

## REGULAR MEETING

Monday, August 15, 1927, 7:30 P. M.

The Common Council of the City of Indianapolis met in regular session in the Council Chamber at 7:30 P. M., Monday, August 15, 1927, President Claude E. Negley in the chair.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Hon. Claude E. Negley, President, and eight members, viz.: O. Ray Albertson, O. E. Bartholomew, W. R. Dorsett, M. W. Ferguson, B. J. Moore, Edward B. Raub, Robert E. Springsteen, Dr. A. H. Todd.

On motion of Mr. Dorsett, seconded by Dr. Todd, the reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

## COMMUNICATIONS FROM MAYOR.

August 2, 1927.

*To the President and Honorable Members of the Common Council,  
Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—I have this day approved with my signature and delivered to Wm. A. Boyce, Jr., City Clerk, General Ordinance No. 84, 1927.

“AN ORDINANCE to repeal General Ordinance No. 15, 1927, an ordinance ‘authorizing the sale of One thousand Seven Hundred (1,700) bonds of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars each of the City of Indianapolis, payable from the Sinking Fund of said city or as may be required by law for the purpose of procuring money to be used for the purpose of constructing two (2) new ward buildings, each four stories in height; one new service building; one power plant building, tunnels, drains, driveways, sidewalks, machinery, engine and for general improvement, furnishings and equipment, and for the payment for labor and architectural services, on land owned or acquired by the city for hospital purposes, providing for legal notices and for the time and manner of advertising sales of bonds and all receipts of bids for the same, together with the mode and terms of sale, appropriating the proceeds of said sale of said bonds to the Department of Health and

Charities of said city and fixing the time when the same shall take effect,' and designating a time when the same shall take effect."

Yours very truly,

J. L. DUVALL,  
Mayor.

August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council  
of Indianapolis:*

Gentlemen—I have this day submitted to your honorable body the proposed 1928 budget and tax levies, which had been transmitted and recommended to me by the City Controller, as required by law.

In addition, the City Controller has recommended that a special tax of fifty cents on each \$100 be levied in 1928 to provide money with which to pay the impending tax refunds. I am transmitting his letter to me on that subject to you, and submitting it for your consideration and action.

Yours,

JOHN L. DUVALL,  
Mayor.

August 15, 1927.

*The Honorable John L. Duvall, Mayor of Indianapolis:*

Dear Sir—I have transmitted to you today the proposed 1928 budget and tax levies, as revised by me, showing my estimate of a need for a total tax levy of \$1.195 for the year 1928.

In addition, I desire at this time to recommend that the civil city make a special levy of fifty cents on each \$100 of taxable property in the year 1928 to provide money with which to pay the impending 1919, 1920 and 1921 tax refunds.

You are aware that the Supreme Court of our State has awarded judgments to some taxpayers whose property was horizontally increased in 1919. The county auditor has been advised that all taxpayers whose property was similarly horizontally increased in 1919 are entitled to the refund. He has estimated that the total amount of principal and interest that could be claimed from the civil city is \$3,253,524.66. The civic affairs department of the Indianapolis Chamber of Commerce estimated the amount to be \$3,252,614.00. I have checked the estimates and find them to be approximately correct. A tax levy of fifty cents on each \$100 of taxable property would raise \$3,269,616 on the 1927 total appraisement of \$653,923,340. Shrinkage on account of delinquency would yet enable the city to pay the total amount of refunds and interest as estimated by the county auditor.

It is true that the state board of tax commissioners, and some attorneys believe it is possible to defeat the refund in court, and I

am in hearty sympathy with such a solution of the problem, if it can be reached legally and fairly.

I am informed that litigation will be instituted soon to prevent the refunds. Leading attorneys disagree as to whether that litigation will be successful. In the event it should fail, the civil city must be prepared to pay its share of the refunds. It is to meet this eventuality that I recommend the special levy.

I am recommending the special levy for this purpose, as the means of raising the money, because I believe a bond issue for such a purpose would not be legal. In this I am guided by the opinion of our Legal Department and by the opinion given to the civics affairs department of the Chamber of Commerce by Mr. Frederick E. Matson.

I suggest that the Council receive an opinion from the Legal Department as to whether this levy must be made a part of the general fund levy or may be made as a separate and special levy. I believe, if possible, it should stand apart from other levies, so that, if there should be final determination of the questions at issue in court, ruling against the refunds, it could be made possible to eliminate this levy from the tax duplicates.

Yours,

W. C. BUSER,  
City Controller.

August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council  
of Indianapolis:*

Gentlemen—I have received from Wm. C. Buser, City Controller, the budget estimates for the year 1928, together with the tax levies for various departments in the City of Indianapolis as he recommends.

I sincerely believe that Mr. Buser has given these estimates very careful consideration and has only allowed appropriations where he deems it absolutely necessary. I have gone over his estimates and cannot see where I can make any changes that would insure efficiency in the departments to any greater extent.

I therefore, in compliance with Section 10306, Burns Revised Indiana Statutes 1926, recommend to your honorable body that the budget estimates and the tax levies for the various taxing units, which I am submitting to you herewith, be passed by your honorable body as recommended by the City Controller.

Attached you will find a copy of his letter addressed to your honorable body and myself under date of August 15th, giving in detail the various taxing levies together with the itemized budget for the General Fund and his detailed report explaining his reasons for increasing and reducing the various items of which I am in accord.

I respectfully recommend that your honorable body give these budget estimates your very careful consideration.

Yours,

JOHN L. DUVALL,

Mayor.

August 15, 1927.

*Honorable Mayor, John L. Duvall, and President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—In compliance with Section 10306, Burns Revised Indiana Statutes of 1926, I submit you herewith the budget estimates for the year 1928 as presented to me by the various Boards and Department Heads which are operated and controlled under the General Fund of the City of Indianapolis.

After very careful going over these estimates I made several changes which I believe are for the betterment of the City from both an economy and efficiency standpoint. It is obvious for anyone to believe that a city increasing in population and growing in size as the City of Indianapolis is, could not continue to reduce taxes each year and be able to pay its just debts. However, that is the situation from which tax levies in the past have been made and if we would continue to reduce taxes for the next few years there would be another large deficit such as we faced when first taking over the administration from our predecessors and necessitating another bond issue to enable us to make up this deficit. Therefore, as a matter of good business I have found that it is necessary that I recommend an increase in our tax rate for the year 1927, payable in 1928, from which the operations and costs of our city will be paid.

There are also emergencies which arise during the year which no one can anticipate. At the same time there is nothing in the budget law that permits us to appropriate money for emergencies. You no doubt recall that our present year has cost us considerable amount of money through emergencies; one is the tornado that struck through the eastern section of our city which cost the city thousands of dollars and also, the City Manager Election which cost the city \$35,000.00. Other emergencies such as judgments and court orders which has helped make a large deficit this year that will be necessary to include in the budget for next year.

The tax rate I am recommending to you for next year will be large enough to enable the city to meet all of its expenses for the current year with the exception of any emergencies that might arise together with paying the deficit that we will have this year. Following are the recommendations that I submit to you for next year's tax rate on the various taxing units of the City of Indianapolis:

GENERAL FUND \$.675

Appropriation .....	\$4,927,675.83
Estimated receipts .....	500,000.00
	<hr/>
Balance to be raised by taxes .....	\$4,427,675.83

Approximate \$653,000,000.00 valuation will require a tax levy of \$.675 for the General Fund.

Below are the tax rates I recommend for the other units showing the tax rate as requested by the various departments and the difference between the rate I am recommending and the present rate.

	Requested	Allowed	1927
General Fund .....	\$.73	\$.675	\$.56
City Sinking .....	.035	.035	.05
Police Pension .....	.02	.0125	.01
Fire Pension .....	.02	.0125	.01
School Health .....	.015	.015	.015
Parks .....	.09	.07	.07
Park Bonds .....	.0425	.0425	.055
Recreation .....	.02	.015	.015
Track Elevation .....	.06	.03	.02
Tuberculosis Prevention .....	.01	.01	.005
Sanitation Bonds .....	.044	.044	.035
Sanitation .....	.084	.0735	.065
Board of Health .....	.12	.10	.09
Flood Prevention .....	.05	.015	.015
Street Resurfacing .....	.05	.02	.02
Thoroughfare .....	.03	.005	.005
War Memorial Sinking .....	.02	.02	
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total .....	\$1.4405	\$1.195	\$1.040

Attached are the budget estimates for the departments under the General Fund together with a detailed report explaining my reasons for increases and reductions, and also letters of explanations from each department covering their particular estimates.

I sincerely hope that you will be in accord with me relative to the estimates and the tax rates and recommend that the same be passed by our City Council.

Yours,  
 WM. C. BUSER,  
 City Controller.

## BUDGET FOR 1928

With annotations following each table by the heads of the departments:

The Common Council requested an appropriation of \$5,900, which is a decrease of \$240 from last year. The Controller recommends the same amount as requested.

The City Clerk requested \$7,950, which is \$975 less than was appropriated for 1927, and the Controller recommends adoption of the amount asked.

The Mayor's office asks for a total of \$13,350, which is an increase of \$3,425 to permit the Mayor to have a stenographer at \$1,500 a year, and making his secretary's appropriation \$3,600 instead of \$2,000. The Mayor now does not have a secretary because the appropriation is too small, and he employs a stenographer from that fund. The remainder of the increase is for office supplies. The Controller recommends an allowance of \$13,250.

The Controller's office budget requests a total of \$236,528. This year the Controller's budget totaled \$215,973, a net increase of \$20,555. An increase of \$18,200 is necessary for interest on bonds. The remainder is for office supplies, except a salary increase of \$200 for the license clerk and \$120, each, for two stenographers. Increased work owing to a change in payment of Barrett law coupons made by the last legislature occasions the increase for stenographers.

The Barrett law department total request is for \$18,600 as against \$19,730 appropriated for 1927, but the Controller found it necessary to add an amount for additional office employes and supplies occasioned by changes in the department made necessary by act of the last legislature which brings the total appropriation to \$21,850.

The appropriation request of the legal department is \$33,130, but with minor salary adjustments the Controller recommends \$33,150. The appropriation is \$6,845 higher, occasioned by an increase in the estimates for awards and indemnities. The experience of 1927 has revealed that the 1927 appropriation will be short on account of awards of the state industrial board.

The City Plan Commission asks \$25,650, and the Controller recommends \$23,850. The 1927 appropriation was \$20,541.29. Increases planned by the commission will provide for a consultant and an attorney, whose salaries heretofore have been paid from appropriations for draftsmen. The Controller eliminated an inspector whose work will be done under the city building department. The appropriation for communication and transportation was requested to be fixed at \$1,500. The Controller recommends the item to be \$1,250.

A total of \$17,185 is asked by the purchasing department, and the Controller recommends \$16,105, which is the same as appropriated for 1927.

The above includes all the departments under the Department of Finance, the total appropriation recommended them being \$358,583, as against \$323,644.20 in 1927.

The Department of Public Safety requested a total appropriation of \$2,881,916.82. After deductions made by the Controller, the total appropriation stands at \$2,761,354.24. This is an increase of \$169,099.99 over the 1927 appropriation. This appropriation includes the police, fire, building, weights and measures, dog pond, market and Gamewell departments, and appropriation for the department administrative offices.

The police department requested a total of \$1,294,396.49. After consultation with the head of the department the Controller recommends an appropriation of \$1,229,846.49, which is an increase of \$114,985.24. The increase contemplates an addition of 25 men to the police force, 21 of them patrolmen, with one more captain and 3 humane sergeants which the law requires. The chief of the department has requested an increase of 50 men, but in conference agreed that the 25 men increase allowed represented the minimum requirements of his department. A large increase is made necessary to include provision for salaries to policewomen. The 1927 budget contemplated eliminating most of this service, but members of the force who were affected have obtained court action, which is now on appeal to the supreme court, requiring their continuance in service. Therefore, the budget for 1928 must include \$22,995 to pay 1928 salaries for positions that were not included in the 1927 budget, and \$26,374.99 to pay the salaries of 1927, not included in the 1927 budget. If the supreme court affirms the lower court, all this money will be needed. If it reverses the lower court, the city will be enabled to dispense with the service as originally contemplated, and the 1928 appropriation for 1928 service would not be needed. However, we believe the full amount must be provided to provide for the contingency. Other increases allowed in this department include \$7,450 for heat, light and power, which is paid under rate fixed by the public service commission, and the 1927 appropriation for which was not sufficient, an increase of \$2,124 for communication and transportation, an increase of \$2,500 for oil and gasoline, tires and tubes, \$1,800 for general supplies, and \$7,000 for equipment, which includes ammunition for target practice, office equipment and files, and a small fund for motor equipment for use if emergency arises. Other increases are for minor equipment.

The total request of the fire department was \$1,341,820.00. After conference with representatives of the department, the controller recommends an allowance of \$1,326,580.50, an increase of \$23,488.75 over the 1927 appropriation. The department contemplates adjustments in personnel, on account of replacement of equipment, which will eliminate some positions. It contemplates an increase in rank for its master mechanic and first assistant, but the net result is a reduction of \$9,515 in the appropriation for

salaries. Increase allowed included \$3,000 for communication and transportation which was under appropriated for 1927, \$3,500 for heat, light and power, which was also under appropriated, \$6,000 for repairs to fire station, \$4,500 for coal, ice and kerosene, which was also under appropriated; \$8,000 for gasoline, oil, tires and tubes, as much of the equipment is expected to need new tires in 1928; \$5,000 for repairs to equipment, which, depreciating each year, needs a larger amount of repairs, and \$4,250 for additional equipment such as hose, and to provide for emergency.

A large increase was asked by the Gamewell department, contemplating changes in operation in the plant which is made necessary by the abandonment of poles by local utilities, and the consequent necessity of placing some cables underground. The department asked a total of \$151,153.83. After conference with the head of the department, the Controller recommends an appropriation of \$108,182.25, which is an increase of \$23,396.00 over 1927. The increases includes provision for some additional personnel, part of which will be necessary for installation of the new plant, contemplated in the bond issue ordinance now before the council and for placing some of the cables underground, and the remainder to increase the efficiency of operation of the fire and police telegraph systems, and to care for the street traffic lights. A total increase of \$14,649.50 is included for materials, such as traffic signals and cable. It was found possible to include provision for some large items in the bond issue now proposed, eliminating them from the budget.

The building department asks a total of \$47,360, and the Controller has raised it to \$49,760, an increase of \$2,400 over 1927, to provide for the inspector transferred from the city plan department.

The total asked for by the weights and measures department was \$10,485, and the amount allowed is the same, this being an increase of \$2,125 to provide for two additional light trucks to enable the same number of men to cover twice the territory now covered.

The dog pound appropriation request is \$6,530, and the amount allowed is \$6,380, representing an increase of \$670 over 1927, to pay expenses of the department that in the past have been borne by the pound keeper out of her own pocket.

The east market appropriation was \$15,635, and the amount allowed is \$15,585, an increase of \$545 over 1927, the increase being for additional equipment.

The budget request for administration of the safety department was \$14,535.00, and the amount allowed is the same, being an increase of \$1,460, including a \$400 increase in salary for the book-keeper and \$120 increase for the stenographer, and amounts for supplies for the civil service commission. An item of \$1,500 for an assistant police surgeon is included, but there are some reductions in appropriations making a net increase of \$1,460.



The department of public works requested a total appropriation of \$2,051,920.79, and the amount allowed after deductions by the Controller is \$1,807,738.59. This is an increase of \$503,033.59 over 1927. The major increase in this budget is to retire a deficit for light and water services which has accumulated over the last two years, and to include a sufficient sum to pay all the charges that will accrue for these purposes in 1928, so that there will be no deficit at the end of 1928. A large increase is provided also for the street commissioner to enable him to carry on a program of street cleaning which has been hampered by reductions in previous years and to provide some additional equipment for street repair. The total for administration of the department, as requested by the board of works was \$1,115,270. The total amount allowed is \$1,114,320, an increase of \$364,120. This amount is almost entirely to retire the deficit in light and water bills, (in 1927 the appropriation was compelled to pay \$156,000 water and light bills) that have been left unpaid from 1926. The 1927 appropriation, itself, was \$121,000 short of the amount of current and water that actually will be used. There will be continuing reductions in the lumen power of street lights and elimination of excess lights, but there must also be some additional to care for new territory, so that only \$87,000 more for 1928 is asked to be appropriated than was appropriated in 1927, although the 1927 appropriation will be short by \$121,000 of actual expenditures. In other words there will be a saving of \$34,000 in light and water bills in 1928 under 1927. The deficits have arisen principally because the new street lighting system installed three years ago was substantially more costly than had been provided for in the budget.

The municipal garage appropriation request was \$87,900, and the Controller allows \$79,900, an increase of \$10,025 over 1927, to provide for additional supplies of gas, oil, tires and tubes, some garage equipment including a steam washer and a lathe.

The assessment bureau total request is \$16,180.00, and the amount allowed is \$16,000, an increase of \$9,250 over 1927. This large increase is made necessary because the department was almost discontinued in the preceding administration, and plats were allowed to become out of date. It was found that mistakes made were costing the city general fund about \$50,000 annually for wrong assessments. We have already changed the system by which plats are now always up to date, and it has been necessary to add to the personnel.

The civil engineers department requested a total of \$290,668.20, and the allowed amount is \$220,860, an increase of \$24,926.00 over 1927. The increase is allowed to add two junior draftsmen to the personnel; for additional testing equipment and expense, some of which has heretofore been borne by the park department, for extra truck hire and additional equipment. The department had asked a total appropriation of \$9,043.00 for operation of the asphalt plant and for asphalt street repair. Inasmuch as it is expected, this expense will be paid entirely from the gasoline tax receipts, these items are eliminated.

The department of public buildings requested a total of \$52,345.00 and the amount allowed is \$46,745.00, an increase of \$4,600 over that of 1927. The increase is allowed for heat, light and power and supplies for the public buildings which was under appropriation in 1927.

The street commissioner's budget request totaled \$476,007.59, but the amount allowed is \$329,913.59, an increase of \$90,112.59 over 1927. The increases allowed include one additional clerk in the office and an assistant street commissioner, who will have charge of forces at work at night, an additional inspector, \$15,696 for laborers in the sewer department, to clean main sewers that have not been cleaned for a number of years; \$10,152 for laborers in the unimproved street department; \$6,220 for additional labor in the carpenter's department; 22,188 for labor in the street cleaning department, and \$33,300 for additional equipment, which includes a sewer eductor, a street flusher, tractors, graders, 5-ton dump truck, 1½-ton dump truck, and other small emergency equipment. A blacksmith and helper are transferred to the engineer's department and included in this budget.

The total appropriations recommended under the general fund amount to \$4,927,675.83 as compared with \$4,200,519.25 in 1927. We estimated the miscellaneous receipts will be \$500,00.00 in 1928. This leaves \$4,427,675.83 to be raised by taxation. On a valuation of approximately \$653,000,000, which has been certified by the county auditor, this will require a general fund tax levy of .675 cents on each \$100 of taxables.

The total requirements of the three sinking funds will make necessary an increase of one-half cent in the levies. It is necessary that the city sinking fund levy be 3½ cents, a reduction of 1½ cents, the flood prevention fund levy 1½ cents, which is the same as last year, and the war memorial sinking fund at 2 cents, an item not included in the 1927 budget, because the war memorial bonds were refunded at a low interest rate during the year. The total requirements of the sinking fund in 1928 will be \$236,028.00; of the flood prevention sinking fund \$103,345.00, and of the war memorial sinking fund \$166,000.00.

Trustees of the police and firemen's pension funds informally requested an increase in each levy to 2 cents each but the Controller recommends that the levies be increased 1¼ cents each which will be increases of ¼ cents each. The levies were increased ½ cent in 1927.

The school health levy is recommended at 1½ cents, which is the same as was in effect in 1927. No increase was asked.

The park department requested an increase of its general levy from 7 cents to 9 cents. Other requirements of tax increases for 1928 make it necessary that there be no increases in any fund except where absolutely necessary. The Controller felt that the department could operate in 1928 without expansion of its service, without detriment to the city. The park district bond levy is recom-

mended at  $4\frac{1}{4}$  cents, a reduction of  $1\frac{1}{4}$  cents from 1927. This is the amount needed for principal and interest on bonds in 1928.

The recreation department asked an increase from  $1\frac{1}{2}$  cents to 2 cents, but for the same reason as given in the park department levy action, the Controller recommends that the 1928 levy be retained at  $1\frac{1}{2}$  cents.

The Board of Public Works requested an increase in the track elevation levy from 2 cents to 6 cents, the maximum permitted under the law. The Controller recommends that the levy be increased to 3 cents and if requirements for city expenditure on track elevation in 1928 are greater than the 3-cent levy will provide for, then a bond issue to finance the remainder must be considered. It is not the intention to restrict track elevation construction, but it is only just to finance part of this expenditure from the issuance of bonds, as this is a permanent improvement.

Similarly, the Controller reduced the requested levy for thoroughfare widening to  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent, the same levy in effect in 1927. The Board of Public Works requested a levy of 3 cents for this purpose. Exactly the same situation exists with respect to this fund as applies to track elevation.

The department of sanitation requested an increase of its general fund levy from  $6\frac{1}{2}$  cents to 8 cents, and of its bond fund levy from  $3\frac{1}{2}$  cents to 4.4 cents. The latter levy is fixed by the sanitary board by law. The general fund levy, however, is subject to adjustment by the Controller, the Mayor or the Council. The Controller recommends this levy to be 6.95 cents. It will be necessary to levy an additional .4 of a cent for this purpose in 1928, this being the levy ordered by the State tax board at the conclusion of litigation over the 1925 levy. This will bring the total sanitary general levy to 7.35 cents, an increase of .8 of 1 cent.

The Board of Health has asked a levy of 12 cents as against a levy of 9 cents in effect this year. The Controller recommends an increase to 10 cents, which the Controller feels is all the increase that can be justified in 1928. The board has estimated additional expenses for operation of new buildings, but the buildings cannot be put into operation until late in 1928, if at all in that year.

The tuberculosis prevention levy is recommended to be fixed at 1 cent, an increase of  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent. The 1927 levy was insufficient for this purpose. The Board of Health had requested the increase which is granted.

**CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS**  
**Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Finance**

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		1 City						
		Controller	\$ 4,000.00..	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00			\$ 4,000.00
		1 Deputy						
		Controller	2,500.00..	2,500.00	2,500.00			2,500.00
		1 Bookkeeper	2,400.00..	2,000.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		1 License						
		Clerk	2,000.00..	1,800.00	2,000.00	200.00		2,000.00
		1 Stenog-						
		rapher	1,500.00..	1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		2 Stenog-						
		raphers	1,320.00..	2,400.00	2,400.00	240.00		2,640.00
		2 Sinking Fund						
		Commission-						
		ers	100.00..	200.00	200.00			200.00
		1 Clerk	600.00..	600.00	600.00			600.00
		1 Asst. Secy.						
		Athletic Com-						
		missioners	400.00..	400.00	400.00			400.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$13,300.00	\$15,800.00	\$16,240.00	\$440.00		\$16,240.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
2	Services—Contractual							
	21	Communication and Transportation .....						
		211 Freight, Express and Drayage .....	8.48	10.00	10.00			
		212 Postage .....	190.06	300.00	300.00			
		214 Telephone and Telegraph .....	3.81	153.00	153.00			
		216 Traveling Expense	368.94	500.00	500.00			
		Total Item No. 21....	\$571.29	\$963.00	\$963.00			\$963.00
	24	Printing and Advertising						
		241 Advertising and Publication Notices ....	\$861.82	\$500.00	\$1,000.00	\$500.00		
		Total Item No. 24....	\$861.82	\$500.00	\$1,000.00	\$500.00		\$1,000.00
	25	Repairs						
		252 Repairs and Equipment .....	\$26.35	\$50.00	\$100.00	\$50.00		
		Total Item No. 25....	\$26.35	\$50.00	\$100.00	\$50.00		\$100.00
3	Supplies							
	36	Office Supplies .....	\$3,551.12	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00			
		Total Item No. 36....	\$3,551.12	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00			\$5,000.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
5	Current Charges							
	51	Insurance and Premiums .....	\$997.29	\$1,250.00	\$1,600.00	\$350.00		
		Total Item No. 51....	\$997.29	\$1,250.00	\$1,600.00	\$350.00		\$1,600.00
	53	Refunds, Awards and Indemnities .....	\$43.50	\$200.00	\$1,100.00	\$900.000		
		Total Item No. 53....	\$43.50	\$200.00	\$1,100.00	\$900.000		\$1,100.00
	55	Subscriptions and Dues	\$25.00	\$10.00	\$25.00			
		Total Item No. 55....	\$25.00	\$10.00	\$25.00			\$25.00
6	Current Obligations							
	61	Interest .....	\$183,390.08	\$181,800.00	\$200,000.00	\$18,200.00		
		Total Item No. 61....	\$183,390.08	\$181,800.00	\$200,000.00	\$18,200.00		\$200,000.00
	62	Grants and Subsidies..	\$10,300.00	\$10,150.00	\$10,300.00	\$150.00		
		Total Item No. 62....	\$10,300.00	\$10,150.00	\$10,300.00	\$150.00		\$10,300.00

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
7	Properties							
	72	Equipment .....	\$9.75	\$250.00	\$200.00		\$50.00	
		Total Item No. 72....	\$9.75	\$250.00	\$200.00		\$50.00	\$200.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$213,076.02	\$215,973.00	\$236,528.00	\$20,590.00	\$50.00	\$236,528.00

**CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS**  
**Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Public Purchase**  
 Prepared by Opal Priest Jacobs

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
1	Personal Service .....							
	11 Salaries and Wages—Regular							
	1 Purchasing Agent ..		\$ 4,999.93	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00			\$ 5,000.00
	1 Asst. Purchasing Agent .....		1,999.94	2,000.00	2,000.00			\$2,000.00
	1 Clerk .....		1,800.00	1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
	1 Inspector and Store-keeper .....		1,795.00	1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
	1 Bookkeeper .....		1,199.99	1,200.00	1,500.00	300.00		1,200.00
	1 Stenographer .....		1,007.23	1,020.00	1,200.00	180.00		1,020.00
	I Clerk .....		883.75	900.00	1,200.00	300.00		900.00
	I Clerk .....		897.50	900.00	1,200.00	300.00		900.00
	Total Item No. 11....		\$14,583.34	\$14,620.00	\$15,700.00	\$1,080.00		\$14,620.00
2	Contractual Service .....							
	21 Communication and Transportation .....							
	211 Express .....		1.96					
	212 Postage .....		400.00					



Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		214 Telephone and Telegraph .....	26.59					
		Total Item No. 21....	\$428.55	\$550.00	\$550.00			\$550.00
		24 Printing and Advertising .....	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$50.00			
		Total Item No. 24....	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$50.00			\$50.00
		25 Repairs .....						
		252 Repairs and Equipment .....		\$25.00	\$25.00			
		Total Item No. 25....		\$25.00	\$25.00			\$25.00
3		Supplies .....						
		36 Office Supplies .....	\$598.70	\$600.00	\$600.00			
		Total Item No. 36....	\$598.70	\$600.00	\$600.00			\$600.00
5		Current Charges .....						
		55 Subscription and Dues .....		\$10.00	\$10.00			
		Total Item No. 55....		\$10.00	\$10.00			\$10.00
7		Properties .....						
		72 Equipment .....						
		721 Furniture .....	\$ 31.60					
		725 Office .....	202.50					

City Controller	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	1927 Appropriations	1926 Expenditure	Object of Expenditure	Sub-Activity	Activity
			250.00	250.00	7.00	726 Other .....		
<u>\$250.00</u>			<u>\$250.00</u>	<u>\$250.00</u>	<u>\$241.10</u>	Total Item No. 72....		
<u>\$16,105.00</u>			<u>\$17,185.00</u>	<u>\$16,105.00</u>	<u>\$15,901.69</u>	GRAND TOTAL .....		

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS  
 Departmental Estimate for 1923 Budget—City Plan Commission

Aug. 15, 1927]

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City	
1	Services—Personal								
	11	Salaries and Wages, Regular							
		Consultant .....			\$ 2,400.00	\$ 2,400.00		\$ 2,400.00	
		Attorney .....			2,400.00	2,400.00		2,400.00	
		Engineer 2 at \$3,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00	6,000.00	200.00		6,000.00	
		Secretary .....	3,000.00	1,800.00	2,000.00	200.00		\$2,000.00	
		Draftsman 2 at 2400.00	7,200.00	7,200.00	4,800.00		2,400.00	4,800.00	
		Draftsman or Insp'ctor			1,800.00				
		Total Item No. 11....	\$13,200.00	\$12,000.00	\$19,400.00	\$5,200.00	\$2,400.00	\$17,600.00	
	12	Temporary Salaries and Wages .....	200.00	200.00			200.00		
	13	Other Compensation ....	2,400.00	5,566.20	3,000.00			3,000.00	
2	Services—Contractual								
	21	Communication and Transportation .....							
		Total Item No. 21....		\$250.00	\$1,500.00	\$1,250.00			

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
24	Printing and Advertising							
	Total Item No. 24....		\$750.00	\$500.00	\$100.00		\$400.00	
25	Repairs							
	Total Item No. 25....		\$30.00	\$25.00			\$25.00	
	Total Item No. 2.....		\$780.00	\$775.00	\$1,600.00	\$1,250.00	\$425.00	\$1,600.00
3	Supplies							
	33 Gas and Auto Supply..		350.00	300.00	400.00	100.00		
	36 Office Supplies .....		650.00	600.00	800.00	200.00		
	Total Item No. 3.....		\$1,000.00	\$900.00	\$1,200.00	\$300.00		\$1,200.00
5	Current Charges							
	55 Dues, etc. ....		\$50.00	\$50.00	\$250.00	\$200.00		
	Total Item No. 5.....		\$50.00	\$50.00	\$250.00	\$200.00		\$250.00
7	Properties							
	72 Equipment							

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND

435

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		721 Furniture and Fixtures .....	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$200.00	\$150.00		
		Total Item No. 7.....	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$200.00	\$150.00		\$200.00
		(Common Council) .....		(1,000.00)				
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$21,100.00	\$20,541.20	\$25,650.00	\$7,100.00	\$3,025.00	\$23,850.00

**CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS**  
**Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Legal**

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		Corporation Counsel ....	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00			\$5,000.00
		City Attorney .....	4,000.00	4,000.00	4,000.00			4,000.00
		Asst. City Attorney ....	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,500.00			2,500.00
		Deputy Prosecutor .....	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Claim Agent .....	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
		Stenographer .....	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
		Stenographer .....	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$16,720.00	\$16,720.00	\$16,720.00			\$16,840.00
2	Services—Contractual							
	21	Communication .....	104.33	75.00	125.00	50.00		
	24	Printing and Advertising .....		50.00	200.00	150.00		
	25	Repairs .....	18.75	25.00	25.00			
		Total Items No. 21, 24, 25 .....	\$128.08	\$150.00	\$350.00	\$200.00		\$300.00
3	Supplies							
	36	Office .....	187.15	227.00	300.00	75.00		\$270.00

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND

437

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
5	Current Charges							
	55	Subscription and Dues	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$10.00			
		Total Item No. 55....	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$10.00			\$10.00
	53	Refunds, Awards and Indemnities .....	\$9,016.72	\$9,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$6,000.00		\$15,000.00
		Total Item No. 53....	\$9,016.72	\$9,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$6,000.00		
7	Properties							
	72	Equipment .....	\$491.30	\$200.00	\$750.00	550.00		
		Total Item No. 72....	\$491.30	\$200.00	\$750.00	550.00		\$750.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$26,548.25	\$26,305.00	\$33,130.00	\$6,825.00		\$33,150.00

**CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS**  
**Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Barrett Law**  
 Prepared by O. R. Scott

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		1 Barrett Law						
		Bookkeeper	1800.00....	\$1,800.00	\$1,800.00	\$1,800.00		\$1,800.00
		1 Clerk	1800.00....	1,800.00	1,800.00	1,800.00		1,800.00
		1 Clerk	1600.00....			1,600.00	1,600.00	1,800.00
		2 Clerks	1500.00....	4,500.00	4,500.00	3,000.00	1,500.00	3,000.00
		1 Bookkeeper						
		& Stenog.	1500.00....	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00		1,500.00
		3 Stenogs	1200.00....	1,080.00	1,080.00	3,600.00	2,520.00	3,600.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$10,680.00	\$10,680.00	\$13,300.00	\$4,120.00	\$1,500.00	\$13,500.00
2	Services—Contractual							
	21	Communication and Transportation						
		211, Freight, Express and Drayage .....			25.00			
		212 Postage .....	148.10		350.00			



Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
		214 Telephones—Telegraph .....			25.00			
		Total Item No. 21....	\$148.10	\$400.00	\$400.00			\$1,500.00
		24 Printing and Advertising						
		241 Advertising and Publication .....	167.50	300.00	300.00			
		Total Item No. 24....	\$167.50	\$300.00	\$300.00			\$250.00
		25 Repairs						
		252 Repairs of Equipment .....	65.68	100.00	100.00			
		Total Item No. 25....	\$65.68	\$100.00	\$100.00			\$100.00
3		Supplies						
		36 Office .....	2,407.78	2,250.00	4,000.00	1,750.00		
		Total Item No. 36....	\$2,407.78	\$2,250.00	\$4,000.00	\$1,750.00		\$5,000.00
7		Properties						
		72 Equipment						
		721 Furniture and Fixtures .....	270.19	500.00	500.00			
		Total Item No. 72....	\$270.19	\$500.00	\$500.00			\$1,500.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$13,739.25	\$19,730.00	\$18,600.00	\$4,370.00	\$1,500.00	\$21,850.00

## CITY CLERK

June 2, 1927.

Mr. Wm. C. Buser, City Comptroller,  
City Hall, City.

Dear Sir:

I have the honor to submit my 1928 Budget request herewith as requested in your letter of April 14th, addressed to me:

Acct. No.	Account Subject	1926 Budget	1927 Budget	1928 Request
11	1 City Clerk at \$3,000 .....	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00
	1 Deputy City Clerk .....	1,200.00	1,500.00	1,800.00
21	Communication and Transport.....	75.00	275.00	250.00
24	Printing and Advertising .....	2,000.00	3,500.00	2,400.00
25	Repairs to Equipment .....	65.00	350.00	50.00
36	Office Supplies .....	200.00	300.00	250.00
72	Equipment .....			200.00
	<b>Total.....</b>	<b>\$6,540.00</b>	<b>\$8,925.00</b>	<b>\$7,950.00</b>

You will note that I request an increase of \$300 in the salary of my Deputy City Clerk. This is necessitated by the fact that my former Deputy, Miss Ray, left me last winter and I have been unable to get an efficient and rapid stenographer who is capable of acting as my secretary and Deputy Clerk, on account of the low salary.

The extra \$200 item under equipment is intended for the purchase of a new typewriter, as my present machine is nine years old; also for some new furniture to make the office respectable looking.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM A. BOYCE, JR.,  
City Clerk.

**CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS**  
**Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—City Clerk**  
 Prepared by Wm. A. Boyce, Jr.

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal							
	11 Salaries and Wages—Regular							
		1 City Clerk \$3000.00..	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00			\$3,000.00
		1 Deputy City Clerk....	1,200.00	1,500.00	1,800.00	300.00		1,800.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$4,200.00	\$4,500.00	\$4,800.00	\$300.00		\$4,800.00
2	Services—Contractual							
	21 Communication and Transportation .....		75.00	275.00	250.00		25.00	
		Total Item No. 21....	\$75.00	\$275.00	\$250.00		\$25.00	\$250.00
	24 Advertising and Printing .....		2,000.00	3,500.00	2,400.00		1,100.00	
		Total Item No. 24....	\$2,000.00	\$3,500.00	\$2,400.00		\$1,100.00	\$2,400.00
	25 Repairs & Equipment..		65.00	350.00	50.00		300.00	
		Total Item No. 25....	\$65.00	\$350.00	\$50.00		\$300.00	\$50.00
3	Supplies							

City Controller	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	1927 Appropriations	1926 Expenditure	Object of Expenditure	Sub-Activity	Activity
	50.00		250.00	300.00	200.00	36 Office Supplies .....		
	\$50.00		\$250.00	\$300.00	\$200.00	Total Item No. 36....		
			200.00			72 Equipment .....		7 Properties
		200.00				Total Item No. 72....		
		\$200.00	\$200.00			GRAND TOTAL.....		
	\$1,475.00	\$500.00	\$7,950.00	\$8,925.00	\$6,540.00			

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Mayor's Office

Aug. 15, 1927]

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal							
	11 Salaries and Wages—							
	Regular							
	Mayor .....		\$7,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$7,500.00			\$7,500.00
	Secretary .....		2,000.00	2,000.00	3,600.00	1,600.00		3,600.00
	Stenographer .....				1,500.00	1,500.00		1,500.00
	Total Item No. 11....		\$9,500.00	\$9,500.00	\$12,600.00	\$3,100.00		\$12,600.00
2	Services—Contractual							
	21 Communication and							
	Transportation							
	212 Postage .....		30.00	25.00	50.00	25.00		
	214 Telephone and							
	Telegraph .....		212.74	175.00	250.00	75.00		
	Total Item No. 21....		\$242.74	\$200.00	\$300.00	\$100.00		\$300.00
	25 Repairs							
	252 Repairs and							
	Equipment .....			25.00	50.00	25.00		
	Total Item No. 25....			\$25.00	\$50.00	\$25.00		\$50.00

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
3	Supplies							
	36	Office Supplies .....	149.34	200.00	400.00	200.00		
		Total Item No. 36....	<u>\$149.34</u>	<u>\$200.00</u>	<u>\$400.00</u>	<u>\$200.00</u>		<u>\$300.00</u>
		GRAND TOTAL .....	<u>\$9,892.08</u>	<u>\$9,925.00</u>	<u>\$13,350.00</u>	<u>\$3,425.00</u>		<u>\$13,250.00</u>

COMMON COUNCIL

July 22, 1927.

Mr. Wm. C. Buser,  
City Comptroller,  
City Hall,  
Indianapolis, Indiana.

Dear Sir:

I have the honor to recommend the following budget for the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis for the year 1928:

Acct. No.	City Council	1926 Budget	1927 Budget	1928 Request
11	Services—Personal			
	9 Councilman at \$600.00 .....	\$5,400.00	\$5,400.00	\$5,400.00
	1 Sergeant-at-Arms at \$400.00.....	400.00	400.00	400.00
	1 Secy. of Committees at \$240.00..	240.00	240.00	
36	Office Supplies .....	100.00	100.00	100.00
		\$6,140.00	\$6,140.00	\$5,900.00

You will note I am recommending the abolishment of the position of Secretary of Committees, since this work is done by the City Clerk's office anyway, and can be easily handled in that manner without extra cost to the city.

Very truly yours,

CLAUDE E. NEGLEY,  
President City Council.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS  
Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Common Council

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
1	Services—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		9 Councilmen at \$600..	\$5,400.00	\$5,400.00	\$5,400.00			\$5,400.00
		1 Sergeant-at-arms at 400..	400.00	400.00	400.00			400.00
		1 Secy Com-mittee at 240..	240.00	240.00			240.00	
		Total Item No. 11....	\$6,040.00	\$6,040.00	\$5,800.00		\$240.00	\$5,800.00
2	Services—Contractual							
3	Supplies							
	36	Office Supplies .....	100.00	100.00	100.00			
		Total Item No. 36....	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$100.00			\$100.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$6,140.00	\$6,140.00	\$5,900.00		\$240.00	\$5,900.00



## BOARD OF PUBLIC SAFETY

July 7, 1927.

To the  
Honorable Mayor,  
City Controller,  
Common Council,  
City of Indianapolis.

Gentlemen:

We herewith present for your consideration budget requests for the year 1928. These estimates, as presented, have been carefully compiled by the members of this Board co-operating with the department heads and we are only asking for funds sufficient to properly maintain and man the various departments.

The following comparative table clearly indicates that the appropriations for the last few years have not been commensurate with the growth of the city not only in population but area as well. This table contains only the the total figures of the departments under the Board of Public Safety:

Year	Amount	Increase Over Preceding Year	Population	Tax Levy	Cost Per Capita
1922	\$2,350,924.60		335,260	\$0.61	\$7.012
1923	2,454,564.13	\$103,639.53	346,610	.62	
1924	2,377,363.71	77,200.42	358,760	.60	
1925	2,481,894.21	104,530.50	371,505	.58	
1926	2,568,359.72	86,465.51	385,117	.57 $\frac{1}{4}$	
1927	2,592,254.25	23,894.53	398,017	.56	6.51
1928	2,830,363.33	238,109.08	*410,568		6.892

\*Population based on last 5 years increase.

Using the 1922 appropriation as a basis of comparison with the 1928 request we find that at that time the population was 335,260, the general fund tax levy 61 cents, the appropriation \$2,350,924.60 or an average per capita of \$7.012, since 1922 the population has increased 18.71 per cent, the appropriation has increased only 10.26 per cent, while the cost per capita has decreased to \$6.51 and the tax levy decreased to 56 cents, or a net decrease of 5 cents in the levy and a 50 cent decrease in the cost per capita.

For 1928 we are asking for an increase in the appropriation of \$479,000 00 over 1922—\$238,109.00 over 1927 appropriation, which is a 20.37 per cent increase over 1922 compared with a population increase of 22.46 per cent for the same period.

Of the 1922 appropriation, \$2,125,627.31, or 90.5 per cent was for salaries; \$2,548,861.25 of the 1928 request, or 90.1 per cent is for salaries, which indicates that the cost of the maintenance of the departments has not increased. The high percentage of salary cost is accounted for because of the large personnel of the Police and Fire Departments and this in the fact of a flat 50 cents per day increase given to the members of those departments during the last administration.

Various items of maintenance have been increased in some of the departments; a comparison of the balances in those funds as of July 1st this year (which is furnished herewith) with the requests for next year very clearly explains the necessity for increases.

A careful study of the comparisons noted herein, together with other data furnished on the budget forms, discloses the fact that the estimates are very conservative and well within the bounds of reason.

Increases in the Board of Safety Administration request are explained as follows:

No. 11—Salaries

Bookkeeper .....	\$400.00
Stenographer .....	120.00
Assistant Surgeon .....	1500.00
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>\$2020.00</b>

Bookkeeper and stenographer increase only places them on the same basis as other city employees doing similar work. Assistant Surgeon increase of \$1500.00 places this employee on Administration pay roll, where as now he is on Police Department pay roll.

No. 21—Communication and Transportation

214—Postage	1927	1928	Increase
212 <sup>1</sup> Board of Safety .....	\$30.00	\$40.00	\$10.00
2122 Civil Service Commission		50.00	50.00
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>\$30.00</b>	<b>\$90.00</b>	<b>\$60.00</b>

Board of Safety office requests \$10.00 increase in postage allowance, the balance of \$50.00 increase is for the Civil Service Commission.

No. 36—Office Supplies	1927	1928	Increase
361 Board of Public Safety....	\$400.00	\$400.00	
362 Civil Service Commission		200.00	\$200.00
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>\$400.00</b>	<b>\$600.00</b>	<b>\$200.00</b>

The \$200.00 increase in this item is for the Civil Service Commission.

No. 72—Equipment	1927	1928	Increase
725—Office			
7251 Board of Safety .....	\$100.00	\$100.00	
7252 Civil Service Commission		150.00	\$150.00
	<b>\$100.00</b>	<b>\$250.00</b>	<b>\$150.00</b>

The \$150.00 increase is for the Civil Service Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

BOARD OF PUBLIC SAFETY,  
O. D. Haskett  
J. W. George  
Jno. A. Kuhn.

## CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

## Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
Board of Public Safety—								
		Administration .....	\$23,422.81	\$13,075.00	\$14,535.00	\$1,460.00		\$14,535.00
		Building Department .....	40,282.23	47,330.00	47,360.00	30.00		49,760.00
		Dog Pound .....	3,855.48	5,710.00	6,530.00	820.00		6,380.00
		East Market .....	14,156.05	15,040.00	15,635.00	595.00		15,585.00
		Weights and Measures .....	9,543.57	8,360.00	10,485.00	2,125.00		10,485.00
		Gamewell Division .....	67,468.45	84,786.25	151,153.83	66,367.58		108,182.25
		Fire Department .....	1,251,445.19	1,303,091.75	1,341,820.50	38,728.75		1,326,580.50
		Police Department .....	1,082,966.95	1,114,861.25	1,294,396.49	179,535.24		1,229,846.49
		Total .....	\$2,493,140.73	\$2,592,254.25	\$2,881,915.82	\$289,661.57		\$2,761,354.24

**CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS**  
**Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety**  
 Prepared by A. B. Good

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—Regular						
		Commissioners—						
		3 at	\$1,200.00....	\$ 3,600.00	\$ 3,600.00			\$ 3,600.00
		Secretary	2,500.00....	2,500.00	2,500.00			2,500.00
		Bookkeeper	2,400.00....	2,000.00	2,400.00	400.00		2,400.00
		Surgeon	1,600.00....	1,600.00	1,600.00			1,600.00
		Asst. Surg	1,500.00....		1,500.00	1,500.00		1,500.00
		Stenographer	1,620.00....	1,500.00	1,620.00	120.00		1,620.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$9,199.96	\$11,200.00	\$13,220.00	\$2,020.00		\$13,220.00
	13	Other Compensations...						
		Total Item No. 13....		\$1,120.00	\$100.00		\$1,020.00	\$100.00
2	Services—Contractual							
	21	Communication and Transportation .....						
	212	Postage .....						

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

451

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		2121 Board of Saftey Office .....						
		2122 Civil Service Commission .....						
		Total Item No. 21....	\$13,350.00	\$30.00	\$90.00	\$60.00		\$90.00
	25	Repairs						
		252 Repairs and Equipment .....						
		Total Item No. 25....	\$24.70	\$25.00	\$25.00			\$25.00
	26	Other Contractual						
		263 All other (Badges) .....						
		Total Item No. 26....	\$90.85	\$200.00	\$250.00	\$50.00		\$250.00
3	Supplies							
	34	Institutional and Medical .....						
		343 Medical, Surgical and Dental .....						
		Total Item No. 34....	\$73.02					
	36	Office Supplies .....						
		361 Board of Public						



CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS  
 Department Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety—East Market

Prepared by Earl Garrett

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Service—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—Regular						
		Market Master .....		2,000.00	2,000.00			2,000.00
		Asst. Market Master....		1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Watchman 2 at \$900....		1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Janitors 6 at 960....		5,760.00	5,760.00			5,760.00
		Matron 1 at 400....		240.00	400.00	160.00		400.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$11,663.25	\$11,300.00	\$11,460.00	\$160.00		\$11,460.00
	12	Salaries and Wages—Temporary						
		Total Item No. 12....		\$250.00	\$250.00			\$250.00
2	Services—Contractual							
	21	Communication and Transportation						
		214 Telephone and Telegraph .....						
		Total Item No. 21....		\$70.00	\$70.00			\$70.00

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
	22	Heat, Light and Power						
	221	Electric Current ..						
		Total Item No. 22....	\$1,872.72	\$2,300.00	\$2,300.00			\$2,300.00
	25	Repairs						
	251	Repairs of Structures .....						
		Total Item No. 25....	\$14.84	\$500.00	\$500.00			\$500.00
	26	Other Contractual.....						
		Total Item No. 26....		\$20.00	\$20.00			\$20.00
3	32	Supplies						
	322	Fuel and Ice						
	322	Ice .....						
		Total Item No. 32....	\$24.11	\$30.00	\$30.00			\$30.00
	33	Garage and Motor						
	332	Oil .....						
		Total Item No. 33....	\$3.29	\$5.00	\$5.00			\$5.00
	34	Institutional and Medical						



Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

455

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
		342 Laundry and Cleaning .....						\$150.00
		Total Item No. 34....	\$161.47	\$150.00	\$150.00			
		36 Office Supplies						\$100.00
		Total Item No. 36....	\$70.05	\$75.00	\$100.00	\$25.00		
		38 General Supplies						\$300.00
		381 Light bulbs \$27.26..						
		382 All other 234.81..						
		Total Item No. 38....	\$262.07	\$225.00	\$350.00	\$125.00		
4		Materials						\$100.00
		41 Building						
		Total Item No. 41....	\$84.25	\$100.00	\$100.00			
		45 Repair Parts						
		452 Parts of Structures						
		Total Item No. 45....						
7		Properties						\$300.00
		72 Equipment						
		Total Item No. 72....		\$15.00	\$300.00	\$285.00		
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$14,156.05	\$15,040.00	\$15,635.00	\$595.00		\$15,585.00

## POUND KEEPER

July 8, 1927.

Hon. John L. Duvall, Mayor,  
Wm. C. Buser, City Controller, and  
Common Council,  
City of Indianapolis.

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit budget request for 1928 for the Dog Pound:

These estimates have been carefully made and with the exceptions noted below, are about the same as this year:

Item No. 11	1927	1928	Increase
Salaries and Wages .....	\$2220.00	\$3240.00	\$1020.00

Salary increase is to provide another Deputy Pound Keeper. Under the present and pending budget it has been impossible for me to properly manage the pound on the appropriation allowed me. Last year I paid all my salary and \$300.00 additional in employing the necessary help to run the Dog Pound and I feel that I should have some relief for this situation.

Item No. 34	1927	1928	Increase
Institutional and Medical .....	\$300	\$800.00	\$500.00

This increase to provide principally for the purchase of chloroform; the appropriation for this year only lasted about three months and I have taken a \$500.00 decrease in Item No. 41—Buildings—to take care of this increase.

Respectfully submitted,

ELIZABETH S. CONGER,  
Pound Keeper.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Department Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety—Dog Pound  
Prepared by Elizabeth Conger

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Service—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—Regular						
		Pound Keeper .....		1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
		Deputy Pound Keepers 2 at \$1,020....		1,020.00	2,040.00	1,020.00		2,040.00
		Total Item No. 21....	\$2,139.91	\$2,220.00	\$3,240.00	\$1,020.00		\$3,240.00
2	Services—Contractual							
	21	Communication and Transportation .....						
		Total Item No. 21....	\$54.00	\$60.00	\$60.00			\$60.00
	22	Heat, Light and Power						
		Total Item No. 22....	\$42.60	\$100.00	\$100.00			\$100.00
	25	Repairs						
		Total Item No. 25....	\$119.70	\$500.00	\$300.00		\$200.00	\$300.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
3	Supplies							
	31	Food						
		Total Item No. 31....	\$100.00	\$350.00	\$350.00			\$350.00
	32	Fuel and Ice						
		Total Item No. 32....	\$198.95	\$250.00	\$250.00			\$250.00
	33	Garage and Motor						
		Total Item No. 33....	\$252.68	\$500.00	\$500.00			\$500.00
	34	Institutional and Medical						
		Total Item No. 34....	\$315.34	\$300.00	\$800.00			\$650.00
	36	Office Supplies						
		Total Item No. 36....		\$20.00	\$20.00			\$20.00
	38	General Supplies						
		Total Item No. 38....	\$162.29	\$200.00	\$200.00			\$200.00
4	Materials							
	41	Building						
		Total Item No. 41....	\$78.14	\$750.00	\$250.00		\$500.00	\$250.00

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
	45	Repair Parts						
		Total Item No. 45....	\$31.97	\$100.00	\$100.00			\$100.00
5		Current Charges						
	54	Rents						
		Total Item No. 54....	\$360.00	\$360.00	\$360.00			\$360.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$3,855.48	\$5,710.00	\$6,530.00	\$1,520.00	\$700.00	\$6,380.00

## INSPECTOR OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Hon. John L. Duvall, Mayor  
Wm. C. Buser, City Controller  
and Common Council,  
City of Indianapolis.

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit budget request for the Department of Weights and Measures for the year 1928 with explanation of the increased items as follows:

## Item No. 3

	1927	1928	Increase
Garage and Motor Supplies....	\$400.00	\$900.00	\$500.00

Increase in this item is to provide supplies for additional equipment requested in item No. 72.

## Item No. 36

	1927	1928	Increase
Office Supplies .....	\$125.00	\$600.00	\$475.00

This increase to provide for purchase of report books, reweigh cards, seals and other necessary supplies used in connection with this department. These supplies were not included in 1927 budget, but by being saving with supplies left that were purchased in 1926 I will be able to get through this year. However, I will have to have new supplies in 1928.

## Item No. 45

	1927	1928	Increase
Repair Parts .....	\$100.00	\$150.00	\$50.00

Increase in this item is to provide repairs for additional equipment requested in item No. 72.

## Item No. 72

	1927	1928	Increase
Equipment .....	\$100.00	\$1200.00	\$1100.00

I am asking for increase in this item to purchase two light cars of Ford type. With this additional equipment I can separate two Inspectors, that now work together and by so doing can cover more territory and be better able to take care of all emergency calls and special investigations.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBT. H. HATHAWAY,  
Inspector of Weights and Measures.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety —Weights and Measures.  
Prepared by Robt. Hathaway

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Service—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		Chief Inspector .....		1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Deputy Inspectors						
		4 at \$1,430.00.....		5,720.00	5,720.00			5,720.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$8,400.00	\$7,520.00	\$7,520.00			\$7,520.00
2	Services—Contractural							
	21	Communication and Transportation .....						
		Total Item No. 21....	\$25.00	\$15.00	\$15.00			\$15.00
	24	Printing and Advertising						
		Total Item No. 24....	\$168.00					
	25	Repairs						
		Total Item No. 25....	\$58.52	\$100.00	\$100.00			\$100.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
3	Supplies							
	33	Garage and Motor						
		Total Item No. 33....	\$336.42	\$400.00	\$900.00	\$500.00		\$900.00
	36	Office Supplies						
		Total Item No. 36....	\$69.84	\$125.00	\$600.00	\$475.00		\$600.00
	38	General Supplies						
		Total Item No. 38....	\$341.40					
4	Materials							
	45	Repairs						
		Total Item No. 45....	\$63.69	\$100.00	\$150.00	\$50.00		\$150.00
7	Properties							
	72	Equipment						
		Total Item No. 72....	\$50.70	\$100.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,100.00		\$1,200.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$9,543.57	\$8,360.00	\$10,485.00	\$2,125.00		\$10,485.00



## CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety—Building Department.  
Prepared by Bert J. Westover

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1		Services—Personal						
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		Building Commissioner		4,000.00	4,000.00			4,000.00
		Asst. Building Commissioner .....		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		Plan Examiner .....		3,000.00	3,000.00			3,000.00
		Chief Inspector .....		2,750.00	2,750.00			2,750.00
		Chief Clerk .....		2,400.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		1st Asst. Clerk .....		2,250.00	2,250.00			2,250.00
		2nd Asst. Clerk.....		2,100.00	2,100.00			2,100.00
		Bookkeeper .....		1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Stenographer and						
		Secy. to Boards.....		1,440.00	1,440.00			1,440.00
		Building Inspectors 6 at \$2400....		12,000.00	12,000.00			14,400.00
		Smoke Inspector						
		(Combustion Eng.) ....		3,000.00	3,000.00			3,000.00
		Asst. Smoke Inspector		2,400.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		Elevator Inspector .....		2,500.00	2,500.00			2,500.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		Chief Sign Inspector...		2,400.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		Board of Electrical Examiners 1 at \$60....		60.00	60.00			60.00
		Board of Plumbing Examiners 3 at 60....		180.00	180.00			180.00
		Total Item No. 11....	\$39,934.25	\$45,880.00	\$45,880.00			\$48,280.00
2		Services—Contractual						
		21 Communication and Transportation						
		Total Item No. 21....	\$61.42	\$50.00	\$60.00	\$10.00		\$60.00
		24 Printing and Advertising						
		Total Item No. 24....	\$376.91	\$500.00	\$500.00			\$500.00
		25 Repairs						
		Total Item No. 25....	\$1.75	\$50.00	\$50.00			\$50.00
3		Supplies						
		36 Office Supplies						
		Total Item No. 36....	\$907.90	\$800.00	\$800.00			\$800.00

Aug: 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
5	Current Charges							
	55	Subscription and Dues			\$20.00	\$20.00		\$20.00
		Total Item No. 55....						
7	Properties							
	72	Equipment		\$50.00	\$50.00			\$50.00
		Total Item No. 72....						
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$40,282.23	\$47,330.00	\$47,360.00	\$30.00		\$49,760.00

## GAMEWELL DIVISION

May 13, 1927.

Honorable Mayor John L. Duvall  
City Controller W. C. Buser  
Common Council  
Board of Public Safety

Gentlemen:

I hand you herewith departmental estimate for 1928 Budget of the Gamewell Division with the following explanations regarding increases in various items:

Item No. 11  
Salaries and Wages

Increase in this item is due to proposed addition of more employees to enable this department to make necessary extensions and improvements and to properly maintain the Police and Fire Alarm Systems and install, inspect and maintain Traffic Signals.

The work of this department is increasing with the growth of the city; the addition of more Police and Fire Alarm Boxes; the installation and maintenance of these, as well as Traffic Signals and other improvements require more men.

The consolidation of the two Electric Light Companies has increased the work of this department, as the city has contacts on both light companies' poles and they are removing about 50% of these poles, requiring this department to move and transfer our wires, also the telephone company is removing a great number of poles upon which we have contacts and placing their wires underground.

I am requesting the addition of the following men (2) Asst. Foremen and (2) Circuit Repairmen in order that I may divide my men into two crews, with a foreman in charge of each crew.

At the present time it is impossible for this Department to keep up with the utilities in the moving of poles and contacts because they have about 20 crews of men at work at all times.

At the present time we have (48) street intersections controlled by Traffic Signals and approximately (117) Traffic Signals.

There should be a man to patrol these Signals to replace defective lamps and glass in same. At the present time we must depend on citizens to report failure of Signals and frequently we have Signals out of service for a day or two before they are reported.

(1) Cable Splicer and (1) Asst. Cable Splicer are additions to the Budget. At the present time some of our underground cable is in bad condition and we are constantly renewing this cable and the installation of Traffic Signals require this class of labor. This department handles approximately 5,000 Fire Calls and 650,000 Police report calls annually and the efficiency of this Department depends entirely on the efficiency of the personnel.

The proposed increase in the number of Signal Operators is due to the fact that at the present time in case of sickness and vacations we are compelled to fill in with men from the outside force which seriously handicaps us in taking care of the outside work, and also to take care of the detail now furnished us by the Police and Fire Departments. In reality this is not an increase of personnel. At the present time I have provision for (14) Signal Operators (3) additional men are detailed to me (1) from the Police Department and (2) from the Fire Department, making a total of (17) Signal Operators. I am only asking that these (3) men now on detail to this Department be placed on my payroll, where they rightfully belong.

Item No. 44

Increase in this item is due to the proposed installation of new Traffic Signals and maintenance of these already installed and to the renewal of some of the Police and Fire Alarm Cable.

Some of this cable is in very bad condition and must be replaced with new cable. At the present time we have no cable on hand to be used for emergency; also wire and material to be used in removing the Police and Fire Alarm wires from the poles of the Light Company, which poles are being taken down on account of the consolidation of the two light companies.

	1926	1928
Wire .....	\$2,686.57	\$3,800.00
Conduit Assembly .....	316.21	400.00
Cross Arm Assembly .....	773.96	1,600.00
Batteries .....	128.91	175.00
Other General Materials .....	1,057.92	13,250.00
Traffic .....	1,864.08	2,724.50
Cable .....		
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>\$6,827.65</b>	<b>\$22,649.50</b>
Appropriation for 1927 .....\$8,000.00		
Increase for 1928 .....14,649.50		

Item No. 72

Increase in this item is due to the request of the Indiana Bell Telephone Company that the City remove their Police and Fire Alarm wires from above Companies poles on E. New York street and on E. Washington street from Noble to Arlington Ave. and on Virginia Ave. from South street to Shelby street.

This request was made over (2) years ago but I have been unable to get the necessary funds to take care of this.

These poles are in a dangerous condition and in the interest of the Safety to the Police and Fire Alarm wires and to the Public should be removed and the above poles taken down.

We are also in need of some Police and Fire Alarm Boxes for emergency also new Fire Alarm Batteries.

## Item No. 72

## Equipment

	1926	1928
724 Motor .....	\$3,859.34	\$2,000.00
726 Other Equipment .....	424.51	
7261 Fire Boxes .....		2,250.00
7262 Police Boxes .....		1,750.00
7263 .....		
7264 Poles .....		1,000.00
7265 Gamewell Reg. Reels and Gongs....		583.00
7266 Batteries .....		1,450.00
7267 E. N.Y., Virginia Ave. and E. Wash. Cable Est. ....		\$27,308.08
<b>Total</b> .....	<b>\$4,283.85</b>	<b>\$36,341.08</b>
Appropriation for 1927 .....	\$ 5,000.00	
Increase for 1928 .....	\$31,341.08	

These estimates are based on experience of several years and handed to you after a careful survey of the needs of the Department.

Only the actual needs of the Department were considered and the costs of these I have reduced to a minimum, no provision is made in these items for any emergency that may arise.

Respectfully submitted,

W. B. GRIFFIS,  
Gamewell Division.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety—Gamewell Division  
 Prepared by Wm. B. Griffis

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decreases Under 1927 Appropriations	City Comptroller
1	Service—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—Regular						
		Electrical Engineer ....		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		General Foreman .....		2,582.50	2,582.50			2,582.50
		Asst. Foremen, 2 at \$2,182.50 .....			4,365.00	4,365.00		4,365.00
		Circuit Repairmen, 12 at \$1,982.50 .....		23,790.00	27,755.00	3,965.00		23,790.00
		Fire Alarm Box Inspector .....		1,916.25	1,916.25			1,916.25
		Traffic Signal Repairman .....			1,982.50	1,982.50		1,982.50
		Cable Splicer .....			1,982.50	1,982.50		1,982.50
		Cable Splicer Helper..			1,916.25	1,916.25		
		Groundman .....		1,500.00	1,642.50	142.50		1,642.50
		Signal Operators 14 at 1,916.25..		26,827.50	24,911.25		1,916.25	26,827.50

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		Relief Operators 4 at 1,916.25..			7,665.00	7,665.00		
		Total Item No. 11....	\$53,911.92	\$60,216.25	\$80,318.75	\$22,018.75	\$1,916.25	\$68,688.75
2		Services—Contractual						
		21 Communication and Transportation						
		Total Item No. 21....	\$17.39	\$20.00	\$20.00			\$20.00
		24 Printing and Adver- tising						
		Total Item No. 24....	\$17.75	\$50.00	\$25.00		\$25.00	\$25.00
		25 Repairs						
		Total Item No. 25....	\$168.55	\$1,800.00	\$1,500.00		\$300.00	\$1,500.00
3		Supplies						
		33 Garage and Motor						
		Total Item No. 33....	\$1,258.35	\$1,500.00	\$1,750.00	\$250.00		\$1,750.00
		36 Office Supplies						
		Total Item No. 36....	\$419.49	\$500.00	\$500.00			\$500.00
		38 General Supplies						
		Total Item No. 38....	\$30.96	\$6,200.00	\$6,174.00		\$26.00	\$6,174.00



City Controller	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	1927 Appropriations	1926 Expenditure	Object of Expenditure	Sub-Activity	Activity
								4
								Materials
								44 General Materials
								441 Wire 2686.57....
								442 Conduit and Assembly 316.21....
								443 Cross Arm 773.96..
								444 Batteries 128.91....
								445 Other Gen- eral Mate- rials 1057.92....
								446 Traffic 1864.08....
								447 Cable .....
								Total Item No. 44....
								\$6,827.65
								\$8,000.00
								\$22,649.50
								\$14,649.50
								\$22,649.50
								45 Repair Parts
								451 Parts of Equip- ment .....
								4511 Motor .....
								4512 Fire Box .....
								4513 Battery .....
								Total Item No. 45....
								\$532.54
								\$1,500.00
								\$1,875.50
								\$375.00
								\$1,875.00
								7 Properties
								72 Equipment
								724 Motor 3,859.34..

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		726 Other Equipment 424.51..						
		7261 Fire Alarm Boxes						
		7262 Police Alarm Boxes .....						
		7263 All Other .....						
		7264 Poles .....						
		7265 Register Reels and Gongs .....						
		7266 Batteries .....						
		7267 East New York, East Wash. & Va. Ave. Estimates .....						
		Total Item No. 72....	\$4,283.85	\$5,000.00	\$36,341.08	\$31,341.08		\$5,000.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	\$67,468.45	\$84,786.25	\$151,153.83	\$68,634.83	\$2,267.25	\$108,182.25

FIRE DEPARTMENT

May 24th, 1927.

Honorable Board of Public Safety,  
City of Indianapolis.  
Gentlemen:

I herewith submit the budget for the Indianapolis Fire Department for the year 1928, together with an explanation regarding the increases asked for.

(a) An increase in Chief's salary from \$4,000.00 to \$4,800.00 per year was granted by the legislature in 1927, making it necessary to ask an increased allowance of \$800.00.

(b) An increase in salary for the Master Mechanic in the department from \$2,582.50 to \$3,000.00, and the rank of his first assistant from the rank of Captain to that of Battalion Chief with salary of \$2,382.50 increased to \$2,582.50 is asked. This will place these men on the level with other master mechanics throughout the country.

(c) The engines in the department having been placed in reserve will decrease the budget four engineers, this salary amounting to \$7,093.00. This change will be effective next year.

(d) The department was short two chauffeurs last year, and with the addition of two new 1000 gallon pumers in the department it will be necessary to add four chauffeurs on to the budget this year. This service will add an increase of \$7,930.00.

(e) Because the budget was one private short last year and the necessity for asking for this additional private for next year, there will be an increase of \$1,916.25 on this item.

(f) The budget for last year allowed the Department 46 substitute firemen, and this year we are asking for an additional four substitutes which are absolutely necessary. This makes an increase in this item of \$7,665.00.

(g) A decrease of \$3,300.00 was made in the Department with the abolishment of the ranks of Assistant Secretary and Stenographer. These salaries were taken care of as First Grade Firemen.

(h)       Decrease in personnel: .....\$17577.50  
           Increase in personnel: ..... 13381.25  
           Decrease asked for .....\$ 4196.25

(21) Communication and transportation: This item shows an increase of \$3,000.00 and takes care of the telephone service which is the largest item and telegraph service.

(22) Heat-Light-Power: Light, gas and heat come out of this fund which has proven inadequate and an increase of \$3,500.00 is asked for.

(24) Printing and Advertising: An increase of \$100.00 has

been asked for in this fund to furnish Fire Prevention literature and reports which are needed.

(25) Repairs to Structures and Equipment: An increase of \$6,000.00 over last year's appropriation is asked for due to the fact that the apparatus in the department is getting old and will demand greater repairs next year.

(26) Repairs-Contractual: The amount appropriated last year is inadequate and an increase of \$175.00 is asked for.

(32) Supplies-Coal-Ice-Kerosene: An increase of \$4,500.00 has been asked for because of the increased price of coal and due to the fact that the amount allowed last year has proven inadequate to supply the necessary demand.

(33) Gasoline, Oil, Tires, Tubes and other Garage Supplies: An increase of \$9000.00 is asked for because of the necessity of replacing Tires and Tubes on the apparatus purchased in 1921 and 1922.

(36) Office Supplies: An increase of \$400.00 is asked for because the office supply fund of the Fire Prevention has been transferred to the office supply fund of the Chief's office, and the amount allowed in 1927 has been found insufficient.

(38) General Supplies, Light Bulbs, Fire Fighting Supplies, etc.: Because the amount appropriated in the budget last year has been found inadequate an increase of \$1000.00 has been asked for.

(41) Material, Buildings, Plumbing, Painting, Carpenter, Electrical: An increase of \$6000.00 has been asked for because the engine houses are getting older and many are badly in need of repair.

(45) Repair Parts of Equipment: An increase of \$5000.00 has been asked for because all apparatus in service at this time is getting old and badly in need of repairs, and the appropriation in the 1927 budget is inadequate to allow these repairs to be made.

(72) Furniture and Fixtures: Office—Motor and all other equipment: An increase of \$4250.00 is asked for because of the inadequacy of the 1927 appropriation.

The increases in the various funds and the amounts asked for were derived at from the expenditures of the department for the first three months of 1927.

The engine houses are getting old, and many are badly in need of extensive repairs to preserve them. Each year the apparatus in the department gets older and calls for greater repairs, which necessarily calls for the purchase of parts of equipment.

Personally, I consider the increase of \$39,228.75 very small compared to the various needs so necessary in the proper maintenance of the Fire Department.

Respectfully submitted,

JESSE A. HUTSELL,  
Chief Fire Force.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety—Fire Department.

Prepared by H. R. Wald

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		Chief .....		4,000.00	4,800.00	800.00		4,800.00
	2	Asst Chiefs						
		(1st) at \$3182.50..		6,365.00	6,365.00			6,365.00
	1	Asst. Chief						
		(2nd) at 3062.50..		3,062.50	3,062.50			3,062.50
	1	Master Me-						
		chanic at 3000.00..			3,000.00	3,000.00		3,000.00
	10	Battalion						
		Chiefs at 2582.50..		25,825.00	25,825.00			25,825.00
	43	Captains at 2382.50..		104,830.00	102,447.50		2,382.50	102,447.50
	72	Lieu-						
		tenants at 2182.50..		157,140.00	157,140.00			157,140.00
	4	Engi-						
		neers at 1982.50..		7,930.00			7,930.00	
	118	Chauf-						
		feurs at 1982.50..		235,917.50	231,952.50		3,965.00	233,935.00
	302	1st Grade						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
	Privates	1916.25..		580,623.75	582,540.00	1,916.25		578,707.50
	46 1st Grade Substitutes	1916.25..		88,147.50	95,812.50	7,665.00		88,147.50
	10 2nd Grade Substitutes	1733.50..		17,335.00	17,335.00			17,335.00
	1 Secretary	2582.50..		2,582.50	2,582.50			2,582.50
	1 Asst. Secy	1800.00..		1,800.00			1,800.00	
	1 Stenographer	1500.00..		1,500.00			1,500.00	
	Total Item No. 11....		\$1,193,027.60	\$1,237,053.75	\$1,232,862.50	\$13,381.25	\$17,577.50	\$1,223,347.50
2	Services—Contractual							
	21 Communication and Transportation							
	211 Freight, Express and Drayage .....							
	212 Postage .....							
	213 Car Tickets .....							
	214 Telephone and Telegraph .....							
	Total Item No. 21....		\$21.07	\$6,000.00	\$9,000.00	\$3,000.00		\$9,000.00
22	Heat, Light and Power							
	221 Electric Current	2,978.96..						

City Controller	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	1927 Appropriations	1926 Expenditure	Object of Expenditure	Sub-Activity	Activity
						222 Gas	279.56..	
						223 Heat .....		
						Total Item No. 22....	\$5,982.63	
						24 Printing and Adver- tising		
						242 Printing other than office .....		
						Total Item No. 24....		
						25 Repairs		
						251 Repairs to Struc- tures .....		
						252 Repairs to Equip- ment .....		
						Total Item No. 25....	\$6,356.22	
						26 Services—Other Contractual		
						263 All Other .....		
						Total Item No. 26....	\$1.00	
						3 Supplies		
						32 Fuel and Ice		
						321 Coal	6,830.45..	

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		322 Ice	19.34..					
		323 Kerosene	50.66..					
		Total Item No. 32....	\$6,900.45	\$8,500.00	\$13,000.00	\$4,500.00		\$12,000.00
3		Supplies—Continued						
		33 Garage and Motor						
		331 Gasoline	8,764.50..					
		332 Oil	1,035.09..					
		333 Tires and Tubes	2,099.44..					
		334 Other Garage and Motor Supplies	2,099.44..					
		Total Item No. 33....	\$19,648.98	\$17,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$8,000.00		\$25,000.00
34		Institutional and Medical						
		341 Clothing and Household	1,276.52..					
		342 Laundry and Cleaning	59.00..					
		Total Item No. 34....	\$1,335.52	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00			\$2,000.00
36		Office Supplies						
		Total Item No. 36....	\$669.06	\$800.00	\$1,200.00	\$400.00		\$1,000.00



Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
38	General Supplies							
	381	Light bulbs	351.81					
	382	All other	1,142.20					
	383	Fire Fighting	1,256.44					
		Total Item No. 38....	\$2,750.45	\$3,500.00	\$4,500.00	\$1,000.00		\$4,500.00
4	Materials							
	41	Building						
		411 Plumbing	216.51..					
		412 Carpenter	789.53..					
		413 Painter	1,038.12..					
		414 Electrical	406.07..					
		Total Item No. 41....	\$2,450.23	\$5,500.00	\$10,000.00	\$4,500.00		\$8,000.00
	44	General Materials						
		Total Item No. 44....	\$300.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00			\$2,500.00
	45	Repair Parts						
		451 Parts of Equipment	5,360.26..					
		452 Parts of Structures	49.34..					
		Total Item No. 45....	\$5,409.62	\$7,000.00	\$12,000.00	\$5,000.00		\$12,000.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
5	Current Charges							
	55	Subscription and Dues						
		Total Item No. 55....		\$8.00	\$8.00			\$8.00
7	Properties							
	72	Equipment						
		721 Furniture and Fixtures 477.80..						
		722 .....						
		724 Motor 1,991.25..						
		725 Office 62.26..						
		726 All Other 4,061.06..						
		Total Item No. 72....	\$6,592.36	\$5,000.00	\$9,250.00	\$4,250.00		\$7,000.00
		GRAND TOTAL .....	1,251,445.19	\$1,303,091.75	\$1,341,820.50	\$56,306.25	\$17,577.50	\$1,326,580.50

POLICE DEPARTMENT

June 8, 1927.

Mayor John L. Duvall,  
Common Council,  
Board of Public Safety,  
City Controller,  
City of Indianapolis.

Gentlemen—Attached hereto is my estimate for the budget for the year 1928, and I beg to call your attention to the following facts in connection with the same:

ITEM NO. 11.

This item which is for salaries only, shows an increase of \$124,400.00 over the 1927 appropriation which is accounted for by the following additions to the department:

Increase—	
Captain .....	1
Custodian-Lieut. ....	1
Detective Sergeants .....	5
Patrolmen—1st Grade .....	8
Patrolmen—2nd Grade .....	25
Asst. Court Bailiffs .....	2
Humane Sergeants .....	3
Accident Prevention Sergeants .....	3
Asst. Secretary .....	1
Mechanic Helpers—Garage .....	2
Increase .....	<u>51</u>
Decrease—Probation Officer—Sergeant .....	1
Net Increase .....	<u>50</u>

Items of a contractual nature, such as Items Nos. 21, 22, 25 and 26 are based on experience of the last two years as shown below:

ITEM NO. 21

	1926	1928
211 Freight, Express and Drayage .....	\$ 71.86	\$ 125.00
212 Postage .....	191.00	300.00
214 (a) Telephone-Telegraph .....	324.00	275.00
(b) Switch Board at Station .....		6,807.00
Deficit for 1927 .....		<u>1,000.00</u>
		\$8,507.00

The deficit for 1927 was caused by cutting the 1927 budget estimate \$1,000.00. As this is an amount fixed by our contract with the Telephone Company for service over our switchboard, you can readily see that this item cannot be reduced.

ITEM NO. 22.

	1926	1928
221 Electric Current—		
(a) Police Station and Barn .....		\$2,752.64

	(b) Traffic Signals .....	\$10,401.17	8,400.00
223	Heat .....	3,480.66	3,800.00
	Deficit for 1927 .....		3,500.00
			<u>\$18,452.64</u>

The deficit for 1927 in this fund was caused by the cutting of the 1927 budget estimate \$4,400.00. These items cannot be paid with a smaller budget allowance.

## ITEM NO. 24.

		1926	1928
242	Printing other than office .....	\$383.23	\$350.00

This fund is used for printing of "No Parking" signs, Traffic ordinances, etc.

## ITEM NO. 25.

		1926	1928
251	Repairs, Structures .....		
252	Repairs, Equipment .....		
		\$3,627.23	\$5,000.00

## ITEM NO. 26.

		1926	1928
261	3 Time Clocks .....	\$ 54.00	\$ 54.00
262	Meals for Prisoners .....	3,703.20	5,550.00
263	All Other .....	44.35	15.00
264	Horseshoeing .....	300.75	300.00
		<u>\$4,102.30</u>	<u>\$5,919.00</u>

An increase of \$550.00 is asked for Prisoners' Meals. This will be necessary owing to the increase in number of arrests.

## ITEM NO. 31.

31	Food .....	\$150.00
----	------------	----------

This is a new item in the Police Budget, and is for payment of food which might be needed in extreme emergency, such as was needed May 19, following the recent storm.

## ITEM NO. 32.

		1926	1928
321	Coal .....	\$ 55.30	\$185.00
322	Ice .....	146.25	215.00
323	Kerosene .....	33.95	50.00
		<u>\$ 285.50</u>	<u>\$ 450.00</u>

## ITEM NO. 33.

		1926	1928
331	Gasoline .....	\$ 8,018.11	\$11,500.00
332	Oil .....	741.66	2,500.00

333	Tires and Tubes .....	3,119.47	4,500.00
334	Other Garage and Motor Supplies ..	2,772.33	2,500.00
		<u>\$14,651.57</u>	<u>\$21,000.00</u>

ITEM NO. 34.

341	Clothing, Household Supplies .....		\$ 500.00
342	Laundry and Cleaning .....	\$266.04	900.00
			<u>\$1,400.00</u>

ITEM NO. 36

36	Office Supplies .....	\$2,939.43	\$3,500.00
----	-----------------------	------------	------------

ITEM NO. 38.

	1926	1928	
381	Light Bulbs .....	\$ 534.44	\$ 150.00
382	All Other .....	1,598.24	1,000.00
383	Harness .....	3.48	10.00
384	Forage .....	1,208.51	1,500.00
385	Other Stable .....	20.35	50.00
386	Bertillon .....	563.32	1,200.00
387	Ammunition .....		1,000.00
		<u>\$3,928.24</u>	<u>\$4,930.00</u>

ITEM NO. 41

	1926	1928	
41	Building Materials .....	\$670.48	\$800.00

ITEM NO. 44.

	1926	1928	
44	General Materials .....	\$596.33	
	Street Paint .....		\$1,000.00
	Standards .....		500.00
	Other Traffic .....		200.00
	All Other .....		100.00
		<u>\$596.33</u>	<u>\$1,800.00</u>

ITEM NO. 45.

451	Parts of Equipment .....	\$1,091.35	\$3,500.00
	Parts of Structures .....	621.45	300.00
		<u>\$1,712.80</u>	<u>\$3,800.00</u>

ITEM NO. 54.

54	Rents .....	\$1,202.00	\$1,208.00
----	-------------	------------	------------

ITEM NO. 55.

55	Subscriptions and Dues .....	12.00	20.00
----	------------------------------	-------	-------

## ITEM NO. 72.

72 Equipment .....	5,740.13	10,000.00
Increase in Salary Items 1927 request .....	\$124,400.00	
Increase all other Items 1927 request .....	27,894.00	
		<hr/>
		\$152,294.00

This includes \$24,911.25 in the 1927 request, which item is for payment of salaries of 13 Policewomen.

The budget for 1927 made no provision for same and the matter of payment of these salaries is now pending in court.

As this is in reality no actual addition to the personnel of the Department, the actual increase requested for salaries is \$99,488.75.

The above estimates were arrived at after careful study and I believe represent the minimum amount necessary to conduct the Police Department during the year 1928.

Respectfully submitted,

CLAUDE F. JOHNSON,  
Chief of Police.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Safety—Police Department  
Prepared by John E. Ambuhl

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1		Services—Personal—						
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular						
		1 Chief of Police	\$	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,800.00	\$ 800.00	\$	\$ 4,800.00
		1 Chief of Detectives		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		1 Major of Police		3,400.00	3,400.00			3,400.00
		1 Captain of Traffic		3,300.00	3,300.00			3,300.00
		5 Captains, \$2,582.50		10,333.00	12,912.50	2,582.50		12,912.50
		12 Lieutenants, 2,382.50		28,590.00	28,590.00			28,590.00
		32 Sergeants, 2,182.50		69,840.00	69,840.00			69,840.00
		60 Detective Sgts., 2,182.50		\$ 120,037.50	\$ 130,950.00	\$ 10,912.50	\$	\$ 120,037.50
	155	Patrolmen—1st. Grade, 1,982.50		291,427.50	307,287.50	15,860.00		307,287.50
	275	Patrolmen—2nd. Grade, 1,916.25		479,062.50	526,968.75	47,906.25		498,225.00
		2 Court Bailiffs, 2,182.50		4,365.00	4,365.00			4,365.00
		4 Asst. Court Bailiffs, 1,916.25		3,832.50	7,665.00	3,832.50		7,665.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
		1 Policewoman Sgt.,						
		2,182.50 -----			2,182.50	2,182.50		
17		Policewomen,		9,581.25	32,576.25	22,995.00		22,995.00
		1,916.25 -----						
		1 Custodian (Lieut.)						
		2,382.50 -----			2,382.50	2,382.50		
3		Humane Sgts.,						
		2,182.50 -----			6,547.50	6,547.50		6,547.50
3		Accident Prevention						
		Sergeants, 2,182.50 --			6,547.50	6,547.50		
1		Secretary—Captain,						
		2,582.50 -----		2,582.50	2,582.50			2,582.50
1		Asst. Sec'y, 1,800.00 --			1,800.00	1,800.00		1,800.00
4		Traffic Repairmen,						
		1,320.00 -----		5,280.00	5,280.00			5,280.00
1		Hostler, 1,200.00 -----		1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
2		Mechanic Helpers						
		(Garage), 1,200.00 -----			2,400.00	2,400.00		2,400.00
7		Janitors, 1,080.00 -----		7,560.00	7,560.00			7,560.00
4		Stenographic Clerks,						
		1,200.00 -----		4,800.00	4,800.00			4,800.00
1		Probation Officer					2,182.50	
15		Policewomen (1927)						
		1,916.25 -----			26,374.99	26,374.99		26,374.99
Total Item No. 11--			\$1,028,430.29	\$1,054,971.25	\$1,205,912.49	\$ 153,123.74	\$ 2,182.50	\$1,145,562.49



Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

487

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		13 Other Compensations—		500.00	1,500.00	1,000.00		500.00
2		Services—Contractual—						
		21 Communication and Transportation—						
		211 Freight, Express & Drayage, \$71.86 -----						
		212 Postage, 191.00 -----						
		214 Telephone & Telegraph, 324.69 -----						
		Total Item No. 21__	587.55	6,383.00	8,507.00	2,124.00		8,507.00
		22 Heat, Light and Power—						
		221 Electric Current, 10,401.77 -----						
		223 Heat, 3,480.66 -----						
		Total Item No. 22__	13,811.83	11,000.00	18,450.00	7,450.00		18,450.00
		24 Printing and Advertising—						
		242 Printing, other than office -----						
		Total Item No. 24__	383.23	350.00	350.00			350.00
		25 Repairs—						
		251 Repair of Structures__						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
252	Repair of Equipment							
	Total Item No. 25		3,627.23	5,000.00	5,000.00			5,000.00
26	Other Contractual							
261	Time Signal Service,							
	\$54.00							
262	Meals for Prisoners,							
	3,703.20							
263	All Other, 44.35							
264	Horse shoeing, 300.75							
	Total Item No. 26		4,102.30	5,369.00	5,919.00	550.00		5,919.00
3	Supplies—							
	31 Food—							
	Total Item No. 31				150.00	150.00		
	32 Fuel and Ice—							
321	Coal, \$55.30							
322	Ice, 146.25							
323	Kerosene, 33.95							
	Total Item No. 32		235.50	350.00	450.00	100.00		400.00
	33 Garage and Motor—							
331	Gasoline, \$8,018.11							
332	Oil, 741.66							
333	Tires and Tubes,							

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
		3,119.47 -----						
334		Other Garage and Motor Supplies, 2,772.33						
		Total Item No. 33 ..	14,651.57	17,500.00	21,000.00	3,500.00		20,000.00
		34 Institutional and Medical—						
341		Clothing and Household -----						
342		Laundry and Cleaning -----						
		Total Item No. 34 ..	266.04	800.00	1,400.00	600.00		1,400.00
36		Office Supplies—						
		Total Item No. 36 ..	2,939.43	3,000.00	3,500.00	500.00		3,500.00
		38 General Supplies—						
381		Light Bulbs, 534.44 --						
382		All Other, 1,598.24 ---						
383		Stable—Harness, 3.48						
384		Stable—Forage, 1,208.51 -----						
385		Stable, other, 20.35 --						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		386 Bertillion, 563.32						
		387 Ammunition						
		Total Item No. 38	3,928.24	3,110.00	4,930.00	1,820.00		4,930.00
4		Materials—						
		41 Building—						
		Total Item No. 41	670.48	600.00	800.00	200.00		800.00
		44 General Materials—						
		445 All Other						
		446 Traffic						
		Total Item No. 44	596.33	1,200.00	1,800.00	600.00		1,800.00
		45 Repair Parts—						
		451 Parts of Equipment, \$1,091.35						
		452 Parts of Structures, \$621.45						
		Total Item No. 45	1,712.80	2,500.00	3,500.00	1,000.00		3,500.00
5		Current Charges—						
		52 Licenses—						
		Total Item No. 52						
		54 Rents—						
		Total Item No. 54	1,202.00	1,208.00	1,208.00			1,208.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
55 Subscriptions and Dues—								
		Total Item No. 55__	12.00	20.00	20.00			20.00
7 Properties—								
		72 Equipment—						
		721 Furniture & Fixtures, \$1,350.00 -----						
		724 Motor, 3,519.14 -----						
		725 Office, 837.26 -----						
		726 All Other, 33.73 -----						
		Total Item No. 72__	5,740.13	1,000.00	10,000.00	9,000.00		8,000.00
		Grand Total -----	\$1,082,966.95	\$1,114,861.25	\$1,294,396.49	\$ 181,717.74	\$ 2,182.50	\$1,229,846.49

## CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

## Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Works—Recapitulation

Prepared by R. R. Boyers

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
Board of Public Works—								
		Administration -----	\$ 715,997.83	\$ 750,200.00	\$1,056,050.00	\$ 308,350.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$1,114,320.00
		Public Buildings -----	52,255.86	42,145.00	47,520.00	5,400.00	25.00	46,745.00
		City Civil Engineer's Department -----	240,803.67	195,934.00	252,618.20	57,159.20	475.00	220,860.00
		Assessment Bureau -----	8,547.08	6,750.00	16,180.00	9,480.00	50.00	16,000.00
		Municipal Garage -----	59,008.99	69,875.00	87,900.00	20,525.00	2,500.00	79,900.00
		Street Commissioners' Department -----	242,668.57	239,801.00	413,817.59	179,043.59	5,027.00	329,913.59
Grand Total for Board of Public Works Dept. ---			1,319,282.00	\$1,304,705.00	\$1,874,085.79	\$ 579,957.79	\$ 10,577.00	\$1,807,738.59

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS****Office Administration**

ITEM NO. 11—Salaries and Wages Regular.—No change in this account in the request for appropriation for 1928.

ITEM NO. 13—This account consists of Expert and Extra Services as well as Abstracts, Reports, etc., and with the prospects of Flood Prevention and Track Elevation going strong next year, the request for \$300.00 additional appears necessary.

ITEM NO. 21—This account consists of Freight, Express and Drayage, Postage, Telephone and Telegraph, which if increased in the same proportion for next year, that the first six months of this year exceeds the same period in 1926, the request of \$500.00 or a total of \$6,000.00 will not be too much.

ITEM NO. 22—Heat, Light, Power and Water.—The request for 1928 exceeds the appropriation for 1927 by \$361,270.00 and is based on the following facts:

January 2, 1926—Two days before the Duvall Administration was inaugurated—the former Board of Works authorized the payment of part of the light bills of 1925, in the amount of \$46,224.03, which lessened the balance for 1926 just that much, and as that year's appropriation was for \$375,000.00 for Light and Power which in itself would have been insufficient to meet the bills for 1926.

In March, 1926, the Board of Public Works demanded that the Merchants Heat & Light Company remove certain lights and also reduce the Lumen power of others. Nothing was done until in December at which time there was unpaid bills to the amount of \$289,000.00, this being the monthly bills from April 1 to December 31, inclusive. The Merchants Heat & Light Company finally agreed to allow a discount equal to the amount that bills would have been reduced for the above period had they consented to the removals and reductions asked by the Board of Public Works in March, 1926—this amount was \$41,500.83, leaving the net balance then due after the Inspectors' Salaries and Outages had been deducted \$288,197.36, but from the fact that the tax collections had fallen short of the budget anticipations, only \$156,696.53 was paid in 1926, and the balance of \$91,000.00 was paid out of the 1927 appropriations which is for the proportionate part of \$660,000.00, or \$250,000.00, and using the reduced average monthly light bill of \$29,500.00 for the year of 1927 the account for Light and Water will fall short about \$195,000.00 for the payment of Light and Power.

By the reason of shortage of Tax Collections in 1926, it was necessary that part of the last quarter water bill in the amount of \$66,724.01 be carried over and paid out of the 1927 appropriation, and taking the amount that was appropriated for Water \$410,000.00, and deducting the above amount of \$66,724.01 would leave an amount of \$344,000.00 for 1927 bills and these taken on the aver-

age would amount to about \$412,000.00 or another deficiency in account No. 22 of \$68,000.00 at the end of the present year.

Gas for supplying the Welsbach Street Lights will average about \$1,300.00 per month or \$15,600.00 for the year. So in summing it all up and allowing for further removals and reduction or Lumen Power, it seems that the request of \$527,400.00 for Light and Power and \$15,600.00 for Gas and further \$478,270.00 for water making a total request for the year of 1928 for Light, Gas and Water of \$1,021,270.00 is not excessive, but will bring these accounts even and take care of the minimum requirements for 1928.

ITEM NO. 24—Printing and Advertising from indications will not be as heavy in 1928 as has been in 1926 or 1927—hence the reduction of \$2,500.00 in this request.

ITEM NO. 25—Repairs to Equipment will be the same as for this year—\$50.00.

ITEM NO. 26—The 1928 request for this account remains the same as 1927.

ITEM NO. 36—The 1928 request for this account remains the same as 1927.

ITEM NO. 51—This account consists of Fire Insurance Premiums, Burglary, Hold-up Insurance and Bond Premium. In 1925 a majority of the Fire Insurance was written for three years and consequently will be up for renewal in 1928. The amount of premiums on Fire Insurance that will be due in 1928 amounts to \$3,950.00, and the premium on the Burglary and Hold-up Insurance will be \$750.00, and the premium on the bond of the Assessment Bureau Head will be \$500.00—so it is clear that the requests for \$5,150.00 will be necessary.

ITEM NO. 53—Refunds, Awards and Indemnities are likely to be approximately the same in 1928 as in 1927—hence the request for \$12,000.00.

ITEM NO. 54—Rents and leases should be increased for the reason that it is necessary to provide space for the "bus" belonging to the Assessment Bureau that contains the securities in their custody and as this item was not provided in the 1927 budget, it is added to the 1928 requirements. This item of \$3,000.00 is to cover the years of 1926 and 1927. The other item of \$2,000.00 is for rental of the Fire Tower on the Merchants Bank Building—the same as heretofore.

ITEM NO. 72—This item of Equipment is increased \$150.00 to cover the likely requirements of the office for 1928.



CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS  
 Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Works—Office Administration.  
 Prepared by R. R. Boyers

AUG. 15, 1927]

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1 Services—Personal—								
11 Salaries and Wages—								
Regular—								
		President, 1 at \$3,000.00—\$	3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00		\$	\$ 3,000.00
		Members of Board, 2 at 2,500.00 -----	5,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00			5,000.00
		Clerk, 1 at 1,500.00 -----	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Steno-Clerk, 1 at 1,800.00 -----	1,800.00	1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Ass't Clerks, 2 at 1,200.00 -----	2,400.00	2,400.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		Bond Clerk, 1 at 1,800.00	1,000.00	1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Total Item No. 11	14,700.00	15,500.00	15,500.00			15,500.00
13 Other Compensations—								
		13-1 Expert & Extra Services -----	360.00	1,200.00	1,200.00			

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
	13-2	Abstracts, Reports & Etc. -----						
		Total Item No. 13--	360.00	1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
2		Services—Contractual—						
	21	Communication and Transportation—						
	21-1	Freight, Express & Drayage -----						
	21-2	Postage -----	5,160.00	5,500.00	5,500.00			
	21-3	Telephone & Telegraph -----						
		Total Item No. 21--	5,160.00	5,500.00	5,500.00			5,500.00
	22	Heat, Light, Power and Water—						
	22-1	Electric Current—						
		Light & Power -----			522,400.00			
	22-2	Gas for Lights -----	644,931.50	660,000.00	15,600.00	303,000.00		
	22-3	Water -----			425,000.00			
		Total Item No. 22--	644,931.50	660,000.00	963,000.00	303,000.00		1,021,270.00
	24	Printing and Advertising—						
	24-1	Advertising & Public Notices -----	8,584.60	10,000.00	7,500.00		2,500.00	

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
24-2 Printing other than Office -----								
	Total Item No. 24		8,584.60	10,000.00	7,500.00		2,500.00	7,500.00
25 Repairs—								
25-1 Repairs to Equipment -----								
			28.25	50.00	50.00			
	Total Item No. 25		28.25	50.00	50.00			50.00
26 Other Contractual--								
26-1 Assessments vs. City of Indpls. 20,500.00 -----								
26-2 Transferring & Recording, \$500.00 -----								
26-3 Welsbach Gas Light Maintenance, 15,000.00 -----								
			24,535.88	40,000.00	40,000.00			
26-4 Royalties on Asphalt Heaters, 2,000.00 -----								
26-5 Emergencies, 2,000.00 -----								
	Total Item No. 26		24,535.88	40,000.00	40,000.00			40,000.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations*	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
3	Supplies—							
	36	Office Supplies—	586.16	1,000.00	1,000.00			
		Total Item No. 36 ..	586.16	1,000.00	1,000.00			1,000.00
5	Current Charges—							
	51	Insurance & Premiums—						
		51-1 Fire Insurance Premiums .....						
		51-2 Burglary & Hold-up Ins. ....	1,408.82	2,800.00	5,150.00	2,350.00		
		51-3 Bond Premiums ---						
		Total Item No. 51 ..	1,408.82	2,800.00	5,150.00	2,350.00		5,150.00
	53	Refunds, Awards & Indemnities—						
		53-1 Erroneous Assessments .....						
		53-2 Assessments vs. Indiana Property .....						
		53-3 Judgments & Court Orders vs. Indpls. ....	\$ 8,616.22	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$	\$	
		53-4 Openings & Vacations .....						
		53-5 Irregular Assess-						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		ments -----						
		Total Item No. 53--	8,616.22	12,000.00	12,000.00			12,000.00
		54 Rents & Leases—						
		54-1 Rent of Fire Tower_						
		54-2 Rent for Safety						
		Bus Space -----	2,000.00	2,000.00	5,000.00	3,000.00		
		Total Item No. 54--	2,000.00	2,000.00	5,000.00	3,000.00		5,000.00
	7	Properties—						
		72 Equipment—						
		72-1 Office Fixtures &						
		Equipment -----	86.40	150.00	150.00			
		Total Item No. 72--	86.40	150.00	150.00			150.00
		Grand Total -----	\$ 715,997.83	\$ 750,200.00	\$1,056,050.00	\$ 308,350.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$1,114,320.00

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS****Public Buildings**

ITEM NO. 11—Salaries and Wages Regular.—This request is for the same as 1927 Appropriation.

ITEM NO. 21—Communication and Transportation.—This item is omitted from the requests for 1928.

ITEM NO. 22—Heat, Light, Power and Water.—This item is to be increased to \$17,225.00 by reason of the shortage of the appropriation for 1927. The expenditures in 1926 amounted to \$15,728.63, and the appropriation for 1927 was only \$12,000.00 and from indications will be at least \$3,000.00 short, occasioned by the excessive amount required to pay for "Heat" for Tomlinson Hall. The request for \$17,225.00 for 1928 is as low as it should be to furnish the service required.

ITEM NO. 25—Repairs to Buildings, Structures, Equipment and Fixtures.—This account should be necessarily increased to \$6,000.00 to cover the repairs that will be necessary in 1928.

ITEM NO. 26—Other Contractual.—This account covers Elevator Inspection, Western Union Telegraph Company's Clock Service and Building Directory Service. The appropriation of \$400.00 for 1927 will be too small as the Elevator Inspection Service will amount to \$276.00 without any extras and the Directory Service \$35.00, and the Clock Service will be around \$250.00, so that there will be some unpaid bills that will come up for payment out of the 1928 budget. It can be seen that the additional \$200.00 increase is necessary.

ITEM NO. 32—Fuel and Ice.—The appropriation for this account for 1927 is \$2,000.00 and as this account is already exhausted it will be necessary that a substantial increase be granted in this account. The request for 1928 is \$3,000.00.

ITEM NO. 38—General Supplies.—This covers all supplies that are not otherwise classified. This includes all cleaning and janitors' supplies as well as batteries and lamp bulbs. This increase of \$1,300.00 requested is necessary to cover the requirements for 1928.

ITEM NO. 41—Building Materials.—The request for this account is the same as the appropriation for 1927—\$500.00.

ITEM NO. 45—Repair Parts for Buildings, Structures and Equipment is increased \$100.00 to cover the requirements of 1928.

ITEM NO. 72—Equipment.—This account covers Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment, and with the condition of the present Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment it is apparent that the account should be increased in the amount asked, \$400.00.

## CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

## Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Works—Public Buildings.

Aug. 15, 1927]

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1		Services—Personal—						
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular—						
		Custodian & Engineer,						
		1 at \$1,800.00 -----	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,800.00		\$	1,800.00
		Fireman, 2 at 1,200.00 ----	2,400.00	2,400.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		Elevator Operators,						
		2 at 1,200.00 -----	2,160.00	2,400.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		Watchman, 1 at 1,080.00 ---	1,080.00	1,080.00	1,080.00			1,080.00
		Telephone Operators,						
		2 at 960.00 -----	1,920.00	1,920.00	1,920.00			1,920.00
		Janitors—City Hall,						
		6 at 1,080.00 -----	6,480.00	6,480.00	6,480.00			6,480.00
		Janitors, Tom. Hall,						
		2 at 960.00 -----	2,820.00	1,920.00	1,920.00			1,920.00
		Attendants—Com. Sta-						
		tion, 2 at 840.00 -----	1,680.00	1,680.00	1,680.00			1,680.00
		Matrons—Com. Station,						
		2 at 720.00 -----	1,440.00	1,440.00	1,440.00			1,440.00
		Total Item No. 11	21,840.00	21,120.00	21,120.00			21,120.00

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

501

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
2	Services—Contractual—							
	21	Communication & Transportation—		25.00				
		Total Item No. 21—		25.00			25.00	
	22	Heat, Light, Power & Water—						
	22-1	Electric Current—						
		City Hall, Comf. Sta.---			7,300.00			
	22-2	Gas, Tom. Hall & City Hall-----	15,728.63	12,000.00	225.00	3,125.00		
	22-3	Heat, Tom. Hall & Comf. Station-----			7,600.00			
		Total Item No. 22--	15,728.63	12,000.00	15,125.00	3,125.00		15,125.00
	25	Repairs—						
	25-1	Buildings & Structures-----						
	25-2	Fixtures & Equipment-----	7,492.81	4,000.00	4,000.00			
		Total Item No. 25--	7,492.81	4,000.00	4,000.00			4,000.00
	26	Other Contractual—						
	26-1	Elevator Inspection---						
	26-2	Western Union Tel. Clock Service-----	537.64	400.00	600.00	200.00		



Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
	26-3	Directory Service ---						
		Total Item No. 26 --	537.64	400.00	600.00	200.00		600.00
3		Supplies—						
	32	Fuel & Ice—						
	32-1	Fuel -----						
	32-2	Ice -----	2,433.40	2,000.00	3,000.00	1,000.00		
		Total Item No. 32 --	2,433.40	2,000.00	3,000.00	1,000.00		3,000.00
	38	General Supplies—						
	38-1	City Hall, Tom. Hall, & Conf. Stations ----	2,317.94	1,200.00	1,700.00	500.00		
		Total Item No. 38 --	2,317.94	1,200.00	1,700.00	500.00		1,700.00
4		Materials—						
	41	Building Materials—	768.44	500.00	500.00			
		Total Item No. 41 --	768.44	500.00	500.00			500.00
	45	Repair Parts—						
	45-1	Bldgs & Structures_						
	45-2	Fixtures & Equip. --	43.73	100.00	100.00			
		Total Item No. 45 --	43.73	100.00	100.00			100.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
7 Properties—								
72 Equipment—								
	72-1	Fixtures & Equip.---	1,093.27	800.00	800.00			
		Total Item No. 72__	1,093.27	800.00	800.00			600.00
		Grand Total ----- \$	52,255.86	\$ 42,145.00	\$ 47,520.00	\$ 5,400.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 46,745.00

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS****Assessment Bureau**

ITEM NO. 11—Salaries and Wages Regular.—This increase of \$8,500.00 is made necessary by the additional employees necessary to bring the Bureau up to the proper condition.

ITEM NO. 21—Communication and Transportation.—The request is reduced.

ITEM NO. 24—Printing and Advertising.—This item is increased \$50.00 to cover anticipated extra printing expenses in 1928.

ITEM NO. 25—Repairs.—This account is also increased \$50.00 to care for repairs on the increased equipment.

ITEM NO. 36—Office Supplies.—This item is increased \$450.00 by the increased amount of business in this Bureau.

ITEM NO. 45—Materials.—This item is a new creation and is for \$50.00 which will be a reasonable amount to cover the expense of "Parts" for the equipment.

ITEM NO. 72—Equipment.—This account is increased \$300.00 to cover the likely requirements.

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Works—Assessment Bureau.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal—							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular—						
		Draftsman,						
		1 at \$2,400.00 ----- \$	\$	\$	\$	2,400.00	\$	2,400.00
		Transfer Clerk,						
		1 at 1,200.00 -----	1,020.00	1,200.00	1,200.00			1,320.00
		Ass't Transfer Clerk,						
		1 at 1,200.00 -----			1,200.00	1,200.00		1,200.00
		Bookkeeper,						
		1 at 1,380.00 -----			1,380.00	1,380.00		1,380.00
		Senior Clerks,						
		4 at 1,200.00 -----	7,100.00	4,800.00	4,800.00			4,800.00
		Junior Clerks,						
		3 at 1,200.00 -----			3,600.00	3,600.00		3,600.00
		Total Item No. 11 --	8,120.00	6,000.00	14,580.00	8,580.00		14,700.00
2	Services—Contractual—							
	21	Communication and Transportation—						
		Total Item No. 21 --	110.00	150.00	100.00		50.00	100.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
24	Printing and Advertising—							
	Total Item No. 24		50.50	100.00	150.00	50.00		150.00
25	Repairs—							
	Total Item No. 25			50.00	100.00	50.00		100.00
3	Supplies—							
	36 Office Supplies—							
	Total Item No. 36		263.23	150.00	600.00	450.00		600.00
4	Materials—							
	45 Repair Parts—							
	45-1 Repair Parts, Fixtures & Equipment							
	Total Item No. 45				50.00	50.00		50.00
7	Properties—							
	72 Equipment—							
	72-1 Furniture & Fix-							

City Controller	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	1927 Appropriations	1926 Expenditure	Object of Expenditure	Sub-Activity	Activity
300.00		300.00	600.00	300.00	3.35	Total Item No. 72		tures ----- 72-2 Office Equipment---
\$ 16,000.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 9,480.00	\$ 16,180.00	\$ 6,750.00	\$ 8,547.08	Grand Total		

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS**  
**City Civil Engineer's Department**

ITEM NO. 11-1—Office Administration.—This account is increased \$2,400.00 over the 1927 appropriation by reason of the addition of two draftsmen that are necessary to keep up the work.

ITEM NO. 11-2—Flood Prevention.—The request for 1928 remains the same.

ITEM NO. 11-3—Inspectors.—This item shows an increase of \$175.00 made necessary in the adjustment of the "Full Time" and the "Part Time" inspectors which were carried together in 1927.

ITEM NO. 11-4—Laboratory.—Increase of \$520.00 for Assistant Chemical Engineer and \$500.00 for Senior Chemical Aid is made necessary by the reason that these two were carried by the Park Department in 1927, and \$1,320.00 Junior Chemical Aid to fill vacancy caused by death of Chemist that will be needed in 1928 and the increase of \$600.00 for inspector brings the salary of this employee up to \$1,200.00—one-half of which in 1927 was paid out of the Inspectors' Salaries.

ITEM NO. 11-5—Asphalt Plant Department.—This account request is increased \$2,000.00 to pay the salary of Superintendent of Street Repair, made necessary by the operation of the Asphalt Plant.

ITEM NO. 11-6—Asphalt Street Repair.—No change from the 1927 appropriations.

ITEM NO. 11-7—Brick and Block Department.—No change from the 1927 appropriations.

ITEM NO. 11-8—Cement Walk and Curb Department.—No change from the 1927 appropriations.

ITEM NO. 11-9—Superintendent Street Lights.—No change from the 1927 appropriations.

ITEM NO. 12-1—Asphalt Plant Department.—Increase of \$11,734.20 by reason of opening the City Asphalt Plant and the above amount covers wages for the crew of laborers. This plant was closed during 1927.

ITEM NO. 12-2—Asphalt Street Repair Department (3 gangs).—Increase of \$14,015.00 necessary to bring condition of streets up by reason of small amounts appropriated in 1927. Amount appropriated in 1925 was \$80,629.72 and for use in 1926, \$66,786.91—while there was appropriated for use in 1927 only \$39,865.00.

ITEM NO. 12-3—Brick and Block Department.—Request for 1928 is the same as the appropriation for 1927.

ITEM NO. 12-4—Cement Walk and Curb Department.—Re-

quest for 1928 is the same as the appropriation for 1927.

ITEM NO. 21—Communication and Transportation.—This increase of \$10,000.00 is made necessary to take care of additional "Truck Hire" in Street Repair.

ITEM NO. 24—Printing and Advertising.—Decrease in the request for this amount leaves the amount that appears sufficient.

ITEM NO. 25—Repairs.—This account remains the same as the 1927 appropriations.

ITEM NO. 32—Fuel and Ice.—The increase of \$1,000.00 is made necessary by the reestablishment of the Asphalt Plant.

ITEM NO. 33—Garage and Motor Supplies.—This remains the same as in 1927.

ITEM NO. 35—Laboratory Supplies.—This account remains the same.

ITEM NO. 36—Office Supplies.—\$1,000.00 increase necessary to cover additional expense in 1928—part of which is rebinding plat books.

ITEM NO. 38—General Supplies.—\$750.00 increase needed to provide Engineers' stakes, which 1927 funds failed to provide. Fund exhausted early and the Flood Prevention fund used to supply.

ITEM NO. 41—Building Materials.—This increase needed to be used at Asphalt Plant.

ITEM NO. 43—Street and Alley Materials.—Increase of \$28,000.00 needed to supply materials to be used at Asphalt Plant.

ITEM NO. 44—General Materials.—Same as in 1927.

ITEM NO. 45—Repair Parts.—\$850.00 increase needed at Asphalt Plant.

ITEM NO. 55—Subscriptions and Dues.—\$50.00 increase to permit membership in Engineers' Societies and subscriptions to publications pertaining to the Department.

ITEM NO. 72—Equipment.—The increase of \$19,800.00 is made necessary by the purchase of needed motor equipment, Surface Heater for Asphalt Streets, Repair and Testing Machine for the Laboratory.



CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Works—Civil Engineer

Prepared by F. C. Lingenfelter, Eng.

AUG. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
1	Services—Personal—							
	11-1	Salaries & Wages—						
		Regular; Eng. Office—						
		Civil Engineer,						
		1 at \$3,500.00 -----	\$	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$	\$	3,500.00
		Civil Engineers' Ass't,						
		1 at 3,600.00 -----		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		Civil Engineers' Ass'ts,						
		2 at 2,400.00 -----		4,800.00	4,800.00			4,800.00
		Jr. Ass't Engineers,						
		2 at 2,160.00 -----		4,320.00	4,320.00			4,320.00
		Sr. Office Aids,						
		2 at 1,800.00 -----		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		Office Aids, 2 at 1,500.00		3,000.00	3,000.00			3,000.00
		Jr. Office Aids,						
		4 at 1,320.00 -----		5,280.00	5,280.00			5,280.00
		Sr. Draftsman,						
		1 at 1,320.00 -----		1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00
		Jr. Draftsmen,						
		3 at 1,200.00 -----	51,520.40	1,200.00	3,600.00	2,400.00		3,600.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		Sr. Field Aids, 4 at 1,800.00-----		7,200.00	7,200.00			7,200.00
		Field Aid, 1 at 1,500.00--		1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Jr. Field Aids, 12 at 1,200.00-----		14,400.00	14,400.00			14,400.00
		Chief Clerk, 1 at 2,100.00-----		2,100.00	2,100.00			2,100.00
		Ass't Clerk, 1 at 1,500.00_		1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Clerk, 1 at 1,200.00-----		1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
		Total Item No. 11-1____	51,520.40	58,520.00	60,920.00	2,400.00		60,920.00
		11-2 Flood Prevention--						
		Ass't Engineer, 1 at \$2,160.00-----		2,160.00	2,160.00			2,160.00
		Sr. Office Aid, 1 at 1,800.00-----		1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Jr. Office Aid, 1 at 1,320.00-----		1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00
		Sr. Field Aid, 1 at 1,800.00-----	10,641.68	1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Jr. Field Aids, 3 at 1,200.00-----		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		Sr. Inspector,						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
		1 at 1,500.00-----		1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Total Item No. 11-2---	10,641.68	12,180.00	12,180.00			12,180.00
		11-3 C. C. E. O. Inspectors—						
		Chief Inspector, 1 at \$2,000.00-----		2,000.00	2,000.00			2,000.00
		Sr. Inspectors, 2 at 1,800.00-----		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		Jr. Inspectors, (Reg.) 2 at 1,320.00-----		2,640.00	2,640.00			2,640.00
		Inspectors (Reg.), 7 at 1,200.00-----	27,514.05	8,400.00	8,400.00			8,400.00
		Inspectors (7 mo. at 1,200) 24 at 700.00---		16,975.00	16,800.00		175.00	16,800.00
		Clerk, 1 at 1,200.00-----		1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
		Total Item No. 11-3---	27,514.05	34,815.00	34,640.00		175.00	34,640.00
		11-4 C. C. E. O. Labora- tory Dept.—						
		Chemical Engineer, 1 at \$3,600.00-----		3,600.00	3,600.00			3,600.00
		Ass't Chem. Engineer, 1 at 2,320.00-----		1,800.00	2,320.00	520.00*		2,320.00
		Sr. Chemical Aid,						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		1 at 1,920.00 -----		1,400.00	1,920.00	520.00*		1,920.00
		Jr. Chemical Aid,						
		1 at 1,320.00 -----	8,712.46		1,320.00	1,320.00		1,320.00
		Jr. Inspector,						
		1 at 1,320.00 -----		1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00
		Inspector, 1 at 1,200.00 ---		600.00	1,200.00	600.00		1,200.00
		Total Item No. 11-4 ---	8,712.46	8,720.00	11,680.00	2,960.00		11,680.00
		11-5 C. C. E. O. Asphalt Plant Dept.—						
		Supt. of Plant,						
		1 at \$2,000.00 -----		2,000.00	2,600.00	600.00		
		Supt. of Street Repair,						
		1 at 2,000.00 -----	1,111.50		2,000.00	2,000.00		2,000.00
		Total Item No. 11-5 ---	1,111.50	2,000.00	4,600.00	2,600.00		2,000.00
		11-6 C. C. E. O. Asphalt Street Repair—						
		Foreman (Regular),						
		2 at \$1,500.00 -----		3,000.00	3,000.00			3,000.00
		Foreman (Temp. 6 mo.)						
		2 at 1,320.00 -----	3,544.56	1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00
		Total Item No. 11-6 ---	3,544.56	4,320.00	4,320.00			4,320.00

\*This increase was carried by the Park Board in 1927.

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

City Controller	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	1927 Appropriations	1926 Expenditure	Object of Expenditure	Sub-Activity	Activity
						11-7 Brick and Block Dept.—		
			1,500.00	1,500.00	1,485.41	Foreman, 1 at \$1,500.00—		
				1,500.00	1,485.41	Total Item No. 11-7—		
						11-8 Cement Walk & Curb Dept.—		
			1,320.00	1,320.00	1,105.49	Foreman, 1 at \$1,320.00—		
				1,320.00	1,105.49	Total Item No. 11-8—		
						11-9 Supt. Street Lighting—		
			1,620.00	1,620.00	1,620.00	Superintendent, 1 at \$1,620.00—		
				1,620.00	1,620.00	Total Item No. 11-9—		
						Grand Total all Items in No. 11—		
	\$ 175.00	\$ 7,960.00	\$ 132,780.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 107,255.55			
						12-1 Salaries & Wages— Temporary, Asphalt Plant—		
			921.60			Plant Engineer, 1536 hrs. 1 at 60c per hr.—		

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
Mixerman, 1536 hrs.								
	1 at 60c per hr.				921.60	921.60		
Drum Fireman, 1440 hrs.								
	1 at 45c per hr.				648.00	648.00		
Kettleman, 1440 hrs.								
	1 at 50c per hr.				720.00	720.00		
Laborers, 1440 hrs.								
	8 at 45c per hr.				5,184.00	5,184.00		
Stone—Dust Man, 1440 hrs., 1 at 45c per hr.					648.00	648.00		
Blacksmith,								
	1 at 65c per hr.				1,521.00	1,521.00*		1,521.00
Blacksmith Helper,								
	1 at 50c per hr.				1,170.00	1,170.00*		1,170.00
Total Item No. 12-1					11,734.20	11,734.20		2,691.00
					*Carried by St. Comm. Dept. heretofore.			
12-2 Asphalt Street Repair Dept. (3 gangs)—								
Rollermen, 1760 hrs.								
	3 at 60c per hr.			1,680.00	3,168.00	1,488.00		1,680.00
Truck Drivers, 1440 hrs.								
	6 at 60c per hr.			3,360.00	5,184.00	1,824.00		3,360.00
Markers, 1440 hrs.								
	6 at 55c per hr.			3,080.00	4,752.00	1,672.00		3,080.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller	Aug. 15, 1927]
		Rakers, 1440 hrs. 9 at 60c per hr.-----		5,040.00	7,776.00	2,736.00		5,040.00	
		Tampers, 1440 hrs. 9 at 55c per hr.-----	48,827.96	4,620.00	7,128.00	2,508.00		4,620.00	
		Shovelers, 1440 hrs. 6 at 55c per hr.-----		3,360.00	4,752.00	1,392.00		3,360.00	
		Laborers, 1440 hrs. 30 at 45c per hr.-----		17,640.00	19,440.00	1,800.00		17,640.00	
		Watchmen, 3 at \$2.50 per night....		1,085.00	1,680.00	595.00		1,085.00	
		From Special Gasoline Tax Fund -----	17,958.95						
		Total Item No. 12-2---	66,786.91	39,865.00	53,880.00	14,015.00		39,865.00	
		12-3 Brick & Block Dept.—							
		Laborers, 1440 hrs. 10 at 45c per hr.-----	6,527.00	6,300.00	6,300.00			6,300.00	
		Total Item No. 12-3---	6,527.00	6,300.00	6,300.00			6,300.00	
		12-4 Cement Walk & Curb Dept.—							
		Finishers, 1440 hrs. 1 at 70c per hr.-----		980.00	980.00			980.00	

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
Laborers, 1440 hrs. 7 at 45c per hr.-----				3,794.00	3,794.00			3,794.00
Total Item No. 12-4---			4,916.00	4,774.00	4,774.00			4,774.00
Grand Total of all Divisions of No. 12\$			78,229.91	\$ 50,939.00	\$ 76,688.20	\$ 25,749.20	\$	\$ 53,630.00
2 Services—Contractual—								
21 Communication & Transportation—								
21-1 Freight, Express & Drayage -----								
21-2 Postage -----								
21-3 Street Car Transportation								
			12,385.79	10,000.00	15,000.00	5,000.00		
21-4 Telephone & Telegraph -----								
21-5 Team & Truck Hire -----								
Total Item No. 21---			12,385.79	10,000.00	15,000.00	5,000.00		15,000.00
24 Printing & Advertising—								
24-1 Advertising & Publication of Notices -----								
24-2 Printing other than								



Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
	Office	-----	131.18	700.00	400.00		300.00	
	Total Item No. 24	---	131.18	700.00	400.00		300.00	400.00
	25 Repairs—							
	25-1 Fixtures & Equipment	-----						
	25-2 Bldgs & Structures	-----	1,084.12	1,000.00	1,000.00			
	Total Item No. 25	---	1,084.12	1,000.00	1,000.00			1,000.00
3	Supplies—							
	32 Fuel & Ice—							
	32-1 Fuel	-----						
	32-2 Ice	-----	1,717.75	2,000.00	2,500.00	500.00		
	Total Item No. 32	---	1,717.75	2,000.00	2,500.00	500.00		2,500.00
	33 Garage & Motor Supplies—							
	33-1 Gasoline	-----						
	33-2 Oils	-----						
	33-3 Tires & Tubes	-----						
	33-4 Other Garage & Motor Supplies	-----	213.78	300.00	300.00			
	Total Item No. 33	---	213.78	300.00	300.00			300.00
	35 Laboratory Supplies—							

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
	35-1	Laboratory Supplies & Chemicals ----	235.33	300.00	300.00			
		Total Item No. 35__	235.33	300.00	300.00			300.00
	36	Office Supplies—						
	36-1	C. C. E. O. Supplies_						
	36-2	Asphalt Plant Office_						
	36-3	Laboratory Office---	2,220.59	2,000.00	2,000.00			
		Total Item No. 36	2,220.59	2,000.00	2,000.00			2,000.00
	38	General Supplies—						
	38-1	C. C. E. O. -----						
	38-2	Asphalt Plant-----						
	38-3	Laboratory -----	492.50	750.00	1,000.00	250.00		
		Total Item No. 38__	492.50	750.00	1,000.00	250.00		1,000.00
4		Materials—						
	41	Building Materials—	7.20	50.00	100.00	50.00		
		Total Item No. 41__	7.20	50.00	100.00	50.00		100.00
	43	Street & Alley						
		Materials—						
	43	All Street & Alley						

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

521

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
		Materials -----	30,789.48	2,000.00	10,000.00	8,000.00		
		Total Item No. 43 ..	30,789.48	2,000.00	10,000.00	8,000.00		2,000.00
	44	General Materials—						
	44	All Materials not classified -----	.26	500.00	500.00			
		Total Item No. 44 ..	.26	500.00	500.00			200.00
	45	Repair Parts—						
	45	Repair parts for furniture, fixtures, instruments, tools & equipment -----	32.27	100.00	500.00	400.00		
		Total Item No. 45 ..	32.27	100.00	500.00	400.00		100.00
	5	Current Charges—						
	55	Subscriptions & dues -----			50.00	50.00		
		Total Item No. 55 ..			50.00	50.00		50.00
	7	Properties—						
	72	Equipment—						
	72-1	Furniture & Fixtures -----			200.00			
	72-2	Engineers & Laboratory Instruments -----			200.00			

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
72-4	Motor	Equipment	6,007.86	300.00	6,500.00			
72-5	Office	Equipment			200.00			
72-6	Other	Equipment			5,000.00			
Total Item No. 72			6,007.86	300.00	12,100.00	9,100.00		12,100.00
Grand Total			\$ 240,803.67	\$ 195,934.00	\$ 254,618.20	\$ 58,684.20	\$ 475.00	\$ 220,860.00

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS****Street Commissioner**

ITEM NO. 11-1—Salaries and Wages Regular—Office Administration.—The 1928 request for this account is increased in the amount of \$1320.00 for one additional clerk.

ITEM NO. 11-2—Sewer Department.—No increase in this account for salaries.

ITEM NO. 11-3—Unimproved Street Department.—No increase in salaries in this account.

ITEM NO. 11-4—City Yards Department.—The salaries in this department remain the same.

ITEM NO. 11-5—Street Cleaning Department.—This account is increased \$2,000.00 for an Assistant Street Commissioner and \$3,000.00 for two additional Inspectors at \$1,500.00 per year, also \$1,320.00 for the salary of a "Storekeeper" that has been paid through the "Weekly" pay roll.

ITEM NO. 12-1—Sewer Department—Salaries and Wages Temporary.—This account is increased \$22,028.00 by reason of additional "Basin Laborers" \$6,350.00, "Dragging Men" \$8,190.00 that was not included in the 1927 budget, and a further increase of \$2,808.00 for "Eductor Men" and \$4,680.00 for "Eductor Helpers" provided the new "Eductors" are provided as requested in account No. 72.

ITEM NO. 12-2—Unimproved Streets.—The pay for laborers in this account is increased \$16,991.00 by reason of the request for more Truck Drivers, Helpers, men for tractors, graders and light trucks as well as additional laborers.

ITEM NO. 12-3—City Yards Department.—This account is increased \$884.00 by reason of additional laborers.

ITEM NO. 12-4—Carpenter Department.—This account is increased \$9,720.59 by reason of the necessity of 2 additional carpenters, 2 laborers, 1 painter part time and the Union Wage Scale Adjustment for 1927 and part of 1928.

ITEM NO. 12-5—Weed Cutting Department.—This account is increased \$2,000.00 so that the demands can be met. This increase is more than offset by the charges for "Weed Cutting" being charged back against the lots and placed on the Tax Duplicate and collected by the County Treasurer and turned in for the "General Fund."

ITEM NO. 12-6—Road Oiling Department.—The request for this account is the same as the appropriation for 1927.

ITEM NO. 12-7—Street Cleaning Department.—This account is increased \$77,005.00 by reason of the necessity of additional

Teamsters, Laborers, Truck Drivers, Flusher Drivers, Helpers and Sweeper Men. The small appropriations for the preceding two years has allowed the streets to be neglected in a way that will require the appropriation asked for.

ITEM NO. 21—Communication and Transportation.—This account shows a reduction of \$137.00 over the appropriation for 1927—in the Street Commissioners' Department trucking and hauling is the largest item.

ITEM NO. 22—Heat, Light, Power and Water.—This account is increased \$100.00 to care for the likely increase in requirements for electricity.

ITEM NO. 24—Printing and Advertising.—This request remains the same—\$25.00.

ITEM NO. 25—Repairs to Fixtures, Equipment, Buildings and Structures.—This account is reduced \$1,500.00.

ITEM NO. 26—Other Contractual.—This account is a new one and is created for the purpose of furnishing services of a veterinary for the live stock.

ITEM NO. 32—Fuel and Ice.—This account remains the same as in 1927.

ITEM NO. 33—Garage and Motor Supplies.—This account remains the same as in 1927.

ITEM NO. 34—Institutional and Medical.—This account also is a new one, and for the purpose of furnishing disinfectants and medicine for the live stock.

ITEM NO. 36—Office Supplies.—This account remains the same as in 1927.

ITEM NO. 38—General Supplies.—This account covers supplies not otherwise provided for, and is increased \$2,000.00 to meet the demands that are sure to come with the increased activities of the Street Commissioners Department.

ITEM NO. 41—Building Materials.—This item covers materials needed in the upkeep of the various buildings and bridges. The items that go to make up this account consist of nails, lumber, paint, putty and roofing materials.

ITEM NO. 42—Sewer Materials.—This account remains the same as in 1927.

ITEM NO. 43—Street and Alley Materials.—This account is increased \$3,000.00 to cover materials in the increased sewer system and alleys.

ITEM NO. 44—General Materials.—This account is reduced \$50.00.

ITEM NO. 45—Repair Parts.—This account is also reduced.

ITEM NO. 54—Rents and Taxes—This account covers Leases, Rents and Taxes on leased property and is reduced \$250.00.

ITEM NO. 71—Buildings, Structures and Improvements—This account remains the same as in 1927.

ITEM NO. 72—Equipment.—This account is increased \$95,200.00 over the request for 1927 by reason of the necessity of new motor equipment as follows: 2 Sewer Eductors, 2 Street Flushers, 2 Street Sweepers, 3 Tractors, 3 Graders, 8 Trucks. This new equipment is apparently necessary to replace and add to the fast depreciating equipment so that the efficiency may be maintained or increased.

## CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS

 Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Works—Street Commissioner  
 Prepared by W. B. Schoenrogg

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal—							
	Regular—							
	11	Salaries & Wages—						
	11-1	Office Administration—						
		Commissioner,						
		1 at \$3,000.00-----	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00			\$ 3,000.00
		Chief Clerk,						
		1 at 1,680.00-----	1,680.00	1,680.00	1,680.00			1,680.00
		Timekeeper,						
		1 at 1,500.00-----	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Clerk, 1 at 1,320.00-----			1,320.00	1,320.00		1,320.00
		Clerk, 1 at 1,000.00-----	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00			1,000.00
		Total Item No. 11-1---	7,180.00	7,180.00	8,500.00	1,320.00		8,500.00
	11-2	Sewer Department—						
		Ass't. Commissioner,						
		1 at \$2,000.00-----	2,000.00	2,000.00	2,000.00			2,000.00
		Inspector, 1 at 1,320.00---	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00



Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Comptroller City
		Foreman, 3 at 1,320.00	3,960.00	3,960.00	3,960.00			3,960.00
		Total Item No. 11-2	7,280.00	7,280.00	7,280.00			7,280.00
		11-3 Unimproved Street Dept.—						
		Foremen, 3 at 1,320.00	3,960.00	3,960.00	3,960.00			
		Total Item No. 11-3	3,960.00	3,960.00	3,960.00			3,960.00
		11-4 City Yards Dept.—						
		Foreman, 1 at 1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00			
		Total Item No. 11-4	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00
		11-5 Street Cleaning Dept.—						
		Ass't. Commissioner, 1 at \$2,000.00			2,000.00	2,000.00		2,000.00
		Inspectors, 6 at 1,500.00	6,000.00	6,000.00	9,000.00	3,000.00		7,500.00
		Barn Foreman, 1 at 1,320.00	1,200.00	1,320.00	1,320.00			1,320.00
		Storekeeper, 1 at 1,320.00 (Formerly on weekly Payroll)			1,320.00	1,320.00		1,320.00
		Total Item No. 11-5	7,200.00	7,320.00	13,640.00	6,320.00		12,140.00
		<b>Grand Total Item No. 11</b>	26,940.00	27,060.00	34,700.00	7,640.00		33,200.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations*	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
12 Salaries and Wages—								
Temporary—								
12-1 Sewer Dept.—								
Eductor Men,								
		2 at 60c per hr.-----	2,808.00	2,808.00	2,808.00			2,808.00
		Helpers, 4 at 50c per hr.---	4,680.00	4,680.00	4,680.00			4,680.00
Emergency Trucks,								
		3 at 55c per hr.-----	3,861.00	3,861.00	3,861.00			3,861.00
Basin Trucks,								
		3 at 55c per hr.-----	3,861.00	3,861.00	3,861.00			3,861.00
Emergency Laborers,								
		4 at 50c per hr.-----						
Basin Laborers,								
		10 at 50c per hr.-----	6,122.72	10,030.00	16,380.00	6,350.00		14,000.00
Dump Man,								
		1 at 50c per hr.-----	208.00	208.00	208.00			
Dragging Men,								
		7 at 50c per hr.-----			8,190.00	8,190.00		8,190.00
Eductor Men,								
		2 at 60c per hr.-----			2,808.00	2,808.00		1,404.00
Eductor Helpers,								
		4 at 50c per hr.-----			4,680.00	4,680.00		2,340.00
Total Item No. 12-1----			21,540.72	25,448.00	47,476.00	22,028.00		41,144.00
12-2 Unimproved Street Dept.—								

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

529

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		Heavy Trucks,						
		5 at 60c per hr.-----		4,216.00	7,020.00	2,804.00		6,000.00
		Helpers, 5 at 50c per hr.---		3,480.00	5,850.00	2,370.00		4,850.00
		Light Trucks,						
		7 at 55c per hr.-----		5,794.00	9,009.00	3,215.00		7,500.00
		Tractors (part time),						
		5 at 55c per hr.-----		1,312.00	2,475.00	1,163.00		2,000.00
		Graders (part time),						
		5 at 55c per hr.-----		1,750.00	2,475.00	725.00		2,000.00
		Laborers (part time),						
		5 at 50c per hr.-----			2,250.00	2,250.00		2,250.00
		Laborers (regular),						
		8 at 50c per hr.-----		4,896.00	9,360.00	4,464.00		7,000.00
		Total Item No. 12-2 ---	22,367.42	21,448.00	38,439.00	16,991.00		31,600.00
		12-3 City Yards Dept.—						
		Watchman,						
		1 at \$21.00 per wk.-----	1,092.00	1,092.00	1,092.00			1,092.00
		Red Light Man,						
		1 at \$21.00 per wk.-----	1,092.00	1,092.00	1,092.00			1,092.00
		Emergency Men,						
		2 at \$28.00 per wk.-----	2,912.00	2,912.00	2,912.00			2,912.00
		Laborers, 2 at 50c per hr.---	1,456.00	1,456.00	2,340.00	884.00		2,340.00
		Blacksmith,						
		1 at 65c per hr.-----	1,521.00	1,521.00	1,521.00			

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		Blacksmith Helper, 1 at 50c per hr. -----	1,170.00	1,170.00	1,170.00			
		Total Item No. 12-3 ---	9,243.00	9,243.00	10,127.00	884.00		7,436.00
		12-4 Carpenter Dept.— Foreman, 1 at \$1.25 per hr. -----	2,109.48	2,892.50	2,892.50			2,892.50
		Carpenters, 4 at \$1.15 per hr. -----	6,996.40	5,322.20	8,645.44	3,323.24		8,645.44
		Laborers, 4 at 50c per hr. ---	1,815.14	2,340.00	3,680.00	1,340.00		3,680.00
		Truck Driver, 1 at 55c per hr. -----	1,000.10	1,287.00	1,287.00			1,287.00
		Painters (part time), 2 at \$1.15 per hr. -----	1,815.60	2,516.80	3,446.80	930.00		3,446.80
		Adjustment of 1927 & 1928 Union scale -----		641.50	1,268.85	627.35		1,268.85
		Total Item No. 12-4 ---	13,736.72	15,000.00	21,220.59	6,220.59		21,220.59
		12-5 Weed Cutting Dept.— Laborers, 30 at 45 and 50c hr. ----	489.20	1,000.00	2,000.00	1,000.00		
		Total Item No. 12-5 ---	489.20	1,000.00	2,000.00	1,000.00		1,500.00
		12-6 Road Oiling Dept.— Heavy Trucks,						

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

531

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		3 at 60c per hr. ....		900.00	900.00			900.00
		Helpers, 3 at 50c per hr. ....	996.55	750.00	750.00			750.00
		Oil House Man, .....		350.00	350.00			350.00
		Total Item No. 12-6 ...	996.55	2,000.00	2,000.00			2,000.00
		12-7 Street Cleaning Dept.—						
		Foreman over Trucks,						
		1 at 65c per hr. ....	1,631.18	1,800.00	1,800.00			1,800.00
		Teamsters,						
		16 at 50c per hr. ....	13,372.23	12,916.00	16,000.00	3,084.00		15,000.00
		Laborers,						
		125 at 45c per hr. ....	58,458.69	51,000.00	90,565.00	39,565.00		65,000.00
		Light Trucks,						
		12 at 55c per hr. ....	7,287.83	8,000.00	12,944.00	4,944.00		10,000.00
		Flushers, 7 at 60c per hr. ....	6,920.34	6,250.00	8,554.00	2,304.00		8,554.00
		Helpers, 7 at 50c per hr. ....	4,943.75	5,000.00	6,800.00	1,800.00		6,800.00
		Sweeper Men,						
		2 at 60c per hr. ....			2,808.00	2,808.00		
		Dump Men,						
		3 at 50c per hr. ....		624.00	624.00			624.00
		Barn Men (7da-8hr-wk),						
		3 at 50c per hr. ....	4,138.50	4,368.00	4,368.00			4,368.00
		Blacksmith,						
		1 at 65c per hr. ....		1,521.00	1,521.00			1,521.00
		Horseshoer,						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
	1 at 65c per hr. -----			1,521.00	1,521.00			1,521.00
	Storekeeper -----		1,350.25					
	Harness Maker, 1 at 65c per hr. -----		1,499.25					
	Total Item No. 12-7 ---		99,562.02	93,000.00	147,505.00	54,505.00		115,188.00
	Grand Total of Item No. 12 ---		\$ 167,935.63	\$ 167,139.00	\$ 268,767.59	\$ 101,628.59	\$	\$ 220,088.59
2	Services Contractual—							
	21 Communication and Transportation—							
	21-1 Freight, Express & Hauling -----							
	21-2 Postage -----							
	21-3 Street Car Trans- portation -----							
	21-4 Telephone and Telegraph -----		5,648.63	4,137.00	4,000.00		137.00	
	Total Item No. 21 ---		5,648.63	4,137.00	4,000.00		137.00	4,000.00
	22 Heat, Light, Power & Water—							

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
22-1	Electric Current	----	499.36	450.00	550.00	100.00		
	Total Item No. 22	----	499.36	450.00	550.00	100.00		550.00
24	Printing and Advertising—							
24-1	Printing & Public Notices	-----		25.00	25.00			
	Total Item No. 24	----		25.00	25.00			25.00
25	Repairs—							
25-1	Buildings & Structures	-----						
25-2	Fixtures & Equipment	-----	1,410.37	2,500.00	1,000.00		1,500.00	
	Total Item No. 25	----	1,410.37	2,500.00	1,000.00		1,500.00	1,000.00
26	Other Contractual—							
26-1	Veterinary & Medical Services	-----			200.00	200.00		
	Total Item No. 26	----			200.00	200.00		200.00
3	Supplies—							
32	Fuel and Ice—							
32-1	Coal, Wood, Coke, Etc.	-----						

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
32-2	Ice	-----						
32-3	Kerosene	-----	851.00	1,500.00	1,500.00			
	Total Item No. 32	-----	851.00	1,500.00	1,500.00			1,250.00
33	Garage & Motor Supplies—							
33-1	Gasoline	-----						
33-2	Oils & Grease	-----						
33-3	Tires & Tubes	-----						
33-4	Other Garage & Motor Supplies	-----	5,923.55	1,500.00	1,500.00			
	Total Item No. 33	-----	5,923.55	1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
34	Institutional & Medical	-----						
34-4	Disinfectants & Medicine for Stock	-----			375.00	375.00		
	Total Item No. 34	-----			375.00	375.00		200.00
36	Office Supplies—							
36-1	Office Supplies	-----	193.80	250.00	250.00			
	Total Item No. 36	-----	193.80	250.00	250.00			250.00
38	General Supplies—							
38-1	City Yards	-----						
38-2	Carpenters Dept.	---						



City Controller	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	1927 Appropriations	1926 Expenditure	Object of Expenditure	Sub-Activity	Activity
								38-3 Forage for Stock ---
								38-4 Sewer Dept. -----
								38-5 Street Cleaning Dept. -----
								38-6 Unimproved Street Dept. -----
								38-7 Light Bulbs, Etc. ---
								Total Item No. 38 -----
								4 Materials—
								41 Building Materials—
								41-1 Building Materials -----
								Total Item No. 41 -----
								42 Sewer Materials—
								42-1 Sewer Materials -----
								Total Item No. 42 -----
								43 Street & Alley Materials -----
								Total Item No. 43 -----
								44 General Materials -----
								Total Item No. 44 -----
								45 Repair Parts—

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
	45-1	Parts of Fixtures & Equipment -----						
	45-2	Parts of Bldgs. & Structures -----	1,690.83	2,000.00	1,500.00		500.00	
		Total Item No. 45 -----	1,690.83	2,000.00	1,500.00		500.00	1,500.00
5		Current Charges—						
	54	Rents & Taxes—						
	54-1	Rents & Leases -----						
	54-2	Taxes on Leased Property -----	814.64	900.00	650.00		250.00	
		Total Item No. 54 -----	814.64	900.00	650.00		250.00	650.00
7		Properties—						
	71	Bldgs., Structures & Improvements—						
	71-1	Bldgs. & Fixed Improvements -----						
	71-2	Other Structures -----	4,520.00	2,590.00			2,590.00	
		Total Item No. 71 -----	4,520.00	2,590.00			2,590.00	
	72	Equipment—						
	72-1	Furniture & Fixtures -----						
	72-3	Live Stock -----						
	72-4	Motor Equipment --						

Aug 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
	2	Sewer Eductors -----			20,000.00	20,000.00		
	2	Street Flushers -----			10,000.00	10,000.00		
	3	Three Ton Tractors ---			6,500.00	6,500.00		
	3	Graders -----			2,500.00	2,500.00		
	2	Five Ton Dump Trucks -----			11,800.00	11,800.00		
	5	One and one-half ton Dump Trucks -----			14,000.00	14,000.00		
	72-6	Other Equipment ---	910.00	1,700.00	2,500.00	800.00		
		Total Item No. 72-----	910.00	1,700.00	67,300.00	65,600.00		35,000.00
		Grand Total -----	\$ 242,668.57	\$ 239,801.00	\$ 413,817.59	\$ 179,043.59	\$ 5,027.00	\$ 329,913.59

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS****Municipal Garage**

ITEM NO. 11—Salaries and Wages Regular.—The request for 1928 is the same as the appropriation for 1927.

ITEM NO. 12—Salaries and Wages Temporary.—No increase in this account.

ITEM NO. 21—Communication and Transportation.—The request for this account is for \$50.00 more to cover additional postage and a likely increase in Telephone rental.

ITEM NO. 22—Heat, Light, Power and Water.—The increase in this account to the amount of \$6,000.00 is to cover "Heat" for the Garage. In 1927 calculations were made for "Heat" being transmitted from the City Hall, but it did not materialize and a transfer of funds was necessary.

ITEM NO. 24—Printing and Advertising.—This account did not exist in the 1927 appropriation and to take care of the record system of the Garage, as it is being installed, the request of \$200.00 is reasonable.

ITEM NO. 25—Repairs.—An increase of \$1,000.00 seems to be necessary to take care of the repairs on equipment as it depreciates.

ITEM NO. 26—Other Contractual.—This account is to be increased \$4,000.00 or to \$10,000.00 so that the Heat may be connected from the City Hall to the Garage during the year of 1928. The Heat Engineers' estimate recently made indicated that the figure of \$10,000.00 would be the cost.

ITEM NO. 32—Fuel and Ice.—The increase of \$100.00 is made necessary to cover Ice for the Garage. The appropriation of \$50.00 for 1927 will not be sufficient.

ITEM NO. 33—Garage and Motor Supplies.—The increase of \$8,500.00 in this account is made necessary by the increase in the motor equipment.

ITEM NO. 36—Office Supplies.—This account should be increased the \$25.00 for the reason that under the present system that is being installed the request of \$50.00 is very reasonable for Office Supplies.

ITEM NO. 45—Repair Parts.—The increase of \$4,500.00 is necessary for the reason that the 1927 appropriation is entirely too small to cover the cost of needed "Parts" and as the City's Motor Equipment is increasing, the demand for "Repair Parts" correspondingly increases.

ITEM NO. 52—Current Charges.—This account is a new creation made necessary to cover License expense.

Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

539

ITEM NO. 72—Equipment.—The increase of \$7,000.00 is to cover the purchase of new equipment made necessary by the fast depreciation of the present motor equipment.

**CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS**  
**Departmental Estimate for 1928 Budget—Board of Public Works—Municipal Garage.**  
 Prepared by Wm. J. Hamilton

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
1	Services—Personal—							
	11	Salaries and Wages—						
		Regular—						
		Supt., 1 at \$3,000.00-----\$	2,600.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$	\$	3,000.00
		Foreman, 1 at 2,400.00---	2,000.00	2,400.00	2,400.00			2,400.00
		Clerk-Stenographer,						
		1 at 1,200.00-----		1,200.00	1,200.00			1,200.00
		Night Mechanic & Watch-						
		man, 1 at 1,500.00---		1,500.00	1,500.00			1,500.00
		Washer, Chauffeur &						
		Stockman -----	3,900.00					
		Total Item No. 11-----	8,500.00	8,100.00	8,100.00			8,100.00
	12	Salaries & Wages,						
		Temporary—						
		Mechanics,						
		12 at 65c per hr.-----						
		Mechanics,						
		3 at 55c per hr.-----	12,958.25	22,500.00	20,000.00		2,500.00	
		Total Item No. 12-----	12,958.25	22,500.00	20,000.00			19,000.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
2	Services—Contractual—							
	21	Communication and Transportation—						
		21-1 Freight, Express & Drayage -----						
		21-2 Postage -----						
		21-4 Telephone & Telegraph -----	159.24	200.00	200.00			
		Total Item No. 21-----	159.24	200.00	200.00			200.00
	22	Heat, Light, Power & Water—						
		22-1 Electric Current ---						
		22-2 Gas -----						
		22-3 Heat -----	6,287.44	1,000.00	7,000.00	6,000.00		
		Total Item No. 22-----	6,287.44	1,000.00	7,000.00	6,000.00		9,000.00
	24	Printing and Advertising—						
		24-1 Advertising & Public Notices -----						
		24-3 Printing other than Office -----			200.00	200.00		
		Total Item No. 24-----			200.00	200.00		200.00

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
	25	Repairs—						
	25-1	Repairs to Bldgs. & Structures -----						
	25-2	Repairs to Fixtures & Equipment -----	3,855.49	2,000.00	2,000.00			
		Total Item No. 25 -----	3,855.49	2,000.00	2,000.00			2,000.00
	26	Other Contractual—						
	26-1	Painting & Lettering -----						
	26-2	Sundry Contractual -----		6,000.00	6,000.00			
		Total Item No. 26 -----		6,000.00	6,000.00			
3	32	Supplies—						
	32	Fuel and Ice—						
	32-2	Ice -----		50.00	150.00	100.00		
		Total Item No. 32 -----		50.00	150.00	100.00		150.00
	33	Garage & Motor Supplies—						
	33-1	Gasoline -----						
	33-2	Oils & Greases -----						
	33-3	Tires & Tubes -----						
	33-4	Other Garage &						



Aug. 15, 1927]

CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

543

Activity	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	Controller City
		Motor Supplies -----	21,070.77	21,500.00	30,000.00	8,500.00		
		Total Item No. 33-----	21,070.77	21,500.00	30,000.00	8,500.00		29,000.00
		36 Office Supplies—						
		36-1 Office Supplies ----	19.75	25.00	50.00	25.00		
		Total Item No. 36-----	19.75	25.00	50.00	25.00		50.00
4		Materials—						
		45 Repair Parts—						
		45-1 Parts of Fixtures & Equipment						
		45-2 Parts of Bldgs. & Structures -----	5,929.92	5,500.00	8,000.00	2,500.00		
		Total Item No. 45-----	5,929.92	5,500.00	8,000.00	2,500.00		7,500.00
5		Current Charges—						
		52 Licenses—			200.00	200.00		
		Total Item No. 52-----			200.00	200.00		200.00
7		Properties—						
		72 Equipment—						
		72-1 Furniture & Fixtures						
		72-2 Motor Equipment						
		72-5 Office Equipment---						

Activity /	Sub-Activity	Object of Expenditure	1926 Expenditure	1927 Appropriations	1928 Request	Increase Over 1927 Appropriations	Decrease Under 1927 Appropriations	City Controller
		72-6 Other Equipment ----	228.13	3,000.00	6,000.00	3,000.00		
		Total Item No. 72 ----	228.13	3,000.00	6,000.00	3,000.00		4,500.00
		Grand Total -----	\$ 59,008.99	\$ 69,875.00	\$ 87,900.00	\$ 20,525.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 79,900.00

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Finance.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITY OFFICIALS.

Indianapolis, Ind., August 6, 1927.

*President of City Council, Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Sir—By direction of the Board of Control of the Soldiers and Sailors Monument, I have the honor to place before your body correspondence which recently passed between this office and that of the State Executive relative to the violation of the Acts of 1901 for the protection of the Monument, a copy of which is enclosed:

“Indianapolis, Ind., July 18, 1927.

Mr. Pliney H. Wolfard,  
Secretary to the Governor.

“My dear Mr. Wolfard—I have the honor to hand you a copy of the Acts of 1901 which was intended by the Legislature to be in reality for the ‘PROTECTION OF MONUMENT AND STREETS.’

“Either the Legislature had the right to pass this law or it had not; if it had that power, then the law should be enforced. The Act places the responsibility of enforcement on the Superintendent, who in the past three years has succeeded in educating the Board of Safety and police authorities up to the point that they no longer grant permits for carnivals, carousals, foot races and public meetings in the Circle, but refer all applicants to the Superintendent who turns them down.

“But he cannot deal with illusive bodies like the City Council which makes laws to suit itself, and which the people accept as authority. A case in point is the ordinance granting permission to park automobiles along the outer rim of the Circle and plainly in contravention of the Acts of 1901 for that row of automobiles is comparable only to a high board fence.

“Recently a portion of the Circle has been exempted as Parking Space by the City Council for the accommodation of motor buses in loading and unloading passengers which space is vacant four-fifths of the time and one only needs to see the comparison of that vacant space with the row of automobiles alongside, to be convinced of the wisdom of the members of the Legislature who had in mind the making of the most beautiful Circle in the world, but which now presents all of the aspects of a barn yard.

“The Board of Control wishes to know how to proceed in resisting the encroachment of the city authorities and having no attorney of its own, requests that this letter be referred to the Attorney General for his advice.

“Very truly yours,

Approved:

A. B. Crampton, Chairman of Board.

ORAN PERRY,  
Superintendent.”

"August 2, 1927.

Hon. P. H. Wolfard,  
Secretary to Governor,  
Indianapolis, Ind.

"Dear Sir—I have your note transferring to me letter of Col. Oran Perry, Superintendent of the State Soldiers and Sailors Monument, in which it states that 'The Board of Control wishes to know how to proceed in resisting the enforcement of the city authorities and \* \* \* requests that this letter be referred to the Attorney General for his advice.'"

"No facts are stated as to what the city authorities are doing in violation of the law but from other statements in the letter, I surmise that complaint is made because the city permits automobiles to be parked on Monument Circle adjacent to, but on the opposite side of the street.

"Section 1 of the Act of 1901 (Section 13,559, Burns' R. S. 1926) makes it unlawful for anyone to obstruct the view or approaches to Circle and premises. Section 13,569 of such statutes provides that 'It shall be unlawful for any vehicle to be located, stationed or kept on said Circle street, to be used for hire as a common carrier in the transportation of persons, merchandise or any kind of property, and the penalty for each such offense shall be a fine of not less than five hundred dollars nor more than ten dollars.'"

"The superintendent and those under him have police powers.

"Sec. 13,556, Burns' R. S. 1926;

"Sec. 13,567, Burns' R. S. 1926.

"It seems to me that common carriers operating buses and stopping to take on and let off passengers on the Circle might be prosecuted for violating Section 13,569 and under Section 13,570, it would be the duty of the prosecuting attorney to conduct such prosecutions. If it is a violation to operate such buses on Circle Street, a city ordinance allowing it would be no defense to such prosecutions.

"The control of the Monument commission over Circle Street is subject to the right of the public to use the street as other highways are used except where such right has been clearly restricted by law and it becomes a serious question whether the city authorities may not legally permit private automobiles to be parked for a given time on that part of the street nearest the buildings surrounding said Circle.

"The state owns the Circle but that part of it dedicated to the public as a highway is owned subject to the right of the public to use it as a highway.

"If the parking of cars along the curb on the Circle obstructs the view or approaches to Monument Circle or the Monument, persons who so park their cars there would be guilty of violating the

provisions of Section 13,559, Burns' 1926, for which Section 13,560 provides punishment.

"I return Colonel Perry's letter.

"Yours respectfully,

EDWARD M. WHITE,

Asst. Attorney General."

The Board of Control believes that it will not be necessary on its part, to take any legal action for the enforcement of the statute. It is of the opinion that the Councilmen being law abiding citizens themselves and lawmakers as well, will recognize the force of good example by setting aside any ordinance that conflicts with the interest of the state in any way.

It is also of the opinion, based on experience, that vehicles moving around the Circle do not constitute an obstruction of view under the law, traveling at intervals, as they do.

Respectfully submitted,

ORAN PERRY,

Superintendent.

#### A "BROADCAST" FROM THE MONUMENT, JULY, 1924.

Through a misapprehension of authority, someone recently granted a permit to erect booths and hold a carnival in Monument Place in contravention of the Acts of Indiana, 1901, page 59, in force March 1, 1901, as follows:

"Section 10,004, Revised Statutes of 1914."

**PROTECTION OF MONUMENT AND STREETS:** That it shall be unlawful for any person, number of persons, any society, organization of merchants, any board of trade, commercial society, municipal, public or private corporation, or any partnership or number of persons, to desecrate the Soldiers' and Sailors' Monument in the City of Indianapolis or the street known as Monument Place or any of the premises or approaches surrounding said Monument, by building any wall, fence or other obstruction in or about the said premises, approaches or street known as Monument Place surrounding said Monument, or to sell or offer to sell by station, in booth or in any other way or manner, any article of merchandise, or to have or to hold any show, carnival, circus or masquerade, or to maintain any tent or building in or about such premises or approaches, or to hold a political meeting therein or thereon, or in any way obstruct the view or approaches to such street and premises or approaches aforesaid, or to use said premises, street and approaches for other purposes than those intended in the acts providing for the erection and maintenance of said monument.

**PENALTY:** Not less than twenty-five dollars and not more than one thousand dollars for each separate offense.

**POLICE POWERS:** Section 10972 clothes the Superintendent and those serving under him with police powers, authorizing them to make arrests or to do such other things as may be needful in enforcing the law for the protection of said monument and Monument Place.

This is to give notice that no official of the State, City, County or Township has any authority to grant permits in Monument Place that are in any way contrary to the law as quoted above.

THE BOARD OF CONTROL,

Approved: Soldiers' and Sailors' Monument,

E. F. BRANCH,  
Governor.

A. B. CRAMPTON,  
Chairman.

REPORTS FROM STANDING COMMITTEES.

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—We, your Committee on Finance, to whom was referred Ordinance No. 78, 1927, entitled: "\$125,000 Temporary Loan," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

O. RAY ALBERTSON, Chairman.  
O. E. BARTHOLOMEW  
E. B. RAUB  
R. E. SPRINGSTEEN

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—We, your Committee on Public Welfare, to whom was referred Ordinance No. 56, 1927, beg leave to report that we had had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

O. RAY ALBERTSON, Chairman.  
BOYNTON J. MOORE  
E. B. RAUB

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—We, your Committee on Public Safety, to whom was referred Traffic Ordinance No. 81, 1927, beg leave to report

that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed as amended.

ROBT. E. SPRINGSTEEN, Chairman.  
O. E. BARTHOLOMEW  
M. W. FERGUSON  
A. H. TODD.

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—We, your Committee on Public Welfare, to whom was referred Special Ordinance No. 11, 1927, beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be not passed.

E. B. RAUB, Chairman  
M. W. FERGUSON  
A. H. TODD  
O. E. BARTHOLOMEW

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlement—We, your Committee on Law and Judiciary, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 46, 1927, beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be not passed.

E. B. RAUB, Chairman  
WALTER R. DORSETT  
O. E. BARTHOLOMEW

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the Honorable President and Members of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—We, your Committee on Zoning Appeals, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 82, 1927, entitled "Abolishing Zoning Appeal Board," beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed as amended.

BOYNTON J. MOORE, Chairman  
• M. W. FERGUSON  
WALTER R. DORSETT  
AUSTIN H. TODD

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the President and Honorable Members of the Common Council,  
Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—We, your Committee on Public Safety, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 49, 1927, entitled “\$6,750 Bond Issue Fire Station Site,” beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

AUSTIN H. TODD  
ROBT. E. SPRINGSTEEN

Indianapolis, Ind., August 15, 1927.

*To the President and Honorable Members of the Common Council,  
Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Gentlemen—We, your Committee on Public Health, to whom was referred General Ordinance No. 54, 1927, entitled “Amending Zoning Ordinance at Forty-Sixth and Illinois Sts.,” beg leave to report that we have had said ordinance under consideration, and recommend that the same be passed.

AUSTIN H. TODD, Chairman  
ROBT. E. SPRINGSTEEN  
WALTER R. DORSETT

#### INTRODUCTION OF GENERAL ORDINANCES

By Dr. Todd:

##### GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 85, 1927

AN ORDINANCE, amending Section 395 of General Ordinance No. 121, 1925, entitled “An Ordinance concerning the government of the City of Indianapolis, providing penalties for its violation and, with stated exceptions, repealing all former ordinances,” and declaring a time when the same shall take effect.

*Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis,  
Indiana:*

Section 1. That Section 395 of General Ordinance No. 121, 1925, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 395. Explosives. No person shall discharge, set off or have in his possession for such purpose any pistol, toy gun, toy cannon, blank cartridge containing black gunpowder or other more powerful explosive, or any firecracker exceeding two inches in length and one-quarter inch in diameter, or any device for discharging or exploding blank cartridges, or discharge or set off or have in his possession firecrackers of any size or fireworks of any kind that contain



any explosive more powerful than black gunpowder; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall apply to fireworks exhibitions authorized by the Board of Safety.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

AUSTIN H. TODD.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Public Safety.

By Mr. Ferguson:

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 86, 1927

AN ORDINANCE changing the name of Ketcham Street between Tenth (10th) and Sixteenth (16th) Streets, in the City of Indianapolis, to Sharon Avenue, and fixing a time when the same shall take effect.

WHEREAS, there has been numerous petitioners before the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, asking that the name of Ketcham Street, beginning with Tenth (10th) Street and extending to Sixteenth (16th) Street, in the City of Indianapolis, be changed to Sharon Avenue, and

WHEREAS, a majority of the residents along said thoroughfare have petitioned said Common Council, and

WHEREAS, said petition is now on file with the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, now therefore

*Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Section 1. That the street name, Ketcham Street, between Tenth (10th) and Sixteenth (16th) Street be and the same is hereby changed to Sharon Avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage.

M. W. FERGUSON.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Public Works.

By Mr. Dorsett:

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 87, 1927

AN ORDINANCE to amend General Ordinance No. 114, 1922, entitled: "An Ordinance dividing the City of Indianapolis into districts for the purpose of regulating and restricting the location of trades, callings, industries, commercial enterprises and

the location of buildings designed for specified uses; of classifying, regulating and determining the area of front, rear and side yards and other open spaces about buildings; of regulating and determining the use and intensity of use of land and lot areas within such city; creating a board of zoning appeals; defining certain terms used in said ordinance; providing a penalty for its violation and designating the time when the same shall take effect," and fixing the time when the same shall take effect.

*Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Section 1. That the U3 or business district as established by General Ordinance No. 114, 1922, be and the same is hereby amended, supplemented and changed so as to include the following described territory:

Beginning on the north property line of 25th St. at its intersection with the center line of the first alley east of Delaware St.; thence north with the center line of said alley to the south property line of Fall Creek Blvd.; thence southwestwardly with the south property line of said boulevard to the center line of the first alley west of Delaware St.; thence south with the center line of said alley to the north property line of 25th St.; thence east with the north property line of 25th St. to the point or place of beginning.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and publication according to law.

WALTER R. DORSETT.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Public Welfare.

By Mr. Moore:

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 88, 1927

AN ORDINANCE to amend General Ordinance No. 114, 1922, entitled "An Ordinance dividing the City of Indianapolis into districts for the purpose of regulating and restricting the location of trades, callings, industries, commercial enterprises, and the location of buildings designed for specified uses; of classifying and regulating and determining the area of front, rear, and side yards and other open spaces about buildings; of regulating and determining the use and intensity of use of land and lot areas within such city; creating a Board of Zoning Appeals; defining certain terms used in said Ordinance; providing a penalty for its violation and designating the time when the same shall take effect," and fixing the time when the same shall take effect.

*Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana:*

Section 1. That the U-3 or business district as established by General Ordinance No. 114, 1922, and amendment thereto, be and the same is hereby amended, supplemented and changed so as to include the following described property:

Beginning on the north property line of 57th Street at a point One Hundred and Fifty (150) feet west of the intersection of the west property line of Central Avenue with the north property line of 57th Street, and running thence north parallel to the west property line of Central Avenue a distance of Two Hundred (200) feet, thence west parallel to the north property line of 57th Street a distance of Three Hundred (300) feet, thence south and parallel to the west property line of Central Avenue to a point, said point being One Hundred Forty-Two and Fifteenth Hundredths (142.15) feet south of the south property line of 57th Street, thence east and parallel to the south property line of 57th Street a distance of Three Hundred (300) feet, thence north parallel to the west property line of Central Avenue to the point or the place of beginning.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall go into effect upon its passage and approval by the Mayor.

BOYNTON J. MOORE.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Public Welfare.

By Mr. Bartholomew:

#### GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 89

AN ORDINANCE of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, amending Sections 443, 444 and 445 of the supplement to Municipal Code of 1917, of General Ordinance No. 121, 1925, and of the Municipal Code of Indianapolis, 1925; declaring an emergency for the immediate taking effect of this ordinance.

*Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, as follows to-wit:*

Section 1. That Section 443 of the Municipal Code of Indianapolis, 1925, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows: Section 443. Any voter of the city may prefer charges against any officer or employee of the city, except a member of the Common Council, relating to malfeasance or misconduct in office, or in violation of law in obtaining office, which charges shall be submitted in writing and filed with the city clerk. If filed by a member of the Council it shall be signed by the member filing it, in his official capacity, and if filed by any other person shall be verified by oath or affirmation of the complainant. Such charges shall, by the president of the Common Council, be referred to such special or standing committee as the president shall deem best or upon vote of the Council

may be referred to the committee of the whole. Such committee shall examine into the charges, and if a majority of its members report to said Council that there be good grounds for the accusations contained in such charges, such charges shall be submitted to the Council for trial. If any of such committees report as having found such charges without foundation, no further action shall be had thereon unless the Council shall refuse to accept such report, in which event such charges shall be referred for investigation to another committee which shall be designated by the Council, and if such second committee report such charges should not be further pursued such charges shall be dismissed. If such second committee after investigation reports in favor of a trial the same proceeding shall be followed as if such report had been made by the committee first investigating such charges. Should charges be first preferred in the Common Council by any member or members thereof by resolution or otherwise, then the same need not be originally filed with the clerk nor shall it be referred to any committee unless desired by the Council, but the same may be adopted and the charges submitted to the Council for trial by a vote of the majority of the councilmen and such resolution may be adopted and trial ordered at the same regular or special meeting upon which said charges and resolution were introduced.

Section 2. Section 444 of said Municipal Code of Indianapolis, 1925, is hereby amended to read as follows: Section 444. Upon the adoption of any such committee or by the said Council of formal charges as in the next preceding section provided, a summons shall be forthwith issued by the clerk to the person accused together with a copy of such charges, requiring him to appear before the Council to answer to such accusations on a date therein named by such committee or by said Council, fixed at the discretion of said committee or Council.

Section 3. Section 445 of the Municipal Code of Indianapolis, 1925, is hereby amended to read as follows: Section 445. The charges shall be heard by the Council and evidence shall be taken relating to said charges on behalf of the person making the same or on behalf of the Council or its committee making the same, and in behalf of the accused person or persons, and the latter shall have the right to appear by counsel or personally, to interrogate witnesses in such manner as he or they would be permitted to do in a court of law. One or more persons at the discretion of the Council may be tried at the same time and in the same trial. At the conclusion of the evidence arguments of counsel may be heard at the option of the Council, and action shall be thereupon taken by said Council on said charges as a whole or by vote on each specification. If two-thirds of the whole number of said Council vote to find the accused guilty of the charges as a whole or of any one or more of the specifications, if any, of such charges, the defendant or defendants shall be removed from office by such vote, the sentence of removal to take effect within three days after the finding and decision of the Council. In the trial of any such charges the president of the Council may at his option appoint any other member of the Council to preside during such trial and the Council may by majority of vote exercise the power to appoint any one of its members to so preside

at such trial. Such charges are not required to be formal in the manner as now required in courts of law, but should be definite enough to apprise the defendant or defendants to such charges of the general nature of the accusations, but should evidence be offered in the trial not charged in the charges or not sufficiently or exactly charged in said charges, the Common Council may hear such evidence if it pertains to misconduct of the defendant or defendants in office and in its discretion may grant the defendant or defendants time to produce evidence to rebut the same. In the discretion of the Council, the charges may be amended at any time before the final decision of the Council, either by filing amended charges as a whole or by filing additional matter in writing which shall be considered part and parcel of said original charges, or by interlineation or in any other manner permitted in the discretion of the Council. Should trial be had wherein evidence be offered on the charges, and the defendant given the opportunity to present his testimony and decision be made by the Council, then any defect in the proceedings shall not operate to invalidate the same, and compliance with procedure herein declared shall not be considered as going to the jurisdiction of the Council of such charges.

The Council in any resolution of impeachment or by ordinance may provide for payment of attorneys at law and for other expenses incurred in the prosecution of any charges either before or after trial in the Council or upon appeal from the decision of the Council, or for any legal matters in connection therewith, and may provide in such resolution or ordinance the method of such payment, and such payment shall be forthwith made through the controller and treasurer upon presentment of the order of the Council therefor from any monies of the city whether dedicated to other purposes or not which monies if specially dedicated, may be made up at later time by transfer of funds, or by future appropriation or in any other manner as provided by law. Should charges be made against the mayor or controller or in case of charges against any other employee or official wherein the controller should refuse or would probably refuse to honor such order of the Council for payment or recommend appropriation therefor, then it shall not be necessary to obtain the recommendation of the controller for such payment or for appropriation therefor. Payment of such expenses is hereby made a first charge upon the monies of said city and the good faith, credit and honor of said city is hereby pledged to the payment thereof.

Section 4. This ordinance shall apply to all charges, if any, instituted before the taking effect hereof as well as to charges instituted hereafter.

Section 5. That an emergency exists for the immediate taking effect of this ordinance and the same shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage.

OTIS E. BARTHOLOMEW.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Law and Judiciary.

## RESOLUTION NO. 23.

RESOLUTION of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis Preferring charges of impeachment by said Common Council against John L. Duvall as the Mayor of said city and against William C. Buser as the Controller of said city, and for the purpose of making inquiry into their official conduct and eligibility for the offices which they now hold, and for the purpose of removing the said officers from the offices of Mayor and Controller of said city, should said charges be sustained; and declaring an emergency for the taking effect of this resolution.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, that the following charges against John L. Duvall as mayor of said city and William C. Buser as controller of said city, be and they are hereby preferred and charged against said Duvall and said Buser, in such official capacities.

The said Common Council now impeaches and charges the said John L. Duvall as the mayor of the City of Indianapolis and William C. Buser as controller of said city, to the end that each of them be removed from his respective office as mayor and controller, or that either of them may be removed from his said office should such charges or any of them be sustained as to him, as follows, to-wit:

That the said Duvall and Buser on or about January 1, 1925, and at all times thereafter up to and including the present time did unlawfully conspire, confederate, and agree with each other and with others to the Common Council unknown, to unlawfully cause and procure the election or purported election of the said Duvall as mayor of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, and thereby to enrich themselves and others to the Common Council unknown, at the expense of said city and to promote at the city's loss and welfare of the families of said Duvall and Buser by appointments to city positions of their relatives and associates without qualifications for said positions and to promote at the expense of said city the personal and political aspirations of the said Duvall and Buser and to build up a personal party machine for them and each of them by and through the position of said mayor, and to neglect the business of said city and to give the time of said Duvall and Buser and said others after said Duvall should become mayor, to the furtherance of the personal profit and political aspirations of the said Duvall and Buser to the loss of said city and to conceal such conspiracy, and pursuant to said unlawful conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser, personally and as such officers, did unlawfully so conspire with each other and such other persons unknown, as hereinafter shown and pursuant to said conspiracy did unlawfully by themselves and such persons unknown, perform and cause to be performed and omitted each of the hereinafter mentioned acts and omissions:

1. That pursuant to said unlawful conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser did for the purpose of unlawfully concealing hereinafter mentioned unlawful practices and for the purpose of promoting the

terms and conditions of said conspiracy, after the election of said Duvall as mayor in the municipal election of 1925, on or about December 2, 1925, at and in Marion County, State of Indiana, unlawfully and knowingly conspire with each other and such persons unknown, with intent to then and there make a false statement and entry of the campaign contributions received by and to omit therein certain campaign promises made by said Duvall as a candidate for such public office of mayor of said city upon the Republican ticket at said municipal election which was held in said city on November 3, 1925, and the said Duvall before said election accepted the sum of \$14,500 in money as a campaign contribution to his campaign for mayor from one William H. Armitage and unlawfully made promise to the said Armitage that if he, the said Duvall, were elected mayor, the said Armitage might name two men as members of the Board of Public Works of said city and one man as city civil engineer for said city and pursuant to said conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser agreed to file, upon behalf of said Duvall, a false written statement with the city clerk of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, and they agreed that the contribution made by said Armitage as aforesaid, should be omitted from such statement so filed with said clerk and that the said promise of said Duvall to said Armitage should be omitted from said statement and they further unlawfully agreed that the said Duvall should not file with said clerk a full, true and correct statement in writing of the same but that said Duvall would subscribe and swear to a statement before an officer authorized to administer oaths, which statement would not set forth or account for the money contributed by the said Armitage, nor the said promise and promises made by the said Duvall, nor the date of such contribution, nor the name of said Armitage, nor the person to whom said payment was made, nor the purpose for which said contribution was made by said Armitage; and in pursuance of said unlawful agreement and conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser did on the 2nd day of December, 1925, make and file such false statement, subscribed and sworn to by said Duvall before said Buser as a duly commissioned Notary Public in and for Marion County, Indiana, with the city clerk of the City of Indianapolis; that said statement was one required by law to be made by the said Duvall as such candidate and by reason thereof the said Duvall obtained possession of said office of mayor and immediately appointed said Buser as city controller. That said Duvall and Buser thereby obtained their said respective offices of mayor and controller in violation of law and by fraud upon the said city clerk and the city. That pursuant to said conspiracy and to said agreement with the said Armitage, the said Duvall as mayor, did appoint at the solicitation of said Armitage, a person as city civil engineer for said city and while mayor, pursuant to said conspiracy the said mayor renewed his said promise to said William Armitage to appoint two men selected by the said William Armitage on the said Board of Public Works of said city; and pursuant to said conspiracy and in part compromise of this element thereof, on or about the 1st day of May, 1927, the said Duvall agreed with said Armitage that if the latter should bribe or procure the bribing with a sum of \$2,600 and other consideration of one Claude Achey then and there a member of the Grand Jury of said county, for the purpose of having the said Achey as a grand juror to block or to attempt to block indictments therein against the

said Duvall and Buser and other city officials, the said Duvall agreed to then appoint a city civil engineer and two men selected by the said Armitage on said Board of Public Works, and pursuant thereto the said Armitage caused and procured said bribe to be offered to the said Achey but the same having been discovered and made public, said proposed members to said Board were not appointed; that pursuant to said conspiracy, the said Duvall and Buser as such officers arranged to appoint and the said Duvall as mayor appointed one Charles Clark to the position of custodian of the City Hall of said city which Clark had been the foreman of said Grand Jury of which said Achey was a member and had been investigating charges of corruption and unlawful dealing in reference to said Duvall, said Buser and other city officers and which Grand Jury was dismissed by the court when the said Achey informed the court that efforts had been made to bribe him and such Grand Jury not to indict the said Duvall and the said other officers.

That the purpose of such conspiracy and such contributions and promises was that said Armitage might have sole and exclusive privilege and control of all street paving by said city during the terms of office of said Duvall and Buser, and pursuant to this element of said conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser, as such officers, did unlawfully cause and permit the city civil engineer and other officers to refuse to pave many streets of said city with cement at the request of the citizens but to pave the same with asphalt and the same was down and omitted pursuant to said conspiracy for and on behalf of said Armitage whose business was that of agent and representative of asphalt companies which paid the said Armitage large sums in the way of commissions for the procuring of asphalt pavement from the officers of said city; that the said Board of Public Works was then and there composed of Messrs. Roy C. Shaneberger, Oren Hack and Lem Trotter, each of whom then and there objected to said plans of said Duvall and Buser relating to asphalt paving and then and there the said Duvall and Buser, well knowing such objections and that such Board would resign in a body should such plans as to asphalt paving proceed, persisted in favoring said plans and the carrying out of said conspiracy therein and because thereof the said Board resigned in a body.

2. That said candidate's statement so executed by said Duvall before said Buser as Notary Public to file with the said city clerk is as follows, to-wit:

No. 1380

#### CANDIDATE'S ITEMIZED STATEMENT OF EXPENSE

STATE OF INDIANA }  
MARION COUNTY } ss:

John L. Duvall being duly sworn, deposes and says that he resides in said Marion County, State of Indiana; that at the election



held in said Marion County within thirty days prior to the date hereof, to wit: on the 3rd day of November, 1925 he was a candidate for the office of Mayor of Indianapolis, that the following is a full, true and correct itemized statement, setting forth in detail all moneys or other valuable things contributed, expended or promised by him to aid and promote or in any way in connection with his nomination or election, or for other political purposes in connection with the election of any other person at said election, and also all existing unfulfilled promises or liabilities in that connection which now remain uncanceled and in force, whether such expenditures, promises or liabilities were made or incurred before, during or after such election, and showing the dates when, the person to whom, and the person for which each and all of said sums or valuable things were paid, expended or promised, or said liability incurred.

Date	Name of Person	For What Purpose	Amount or Value
Nov. 2, 1925	William C. Buser—	Contribution—Political	
	Agent—Club	.....	\$130.00
Dec. 22, 1924	I agreed to two recommendations for positions which were cancelled previous to becoming a candidate.		
	Total contributions	.....	\$130.00
	Total Expense	.....	
	No unpaid items	.....	

(Signature) JOHN L. DUVALL.

Notary Public  
SEAL  
Indiana

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of December, 1925.

WM. C. BUSER,  
Notary Public.

My commission expires September 29, 1929.

That the said Duvall and Buser, pursuant to such conspiracy, knowing that the same was untrue did so file the same with said city clerk; that said statement was to the knowledge of said Duvall and Buser, false and untrue in other particulars, to-wit: That said statement does not disclose that William H. Freeman had contributed to the said Duvall to be used in his said election campaign the sum of \$500 in money, nor did it disclose and show that the said Duvall had promised that if he were elected mayor he would appoint the said Freeman as a member of said Board of Public Works, whereas in truth and in fact the said Duvall did within three

months more or less before said election except from the said Freeman said sum of \$500 and did promise said Freeman that he, the said Duvall, would appoint him, the said Freeman, as a member of said Board. That said statement did not disclose or set out that the said Duvall had made election promise before said election, to one George S. Elliott that if elected mayor he would appoint the said Elliott as city purchasing agent for said city, nor did it show or disclose a promise of said Duvall to one George Snider that if elected mayor he, the said Duvall, would appoint the said Snider to the Board of Public Safety of said city; nor did it disclose or show a promise made to one John F. Rainier by the said Duvall that if elected mayor he, the said Duvall, would see that the said Rainier was appointed by the Board of Park Commissioners of said city as director of recreation for said city, nor did it disclose or set out the promise made by said Duvall to one George S. Henninger and the political committee of Marion County Klan No. 3 that if elected mayor he, the said Duvall, would accept the political policy of said Marion County Klan No. 3 and that he would make 85 per cent. of his appointments to the offices in the City of Indianapolis from persons named by said political committee if he were elected mayor; nor did it contain the promise of said Duvall made to one Harvey Bedford that if elected mayor he, the said Duvall, would see that the said Harvey Bedford was appointed by the Board of Park Commissioners of said city to the position of superintendent of parks for said city; nor did it contain the promise of said Duvall to said Harvey Bedford that he, the said Duvall, would give to the said Bedford an automobile immediately after the election; nor did it contain the promise made by said Duvall to said Bedford and Elliott and members of the Marion County Klan No. 3 that if he, the said Duvall, were elected mayor, 85 per cent. of said Duvall's appointments as mayor for city positions would be made from the membership of Marion County Klan No. 3 and would be made upon the recommendation and approval of said political committee and the officers of Marion County Klan No. 3; nor did it contain the promise of said Duvall made to said Bedford and Elliott that if elected mayor he, the said Duvall, would appoint no Roman Catholics to positions of responsibility in said city; nor did it contain the promise of the said Duvall made to the said Bedford and Elliott that he, the said Duvall, would stand by the Marion County Klan No. 3, in all matters of dispute as against the Republican city chairman of said city; that each and all of said promises above referred to were made by the said Duvall with the full approval and active co-operation of said Buser after he, the said Duvall, had been nominated by the Republican Party in the primary in May of the year 1925, held for municipal election in said city and before the general election therefor on November 3, 1925, and each of such promises was fully made and entered into by the said Duvall and none of them was contained in said candidate's itemized statement. That pursuant to one of said promises and in furtherance of said conspiracy, the said Duvall, while mayor, agreed with said Freeman and said William Armitage to appoint the said Freeman as a member of said Board of Public Works; that in partial performance of some of said promises and as a compromise thereon in some respects, the said Duvall, as mayor, pursuant to and under the terms of said conspiracy, did promise to appoint the said Elliott to a city position

now unknown to the Common Council and did cause the said Bedford to be appointed to the various positions of assistant park superintendent and inspector of traffic in the police department, and the said Rainier to the position of Barrett Law clerk and has appointed and caused to be appointed members of said Marion County Klan No. 3 and other Klan members and those requested by the Klan to various offices in said city and in several matters of dispute he, the said Duvall as mayor, has stood by the Marion County Klan No. 3 against the Republican city chairman; that in fulfillment of said unlawful promises and pursuant to said conspiracy and in partial performance thereof, the said Duvall has appointed and caused to be appointed except in minor respects no persons of the Roman Catholic faith to positions of responsibility in said city; that said contributions and promises were made pursuant to said unlawful conspiracy and in furtherance thereof for the unlawful purpose of inducing and procuring said promises to vote, aid and work for the election of said Duvall as such mayor, and to induce those aforesaid to so contribute money and to pay to him the said Duvall, said sums for campaign expenses in said municipal election and each of said promises was a qualified elector of said municipal election and did vote, aid and work for the election of said Duvall as said mayor in order that when elected he as mayor could carry out his and said Buser's plans as in these charges alleged.

3. That pursuant to said conspiracy and as a part thereof, the said Duvall and Buser did unlawfully conspire and agree that the said Duvall as such candidate should unlawfully fail and refuse within thirty days after said general election to make out and file within thirty days thereafter with said city clerk or with the clerk of the Circuit Court of Marion County, Indiana, in which such candidate resided and resides, a full, true and itemized statement in writing subscribed and sworn to by said Duvall as such candidate, before an officer authorized to administer oaths as provided by law, setting forth in detail all monies or other valuable things contributed, expended or promised by him to aid or promote his campaign, or in any way in connection with said election and all existing unfulfilled promises or liabilities in that connection remaining uncanceled and in force at the time such statement should be made, whether such expenditures, promises or liabilities were made or incurred before, during or after such election, and showing the dates when, the persons to whom, and the purpose for which, each and all of said sums or valuable things were paid, expended or promised, or said liabilities, incurred setting forth that the same is full, true and correct; that pursuant to said conspiracy said Duvall and Buser did fail and refuse to so file such statement and under the law and on account of such failure the said Duvall shall not be deemed elected and was not legally elected to such office of mayor or entitled to enter upon the duties thereof or to receive any salary or emoluments therefrom but pursuant to said conspiracy the said Duvall assumed the office of mayor on January 4, 1926, and then and there unlawfully appointed the said Buser as controller and since such date pursuant to said conspiracy they have wrongfully usurped said offices without being lawfully entitled to the same or to the salary or emoluments thereof; that pursuant to said conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser did file as aforesaid the foregoing set out

statement which contained none of the promises or contributions as mentioned aforesaid in specifications 1 and 2 hereof, and thereby they and each of them fraudulently deceived the officer or officers issuing the commission and certificate of election of the said Duvall to said office of mayor, which officer and officers relied upon such statement filed, believing it to be true, and thereby were deceived by the said Duvall and Buser, and thereby and solely by reason thereof issued said commission and certificate; that thereby said commission and certificate of election was fraudulently obtained by the said Duvall and is void and of no effect and the said Duvall and Buser were thereby rendered ineligible and since January 4, 1926, have been ineligible to the respective offices of mayor and controller of said city and thereby rendered themselves ineligible to any public office or public employment for the period of four years from and after the time of the commission of each of said offenses but pursuant to said conspiracy they and each of them have unlawfully and are now unlawfully usurping without right the respective offices of mayor and controller of said city, that by reason of said conspiracy and as a direct and proximate result thereof, and by reason of said promises and contributions, the said Duvall and Buser have been charged in the Criminal Court of said Marion County, Indiana, in an affidavit approved by the prosecuting attorney, for Conspiracy to Commit a Felony and a False Statement of Campaign Contributions and Promises, and a Conspiracy in Voluntary Affidavit and the said Duvall by reason thereof has been so charged in said Criminal Court with the following criminal offenses: Affidavit for Perjury in Voluntary Affidavit, affidavit for Making False Statement and four affidavits for Violation of the Corrupt Practice Act; that said criminal charges are the direct result of said unlawful conspiracy and have and are taking and will take large amounts of the time of said Duvall and Buser as such officers, in defending the same, from the needful and pressing business of said city and the said charges and the publicity thereof and the aforesaid conduct and acts of said Duvall and Buser have rendered the name of said City of Indianapolis notorious and ill famed throughout the nation and has brought said city into great disrepute. That said promises of said Duvall were uncanceled and in force at the time such statement was and should have been made.

4. That due to said conspiracy and as one of the sole results thereof, the Grand Jury of said Marion County and the prosecuting officials thereof were investigating the various phases thereof with reference to the said Duvall, Buser and other city officers on or about May 1, 1927, and the said Duvall and Buser and one Orville Scott, a city official then and now in charge of the Barrett Law department at the City Hall and an employee of said Buser as controller and a relative of said Buser and Duvall, did pursuant to said conspiracy and to conceal the same, unlawfully solicit one Joseph F. Weber, a clergyman and minister of the gospel and a friend of hereinafter mentioned Collins and Remy, to see and to use his influence with James A. Collins, Judge of the Criminal Court of Marion County, Indiana, and William H. Remy, the prosecuting attorney for the 19th Judicial Circuit of Indiana, for the purpose of unlawfully influencing and of unlawfully procuring and causing the said Collins and Remy, aforesaid, to diminish and put an end to

such investigation, and thereby said Duvall and Buser as such officers did unlawfully solicit and attempt to influence the courts of said county and such solicitations were shown in open court in a session of said Criminal Court and thereby the good name of said city also, was greatly injured and brought into disrepute.

5. That pursuant to such element of conspiracy to unlawfully enrich said Duvall and Buser at the city's expense and to the neglect of their duties and that of other city officers to the loss of said city, and to further said political aspirations and said political machine as aforesaid, the said Duvall and Buser have instituted and organized a newspaper of general circulation known as The Indianapolis Post and the said Duvall and Buser personally neglect and order and cause neglect by other officers of said city, of their duties as such officers, in furthering said newspaper; that pursuant to such conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser as such officers have unlawfully ordered, solely by and through their power as such officers, each member of the police and fire department and other city employees to obtain eleven subscriptions each for such newspaper and such members and employees have so obtained said subscriptions; that said Duvall and Buser are personally interested in said newspaper and unlawfully did order and permit officers and employees of said city to solicit advertising for such paper and thereby said private enterprise of said Duvall and Buser received a large number of subscriptions, advertising and great profit at the city's time and expense by so using and employing city employees for their personal advantage and are now so doing; that the said Duvall and Buser devote much of their time to the promotion and publication of said newspaper, and have ordered and authorized other city officers to so do in reference thereto, including Don F. Roberts, assistant city attorney, Claude McCoy, an employee of the Board of Safety; George Morgan and Jesse McClure, employees of the Park Board, and Thomas Chilcote, an employee of the Building Inspection Department, all to the loss, detriment and disadvantage of said city.

6. That pursuant to the element of said conspiracy to appoint and cause the appointment of relatives of theirs to places of trust in said city and to promote their family welfare by appointments of inefficient relatives and as the sole result thereof, the said Duvall and Buser have unlawfully conspired to appoint and have caused the appointment of a large number of persons of relationship and consanguinity to them and each of them which persons are not and have not been equipped with experience, education or training, for such positions; that the said Buser is a brother-in-law of said Duvall and was so appointed as controller of said city solely because of such relationship, and the said Buser was not and is not mentally equipped for such position nor does he have the training or experience therefor and he is now an unfit person for the same and does not understand the requirements of his office nor the financial problems of said city; that the said Duvall has not the intellectual equipment, training, experience or character for such position as mayor; that they and each of them have caused the appointment of one Claude Wilson, a nephew of said mayor, to the office of deputy city controller which he now holds without experience or training for the same; that they and each of them have appointed and caused the appointment of one Frank Driver, a brother-in-law and relative of

each of them, as a member of the Board of Park Commissioners of said city, who did not have experience or training for said position; that they and each of them have caused the appointment of one O. T. Duvall, a brother of said mayor, to an important position under the Board of Park Commissioners of said city; that they and each of them have caused the appointment of one Orville Scott, a relative of them, to the position of head of the Barrett Law department in the City Hall and the said Scott and the said O. T. Duvall have not experience or training for said positions; and they have caused to be appointed large numbers of other persons of their relationship and consanguinity to positions of trust in said city without such persons having training or experience therefor and solely because of such relationship and consanguinity, all to the great loss, detriment and disadvantage of said city and for their own personal advantage.

7. That pursuant to said unlawful conspiracy and as a direct result thereof and as a direct result of said neglect and said desire to promote their political and personal aspirations, the said Duvall and Buser have caused the appointment to positions of trust in said city of many incompetent persons and many who have become incompetent by reason of said neglect; that it is the duty of said Duvall as mayor to carefully watch each department of the city government and the officers and employees therein but the said Duvall during his incumbency as mayor pursuant to said conspiracy, has left the city for long periods of time and has been engaged in other matters and businesses to such an extent that he as such mayor has neglected the city's business and thereby misconduct among the city's officers and employees has developed to such an extent as to have required the attention of said Grand Jury and prosecuting officers and thereby several criminal charges have been brought against some of said officers, namely against the city purchasing agent, one John J. Collins, a personal friend and former private employee of said Duvall, and who is still retained in said office, and one Earl S. Garrett, the market master of said city, all to the great loss, detriment, disadvantage and disgrace of said city.

That according to said criminal charges said Garrett on or about January 15, 1927, while the duly appointed market master of said city and while acting in his official capacity, solicited one William Halpern for \$5.00 for the object and purpose of being granted the privilege by said Garrett to do electrical work in the market house in said city, which privilege for doing such electrical work the said Garrett was duly authorized to grant while acting in his official capacity and for such privilege the said William Halpern did pay and the said Garrett did unlawfully accept said sum of \$5.00, while in the discharge of the duties of his office and the said Garrett as such market master and acting as aforesaid, did on the 15th day of January, 1927, unlawfully solicit \$50.00 from Aaron S. Glick who was then and there acting as an agent for one David Shane, for the object and purpose of being granted the privilege by said Garrett to make transfers of certain leases of stalls in the market house in the name of said David Shane and the said Garrett did unlawfully receive said sum of \$50.00 from said Glick acting as aforesaid; and the said Garrett acting as aforesaid did unlawfully solicit from Emma and John Vincent \$50.00 for the object and purpose of being granted

the privilege by said Garrett to make transfers of certain leases of stalls in the market house in the name of John Vincent's wife, Emma Vincen , and the said Garrett did unlawfully receive and accept from John Vincent the said sum of \$50.00 and the said Garrett did obtain from the said Vincent the said sum of \$50.00 by falsely pretending that he was then and there legally authorized to charge and collect from the said John Vincent said \$50.00 in payment for the transfer of said stall leases, whereas the said Garrett was not authorized to charge and collect said sum but the said Vincent relying upon the representations of said Garrett that he had such authority, and believing the same to be true, and being thereby deceived, did then and there and by reason of such reliance and belief on or about January 15, 1927, pay to the said Garrett the said sum of \$50.00 to the injury and damage of the said John Vincent.

That according to said criminal charges, on September 20, 1926, John J. Collins was the appointed city purchasing agent by the said Duvall as such mayor, for said city, and the said Collins did then and there unlawfully solicit a bribe from one Samuel Greenberg, who was then and there acting as an agent for William H. Armstrong Company (a corporation) for the purpose of selling to the City of Indianapolis certain surgical equipment and said John J. Collins did then and there solicit from said Samuel Greenberg as such agent as aforesaid, one-half of the profits of a certain contract for surgical equipment which one-half of said profits was to be of the value of \$500.00 in lawful and current money of the United States and the said Collins did agree and accept said money for the purpose of influencing the discharge of his official duties as such purchasing agent. That this Council does not know that said criminal charges are true but their truth or untruth is included in this investigation.

That pursuant to such conspiracy, whether wilfully or negligently the Common Council cannot say, the said Buser and Duvall as such officers within the last two months more or less, did negligently procure, approve and permit the purchase by said city of a certain tract of ground and lot in Irvington for a fire engine house and property for the sum of \$12,500 whereas in truth said ground, lot and property did not exceed \$6,000 in value.

That pursuant to such element of conspiracy to neglect the city's affairs the said Duvall and Buser as such officers have permitted much unfair dealing, extravagance, graft and corruption in the various departments of said city government and bootlegging, gambling, and many unlawful practices to go unsuppressed in said city, the exact nature of which is unknown to the Common Council, but which are included herein for investigation; that they permitted in the year 1926 to the oppression of the citizens and to the waste of public money the arrest by the police department of 22,325 persons of whom 14,807 were either acquitted by the courts or were discharged without trial and have permitted and approved such oppression and unreasonable arrests continuously since that time.

That as a further direct result of said conspiracy to neglect the business of said city, the streets, alleys and public works are now unrepaired, dilapidated and deteriorating and since the said incumbency of the said Duvall as mayor, said streets and alleys are full

of ruts, chuck holes and great defects causing injury and inconvenience to vehicle users and creating a bad name for said city among strangers and visitors; that said mayor and controller are required by law to prepare each year a budget for the ensuing year for proper investigation of the needs of the city and that in the year 1926 the said mayor and controller pursuant to said conspiracy carelessly and negligently and without regard to the needs of the city made budget for the year 1927 without providing sufficient sums and employees for the repair of streets, alleys, public works and property of said city; that the market house of said city on the ground floor of Tomlinson Hall has been so neglected by said mayor by and through said conspiracy, that the same is out of repair, unsanitary, filthy and with no proper outlets for sewage and for draining; that thereby the health of the citizens is impaired and said market is grossly inefficient.

That said Buser under said conspiracy remains away from said office of controller for long periods of time and is then and there engaged in the offices and business of said newspaper and other pursuits, thereby neglecting the city's business. That the said Duvall and Buser as such officers in pursuance of said conspiracy, but whether negligently or wilfully the Common Council does not know, accepted and procured the acceptance of a bid for the rebuilding of the Morris Street bridge in said city, which accepted bid was not for the rebuilding but for the repairing of such bridge and thereby the contractors bidding thereon were unfairly treated and the public suffered therefor.

That pursuant to said conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser as such officers, whether negligently or wilfully the Common Council does not know, permitted certain bonds of contractors on flood prevention and on the Pleasant Run sewer and other public constructions of said city to be written by and through certain of their political and business associates without regard to merit or economy and as a matter of favoritism.

That pursuant to said conspiracy a certain charity fund belonging to the police and firemen's pension fund has been placed in the hands of said mayor and others and there has been no accounting of the same and such accounting is included in the investigation herein.

That pursuant to said conspiracy the said Buser as controller has paid salaries and emoluments in violation of the city budget for the year 1927 by paying sums to various persons beyond the sum which the city budget allows therefor.

8. That pursuant to said conspiracy and as a part and parcel thereof the following unlawful acts have been unlawfully done, permitted and approved by each of the said officers, Duvall and Buser:

That the said Duvall as such officer unlawfully uses the time and services of one Arthur Reeves, a detective sergeant on the police force, as his private chauffeur, and one Arthur Magee, a lieutenant of the police department, as a messenger and office man in the office



of said mayor and unlawfully uses for his private purposes one or more city automobiles and other city property;

That said Duvall as such mayor has unlawfully permitted and approved and now so permits and approves of the laying and installing of a railroad switch now laid in and to the Van Ness Company plant at 546 Dorman Street in said city without ordinance of the Common Council authorizing the same;

That in the primary of May, 1926, and the general election of November, 1926, in said county and state, the said mayor unlawfully ordered city employees and officers to work and vote for certain of his friends and associates then and there running for office;

That the said Duvall and Buser as such officers unlawfully and improperly discharged and caused to resign from his office one Frank Lingenfelter as city civil engineer, for the reason that said Lingenfelter insisted on accepting the lowest bid on the Pleasant Run sewer contract being then and there a certain public improvement of said city, and said Duvall and Buser as aforesaid, insisted on said engineer accepting a bid thereon which was much in excess of said low bid, and for other reasons now unknown to the Council but which are herein included for investigation;

That the said Duvall unlawfully and for the purpose only of furthering the political aspirations of himself and said Buser and for no public purpose did, in violation of his oath of office, on March 25, 1926, sign general ordinance No. \_\_\_\_ known as the Segregation Ordinance, segregating the white and colored people from the districts inhabited by each other, well knowing that the same was unconstitutional having been so advised by the legal department and by other legal officers;

That within the last three weeks more or less the said Duvall upon hearing that certain councilmen of the Common Council who had certain of their friends holding positions with said city and who were then and there deliberating upon these impeachment proceedings by reason of the misconduct of the said Duvall and Buser in office, unlawfully attempted to intimidate such councilmen by informing them that unless these impeachment proceedings and any impeachment proceedings as to said Duvall and Buser were forthwith dropped he, the said Duvall as mayor, would discharge said friends of said councilmen from the city's employ.

9. That pursuant to said conspiracy and for the purpose of unlawfully enriching the said Duvall and for the purpose of promoting and enabling without contest the said Duvall to personally keep hereinafter mentioned \$100,000 the said Duvall and Buser as such officers, conspired to permit and perform the following unlawful acts in reference to the public improvement fund and the interest thereon and in furtherance of such conspiracy did perform and unlawfully omitted to perform the following acts as shown by the following facts:

That in the year 1926 the corporation counsel of said city filed suit in the courts of said county to recover \$1,000,000, more or less,

of interest unlawfully kept and retained by the present and former treasurers of said city, on public improvement and Barrett Law funds; that said Duvall was one of said former treasurers and as such has kept and retained \$100,000 more or less of said interest for his own private benefit and use, and therefore he was made a party defendant in such suit, which also prayed for proper disposition of said public improvement funds and that the controller be ordered under the law to purchase with prepayment monies new bonds of similar kind and character to those originally issued for public improvements, to send his warrant for payment therefor to the treasurer and to order the treasurer to pay such warrant or warrants; that in order to prevent the successful prosecution of said suit, the said Duvall asked for and received the resignation of said corporation counsel as aforesaid, and such suit was in large measure the cause of such request for resignation; that after said resignation Plaintiffs Ritter and Raub who had originally joined with the city in said suit, continued the same as taxpayers and bondholders and said suit is still on file and awaiting final hearing; that at no time since the said resignation of said corporation counsel has said city through its legal department aided or assisted in the prosecution of said suit; that it is the duty of said Duvall as mayor and the legal department of said city to prosecute said suit and to recover said interest money including said \$100,000 so retained by said Duvall, and unless such interest money is recovered the city is liable for a large deficit in said public improvement fund caused by said unlawful taking of interest which deficit is in the sum of \$750,000 more or less and said city has paid between the years of 1914 and 1925 the sum of \$257,000 out of its general fund on the deficit therein as it existed at the time said \$257,000 was paid; that said city may recover also in said suit said sum of \$257,000 with interest thereon from the time of its payment from said treasury; that said Ritter and Raub as taxpayers would have no legal right to sue for said interest money should the city in good faith take action in said suit to recover the same, but since said 10th day of January, 1927, the said Ritter and Raub through their attorneys have filed many pleadings and briefs and have spent many weeks in preparation of said case and days in the argument of same, and during all of said time to the full knowledge of said Buser and Duvall, the said city has taken no step in the advancement of said suit and has filed no briefs nor made any argument in said cause and has filed only two papers in said cause, one of which being an affidavit which merely stated that said city was willing and able to go ahead with said suit and the other being a motion by the said city to strike out certain portions of the complaint of the said Ritter and Raub; that the plaintiffs in said suit, Ritter and Raub, realizing that said city would not and could not promote said suit in good faith, through their attorneys have solicited the said city to withdraw from said suit but said city through its officers then and now failed and refused to withdraw and although it has done nothing to advance said cause, it persists in remaining in said cause for the embarrassment of plaintiffs Ritter and Raub and to prevent them as taxpayers from obtaining the relief prayed therein; that said conduct of said city is the conduct of said Duvall and Buser and is for the sole purpose of embarrassing the said Ritter and Raub in the proper prosecution of said cause and to defeat such

plaintiffs in obtaining said \$1,000,000 of interest wrongfully taken, including the said \$100,000 so taken by the said Duvall.

10. That pursuant to said conspiracy to neglect said city's business and to enrich said Duvall and others to the Common Council unknown, the said Buser and the said Duvall as such officers conspired to perform and did perform the following unlawful acts as shown by the following statement:

That the said Buser as controller was and is required by law to audit the accounts of the several departments of government and trusts and to examine the accounts of the treasurer of the city and to discover and report to the mayor and corporation counsel whether or not such treasurer has fully accounted for all coming into his hands as such treasurer and whether or not on the first day of each month the said treasurer has furnished to such city controller a statement of all the receipts made by him during the previous month, and the balance in the treasury belonging to each fund, general and special, which statement said controller is required by law to lay before the Common Council at its next meeting to be disposed of as Council may direct and whether or not the said treasurer in annual settlement with said controller has fully accounted for all sums of money in his hands, general or special or of whatever nature, together with all penalties, interest and other sums in addition to the amount of such taxes which it may be the duty, according to law, of such treasurer to collect in behalf of the city, to the end that said controller may submit annually to the Common Council at the end of each fiscal year a true report of the accounts of said city, under his oath, exhibiting the revenues, receipts and expenditures, and the sources from which the revenues and funds are derived; but the said Buser as said city controller has received said monthly statements of said treasurer each month since January 4, 1926, knowing full well that such accounts of the treasurer were not full and complete and that said treasurer was holding back for his own personal use and benefit large sums in the way of interest on Barrett and public improvement funds and was not accounting for the same, and the said Buser as such controller with full knowledge of such facts did make oath at the end of the fiscal year ending on January 1, 1927, approximately, in his report submitted at such time to the Common Council at the end of such fiscal year of the accounts of such city, exhibiting the revenues, receipts and expenditures and the sources from which the revenues and funds are derived, without including therein the said interest on said Barrett and said public improvement funds and by untruly stating in said report that the same included all revenues, receipts and expenditures and the sources from which the revenues and funds were derived.

11. That in pursuance of said conspiracy the said Buser as said controller in violation of the duties of his office has at all times failed to examine the accounts of the said treasurer to the end that the exact amount of the interest on said Barrett and public improvement funds be discovered and placed to its proper credit; that by reason of such delinquency on the part of said Buser as such controller, the said public improvement funds and the city has lost \$50,000 more or less in the way of such interest; that the said delinquencies of said Buser as controller, were knowingly and wilfully made for the reason that should the present treasurer be compelled

by the controller to pay said interest to its proper credit, then the said Mayor Duvall who was such treasurer during the years of 1924 and 1925 would also be made to account under the law for said sums of interest by him so taken in such years as treasurer and the exact sum of said Duvall's taking as treasurer would or might become public; that the said Buser as controller was guilty of such delinquency by reason of said conspiracy between him and the said Duvall.

12. That in pursuance of said conspiracy the said Buser as city controller has wilfully failed to purchase new bonds of similar kind and character as the original bonds issued to pay for public improvements, nor has he ordered payment for such new bonds by warrant or order upon the treasurer of said city upon the special fund created by prepayments by those specially assessed, and thereby while several million dollars worth of original bonds are drawing interest to be paid to the city, there is no interest running on new bonds purchased to offset or equalize the continuously running interest of the original bonds, and thereby the city since January 4, 1926, the date of the appointment of said Buser as controller, the city and the public improvement funds, by reason of such misconduct and unlawful omission of said Buser, has lost large sums of interest and a deficit has thereby been created in said fund for which the city is liable and under the law must reimburse.

13. That in pursuance of said conspiracy the said Duvall and Buser as such officers further conspired to neglect the city's business and to enrich the said Duvall as follows and with the direct result thereof as shown by the following facts:

That from January 4, 1926, until January 10, 1927, one Alvah J. Rucker was Corporation Counsel of the said city and as said officer represented said city in contesting a proceeding before the Public Service Commission of Indiana wherein it was sought to merge the Indianapolis Light and Heat Company and the Merchants Heat and Light Company, both corporations, into a new corporation: Indianapolis Power and Light Company, and for the issuance of some \$55,000,000 worth of stocks as a charge on said new company to be paid by the public of said city in rates; that in the month of November, 1926, the said Corporation Counsel had filed injunction suit in the Circuit Court of said county to enjoin said Commission from hearing and said electric light companies from proceeding in the matter of their petition before said Commission on good and proper grounds alleged therein but said cause was dismissed by the court on the ground of prematurity and thereafter the said Commission without a hearing given to said city, rate users and public, improperly and unlawfully permitted said merger and ordered the same and in said order unlawfully authorized the issuance by said new company of some \$48,000,000 of securities; that such action of said Commission was unlawful and grossly unjust to said city, its rate payers, and the public; that said order of said Commission was made on January 8, 1927, and thereupon the said Corporation Counsel prepared a new complaint to enjoin said order and to petition the court to set the same aside for the reason that the same was unlawful and that no hearing was accorded the said city and for the reason that \$30,000,000 worth of said securities

was not represented in the value of said electric light companies and that the public of said city would under said order be compelled to pay for said stock in rates; that on the evening of January 9, 1927, the said Corporation Counsel received written request for his resignation from said Duvall as mayor, to take effect and which took effect at 9 a. m. on January 10, 1927, before the opening of the courts and before said suit could be filed; that at that time the city and public had good and sufficient grounds by and through said suit, to set aside the said order and to protect said city and public but by reason of said request for resignation, said Corporation Counsel was compelled to and did resign from said office and the said Duvall appointed another person thereto and thereby said suit was never filed nor was any of like nature to set aside or to attempt to set aside said order of said Commission; that thereby the said Duvall in wilful disregard of the interests of said public and city caused and permitted many millions of dollars worth of stock and securities to be issued and eventually charged against the public, without a fight or any attempt to vindicate the public's rights.

14. That said Duvall and Buser as such officers in neglect of their said duties and for the purpose of furthering their political aspirations, unlawfully conspired to disrupt the business and personnel of city officers and employees and as a result thereof did so disrupt the same, as shown by the following facts:

That since his incumbency in office the said Duvall has appointed four city civil engineers and has discharged and caused the resignation of three of the same; that the civil engineering of said city is intricate and requires a civil engineer of excellent capacity and training and it is for the best interest of said city that a civil engineer be employed and remain continuously in the service of said city for the reason that experience with the city's peculiar engineering problems is essential for success of the city civil engineer; that said frequent discharging and creation of conditions requiring said engineers to resign has made for gross inefficiency in the city civil engineer's office and has greatly harmed the public improvements and handicapped the proper maintenance and erection of public works; that by reason of said conduct on the part of said Duvall in reference to said city civil engineers the College Avenue bridge over Fall Creek was negligently permitted to become in great disrepair, broken down and with arches so sunken that traffic over said bridge six months ago more or less up to the present time, has been discontinued and by reason of said inefficiency twelve months more or less will elapse before said avenue is opened to the public by the repair of said bridge and the repair of said bridge has been negligently delayed by said Duvall; that other bridges, structures and public works are now by reason of said inefficiency and neglect, deteriorating to the great loss of said city and the public.

That said Duvall has disrupted the work and proper efficiency in many of the departments of the city government by discharging and causing to resign men of experience therein without cause and solely through the caprice of him and the said Buser, and has appointed in many cases inefficient persons in their stead; that without cause the said Duvall as such mayor informed several members

of the Board of Health of said city namely Sol Schloss, Dr. Goethe Link and Dr. A. E. Guedel that they were no longer needed with the administration and thereby caused them to resign although such men were highly efficient and trained in the work thereof, and refused to reappoint Dr. A. E. Courtney whose term expired. That he requested the resignation of said Corporation Counsel as aforesaid; that he forced the resignations of Roy C. Shaneberger, Oren S. Hack and L. Trotter who were members of the Board of Public Works and who resigned in a body for the reason, among others, that the Board as then composed insisted on complying with the requests of the majority of property owners abutting on streets and alleys who had requested cement paving therefor but for whom the said Duvall as mayor and his then city civil engineer required asphalt in such paving; that there is an asphalt trust or organization which greatly interests itself in politics and in our city government; that said Shaneberger, Hack and Trotter by care and labor as members of said board, had saved the city several hundreds of thousands of dollars and were men eminently fitted through business and legal training for such positions, but the said Duvall upon their resignations appointed in their stead men who had no special training either in business or in the work involved on said board; that thereby the work of said board was greatly handicapped and the city injured.

That in further disruption of the proper conduct of the city's business the said Duvall and Buser without any cause whatsoever demoted and removed Claude M. Worley from his position of Chief of Detectives in the police department, on or about the first day of August, 1927; that said Worley had brought the detective department of said city to a high state of efficiency and had made and was making a remarkable record in the capture of bank bandits, murderers and criminals of every description; that the said demotion of the said Worley caused the resignation of said Worley from said police department and lost thereto a valuable officer and thereby caused disruption and great injury to the morale and proper working of said detective department; that in continuation of said disruption of said city's business the said Duvall as mayor, in manner not exactly known at this time caused and brought about the resignation of John A. George from his membership on the Board of Public Safety on August 3, 1927; that said George was a highly efficient and skillful member of said Board of Public Safety and of great and important value to the proper conduct of the police department; that by reason of such constant interference with officials and their discharge or resignations, all other officers and employees are in constant fear of discharge and are unable to properly perform their duties and by reason thereof skilled men have been lost to the city government and unskilled and unqualified men put in their places.

That pursuant to said disruption in the various departments of said city the said Duvall and Buser as such officers, have discharged and procured the discharge and resignations of the following officers and employees, without just cause: Edward McBride, director of recreation under the Board of Park Commissioners; Newton McGuire, attorney for said Board of Park Commissioners; Lawr-

ence Sheridan, landscape architect for said Board of Park Commissioners; Charles A. Myers, chief clerk of said board; Mary Griffin, secretary of said board; Clifford Kealing, attorney for the Board of Health; Dr. Cleon Nafe, city hospital superintendent; Dr. E. R. Hippensteele, kindergarten inspector for health department; Arthur Hauffler, chief assistant civil engineer; William Schiffendecker, assistant engineer; John F. Rainier, Barrett Law clerk, and Joseph Abernathy, custodian of the City Hall in place of whom in such position the said Duvall and Buser, as such officers, appointed one Charles Clark who was foreman of the second Grand Jury of Marion County that investigated charges of corruption against the said Duvall and other city officers and which jury was dismissed by the court when Claude Achey, a member thereof, informed the court that effort had been made to bribe him and such Grand Jury not to indict the said Duvall and that such efforts and attempted bribe had been made to the said Achey by one James Armitage.

That the said Duvall as mayor in continuation of his said disruption of said city departments has compelled many city officers to deposit with him their written resignations for future use of said mayor, thus putting said persons under fear and intimidation.

That by reason of the foregoing the said Duvall and the said Buser, as said officers, singly and jointly, have greatly injured the public service of said city and its good repute among the cities of the United States and should they continue in office the same will be accentuated to the loss of the citizens; that the said Duvall and Buser are incompetent and unfit for the offices which they now hold and have not the training, education and stability of character for places of public trust.

That by reason of the forgoing acts and conduct of the said Duvall and the said Buser as mayor and controller, respectively, of said City of Indianapolis, said city and the business enterprises and industries thereof and the welfare and progress and growth of said city has been greatly hampered and placed to a disadvantage in that on account of the reputation of said city caused by said officers as aforesaid and because of the management and personal control of said city by said officers, business enterprises and industries of various kinds have wholly refused to come to the City of Indianapolis for the carrying on of their business enterprises and operations, and that the Chamber of Commerce of the City of Indianapolis is and other organizations of said city have been interested in promoting the said City of Indianapolis and possible opportunities for business investment and enterprise; and that on account of the acts and conduct of the said Duvall and Buser as herein alleged, various business enterprises in the United States, looking for locations for their businesses, have investigated the prospect and possibility of locating in Indianapolis, and that various of these business enterprises have failed and refused to locate in said City on account of the manner in which said city is being governed by the said Duvall as mayor, and the said Buser, as controller, aforesaid, all of which has been to the very great loss, detriment and disadvantage of said city and of all of its population, and especially the labor and business interests of said city.

It is further resolved by said Common Council that a hearing and trial be had on said charges beginning at 9 a. m. on the 29th day of August, 1927, in the Council Chamber of said city at the City Hall of said city, wherein the said Buser and the said Duvall shall be tried under said charges together and not separately, and wherein each of them may have counsel and such witnesses as they may desire to present, and wherein the said Common Council shall hear said charges and determine the same in accordance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Indiana. The president or chairman shall rule on all matters arising in the hearing subject to reversal at any time by a majority of the Council.

It is further resolved that an emergency exists for the employment of attorneys at law to prosecute the said charges before the said Common Council and in the courts, if necessary, and although there is no existing specific sum appropriated heretofore to such effect, the Common Council hereby appropriates the sum of \$10,000 for the payment of said attorneys-at-law for their services herein to be paid to them out of any funds of the city immediately upon the final termination of the proceedings had upon said charge before said Common Council and upon appeal to the Circuit or Superior court of said Marion County, if any should be had from the decision of such Common Council, and that the Common Council now hereby appoint as such attorneys-at-law to prosecute the said charges: Alvah J. Rucker and L. Ert Slack, attorneys-at-law of the Marion County bar, and pledges the good faith and credit of said city for such payment.

It is further resolved that O. E. Davis is hereby appointed by the Council as special sergeant-at-arms for said hearing who shall appoint three deputies as his assistants, and each of whom shall be paid at the rate of \$5.00 per day during such hearing before the Council and \$5.00 per day should any of them be used for serving subpoenas before such hearing. Said sergeant-at-arms and his deputies are charged with the duty of keeping order and of arresting disorderly persons who shall be fined in the sum of not more than \$25.00 for any disorderly conduct at or connected with said hearing.

It is further resolved that the attorneys appointed herein shall make out form of subpoenas for witnesses herein and the same may be served by members of the police department, said sergeant-at-arms or his deputies or by any other person whom such attorneys-at-law may use or employ therefor; that violation of such subpoena shall be visited with attachment of the body of any recalcitrant witness by said sergeant-at-arms or his deputies or by members of said police department, and any witness wilfully failing to obey said subpoena shall be guilty of disobedience of subpoena and shall be fined in any sum not exceeding \$500.00.

It is further resolved that be reason of said emergency that the additional sum of \$2,500.00 is hereby appropriated for the expenses of any nature of this prosecution before this body or in court of any decision herein is appealed from, and that order signed by either of said attorneys-at-law and countersigned by the president of the



Council shall be forthwith honored by the city controller and treasurer of such city first out of any monies at hand not specifically dedicated and second, should there be no such monies or an insufficient amount of the same for the purpose, then out of any monies on hand whether specifically dedicated to any purpose hereto fore or not, and such monies so taken shall be restored by transfer of funds by the Council or by future appropriation.

It is further resolved that the clerk of the Council is hereby ordered to forthwith issue summons with copies for each of this resolution to the said John L. Duvall and William C. Buser, personally and in their official capacities, requiring them and each of them to appear before the Council to answer to such accusations at 9 A. M. on the 29th day of August, 1927.

It is further resolved that an emergency exists for the immediate taking effect of this resolution and the same shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage.

OTIS E. BARTHOLOMEW,

EDWIN B. RAUB.

Which was read a first time and referred to a Special Committee composed of Pres. Negley, chairman; Mr. Ferguson, Dr. Todd, Mr. Springsteen, Mr. Albertson.

### APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE NO. 3

AN ORDINANCE of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Ind., appropriating the sum of \$12,500.00 for the purpose of paying attorney fees and expenses of and for the prosecution of certain charges of impeachment against John L. Duvall as Mayor of said city and William C. Buser as controller of said city and for any other charges or impeachments brought before the Council during the current year, providing the manner of payment thereof, and declaring an emergency.

*Be It Ordained by the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, as follows to-wit:*

Section 1. That the sum of \$12,500.00 is hereby appropriated for attorney fees and expenses of the prosecution in certain charges of impeachment now pending or which shall shortly be pending in the Common Council against John L. Duvall as mayor and William C. Buser as controller of said city of which sum \$10,000.00 is hereby appropriated to, and for the use and benefit of Alvah J. Rucker and L. Ert Slack as attorneys at law for such prosecution and \$2,500.00 thereof for other expenses of such prosecution and the preparation thereof.

Section 2. That in the event that either the said Buser or the

said Duvall or both of them resign from the respective offices which they now hold but before resigning the said Duvall as mayor should appoint or attempt to appoint a controller of said city in the place of said Buser to the end and for the purpose of having said new appointee succeed the said Duvall as mayor upon the latter's resignation, and impeachment charges be brought in the Council against said new appointee or said new appointee as mayor and his appointees, then any portion of said sum of \$12,500.00 then unexpended and unapplied, is hereby appropriated for and to the expenses of any nature in the prosecution of such later impeachment charges, without further action of the Council.

Section 3. That the sum herein appropriated to said Attorneys at Law shall immediately be due and payable to them upon the termination of said impeachment charges against said Duvall and Buser and the said sum of \$2,500.00 for expenses shall be paid forthwith by and through the controller and treasurer as ordered by said Attorneys at Law, countersigned by the president of the Council or presiding officer at the trial thereof, for the prosecution of said charges against said Buser and Duvall and for the prosecution of charges against any of such subsequent appointees of said Duvall: Provided, That should the said Council vote impeachment charges against a new appointee of said mayor to the office of controller, appointed for the purpose of succeeding the said Duvall as mayor should he resign or be removed through said charges, then said sum shall not be due to said Attorneys at Law until such new impeachment charges have been prosecuted to termination thereof by them, unless said Council should unreasonably delay the hearing of such charges.

Section 4. The city through its controller, treasurer and other officers shall forthwith make payment of said sums when due and ordered as aforesaid and all city monies whether dedicated to other purposes or not shall be subject to the payment thereof which shall be a first charge on all city monies; that any unpaid portion thereof shall be included in every city budget until paid; that said sums are hereby appropriated irrespective of whether or not the controller recommends this appropriation or such payments; that the full faith, credit, and honor of said city are hereby irrevocably pledged to each of said payments and obligations.

Section 5. That an emergency exists for the immediate taking of effect of this Ordinance and the same shall be in full force and effect immediately after the passage thereof.

Which was read a first time and referred to the Committee on Finance.

#### INTRODUCTION OF MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS

Mr. Raub presented the following written motion, which was seconded by Mr. Bartholomew:

MOTION THAT ORDINANCE BE SUBMITTED TO AND CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE; THAT RULES BE SUSPENDED, ETC.

*Mr. President:*

I move you that General Ordinance No. 89, being an ordinance amending Sections 443, 444 and 445 of the Municipal Code of Indianapolis, 1925, declaring an emergency for the immediate taking effect of such ordinance, be submitted to and considered by the committee of the whole and that the rules may be suspended and that such ordinance be placed upon its passage by reading the same once by title only.

E. B. RAUB,  
Councilman.

The motion was passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 7, viz: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd.

Noes, 2, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Bartholomew presented the following written motion, which was seconded by Mr. Raub:

MOTION THAT ORDINANCE BE SUBMITTED TO AND CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE; THAT RULES BE SUSPENDED, ETC.

*Mr. President:*

I move you that Appropriation Ordinance No. 3, the same being an ordinance appropriating the sum of \$12,500 for the purpose of paying attorneys' fees and expenses of and for the prosecution of certain charges of impeachment against John L. Duvall as mayor of said city and William C. Buser as controller of said city and for any other charges or impeachments brought before the Council during the current year, providing the manner of payment thereof, and declaring an emergency, be submitted to and considered by the committee of the whole and that the rules may be suspended and that such ordinance be placed upon its passage by reading the same once by title only.

O. E. BARTHOLOMEW,  
Councilman.

The motion was passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 5; viz.: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd.

Noes, 4, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Todd presented the following written motion, which was seconded by Mr. Raub:

MOTION THAT RESOLUTION BE SUBMITTED TO AND CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR CONSIDERATION AND REPORT AT THIS MEETING.

*Mr. President:*

I move you that Resolution No. 23, being a resolution preferring charges of impeachment by the Common Council against John L. Duvall as mayor and William C. Buser as controller of the City of Indianapolis, and for the purpose of making inquiry into their official conduct and eligibility in the offices which they now hold, and for the purpose of removing said officers from the offices of mayor and controller of said city, should such charges be sustained and declaring an emergency for the taking effect of such resolution, be submitted to the committee of the whole for consideration and for report at this meeting by said committee of the whole, and that Edward B. Raub be named as the chairman of such committee of the whole.

AUSTIN H. TODD,  
Councilman.

The motion was passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 5, viz.: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd.

Noes, 4, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Pres. Negley.

#### ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING

Mr. Raub called for Special Ordinance 11, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Raub made a motion, seconded by Dr. Todd, that Special Ordinance 11, 1927, be ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

Special Ordinance 11, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk, and failed to pass by the following vote:

Ayes, 2, viz: Mr. Moore, Dr. Todd.

Noes, 7, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Bartholomew called for Special Ordinance 2, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Mr. Moore, Special Ordinance 2, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

Special Ordinance 2, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk, and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 8, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Noes, 1, viz.: Mr. Raub.

Mr. Albertson called for Special Ordinance 8, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Dr. Todd, Special Ordinance 8, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

Special Ordinance 8, 1927, was read a third time and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 7, viz: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Noes, 2, viz.: Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub.

Dr. Todd called for General Ordinance No. 78, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Raub, General Ordinance 78, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

General Ordinance 78, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 9, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Albertson called for General Ordinance 46, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Mr. Albertson made a motion that General Ordinance 46, 1927, be stricken from the files. The motion was seconded by Mr. Dorsett and carried by the following vote:

Ayes, 9, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Dr. Todd called for General Ordinance 49, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Mr. Moore, General Ordinance 49, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

General Ordinance 49, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk, and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 9, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Albertson called for General Ordinance 77, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Raub, seconded by Dr. Todd, Gen-

eral Ordinance 77, 1927, was ordered stricken from the files.

Dr. Todd called for General Ordinance No. 81, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Dr. Todd made a motion that General Ordinance No. 81, 1927, be amended by adding or including Alabama Street at Market. The motion was seconded by Mr. Moore.

On motion of Mr. Raub, seconded by Mr. Dorsett, General Ordinance No. 81, 1927, as amended, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

General Ordinance No. 81, 1927, as amended, was read a third time by the Clerk and passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 9, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Dr. Todd called for General Ordinance 54, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Dr. Todd, General Ordinance 54, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time, and placed upon its passage.

General Ordinance 54, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 8, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Noes, 1, viz.: Mr. Raub.

Mr. Moore called for Special Ordinance No. 10, 1927,

for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Ferguson, Special Ordinance 10, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

Special Ordinance 10, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk, and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 9, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Bartholomew called for General Ordinance 82, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

Dr. Todd made a motion that General Ordinance No. 82, 1927, be amended by striking out a section worded as follows: "Whereas, the said Board of Zoning Appeals has violated and misused its office." The motion was seconded by Mr. Bartholomew.

On motion of Mr. Bartholomew, seconded by Dr. Todd, General Ordinance 82, 1927, as amended, was ordered engrossed, read a third time and placed upon its passage.

General Ordinance 82, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk as amended, and passed by the following roll call vote:

Ayes, 6, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Dr. Todd.

Noes, 3, viz.: Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Pres. Negley.

Mr. Albertson called for Resolution 21, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Albertson, seconded by Mr. Moore, Resolution 21, 1927, was ordered engrossed, read a third



time, and placed upon its passage.

Resolution 21, 1927, was read a third time by the Clerk, and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 7, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Noes, 2, viz.: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Dorsett.

Mr. Raub called for Resolution 22, 1927, for second reading. It was read a second time.

On motion of Mr. Raub, seconded by Mr. Bartholomew, Resolution 22, 1927, was ordered stricken from the files.

On motion of Mr. Dorsett, seconded by Mr. Moore, the Common Council recessed at 9:50.

The Common Council reconvened at 10 p. m., with the same members present as before.

Dr. Todd made a motion that the vote by which the Council placed General Ordinance 89, Resolution 23 and Appropriation Ordinance 3 in the committee of the whole be reconsidered and that the President be allowed to name his special committee for Resolution 23, and that General Ordinance 89 and Appropriation Ordinance 3 revert to the original committees to which they were referred. The motion was seconded by Mr. Dorsett, and passed by the following vote:

Ayes, 6, viz.: Mr. Albertson, Mr. Dorsett, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Moore, Dr. Todd, Pres. Negley.

Noes, 3, viz.: Mr. Bartholomew, Mr. Raub, Mr. Springsteen.

Pres. Negley referred Resolution 23 to the original

committee composed of Pres. Negley, chairman; Mr. Ferguson, Dr. Todd, Mr. Springsteen, Mr. Albertson.

On motion of Mr. Albertson, seconded by Mr. Dorsett, the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis adjourned at 10:18 p. m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana, held on the 15th day of August, 1927.

In witness whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures and caused the seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.

*Claude E. Negley*

Attest:

President

*William A. Boyce Jr.*

City Clerk

(SEAL)