5, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley west of Alabama street, from Seventh street to the State ditch.

And passed by the following vote

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 6, 1881—An Ordinance to provide for grading and bowldering the first alley south of Georgia street, from Illinois street to Tennessee street.

And passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

S. O. 7, 1881, was, on motion, referred to the Board of Public Improvements.

S. O. 8, 1881, was, on motion, referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

S. O. 104, 1880, was, on motion, referred to the Committee on Public Light.

REPORTS, ETC., FROM COMMITTEES.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys (through Aldermen Drew) submitted the following report; which was concurred in:

To the President and Board of Aldermen:

Gentlemen:—Your Committee on Streets and Alleys, to whom was referred the resolution to change the name of Wilson street to Newman street, would report: We find no objections to said change being made. Therefore, recommend said resolution be adopted.

Respectfully submitted,

John Newman,
H. E. Drew,
Aldermanic Committee on Streets and Alleys.

The resolution (see page 915, *ante*) referred to in said report was read and adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussman, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

Alderman Drew presented the following resignation:

To the President and Members of the Board of Aldermen,
and the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

Gentlemen:—I hereby tender my resignation as member of the Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, for the Third Aldermanic District of said city; the same to take effect, May 1st, 1881.

DANIEL W. GRUBBS,
Member of the Board of Aldermen for the Third Aldermanic District.

Alderman Drew offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, Daniel W. Grubbs, a member of the Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, for the Third Aldermanic District, has tendered his resignation as such member, to take effect May 1st, 1881; and,

WHEREAS, By reason of said resignation, a vacancy will exist in the office of the Third Aldermanic District after said date; therefore,

Resolved, That the resignation of said Daniel W. Grubbs, as a member of the Board of Aldermen of the City of Indianapolis, for the Third Aldermanic District of said city, be accepted, to take effect May 1st, 1881.

Resolved, That an election be held in the several wards composing said Third Aldermanic District on the first Tuesday in May, 1881, at the same time and place and in the same manner that the general city election is held, for the purpose of electing a member of the Board of Aldermen for said district, to fill the unexpired term of the said Daniel W. Grubbs, and that due notice be given of such election, as required by law.

Which was adopted by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

Alderman Hamilton offered the following motion; which was adopted:

That the Committee on Judiciary and City Attorney be directed to report what steps are necessary in order to change the boundary limits of the city, so as to exclude certain territory heretofore annexed, and which receives no practicable benefits from the municipal government.

Alderman Seibert moved to reconsider the vote by which G. O. 2 and G. O. 5, 1881, was referred to the Judiciary Committee, and that the said ordinance be laid over until the next regular meeting.

Which motion was adopted, and the action of the Board of Aldermen reconsidered by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

PENDING ORDINANCES.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 1, 1881—An ordinance to provide for bowldering the gutters and curbing with stone the sidewalks of Market street, from Missouri street to Blackford street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 156, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for grading and paving with brick the west sidewalk of Park avenue, between Cherry street and Gregg street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 89, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley west of Virginia avenue, from Buchanan street to Dougherty street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Laymen, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 146, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for re-graveling Hill avenue, from Columbia avenue to Darwin street.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times:

S. O. 147, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for re-graveling Columbia avenue, from Malott avenue to Hill avenue.

And it was passed by the following vote:

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :
 S. O. 148, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for re-graveling Malott avenue, from Alvord street to Columbia avenue.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :
 S. O. 149, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Baltimore avenue, from the intersection of Hill avenue to the north corporate limits.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

The following entitled ordinance was read the second and third times :
 S. O. 150, 1880—An Ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Hill avenue, from Darwin street to the intersection of Baltimore avenue.

And it was passed by the following vote :

AYES, 7—viz. Aldermen Drew, Hamilton, Layman, Mussmann, Newman, Seibert and Wood.

NAYS—None.

On motion, the Board of Aldermen then adjourned.

Attest : *Louis J. Layman* Vice President.
Geo. S. Brumby, Clerk.