

PROCEEDINGS  
OF THE  
COMMON COUNCIL.

REGULAR SESSION.

CHAMBER OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE }  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, }  
*Monday, August 2d, 1875—7½ o'clock P. M.* }

The Common Council met in regular session.

Present—His Honor, the Mayor, John Caven, in the chair and the following members :

Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thalman, Ward and Webster—23.

Absent—Councilmen Hall, Laughlin and Reed—3.

Mr. Reed was excused on account of sickness.

The proceedings of the regular session held July 26th, 1875, were read.

Mr. Craft moved to correct the minutes on page 479, where it reads "Mr. Geiger moved to strike out the clause providing for the bouldering and flagging of the crossings," by showing that the "flagging" only was stricken out.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Madden moved to correct the minutes on page 480, by showing that the flagging only was stricken out of the Union street ordinance.

Which was adopted.

The minutes as corrected were then approved.

Mr. Gimber, as a question of privilege, offered the following motion :

*Moved*, That the Street Commissioner continue work during the night to protect Morris street and the west National Road.

Which was adopted.

Sealed proposals for constructing fire cisterns at sundry points, and for building addition to East Market House, were received, opened, read and referred to the Committee on Contracts.

Sealed proposals for furnishing 1,000 feet of fire hose, were received, opened, read and referred to the Committee on Contracts and Chief Fire Engineer.

Mr. Kahn, from the Committee on Contracts, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—Your Committee on Contracts to whom was referred sundry bids for the erection of lamp posts, lamps and fixtures complete, on the following streets, viz :

Ohio street from Noble street to the first alley east of Harvey street.

Pine street from Elm street to Harrison street.

Plum street from Massachusetts avenue to Christian avenue.

St. Clair street from Pennsylvania to Meridian street.

Union street from Hanway street to the south side of McCarty's south addition.

Vermont street from Liberty to Noble street.

Wood street between Michigan and North street.

Arch street between Park avenue and Plum street.

Clifford avenue from Massachusetts to Jefferson avenue.

Agnes street from New York to North street.

Douglass street from New York street to the southern terminus of said Douglass street.

First street between Tennessee street and the central canal.

Fifth street from Illinois to Meridian street.

Fletcher avenue from Dillon to Reid street.

Lord street from Noble to Cady street.

Liberty street between Ohio and New York street.

North street between East and Noble street.

Report that we have examined the same and find them to be as follows, to-wit :

John Knight—

Twenty-five dollars and fifty cents per lamp and post complete.

Indianapolis Brass and Supply Co—

Twenty-five dollars and fifty cents per lamp and post complete.

Deloss Root & Co—

Twenty-three dollars and ten cents per lamp and post complete.

Thomas H. S. Peck & Co—

Twenty-two dollars per lamp and post complete.

Thomas H. S. Peck & Co., being the lowest and best bidders, we recommend that they be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,  
ROBT. C. MCGILL,  
Committee on Contracts.

Which was concurred in and the contracts awarded.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—Your Committee on Contracts, to whom was referred the bids for sundry street work, would report that we have examined the same and find them to be as follows, to-wit:

FIRST.

For grading and graveling the alley running from Pine to Cedar street, and between Fletcher avenue and English avenue, the following proposals were presented:

Michael Faust—

Twenty-nine cents per front foot on each side.

S. Heveling & Co.—

Twenty-nine cents per front foot on each side.

John Greene—

Twenty-nine cents per front foot on each side.

Irwin & Hanna—

Twenty-seven cents per front foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

Twenty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Wm. Sonnefeld—

Twenty-three cents per front foot on each side.

Worley Sheigert—

Twenty-one and one-half cents per front foot on each side.

Connor & Foley—

Twenty-one cents per front foot on each side.

Fred Gansberg—

Twenty cents per front foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish—

Twenty cents per front foot on each side.

Mann & Buchanan—

Nineteen cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney—

Nineteen cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

SECOND.

For grading and graveling the first alley east of High street, running from Bicking street to the first alley south of Bicking street, the following proposal were presented:

Defrees, Morris & Co.—

Thirty-five cents per front foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

Thirty-four cents per front foot on each side.

S. Haveling & Co.—

Thirty-one cents per front foot on each side.

John Greene—

Twenty-eight cents per front foot on each side.

Michael Faust—

Twenty-seven cents per front foot on each side.

Conner & Foley—

Twenty eight cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney—

Twenty-six cents per front foot on each side.

Mann & Buchanan—

Twenty-six cents per front foot on each side.

Wm. Sonnefield—

Twenty-six cents per front foot on each side.

Andrew T. Foster—

Twenty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Muse & Co.—

Twenty-three cents per front foot on each side.

Worley Sheigert—

Twenty-three and one-half cents per front foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish—

Twenty-two cents per front foot on each side.

Fred Gansberg—

Twenty cents per front foot on each side.

Fred Gansberg being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

### THIRD.

For grading and graveling Smithton street and sidewalks, from Laurel to Spruce street, the following proposals were presented :

Defrees, Morris & Co—

One dollar per front foot on each side.

Irwin & Hanna—

Eighty cents per front foot on each side.

Joseph Hanna—

Sixty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Muse & Co—

Sixty-one cents per front foot on each side.

Geo. W. Seibert—

Fifty-three cents per front foot on each side.

S. Heveling & Co—

Fifty-three cents per front foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

Fifty-two cents per front foot on each side.

Strong & Hanna—

Fifty cents per front foot on each side.



Mann & Buchanan—

Forty-nine and a half cents per front foot on each side.

Michael Faust—

Forty-nine cents per front foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish—

Forty-seven cents per front foot on each side.

Fred. Gansberg—

Forty-three cents per front foot on each side.

F. Mohs & Kemkfer—

Thirty-nine and a half cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney—

Thirty-eight cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that he be awarded the contract.

#### FOURTH.

For grading and graveling Chadwick street and sidewalks from McCarty street to the south side of lot 33 in Klingensmith's sub-division, of lot 128, the following proposals were presented:

Defrees, Morris & Co—

Seventy-two cents per lineal foot front on each side.

J. J. Palmer—

Seventy-one cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Mann & Buchanan—

Sixty-nine cents per lineal foot front on each side.

S. Heveling & Co—

Sixty-seven cents per lineal foot front on each side.

Strong & Hanna—

Sixty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Mike Faust—

Sixty cents per front foot on each side.

R. H. Patterson—

Sixty cents per front foot on each side.

Geo. W. Seibert—

Fifty-eight cents per front foot on each side.

John L. Hanna—

Sixty cents per front foot on each side.

Irwin & Hanna—

Sixty cents per front foot on each side.

Muse & Co—

Fifty-seven cents per front foot on each side

John Greene—

Fifty-five cents per front foot on each side.

Cannon & Foley—

Fifty-two cents per front foot on each side.

Henry Clay—

Fifty-three cents per front foot on each side.

James Mahoney—

Fifty-two cents per front foot on each side.

John Low—

Fifty cents per front foot on each side.

Mike Higgins—

Forty-eight and one-half cents per front foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish—

Forty-seven cents per front foot on each side.

Anderson & Devenish being the lowest and best bidder, we recommend that they be awarded the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

LEON KAHN,

ROBT. C. MCGILL,

Committee on Contracts.

Which was concurred in and contracts awarded.



## REPORTS FROM CITY OFFICERS.

The City Civil Engineer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis

*Gentlemen* :—I respectfully report for your approval the following contracts and bonds:

Contract and bond of James W. Hudson, for grading and paving the west side walk on West street, from Kentucky avenue to Merrill street.

Contract and bond of Anderson & Devenish, for grading and graveling Chadwick street and sidewalks from McCarty street to the south side of lot 33 in Klingensmith's subdivision of out-lot 128.

Contract and bond of Joseph Hanna, for grading and graveling Gatling street and sidewalks, from Beecher to Raymond street.

Contract and bond of Joseph Hanna, for grading and graveling Beecher street and sidewalks, from Shelby to Gatling street.

Contract and bond of John T. Macauley, for grading and paving with what is known as Lefler paving stone and curbing with stone the north sidewalk on Washington street, from Tennessee to Mississippi street.

Respectfully submitted.

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in and the bonds approved.

Mr. Thalman moved to take up and reject the contract and bond of Jas. W. Hudson, for improving Indiana avenue from Tennessee to Missouri street.

Which was adopted.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875

To the Honorable Mayor and Common Council of the city of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—I hereby report the following estimate for work done :

A second and final estimate allowed Dennis Keating for building a well at the corner of John and Archer streets.....	\$500 000
Less former payment.....	350 000
	<hr/>
Present payment.....	\$150 000

The above estimate is allowed on condition that your honorable body approves the accompanying bond :

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,  
City Civil Engineer.

Also, the following bond :

We, Dennis Keating and Samuel Lefever, of the County of Marion, State of Indiana, are held and firmly bound unto the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, said county and State, in the sum of five hundred dollars.

The conditions of the above bond are, that the said Dennis Keating herein agrees to keep in good repair, and repair all damages sustained by the breaking in, crumbling in and falling in of the top, sides and walls of a certain well situate on the corner of John and Archer streets, in the City of Indianapolis, county and State above written ; now, if the said Dennis Keating shall fulfill all of the conditions and stipulations within mentioned, then this bond shall be void, else in full force and effect.

DENNIS KEATING, [SEAL.]  
S. LEFEVER, [SEAL.]

July 3, 1875.

The undersigned security on the within bond, do solemnly swear that I am the owner in my own name and right, of property of at least double the amount above written.

S. LEFEVER, [SEAL.]

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 3d day of July, 1875.

CHAS. P. FANT,  
Notary Public.

Which bond was approved and the report concurred in, and the estimate allowed.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—The road leading to the Sellers Farm is very much in need of some repairs. The cost of such will not exceed \$160, and I would recommend that the matter be referred to the proper committee, with instructions to have the repairs made at once.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—I desire to obtain the opinion of the City Attorney as to whether the city, under their contract with the Gas Company, must pay for street lamps not being lit, through the fault of the lamp lighters or other causes.

If the city must pay for said lamps, I would recommend that a clause be inserted in making new contracts with the lamp lighters next September, holding them responsible for the amounts paid by the city for such lamps not lit through their neglect, and that said amount shall be deducted from their accounts at the end of each month.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,

City Civil Engineer.

Which was referred to the City Attorney.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—The sewer in Railroad street, between St. Clair street and Pogues Run is badly in need of catch basins and man-holes.

It is now stopped up and it is impossible for a man to go through it for want of fresh air.

I would therefore recommend that you authorize me to contract with some competent person to build the necessary number of man-holes and catch basins in said sewer immediately.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,  
City Civil Engineer.

Which was concurred in.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—As soon as the water goes down, I will make a thorough examination of the various points in the city where there is any damage sustained, and report the same to your honorable body at the earliest moment possible.

I have visited various places both yesterday and to day where I judged my services was needed the most, but I am not prepared to make any report in regard to the same to-night.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNHARD H. DIETZ,  
City Civil Engineer.

Which was received.

The City Clerk submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—I hereby report the following affidavits now on file in my office for the collection of street assessments by precept, to-wit :

Geo. W. Seibert vs. Mary E. Ogan for .....	\$15 50
John J. Palmer vs. T. B. Carroll (christian name unknown) for.....	23 40

And respectfully recommend that you order the precepts to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

BENJ. C. WRIGHT,  
City Clerk.

Which was concurred in, and the precepts ordered to issue by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thalman, Ward and Webster—20.

Negative—None.

The City Attorney submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen*.—We herewith submit the proposition of Carl Magsie to take three hundred and fifty dollars in full settlement of his claim against the city for damages by reason of falling from the culvert across Pogue's Run last January, and recommend that the Council accept said proposition.

Respectfully submitted,

H. F. ALBERSHARDT,

J. C. ADAMS.

JOHN J. DIFFLEY.

Committee on Judiciary.

CASS BYFIELD,

City Attorney.

Also, the following proposition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen*.—I propose to take in full settlement of all claims whatsoever I have for damages against the city by reason of injuries received by falling off the culvert across Pogue's Run, in said city, during last January, the sum of three hundred and fifty dollars, and will take this amount in an order on the Treasurer of the city, provided this proposition is accepted at this session of the Council, and I agree to dismiss and pay all costs of the suit now pending in the Marion County Courts to recover said damages.

CARL MAGSIE.

Which report was concurred in, and the Committee on Accounts and Claims instructed to insert the amount in [the appropriation ordinance.



The City Attorney submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—In reference to furnishing the proper blanks for opening Blackford street, referred to me at the last meeting of the Council; I will have to ask until next meeting of the Council before I report, for the reason that I have not yet been able to get the measurements from the City Civil Engineer.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,  
City Attorney.

Which was received and further time granted.

Also, the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen*:—I herewith submit an ordinance as requested, providing for the crossing of cars on Virginia avenue.

Respectfully submitted,

CAS. BYFIELD,  
City Attorney.

Which was received.

The City Attorney introduced general ordinance No. 62, 1875 entitled :

An ordinance regulating railroads crossing Virginia avenue between Maryland and Louisiana street.

Which was read the first time.

The City Treasurer submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

*Gentlemen* :—I have the honor to report that the two hundred thousand dollars, (\$200,000 00) in city bonds, series "D" were sold by the Finance Committee July 19, 1875, realizing the following amounts :



To C. Knefler—

\$50,000 at 1.30 premium and 19 days interest.....	\$50,840
50,000 at 1.85 premium and 19 days interest.....	51,115
50,000 at 2.15 premium and 19 days interest.....	51,265

To Smith & Hannaman—

50,000 at 1.76 premium and 26 days interest.....	51,140
Total.....	<u>\$204,360</u>

(Two hundred and four thousand three hundred and sixty dollars) which has been duly credited to the city, to be accounted for by me as treasurer.

Respectfully submitted,

HENRY W. TUTEWILER,

City Treasurer.

STATE OF INDIANA, }  
COUNTY OF MARION. } ss

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2d day of August, 1875.

G. S. WRIGHT,

Notary Public.

Which was concurred in.

The Superintendent of City Hospital submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—I herewith submit the following report for the City Hospital and Branch, for the month ending July 31, 1875 .

Number of patients per last report.....	78
Number of patients received during the month.....	64
Number of births.. .. .	5
Number of patients discharged during the month.....	60
Number of deaths during the month.....	6
Number of patients now in Hospital.....	71
Average daily attendance.....	64
Total expenditure during the month.....	\$1,596 04
Amount of money received during the month.....	56 00
Total number of days for which subsistence was furnished..	2632
Average daily expenses, per capita.....	61

Respectfully, submitted.

WM. B. McDONALD,

Superintendent.

Which was received.

The Board of Health submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

Report of deaths in the City of Indianapolis from 6 o'clock P. M., on the 24th day of July, to 6 o'clock P. M. on the 31st day of July, 1875 :

Under 1 year.....	13
1 to 2 years.....	8
2 to 3 ".....	0
3 to 4 ".....	1
4 to 5 ".....	1
5 to 10 ".....	1
10 to 20 ".....	1
20 to 30 ".....	2
30 to 40 ".....	2
40 to 50 ".....	0
50 to 60 ".....	2
60 to 70 ".....	1
70 to 80 ".....	1
80 to 90 ".....	0
90 to 100 ".....	0
Above 100 ".....	1
Unknown ".....	1
Total.....	34

C. E. WRIGHT, M. D.,

President Board of Health.

A. STRATFORD, M. D.,

Secretary Board of Health.

Which were received.

Also, the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—The undersigned, owners of the real estate fronting on alley between Huron and Elm street from Cedar street to Grove street, respectfully petition for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling the above described alley ; it is necessary to improve said alley as a sanitary measure.

And your petitioner will ever pray, &c.

E. R. McDOUGAL.

The Board of Health find the above named alley in bad and unhealthy condition and recommend that the improvement be made.

A. STRATFORD,  
Secretary Board of Health.

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

*Gentlemen* :—We, the undersigned petition your honorable body to grade and gravel the first alley east of East street, running from Stevan street to Merrill street; the same being in bad condition, and condemned by the Board of Health.

And your petitioner will ever pray, &c.

DANIEL CROWLEY.

The Board of Health find the above named alley in very bad condition and injurious to the health of the people living in the vicinity and recommend its improvement as a sanitary measure.

A. STRATFORD,  
Secretary Board of Health.

Which was referred to the Councilmen from the Thirteenth Ward, to prepare and introduce ordinances.

#### ORDINANCES ON FIRST READING.

Mr. Curran presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis:

*Gentlemen* :—The undersigned, owners of the real estate fronting on the first alley north of Huron street, between Dillon street and Grove street, respectfully petition for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of said alley.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

J. L. BLACKMORE,  
MRS. E. L. WILLIAMS.

Which was received.

Mr. Curran introduced special ordinance No. 155, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley north of Huron street, between Dillon and Grove streets.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Schmidt presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Common Council :

*Gentlemen* :—The undersigned, owners of the real estate fronting on Ohio street, between Winston street and the first alley east of Winston street, respectfully petition for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of the first alley south of Ohio street, running east and west between Winston and the first alley east of Winston street.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

T. A. Sanders, 31 feet; Ebner Aldag & Co., 106 feet;  
C. F. Rafert, 39 feet; W. T. Nesbit, 125 feet;  
S. E. Emery, 120 feet.

Which was received.

Mr. Schmidt introduced special ordinance No. 156, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley south of Ohio street, running east and west between Winston street and the first alley east of Winston street.

Which was read the first time.

Also, special ordinance No. 157, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for repairing the block pavement on Delaware street, from Washington street to Massachusetts avenue.

Which was read the first time, and referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Dr. Stratford presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—We, the undersigned, citizens and property owners on the line of Orange street, between Shelby street and Spruce street, respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance for the improvement of said street, by grading and graveling.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

J. K. LOCKWOOD,  
A. SIMMONS,  
MARTIN HERTZ,  
CHARLES DEDERT,  
JACOB SCHULTHEIS,  
And four others.

Which was received.

Also, special ordinance No. 158, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Orange street, from Shelby street to Spruce street.

Which was read the first time.

Also, the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—We, the undersigned, property owners on the line of the alley running east and west between Dougherty street and Buchanan street, from Wright street to Virginia avenue, earnestly petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance for grading and graveling the same. And we respectfully insist that the ordinance be passed at the very earliest time possible.

And your petitioners will ever pray, etc.

T. A. BUSWELL,  
JOHN COLLINS,  
FRANK FISHER,  
F. M. EPPERT,  
CHARLES STUCKMEYER.  
And 4 others.

Which was received.



Also, special ordinance No. 159, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the alley running east and west between Buchanan and Dougherty streets, from Wright street to Virginia avenue.

Which was read the first time.

Also, special ordinance No. 160, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling Prospect street, curbing the outside edge of the sidewalks, and bouldering the gutters thereof, from Dillon to Reid streets.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Ward presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—We, the undersigned, property owners on the first ally east of Charles street, extending from St. Clair street to John street, and on the alley extending from Charles street to the above named alley would respectfully petition your honorable body to pass an ordinance to grade and gravel the above named alleys, as said improvement is badly needed.

And your petitioners will ever pray, &c.

Frederick William Swartz, 80 and 165 feet, Fred Homuth, 48 feet, John Curran, 100 feet.

Which was received.

Also, special ordinance No. 161, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley east of Charles street, from St. Clair to John street ; and also, the first alley north of St. Clair street, from Charles street to the first described alley.

Which was read the first time.

Also, special ordinance No. 162, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and paving the east sidewalk on Broadway street, from Christian avenue to Cherry street.

Which was read the first time.



Mr. Webster presented the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—The undersigned, owners of the real estate fronting on St. Clair street, between the first alley east of the C. C. C. & I. R. R. Co's., track and Archer avenue, respectfully petition for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of said street and sidewalks, between the above mentioned points.

And your petitioners will ever pray, &c.,

William S. Thompson, 36 feet, Alfred Thompson, 36  
Christian Geisel, 36 feet, William Hooker, 36 feet,  
Maria E. Wornheder, 40 feet, James Thompson,  
36 feet.

Which was received.

Also, special ordinance No. 163, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling St. Clair street, between the first alley east of the Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati & Indianapolis Railroad tracks and Archer avenue.

Which was read the first time.

Also, the following petition :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—The undersigned, owner of the real estate fronting on the first alley east of Dorman street, between St. Clair street and John street, respectfully petitions for the passage of an ordinance providing for the grading and graveling of said alley from Dorman street to John street.

And your petitioner will ever pray, &c.

William S. Thompson, 168 7-10 feet.

Which was received.

Also, special ordinance No. 164, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance to provide for grading and graveling the first alley east of Duncan street between St. Clair street and John street.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Reasner introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 34, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating the sum of five hundred dollars for the payment of extra policemen.

Which was read the first time.

Also, special appropriation ordinance No. 35, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating money on account of an election held in the 5th, 6th and 9th School districts.

Which was read the first time.

Also, special appropriation ordinance No. 36, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating money to pay sundry claims against the city of Indianapolis for the month of July, 1875.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Ward introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 37, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating money on account of City Hospital and branch for the month of July, 1875.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. McGill introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 38, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating money on account of printing, stationery, etc.

Which was read the first time.

Dr. Stratford introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 39, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating money on account of Station House for the month of July 1875.

Which was read the first time.

Mr. Adams introduced special appropriation ordinance No. 40, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating money on account of printing and stationery.

Which was read the first time.

Special ordinance No. 34, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating the sum of five hundred dollars for the payment of extra policemen.

Was read the second time.

Mr. Kahn moved to refer the ordinance to the Committee on Judiciary.

Mr. Gimber moved to lay Mr. Kahn's motion on the table.

The question being on the adoption of Mr. Gimber's motion, those who voted in the affirmative were :

Councilmen Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Gimber, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—10.

Those who voted in the negative were :

Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—13.

So Mr. Gimber's motion was not adopted.

Mr. Kahn's motion to refer was then adopted by the following vote:

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Craft, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—13.

Negative—Councilmen Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—10.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 35, 1875, was read the second time.

Mr. Gimber moved to refer to the Committee on Judiciary and City Attorney.

Mr. Kahn moved to lay Mr. Gimber's motion on the table.

Which motion to lay on the table was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Geiger, Kahn, Madden, Schmidt, Thalman and Ward—12.

Negative—Councilmen Bollman, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, McGill, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—9.

The ordinance was then ordered engrossed.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 36, 1875, was read the second time.

Mr. Bollman moved to amend by inserting claim of Ross & Lynn, for \$68.89.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Buehrig moved to amend by inserting claim of Fred Hess et al. for the sum of \$140, for labor in Military Park.

Which was adopted.

The ordinance as amended was ordered engrossed.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 37, 1875, was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 38, 1875, was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 39, 1875, was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 40, 1875, was read the second time and ordered engrossed.

Mr. Albershardt moved to reconsider the vote by which special appropriation ordinance No. 34, 1875, "providing for the appropriation of five hundred dollars for special policemen," was referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

Mr. Darnell moved to lay Mr. Albershardt's motion on the table.

The question being, on the adoption of Mr. Darnell's motion, those who voted in the affirmative were :

Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—11.

Those who voted in the negative were :

Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—12.

So Mr. Darnell's motion was not adopted.

Mr. Albershardt's motion to reconsider was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—12.

Negative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—11.



On motion by Mr. Albershardt, the ordinance was ordered engrossed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—12.

Negative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—11.

Special ordinance No. 34, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance appropriating the sum of five hundred dollars for the payment of extra Policemen.

Was read the third time.

Mr. Darnell moved to strike the ordinance from the files.

On motion by Dr. Stratford, the main question was ordered.

The question being, on the adoption of the motion to strike from the files, the ayes and noes were demanded, those who voted in the affirmative were :

Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—11.

Those who voted in the negative were :

Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—12.

So. Mr. Darnell's motion to strike from the files was not adopted.

Mr. Craft moved to refer the ordinance to the Board of Police, with instructions to report the names of the extra policemen.

Mr. Gimber moved to lay Mr. Craft's motion on the table.



Which motion to lay on the table was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Curran, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—12.

Negative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—11.

The ordinance was then passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Beuhrig, Curran, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—12.

Negative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—11.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 35, 1875, was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thalman, Ward and Webster—23.

Negative—None.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 36, 1875, was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thalman, Ward and Webster—23.

Negative—None.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 37, 1875, was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransnell, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thalman, Ward and Webster—23.

Negative—None.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 38, 1875, was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thalman, Ward and Webster—23.

Negative—None.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 39, 1875, was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thalman, Ward and Webster—23.

Negative—None.

Special appropriation ordinance No. 40, 1875, was read the third time and passed by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Diffley, Geiger, Gimber, Hook, Kahn, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Ransdell, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford, Stuckmeyer, Thallman, Ward and Webster—23.

Negative—None.

By consent, Mr. Craft offered the following motion :

*Moved*, That the City Clerk is hereby instructed not to pay or issue any order or orders to any one on the special police ordinance unless the parties appear in person and receipt for the same.

Which was adopted.

Mr. Adams, from the Committee on Judiciary, submitted the following report :

INDIANAPOLIS, August 2, 1875.

To the Mayor and Common Council of the City of Indianapolis :

*Gentlemen* :—Your Committee on Judiciary to whom was referred back sundry papers referring to the garbage ordinance and contract, together with instruction to report an amended ordinance at next Council meeting, would present the following report :

We offer the accompanying ordinance as embodying our views on the subject, and if the Council should pass the same, it will be necessary to readvertise for proposals for the removal of the garbage. But in the event of the old or present ordinance remaining on file, we recommend the accompanying contract with Mr. Naltner be ratified and his bond be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

J. C. ADAMS,

H. S. ALBERSHARDT,

J. J. DIFFLEY,

Committee on Judiciary.

Mr. Adams introduced general ordinance No. 63, 1875, entitled :

An ordinance regulating the removal of slops, offal and waste matter from the corporate limits of the city of Indianapolis.

Which was read the first time, and, on motion, read the second time for information.

Mr. Madden offered the following resolution :

*Resolved*, That Aegidious Naltner, having signified his readiness to enter into a contract with the Common Council of the City of Indianapolis, for removal of garbage and offal from said city according to his proposal, which was accepted by the Council

and contract awarded to him on condition he should collect the charges for removal of same, and waive all claim against the said city for any part thereof, and has presented draft of contract agreeable to said award of the Council, the said contract is now approved, and the same is ordered to be executed with said Naltner by this Common Council, and the surety proposed to be given by said Naltner, viz., John Stumph, is approved, and the penalty of the bond fixed at the sum of ten thousand dollars.

Dr. Stratford moved to strike general ordinance No. 63, 1875, from the files.

Mr. Thalman offered the following motion :

*Moved*, That the ordinance be placed on its second reading and passed. That bids be advertised to be received on next Monday night and the contract awarded to the lowest bidder.

On which no action was had.

Dr. Stratford's motion failed to pass by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Albershardt, Buehrig, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Stratford and Stuckmeyer—9.

Negative—Councilmen Adams, Bollman, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Reasner, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—14.

Mr. Albershardt moved to adopt Mr. Madden's resolution.

Mr. Curran moved to lay Mr. Albershardt's motion on the table.

Mr. Geiger offered the following amendment to Mr. Madden's resolution :

*Moved*, That the contractor for the removal of garbage, etc., shall not have power to transfer his contract to any party unless by consent of the Common Council.

Mr. Thalman moved to lay the resolution and amendment on the table.

Which was adopted by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Curran, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Kahn, Ransdell, Schmidt, Thalman, Ward and Webster—12.

Negative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Diffley, Gimber, Kenzel, Madden, McGill, Reasner and Stratford—10.

Mr. Craft moved to amend the ordinance by striking out the last two lines of section 7 and all of section 8.

Mr. Geiger moved to suspend the rules and extend the session of the Council until 11.30 o'clock.

Which motion failed to pass by the following vote :

Affirmative—Councilmen Adams, Craft, Darnell, Geiger, Hook, Madden, Ransdell, Thalman and Webster—9.

Negative—Councilmen Albershardt, Bollman, Buehrig, Diffley, Gimber, Kahn, Kenzel, McGill, Reasner, Schmidt, Stratford and Ward—12.

On motion, the Council adjourned.

JOHN CAVEN, Mayor.

Attest :

BENJ. C. WRIGHT, City Clerk.